The Insolvency Act 1986

Notice of result of meeting of creditors

		of Company oo Limited	Company number 07394929
	In the Regist	High Court of Justice, Chancery Division, Manchester District try	Court case number 2897 of 2016
(a) Insert full name(s) a address(es) of administrator	the	We (a) Stuart P Kelly & Stephen A Clark of HBG Corporate L1 Tarleton, PR4 6UR	mited, 34-36 Church Road,
*Delete as applica	able	hereby report that a meeting of the creditors of the above comp	oany was held at
		(b) The Angel Hotel, Castle Street, Cardiff, CF10 1SZ	
(b) Insert place of meet	ting	on (c) 30 November 2016 at which	
(c) Insert date of meet	ting	1 Proposals were approved	
*Delete as applica	abl e	2 The modifications made to the proposals are as follows	
(d) Give details of modifications (if a			
(e) Insert time and dat		The revised data for outcometra and to admir attack an analysis.	
) Details of other resoluti	_	A creditors' committee was not formed Signed Joint Administrator(s) Dated A copy of the original proposals is attached for those who did not the meeting	

#86

COMPANIES HOUSE

Contact Details

You do not have to give any contact information in the box opposite but if you do, it will help Companies House to contact you if there is a query on the form The contact information that you give will be visible to searchers of the public record

Stuart P Kelly 34-36 Church Road Tarleton PR4 6UR

Tel

DX Number

DX Exchange

When you have completed and signed this form, please send it to the Registrar of Companies at

Companies House, Crown Way, Cardiff CF14 3UZ

DX 33050 Cardiff

COMPANIES HOUSE

Joint Administrators' Proposals

The Joint Administrators' Proposals are as follows

- 1 the Joint Administrators continue to manage the affairs and any remaining assets of the Companies and the settlement of all Administration expenses,
- the Joint Administrators continue with their enquiries into the conduct of the directors of the Companies and continue to assist any regulatory authorities with their investigation into the affairs of the Companies,
- the Joint Administrators investigate and, if appropriate, pursue any claims that the Companies may have against any person, firm or company, whether in contract or otherwise, including any officer or former officer of the Companies or any person, firm or company that supplies or has supplied goods or services to the Companies,
- the Joint Administrators be authorised to agree the claims of the secured, preferential and unsecured creditors against each of the Companies unless the Joint Administrators conclude, in their reasonable opinion, that the Companies will have no assets available for distribution,
- the Joint Administrators be authorised to distribute funds to the secured and preferential creditors and the PP to unsecured creditors as and when claims are agreed and funds permit and, in relation to other distributions to unsecured creditors, if the court gives permission following an appropriate application in the event that the Joint Administrators consider it expedient to pay such distribution whilst the Companies are in Administration,
- the Joint Administrators do all such things and generally exercise all their powers as Joint Administrators as they consider desirable or expedient at their discretion in order to achieve the purpose of the Administrations or protect and preserve the assets of the Companies or maximise the realisations of those assets, or of any purpose incidental to these Proposals,
- that, in the event that the creditors of the Companies so determine, at a meeting of creditors, that a creditors' committee be appointed in respect of each company comprising of not more than five and not less than three creditors of that company
- that the creditors' committees, if appointed, be asked to agree that the basis of the Joint Administrators' fees be fixed by reference to the time properly given by the Joint Administrators and their staff in attending to matters as set out in the fees estimate, such time to be charged at the prevailing standard hourly charge out rates used by HBG Corporate Ltd at the time the work is performed (plus VAT and disbursements) and asked to agree the Joint Administrators' Category 2
- that, in the absence of creditors' committees, the creditors be asked to agree that the basis of the Joint Administrators' fees be fixed by reference to the time properly given by the Joint Administrators and their staff in attending to matters as set out in the fees estimate, such time to be charged at the prevailing standard hourly charge out rates used by HBG Corporate Ltd at the time when the work is performed (plus VAT and disbursements).
- 10 that, in the absence of creditors' committees, the creditors shall fix the Joint Administrators' Category 2 disbursements on the bases disclosed in the Appendix to the Proposals.
- albeit that the following does not form part of the proposals subject to approval under Paragraph 53 of Schedule B1 of the Act, but it is subject to approval under Rule 2 67A of the Rules that, if a creditors' committee is not appointed, the Joint Administrators' Pre-Administration Costs as detailed in the Appendix to the Joint Administrators' Proposals be
- that, following the realisation of assets and resolution of all matters in the Administration, and as quickly and efficiently as is reasonably practicable, the Joint Administrators implement the most cost effective steps to formally conclude the Administration. This may include dissolution of the Companies, whether or not it is preceded by the distribution of funds to unsecured creditors (with the court's permission where requested), or alternatively, moving the Companies into Creditors' Voluntary Liquidation ("CVL") or seeking the Companies Compulsory Liquidation, depending upon which option will result in a better realisation for creditors,
- that, if the Companies were to be placed into CVL, the Joint Administrators propose to be appointed Joint Liquidators and any creditors' committee appointed will become the liquidation committee and that the basis of the Joint Liquidators' fees be fixed on the same basis as that of the Joint Administrators. The creditors may nominate a different person to be Liquidator(s) provided the nomination is made before the proposals are approved by creditors. The Liquidators will each be authorised to carry out all functions, duties and powers either jointly or severally, and
- that, in the absence of creditors' committees, the relevant creditors of the Companies agree that the Joint Administrators be discharged from liability immediately upon the Joint Administrators vacating office

Minutes of a Creditors' Meeting

and

In the matter of Kayboo Limited (In Administration) ("the Company")

Minutes of the Meeting of Creditors held at 10 00 on 30 November 2016 at The Angel Hotel Castle Street

Cardiff

CF10 1SZ

Chairman Stuart P Kelly (Joint Administrator of the Company)

Creditors present in person

or by proxy

The attendance lists prepared at the meeting

are attached and form part of these minutes

Also in attendance

Jonathan Waller - HBG Corporate Limited

Ellie Jones – HBG Corporate Limited

James Robbins - Harrison Drury Solicitors (acting for the Joint

Administrators)

1 Opening of meeting

The Joint Administrator opened the meeting

2 Matters arising

Please see the attached Appendix to the - Minutes of the Meeting for the matters raised

3 Resolutions

Please see the attached proposals to see the resolutions passed

The meeting then ended

Appendix to the - Minutes of the Meeting

East Marsh Operational Co. Limited ("East Marsh") & Kayboo Limited ("Kayboo") - ("Both in Administration") ("the Companies")

Held at:

The Angel Hotel, Castle Street, Cardiff, CF10 1SZ

Date:

30 November 2016

Time:

10.00am

The Chairman (Stuart Kelly of HBG Corporate Limited – Joint Administrator) - opened the meeting with the appropriate introductions

The Chairman stated there was no intention to read the Proposals, unless requested to No requests were made

The floor was opened for questions

Mr Kris Wigfield (Wilson Field) representing several hotel investors - If the notice of intention to appoint administrators was lodged on 21 September 2016 then the winding up petition against Kayboo was invalid. However, in the SIP16 report this was recognised as a reason to pre-pack the business and assets. Why?

The Chairman – The winding up petition was a clear intention to destabilise the Companies and liquidate Kayboo In addition, the Companies were under additional significant creditor pressure with the attendance of bailiffs at the hotel Chairman requested to know of Mr Wigfield, what would you do?

Mr Wigfield - Stated that he believed the business and assets should have been sold for a higher value and an approach to The Corran Equitable Society ("CES") should have been made to give them the option to purchase. The Chairman stated that prior to the pre-pack he was unaware of the CES and he did not recognise the CES in law as he was unaware of what it was

Oliver Jackson for Freeths Solicitors (representing CES) – stated that the CES was an unregistered society

Mark Humble - Investor- Asked Mr Wigfield, are you a licensed insolvency practitioner?

Mr Wigfield - Yes

The Chairman reiterated – There are c 425 investors, significant and aggressive creditor action has been conducted. The hotel has been valued on a going concern basis and although the value of the hotel unencumbered could be in the £ millions, with 3 leases registered the GVA independent valuation was £400,000. Any additional equitable leases would continue to reduce this valuation. Should 8 leases have any value then the valuation of the hotel would be £0. Therefore, given the uncertainty surrounding the leases, the sale price was fair.

Oliver Jackson - Stated that he believed the valuation was only a desktop valuation, should not be relied upon and a more full and thorough valuation should have been conducted. This was noted by the Chairman

The Chairman - The validity of the leases in the SiP 16 report could not be commented upon but the uncertainty surrounding them would be extremely detrimental to value. There was insufficient time to market the hotel due to creditor pressure, East Marsh trading at a loss and no prospect of funding to trade through administration. The alternative option was to close the hotel and liquidate the Companies.

Mr Wigfield commented on the Proposals - The resolutions were a blanket resolution not providing detail and were therefore invalid. The Chairman stated that the detailed list of proposals were attached to each proxy form or on the reverse. Mr Wigfield questioned why no list of creditors was provided with the Proposals.

The Chairman - Stated that a list of creditors was emailed to creditors and investors with the Proposals and uploaded to the portal However, amounts owing to creditors were excluded from the list due to the uncertainty of whether investors/FOC's ranked as creditors. In addition, a secondary list of East Marsh rent creditors (with amounts) had also been emailed to potential creditors and posted to the portal

Mr Wigfield - Questioned the fee estimate, highlighting suspected inaccuracies

The Chairman - Confirmed this was noted and would be examined

Mr Wigfield - Questioned whether the paragraph 3 purposes of administration had been achieved

The Chairman - Stated the purposes of administration had been achieved. The business and assets of the Companies had been sold, preserving jobs (reducing preferential claims). Had this not been done the Companies would have been placed into liquidation and the hotel would have been closed. It would not have been viable to consider that if the hotel had closed that it would have reopened in the short to medium term.

Mr Wigfield stated – Given the money invested by investors, there is no explanation as to why the hotel was sold for so little, the proposals are poor documents

The Chairman stated – The reasons for the sale price have just been explained

Alan Ingle – Investor -questioned You state the hotel valuation is dependant upon the leases, are they valid?

The Chairman - Some leases are registered and some are not. Other leases have been executed but not registered to date. It is very likely that there are many equitable interests in the leases, but it is for individual investors to seek their own independent legal advice.

Oliver Jackson - Can you confirm the leases with the fractional ownership companies have been completed? The Chairman - No, not all I can confirm 3 are completed and 5 are executed

Oliver Jackson - The leases are between Kayboo and each fractional ownership company where each investor pays to be a member of a fractional ownership company. The lease was supposed to be completed and then a further sub-lease entered into with East Marsh. Have any of these been completed and signed?

The Chairman – Only the ones just referred to, but investors were buying membership of an FOC so it is important to note that that has happened

David Bates - (Director FOC)- I have signed many leases and returned them to the Companies but I don't know what was registered or counter signed

Oliver Jackson- All investors that have paid for their membership have no property interest, no interest in anything, all they have is membership to a company with no assets. That is why they are withdrawing

David Bates - I believe they have an agreement through the LBG companies. Following my discussions with Keith Stiles he agrees with that

Oliver Jackson – Mr stiles will surely be in exactly the same position as Kayboo & East Marsh before administration? How is his new company going to continue differently?

David Bates – This is a matter for Mr Stiles At the moment, investors have an Interest in the land. If investors decide to cancel their agreements and withdraw they do not

Oliver Jackson - Membership of a company does not give you a right to land. The fractional ownership companies had an obligation to provide this with Kayboo

The Chairman – (to Oliver Jackson) Are you stating that the position of investors will be improved by withdrawing? Do you believe they will be better off?

Alan Ingle- (to David Bates) Are the Leases valid? Why haven't you been in touch? I am an investor and have heard nothing from FOC

David Bates – I have been talking at length with Investors for the last 6 months and I have been emailing all investors where I have details

Alan Ingles - I have an interest in FOC C. I have been there from day one but have had no communication. Our complaints should be with the FOC. The FOC should be coming forward to secure things for investors with the new business.

David Bates – (to Alan Ingles) Do you know which FOC C you have invested in? Is it Hurst House, TC Fractional or The Corran Fractional? You have a contract with Kayboo, you pay membership but not to us

Alan Ingles – Was the membership fee that was paid retained by Kayboo?

David Bates - Yes

Andrew Elder – (Investor)- Are HBG investigating the accounts Where did the money go? How can you sell the hotel back to the same crooks without knowing?

The Chairman – The investigations part of the administration process is currently at a stage of infancy, but as part of the administrators' duties, there will be a thorough investigation into the Companies and where the funds have gone. However, I must reiterate, if that sale was not completed the hotel would have closed

[Investor unnamed] — I do not accept that statement, you could have contacted any of the 400 plus investors who would have purchased it for more.

Jeff Matthews (Investor) – I have had an email to say two parties were interested in purchasing the hotel, who was the second party? I know at least two investors who would have paid £200,000 plus for the place

The Chairman - I am not aware of any second party interest

The Chairman – It is my intention to engage an independent firm of forensic accountants to prepare and submit a report regarding their findings with regard to the investment monies. This report will be provided to the FCA. Following this, the administrators would report to creditors with the position.

Jeff Matthews — I have met with Keith Stiles, and he claimed that the hotel is worth a lot more than £150,000. Is it possible that the sale could be unravelled?

The Chairman – It is possible, but it would be a matter for the court

Huw Eynon (Supplier)- As a supplier to the hotel, I would say the business is viable. The company's board executives are doing a great job. Steven Turner who works with Peter Burnett were stripping the hotel every Monday morning of cash. This is an extremely viable company in the hands of good management. Senior staff at the hotel have told me the hotel has taken £200,000 turnover in one calendar month. So, I do not agree or accept that the company had to be shut down. As a supplier, I am immensely disappointed with the way this has been handled, I have lost £10,000. I am anxious to understand why this exercise needs forensic accounting, anybody can quickly come to the conclusion that this is fraud. I am astonished that you are so cool about it. I am also very concerned that staff aren't getting paid and are working for nothing. Keith Stiles has threatened staff that if they walk out they will get nothing. I believe it is a viable business, if it isn't we should be asking what is the greatest trading expense? This is an out and out fraudulent exercise by Peter Burnett. Do you suppose any money has changed hands? Are they now Just looking for another sucker to pump money in. If the money had been retained in the business in the first place, I still make the point it was a significantly viable business.

The Chairman - For the avoidance of doubt I am not 'cool about this'. Forensic accountants will be engaged because if there is the possibility of fraudulent trading I want to be able to prove it. I believe this is more likely to be achieved with a forensic accountant.

The Chairman - Are you suggesting Steven Turner was removing cash from the hotel without authority?

Huw Eynon- The senior staff had no control of the finances, I think Steven Turner was removing cash on someone else's behalf Stripping cash out of the hotel never gave the business a chance

The Chairman – It is worth noting again that the operational company, East Marsh, was losing cash. sales revenue was insufficient to cover its expenditure. East Marsh was being financially supported by Kayboo. I do appreciate all of the concerns and a full investigation will be conducted.

Oliver Jackson - Hundreds of investors were willing to pay more. You didn't speak to one of them You just sat in your office and didn't have a problem with that Who's paying your fees? Inside Job?

The Chairman - No

The Chairman- Coming back to the point, this sale was the only viable option available at the time Otherwise the hotel would be closed and jobs would have been lost

Oliver Jackson - You conducted no marketing so how could you have achieved a better offer?

The Chairman - For the reasons provided earlier, there was no time

Alan Ingle - Have the police been informed about any of this?

The Chairman - Not at the moment, but this has not been ruled out

Michelle Barringer (Redbrick Wealth) — From the date the winding up order was withdrawn what happened in that time (up to the pre-pack sale) that stopped you from speaking to those 400+ investors. Any number of these people would have been interested in buying it, but you say you didn't have time? The investors were already involved in the process so it would be easier for them to sort out and buy

The Chairman - In my opinion, there was insufficient time. I sold the hotel on the basis of the information I had and the risks at the time. The key risk was always the hotel being closed, the Companies going into liquidation and the loss of jobs.

Mr Wigfield - Why did you not approach any of the bigger investors?

The Chairman – You need to understand that the moratorium was a for a short period of time, so I made the decision that this was the best way forward that was significantly better than liquidation

Mr Wigfield - Not acceptable

Alan Ingle – The furniture alone is worth more than £150,000 which is all still there to this day. My lease is room 120. If this lease is registered, do I now own part of that room?

The Chairman - If your fraction of that room has been registered you have equitable interest, but please seek your own legal advice

Mark Humble - (to Mr Rob Dymond and Mr Wigfield - Wilson Field) What would you have done?

Mr Dymond- The last accounts show Kayboo valued at £14 5 million and Eastmarsh at £880,000. The furniture could be worth more than £150,000 alone, you have sold book debts, but none of this is detailed in your report as to what individual items are worth. This would give creditors the chance to form opinions on whether the sale is reasonable. With regard to marketing and consultation, five or six people in this room said they would pay more than £150,000 for the hotel. While I appreciate

time constraints are tight in insolvency, there is clearly a core group here which the administrators were aware of. They could have achieved a quick dialogue in a day or two and contacted investors who would have been interested.

Oliver Jackson – The winding up petition was filed after the notice of intention to appoint was, can you confirm?

David Bates - At the Heathrow meeting valuers and accountants were present who said the hotel site had no value at all if it went into administration. I am surprised that people are not aware of this. The process has been going on for four months and the core group were fully informed.

John Steel - (Investor) - Stated - Within the investor group, there are many pensioners whose lives have been ruined by this. Some people have been left destitute, some have lost income

The Chairman – (to Oliver Jackson) When was Mr Singh's statutory demand issued against Kayboo?

Oliver Jackson – There was a good amount of time for the statutory demand to be resolved prior to the petition being issued. It is not Mr Singh's statutory demand that brought about the administrations

The Chairman - It certainly accelerated the process. Why was it brought?

Oliver Jackson - Payment was put in for but not made Surely, they could have found £2,000

Mark Humbles - More than £2,000 in the bank at the time would have been necessary. If a winding up petition is issued and freezes the bank account, I could understand how the process was quickened.

[Supplier] - Why if the business wasn't viable before does Keith Stiles thinks it is now that it has been sold back to him?

The Chairman – That is a matter for Mr Stiles, but I believe that the withdrawal from the FOC's denies any opportunity that you may have in the future.

Oliver Jackson- You have said that you do not know if the leases are valid, this is not for you Stuart to advise on.

The Chairman - I am not advising

[Unknown investor] - How far down the line has the sale to the new company gone? Did they approach you or the other way around?

The Chairman - They approached us and the sale was completed on 18 October 2016

[Unknown investor] - Are you an agent for Kayboo?

The Chairman - Yes, the joint administrators act as agents for the Companies.

Mr Wigfield - What is your source of introduction?

The Chairman – It is a third-party contact who works within the hotel industry, for commercial reasons I am not disclosing who

Mr Wigfield - If you have nothing to hide, why not tell us?

The Chairman – There is nothing to hide, but It is commercially sensitive at this time.

Oliver Jackson - If it was the statutory demand put in by creditors that accelerated the administration process, what was the exact value?

The Chairman - I would need to get back to you, I don't have the exact figure to hand

Oliver Jackson – If this was an accelerating factor, I would know the exact figure. If they can find £150,000 to buy the hotel back, the statutory demand wasn't any higher and could have been paid

The Chairman - Regardless of the exact amount, it would clearly have still accelerated the administration process along with other creditor pressure

Oliver Jackson - If creditors have accelerated this process, you should know the amounts and figures that this relates to

The Chairman – The exact amounts are a minor point, the key point is, there was creditor pressure mounting, your client being instrumental in this

Oliver Jackson – I object to that There are 400 plus investors, every point is important to these people

The Chairman - Emphasising an exact figure on a statutory demand does not change the key facts

Mr Dymond- Regardless of the outcome of today's meetings and whether the administrators' proposals going forward are accepted, modified or rejected, it is likely that the investors will want to challenge and overturn this sale

Huw Eynon - A greater amount of time should have been spent on marketing the hotel

The Chairman – As previously stated, there was insufficient time and I did not want to see the hotel close, further reducing value

Huw Eynon – The assets in Kayboo were £19 million. Assuming the sale went ahead at £150,000, if the leases are valid, and the sale to Mr Stiles's new company is deemed to be legal, doesn't that make the new company insolvent as well?

The Chairman- That would be an issue for Mr Stiles and his accountants. What I can comment upon, without giving advice, is that if I was an investor I would be taking my own legal advice.

Mr Dymond- We have not seen a copy of the sale contract. Are there any clauses in the sale contract to prevent anyone selling it on for more?

James Robbins- No.

Mr Dymond- We believe that is an error

Michelle Barringer – (to David Bates) You say that the FOC has been signing and returning leases to Kayboo Some of these must have been sat there for years, why have you not gone to Kayboo to ensure they are registered? This is not acceptable

David Bates- That was the responsibility of Kayboo

Michelle- For two to three years, leases weren't signed or completed, nor investors notified

David Bates - The obligations of the FOC were to ensure membership certificates were issued. We chased Kayboo on a regular basis and were advised this is a legal process and it is in hand. I don't think we had an obligation to tell members.

[Unknown questioner] - What was the exact reason why leases weren't registered? what sum of money needed to be paid to have them registered? I've heard around £150,000 including stamp duty. I was told Kayboo was waiting on phase 2 because of a cash flow issue

David Bates - It was an ongoing process, we were told there were other restrictions relating to the title, they were being released but this was taking time due to issues with Lloyds Bank

Oliver Jackson – For Kayboo to put a signature on the lease doesn't take long nor does it cost moneywhy didn't you make sure that happened?

David Bates - I have told you what I believe our obligations were

Alan Ingle - My contract is signed by PW Burnett- is this valid?

Oliver Jackson – That is not a lease, it is a membership agreement

The Chairman - it is in your best interests to take your paperwork and seek your own legal advice

[Unknown questioner]- Who is involved in the creation of the fractional agreement?

David Bates - Kayboo

BREAK FOR 20 MINS

Formal part of meeting to vote on administrators' proposals

The Chairman – Stated that the meeting was now required to vote on the approval or otherwise of joint administrators' proposals and if deemed appropriate form creditors' committees for one of both of the Companies

The Chairman – Beginning with East Marsh – are there any individual proxies in the room that I am not aware of? (No additional proxies provided)

Proof and proxy schedule votes: East Marsh

Votes cast.

£169,240 votes for the acceptance of the joint administrators' proposals with modifications

£0 votes for the joint administrators' proposals (It should also be noted that in error the Chairman sought to cast an intercompany vote from Kayboo This error was highlighted by Oliver Jackson and the vote was duly withdrawn)

The Chairman stated that the modified proposals were accepted

Proof and proxy schedule votes: Kayboo

Votes cast

£230 votes for the acceptance of the joint administrators' proposals with modifications.

£164,437 votes for the joint administrators' proposals.

It must be noted that there were £9,304,074 votes cast in favour of the joint administrators' proposals with modifications that were rejected by the Chairman. The votes were reduced to £1 per claimant for the following reasons

- Rule 2 38 states that the claim must be the valid claim at the date of administration, being 18 October 2016 At this date, no investors had made an attempt to withdraw from their membership of the respective FOC and they were therefore not a creditor
- Investors were relying upon clause 1 3 in the membership agreements, in respect of the
 investors' right to withdraw. The Chairman's exercised his right to require evidence that this
 clause exists. In the one copy contract provided by Wilson Field (Mr Brian Bridges) the
 contract did not include a provision for withdrawal.

Mr Dymond – Requested an adjournment to provide further evidence of the claims

The Chairman - Referred Mr Dymond back to Rule 2 38 and denied the adjournment

Mr Dymond - Requested to know what the other votes were?

The Chairman – Advised that Mr Dexter Jeffery (investor) had cast a vote for £34,000 in favour of the joint administrators' proposals and like other investors this was reduced to £1. Each FOC had cast a vote reflecting the amount paid by investors into the FOC in favour of the joint administrators' proposals. These votes totalled c £18.5m, and similarly to the investors this was reduced to £1 per claim. The Fractional Ownership Consultancy for unpaid fees of £164,395 were permitted to rank in full for voting purposes.

Oliver Jackson – Requested to know whether rents had been included within the claimed amounts of investors?

The Chairman – Stated that the rents were due from East Marsh and were therefore not included in those votes. I respect your right to challenge this decision in court. However, I would urge all investors to seek their own independent legal advice during this time.

Oliver Jackson – We have written consents from [a significant number] of investors.

The Chairman - I do not recognise the CES, and for the reasons already stated the voting stands

Mr Dymond- to avoid going to court, do you not think to adjourn it would be timely for everyone?

ATTENDEES AT THE MEETING VOTE ON AN ADJOURNMENT VIA SHOW OF HANDS

Show of hands mostly in the air FOR adjournment

David Bates carrying the majority of the vote, did not vote in favour of an adjournment

NO ADJOURNMENT OF MEETING.

David Bates's reluctance to vote in favour of an adjournment created unrest in the meeting, further fuelled by a number of inflammatory comments

James Robbins- Reminded the meeting that the investor claims were not valid at the date of administration so in any event, there was no entitlement to vote

Oliver Jackson – Stated his disagreement with Rule 2 38- It is not that the debts didn't exist under the contracts. The debts did already exist, they just had not crystallised

James Robbins - Reconfirmed that therefore the debts did not exist within Kayboo

Oliver Jackson- Our position is that the debt was valid at the date of the administration 2nd point regarding rent under fractional ownership companies, that you say is due from East Marsh, this is not the case. You have not admitted any rent, even though the agreements say this is due from Kayboo. There are rents due to the investors - Fractional ownership agreements signed in the last 12 months have submitted claims for rent, also counting those at £1? Why you have allocated £1 to the rent claims?

The Chairman – The rent that is due from East Marsh with regard to the subleases has already been included within the East Marsh voting

Oliver Jackson – Stated his belief that 'this is wrong' other positions that were due rent from Kayboo NOT from East Marsh

Oliver Jackson — (to David Bates) To confirm, we have put this adjournment to the vote and David, you have said no?

David Bates - Yes

Mr Wigfield – We will be challenging this You are saying the claims for rent are in East Marsh, we have shown you a document showing that Kayboo is responsible

The Chairman – The emphasis is that each creditor must evidence their claim prior to the meeting, I don't have that evidence

Mr Wigfield - how can you say East Marsh is responsible when you haven't seen additional contracts?

The Chairman – Reiterated his understanding that rent is due to leaseholders under the terms of the East Marsh sublease

The Chairman – Restated that an adjournment had been voted on, it was no carried. The meetings need to move on

Robin Barrasford (former sales agent) - Given one hour I can show you 400 contracts with Kayboo's name on

Mr Wigfield-Surely David you would like an hour to determine the right outcome?

David Bates – The Core Group have known all along and had the opportunity to buy the hotel. I have never heard any of them saying they wanted to buy it

Oliver Jackson - We are listening to what the investors want

David Bates - I am not withdrawing my proof or proxy

Michelle Barringer - (to David Bates) Do you feel your moral compass is correct? Do you feel it is right you have the last say over the investors. There are 420 investors, and you are talking about putting a claim in for a commercial debt over personal livelihoods?

Mr Wigfield - (to The Chairman) One person has voted for this out of all your sent proposals

Mr Dymond – (to The Chairman) Five or six people in the room said they would pay more for the hotel

Robin Barrasford – The hotel has been sold back to Keith Stiles without opportunity- the professionals here [HBG] simply haven't been given all the information, can we please request a vote for an adjournment of one week

Oliver Jackson - Without an adjournment, we can apply to court, and reverse what the administrators have done for a better outcome for the creditors

The Chairman - (to Oliver Jackson) Are you guaranteeing a better result for creditors?

Mr Wigfield - We're confident we would do better than zero

The Chairman- if you were to attempt to reverse this transaction and be successful, you possibly might achieve a marginally higher offer, but in my opinion, nothing more. There is simply no benefit to creditors in what you are doing

Mark Humble- Please allow an adjournment, I have no money and am disgusted at the way this has been handled

David Bates - I believe these professionals [HBG] have the creditors best interests at heart

Mr Wigfield – The one creditor who votes to accept, has already met with the administrator.

David Bates – (to the investors) I believe you have an interest over the hotel and you should take legal advice. Your position is better protected by these professionals [HBG]

The Chairman ordered the meeting closed at this point to avoid any unrest as the meeting was not progressing and attendees were become angry

ATTENDANCE REGISTER

Kayboo Limited ("In Administration")

NAME	REPRESENTING	SIGNATURE
R. DOREY	SELF.	AM.
J. Styolo	CELE	hMhw
M Humble	Self	A
D. BATES	Factional LBG conjune	Hear Best
カーラベイド	Sch	- A Emale
AINGLA	SELF	
R. Dymonp	THE INVESTORS	
O- JACKSON	()	(*)
K. VIGFIELD		infly
J. MATHERS	SELF (A TA
15 Properties	17 missel	HAI MA
REPORTER	MC Bizantour	Met By Co
A. ELDER	SELF	2008
M. Barringer	Redbrick Wealth	Bur
i HEzelmen of	self	H.T. EDMONDS
IT Edmonds	Self	H.T. EDM ONDS
	c .	

Σ 1 σ

쇅	
릺	
7 50	
즵	
E E	
ğ	

Greditors meeting 30 November 2016

Claimant name									Praxy Amount	CES Proxy	REJECT	E	3 3 CLAUSE	
								Fractional						
	Chairman	Special -in		No POD or	CES Signed	Admitted to	ote to	Ownership					CIVIERES	_
	Chaims	favour Claims	CES/WF Claims No proxy	Proxy	Claums	vote in favour	reject/Modify TBC	Agreement					LLAIM	
1 Abul Rahman			£18 000 00	•	0		£1 00	06/06/2014	£18,000 00 N	z				
Ackbar Jooka & Aduka Takun-Jooka			c		£18 000 00		61 00	29/07/2014	£18,000 00 Y) Y				
2 Adebayo Okelario			670 100 00		0		£1 00	13/08/2013	£20,100 00 N	2 0			•	;
A Afsanch Haddadi			6194 RO3 DO		. 0		£1 00	23/02/2015	£194,803 00 N	z			19 803	g :
5 AGNIESZKA SOLECKA			633 000,00		0		£1 00	18/12/2012	£33 000 00 N	Z			007'4	3
6 Ahmed All Uraqat			0		£18,000 00		£1 00	19/04/2015	£18 000 000 Y	≻ :				
7 Alan George Ingle			617,000.00		0		£1 00	01/07/2014	£17,000 00 N	2 :				
8 Alexander Sonny Oea			0		£54,000 00		00 13	15/08/2014	£54 000 00 Y	. ·				
9 Allan Clark			650 000 00		0		£1 00	25/07/2013	ES0,000 00 N	z				
10 Amelie Arma Kopp			0		£33 000 00		00 13	22/11/2013	£33 000 00 Y					
11 Anastasıa Saprykına			218,000 00		Q		£1 00	14/07/2014	£18,000 00 N	2 :				
12 Anbar Begum			£18,000 to		0		£1 00	23/06/2014	£18,000 000 N	2 1				
13 Andrew Mark Morns			617,000 00		0		90 TJ	31/07/2013	£17,000 00 N	Z :				
14 ANDREW PHILIP MORRIS			£134,000 00		0		00 E	09-10 2012 &	£134,000 00 N	2 2				
15 Aneta Bronowska			£17 000,00		0		£1 00	CI UZ/OL/OL	E17,000 00	z :				
16 Anil Parmer			£33,000 00		0		£1 00	26/08/2015	E33,000 00 N	2 :				
17 Anish Mistry			0		618,000 00		£1 00	CC02/C0/97	£13,000 00 1	- 7				
18 Ann J Ashton			666 000 00		0		£1 00	28/05/2013	E66,000 00 N	2 :				
19 Antaras Tomas Pranckevicius			£18,000 co		0		51.00	01/07/2015	E38 000 00 N	2				
20 anthony asset					£18,000 00		61.00	01/10/2014	£18 000 00	.				
21 Antonna Ramsey			. 0		E35,000.00		61 00	16/08/2015	E35,000 00 Y	~				
22 Anta Faiz			£18,000 00		0		£1 00	11/04/2014	£18,000 00 N	z				
23 Arshad Rasheed			00 000,693		٥		£1 00	08/09/2012	N 00 000 693	z				
24 Ashish Shah & Rakhı shah			£34 000 00		0		£1 00	15/07/2015	E34,000 00 N	z				
25 Attah AGENI-YUSUF			£35,000 00		٥		00 13	10/01/2014	£35,000 00 N	z				
26 Avril Kathleen Cherry			£68,000 00		0		£1 00	21/08/2013	N 00 000 B93	2 1			23.6	Ş
27 Bernadelle Mane Saliba			634,000.00		0		£1 00	07/02/2013	£34,000 CO	Z :			2,00	è
28 Brian Peter George Carnon			635,000 00		0		61 00	05/05/2015	£35,000 00 N	z				
C2M Management Lunited (William							8	18/04/2013	F145 000 00 N	2				
29 Jonathan Steefe)			£145 000 00		۰ ۵		8 5	09-08 2013 04	K 90 000 00 N	z				
30 Carlos Rodriguez Lopez			£83 000 00		0 '		20 23	27/05/2014	N 00 000 (82)	: Z				
31 Cathethe Eszabeth Harju			635,000 00		0		81	19/04/2013						
22 NO STILL YAN BIONA			10 000 713		0		61 00		E17,000 00 N	Z				
33 Chi Min PANG			£53,000 p0		0		00 13	30/07/2014	653,000 00	2 1				
34 CHI YIN CHAN			E18,500 00		0		£1 00	08/01/2015	£18 000 00	z			8666	ě
35 Ching Lun Lau			518 000 00		0		£1 00	20/11/2016	£18,000 00 N	z :			77,7	9
36 Christopher John Prabey			£17 000 00		0		61 00	24/10/2013	21/ 000 00	2 ;				
37 Chnstopher Ogrivre Heraid			•		E68,500 00		00 13	30/10/2012	1 00 000 10	- 3				
38 Christopher Raymond Button			£34 000 00		0		£1 00	5102701771	£34,000 00 N	2 2				
39 CINB PHILLIPS			£17,000 00		0		00 13	\$102/10/22	E1/ 000 D	2 >				
40 Colette Lowe			0		£18,000 00		61 00	410/20170	£18,000 00 ±	- 4				
41 Colin & Angela Bryant-Chesworth			518,000 00		0		8 13	4105/20/11	118 000 00 ×	ž >				
42 Conrad Rhys Russell			٥		£70 000 00		DO 13	00 00 000	2000000	- >				
43 CRAIG & ALISON SHARKEY			0		536,000 00		£100	03-09-2015 &	136,000 00 T	- 3			9,203	8
44 Darina Stranankova			6.69 000 00		0		8 5	24/02/2014	210,000,000	2 >				
45 David & Julie Hankms			0		618,000 00		8 8	15/05/2014	£3\$,000,00 ¥	- >-				
46 David G Benn			0		533,000,00		8 3	18/05/2010	Y 000000 YES	. >				
47 David Hughes			0		E32 000 00		313	1		-				

	3,000	2 228	2 160 6,701	
	RDE CO		VANDA	VANCA
E52,000 00 N E36,000 00 N E18,000 00 N E18 000 00 V E66,000 00 N E13 000 00 N E34,000 00 N E34,000 00 N E39,000 00 V E132 000 00 N	E132 000 00 E18 000 00 N E36,000 00 N E18,000 00 N E13,000 00 N E13 000 00 N E54,000 00 N E55,000 00 V E55,000 00 N	E18,000 00 N E18,000 00 N E18,000 00 N E18,000 00 N E18,000 00 N E18,000 00 Y E66,000 00 Y	£38 000 00 N £18 000 00 N £3 000 00 N £3 000 00 N £19,035 00 N £36,000 00 Y £36,000 00 Y	E33,000 00 N E13,000 00 N E22 000 00 N E35,000 00 N E15,000 00 N E18,000 00 N E30,000 00 V E30,000 00 Y E35,000 00 Y
2805/2014 18/11/2015 11/08/2014 16/06/2014 25/04/2014 15/12/2014 15/02/2013 20/02/2014 05/07/2014 05/07/2014	310322016 14106/2014 25/06/2014 12/12/2014 26/01/2014 26/02/2014 24/02/2014 07/03/2016 24/05/2014 08/07/2013	15/04/2015 09/07/2014 20/06/2013 06/04/2013 14/01/2016 02/05/2013 02/05/2014 23/05/2014	30,000,0014 087,002014 16/09/2013 19/09/2013 19/09/2013 19/09/2013 19/09/2013	24/02/2014 24/02/2013 18/06/2013 12/12/2014 29/06/2014 11/08/2014 08/07/2015 25/06/2014 25/06/2014 30/03/2015
2000	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8		8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	888888888888888888888888888888888888888
00 80				
00 000 663 0 000 000 000	FALSE 0 633 000 00 0 634,000 00 635,000 00	00 000 000 00 613,060 00 0 6 £66,000	6 0 0 0 5 5 5 8,707 22 £36000 00 £17 0000 00	00 000 9E3
				E33,000 tod E17,000 tod E52,000 00 E52,000 00 E18,000 00 E18,000 00 E18,000 00 E18,000 00 E23,000 00 E23,000 00 E23,000 00

₹	
m	
-	

				z	
	£33,000 00 N £30 000 00 Y £48,025 00 N £35 000 00 N	E18 000,00 Y E30,000 00 Y E30,000 00 Y E33,000 00 N E35,000 00 N E35,000 00 N	E17,000 00 V E17,000 00 V E17,000 00 V E66,000,00 V E17,000,00 V E33,000 00 N	E17,000.00 N E16,000.00 Y E17,000.00 N E17,000.00 N	N 00 000 (213 N 00 000 (2813 N 000 (2813 N 000 (2813 N 000 (2813 N 000 (2813 N 000 (2813 N 000 (
26/07/2013 04/12/2013 17/10/2013	01/11/2013 10/07/2012 03/07/2013 22-05-2015 &	0,000,12016 0,000,000,000 0,000,000,000 1,000,000,0	230472015 230472015 02052014 100272014 110372013 2200172013 2200172013	197042013 10072014 111172013 05/122014 280122014 280122014 280122014 23/112013 110922014 23/112014 13052014 23/112013 110922014 23/112013 13052013 27082013 13052013 13052013 13052013 13052013	22/05/2015 16/05/2013 06/07/2015 30/12/2012 27/09/2015 21/04/2015 07/10/2014 12/10/2012
£1 90 £1 00 £1 00	61 62 61 13 61 13 62 13 63 13 64 13	8		8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
000	630,000 00 630,000 00 0	E18,000 00 E30,000 00 E33,000 00 E54,000 00 0 E35,000 00	0 E13,000 00 0 E17,000 00 00 E17,000 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	EB,000 00 E106,000 00 E17,000 00 E429 000 00 E84,000 00 E33,000 00 E50,000,00	0 00 000 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
£34,000,00 £17,000,00 £33,000,00	£33 000 00 £48,025,00 £48,025,00	633,000,00 (33,000,00 (35,000,00	E34,000 t0 (17,000 t0 0 E66,000,00 (23,000 t0 (23,000 t0	10 E17,000,00 E13,000,00 E13,000,00 E13,000,00 E14,000,00 E14,000,00 E14,000,00 E14,000,00 E14,000,00 E17,000,00 E17,000,	665,000 00 665,000 00 635,000 00 635,000 00 618 000 00 618 000 00 636 000 00
Kevin Sean Lampon & Paira 103 Sreakhern 104 khalel Hussan 105 Khellan Eihan Wright	106 Krystan Paker 107 Laro Katherine Whaley 108 Lars Kothhoff 108 Lars Kothhoff 109 PF 1 M CHPF	110 L-LUNG YBENG 111 Lotane Elethenou 112 Lord Robert Fith 113 Louss Annotte Berimen 114 Lutasz Pater 115 Lyrne Turnbuff 116 Marcela Costanzo 117 Marcela Costanzo	118 MARGARET MCGRANE 119 Margaret Chve Hands 120 Marton Dalymyte Kirkland Raid 121 Morfav van Dijk 122 Mark Friend (PKM investments Lid) 123 Mark William Humble 114 Martla Oonsnaska 125 Martla Cerfass	126 Mary Lai Matthew Dantian Tayfor & Halena 127 Margaret Tayfor & Halena 128 Mazzh Oarnan Hamed 129 Marzha Carnan Hamed 129 Marzha Carnan Hamed 129 Marzha Carnan Hamed 129 Marzha Googe Hayes 131 Marhaet Googe Hayes 132 Marhaet Joseph Ling 134 Marhaet Joseph Ling 135 Marhaet McLeughlin 136 Marhaet McLeughlin 136 Marhaet McLeughlin 137 Marhaet Advel Rahd Metwaly 138 Marhaened Zahid Faroog Shakur 139 Mr Alex Skibinsku 140 Maranto Francesco & Mas Bono 140 Calenda 141 Fanyo 142 Mr Bressam Farjo & Mrs Nilofer Parveen 143 Mr Dehand OrhaRA 124 Mr Bunn Bridges 145 Mr Bunn Bridges 146 Mr Sinnon Hunter and Mrs Lynn Hunter 147 Mr Sinnon Key	Mr. Itm Symbolds & Mrs. Zinnia Symbolds. 147 MR. YIP MAN SHUEN. 148 Mr. Malcolm and Mrs. Wendy Slaughter. 149 Mrs. Lourse Wade. 150 Mrs. M. Broadbent. 151 Mrs. Richa Anora. 152 Ms. Mun. Wel Cheung. 153 Muhammad Kashif iqbal. 154 Muhammad Mayel. 155 Mun. Yes Cheung. 156 Naseem Okhea.

3,570

5,596

2 071

				4140340013	F50 000 00 N
By Caracha Cazardo	£60 000 00	O	90 53	1307/2013	F18 000 00 Y
1ca not stovenson	0	£18,000 00	3 3	24/10/2014	N 00 000 SEJ
see Neitzest Ventures Lunited	£35,000 00	0	57.00	44400005	F 38 000 00 Y
160 Nhan Tuvel La		£18,000 00	5190	17/01/2013	N 00 000 693
161 Nicola Jane Dear	00 000 693	ō	8 8	03/05/2014	Y 00 000.693
162 песов тассалу	0	DO DOD 693	20 17	26/09/2014	-
Nigel Stuart Crawford & Susan	00 000 813	0	£1 00		£18,000 00 N
163 Catherine Crawford	17 000 01	٥	61.00	03/10/2013	E17,000 00 N
Tel Name Carrie	00000000	0	£1 00	25/10/2013	£30 000 00 N
165 Mind Chemyon	20,220,022	0	£1 00	09/07/2014	E18,000 00 N
166 Mill Dair Jul Circly	£17,000,00	٥	£1 00	19/08/2013	STEDOOD N
16/ Oscar manning to the South Prost	£35 000.00	0	E100	25/04/2015	N OO OOO'SES
1ca Paul Anthony Hibbert	£85,000.00	0	0013	50000000	F34 000 00 Y
120 Paul Buxton	0	£34 000 DD	£100	28/08/2013	
Paul Scopkn / Get Spotted Online Ltd	;	c	£1 00	o ozmona	£34,000 00 N
171 Pension Scheme	£34 000 b0	10 000 KG	£1 00	31/01/2013	£34,000 00 Y
172 paul wayne smith	0	22 220 457	£1 00	08/06/2015	
173 Paulius Dzikas	£18,000 00	, 0	61 00	29/04/2015	E35,000 00 N
174 Pavel Kudenko	2000 653	۵	£1 00	26/11/2014	
175 Peter Andre Huni	0000000	. 0	0013	19/09/2014	£18,000 00 N
176 Philip Daylo Casson	23500000	0	61 00	19/09/2014	£36 000 00 N
177 Fried Carries	118 000 00	0	00 13	27/02/2015	E18 000 00 N
178 Tring ringston		,	1.7	210211160	£32,000 00 N
179	£32 900 00	0	3 5	30/11/2012	£132 000 00 N
180 Refael Cundrava Nacil	£132,000 00	5	811	18/04/2013	£35 000 00 N
181 RAFAL RYSZARD ZDEBELL	£35,000 00	φ (8811	21/12/2015	E35,000 00 N
182 Rajan Shah and Anta Shah	€35,000 00	7	61.00	21/12/2015	E35 000 00 N
183 Rayan Shah and Ania Shah	£35,000.00	.	61.00	30/11/2015	£18,000 00 N
184 Rajan Shah and Nipa Shah	£18,000 oo	.	61 00	14/02/2013	£34 000 00 N
185 Rajesh Arab	134 OUD 00	, ,	£1 00	23/06/2014	£138 000 00 N
186 RAJESH KHANNA	S 000 000 223	. 0	£1 00	22/10/2012	£34,000.00 N
187 Rebecca Semark	234 000 00	656,000 00	90 TJ	22/02/2013	¥ 66 000 00 Y
188 Kichard Anthony Michighin	90000135	a	6100	03/04/2013	£51,000 00 N
189 Kichard Wittam Dorey	27,000,12,	٥	6130	16/04/2014	£17 000 000 N
190 FERMIN SAME SAME SAME SAME SAME SAME SAME SAME	0	618 000 00	£100	29/08/2014	EIS UND OUT
191 Richard Bulliness	£2,665.82	o	8013	06/07/72016 76/06/07/3	N 75 COO'77
Robert John Diamond & Ethel Hezel		,	0013	Z0103/2013	£34,000 00 N
193 Elizabath Dramond	E34,000 00	- «	98 13	03-03-2015 &	£68,000 00 N
194 Robin Edward Smart	on and see	ນເ	6100	31/10/2014	£18 000 00 N
195 Koman Chaptak	200813	£40 000 00	6100	21/05/2015	£40,000 00 Y
195 North Carbard Carbard Carbard	618,000,00	O	8 .	CIOZAROLO	v 00 000 013
tag Sabri Barsoum		£18,000 00	90 [3	2009/2013	1000014
Sanjay Kumar Hassan Balasubramenya		c	6100		£17,000 00 N
199	£11,000 to	£17,000,00	6100	04/02/2013	£17,000 00 Y
200 Sapria Mishra	0000000	0	£1 00	19/07/2013	K 30,000 000 N
201 Seran Jane Savernien	2000		8	20/01/2014	£134,000.00 N
202 (14)	£134 000 00	0	3 5	27/05/2014	£18 000 00 N
203 Serena Priya Patal	£18 000 00	ο (90	20/10/2014	£18 000 00 N
204 Sharah Chor Ching Tsui	£18 000 00	5 (0013	01/07/2015	£17,000 00 N
205 Shanna Gak Ean Quah	617 000 00	.	61 00	19/10/2012	£30,000 00 N
206 Simon John Chambarlain	E30,000 00	.	£1 00	21/1/2/2012	£30 000 00 N
207 Simon Indel	530 000 00 517 000 00	0 0	£1 00	16/07/2015	£17,000 00 N
208 Signatur victorius 	0	00 000'583	£1 00	05/07/2014	E85,000 00 +
209 Stephen Cothhart & Shona Cothhart	£33,000 00	0	£1 90	2800112012	בבש טייט טייט בבש

2,228

XI 7 L3		.	• •	0	O	O	0	£18,00	133 00	0		9 0		0	100 563	E34,00K		0		£39,700 00	636 000 00	6915,000 00	£40,000 D0 £37 125 00	£34,000 00	£18,000 00 £3 148 25	639 000 00		72,373 57	£38 421 99	E77,967 26		638,312 60	£36,691 36 £18,801 85	
617 000 00		51 13	6100	£100	£100	£1 00			11 00	100	£34 000 00	£1.00 £1.00	£17,000 00 £1 £0			£34,000 00 £1 00	£35,000 00 £1 00	£1 00	£0 00	61.00	3													
22/03/2013	201400044	31/01/2013	25/02/2013	31/03/2015	20/10/2014	02/10/2013	6102/50/10	16/04/2015	23/01/2015	06/06/2014	25/01/2013	19/08/2013	17/05/2013	20/11/2016	08/05/2015	17/01/2013		26/09/2013																

				6164,394 67 61 00 61 00	
£18,838 77	£99,056 93 51 715,869	E67 282 31 E64 083 54 E18 252 19 E37,659 59 E37 095 56 E18 616 86 E39,755 64	£37 891 41 £54,599 37 £18 583 58	E18,583.58 10000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000	
Rowanmoor Trustees - Martin Evans	Rowanmoor Trustees - Stephen Halt Rowanmoor Trustees - Bannister Investments Ltd	Rowanmoor Trustees - Aruna Parikh Rowanmoor Trustees - Harrowgate Consulting Lunkted Rowanmoor Trustees - Ken Wilson Executive Pension Scherce Rowanmoor Trustees - Damlon Keyworth Rowanmoor Trustees - Camlon Reyworth Rowanmoor Trustees Linthorpe Rose Executive Pension Scherce Rowanmoor Trustees - Hazel Sawyers	Kowanmoor Trustees Richard Thorman Executive Pension Scheme Rowanmoor Trustees Michael G Hayes Ltd Executive Pension Scheme Rowanmoor Trustees Hield's Executive	Pension Scheme Rowamnoor Trustees Marian Darlington Executive Pension Scheme The Fractional Dwinership Consultancy Creational Ownership Consultancy Creational Ltd Creat	
Rowanmoor Trusti	Rowanmoor Trustees - Stephen Ha Rowanmoor Trustees J Bannister Investments Ltd	Rowanmoor Trustees - Aruna Parkh Rowanmoor Trustees - Harrowgate Consulting Limited Rowanmoor Trustees - Ken Wilson Executive Pension Scheme Rowanmoor Trustees - Damion Keyworth Rowanmoor Trustees Kevin Witute 2014 Limited Rowanmoor Trustees Linthorpe Ro Executive Pension Scheme Rowanmoor Trustees Linthorpe Ro Rowanmoor Trustees Linthorpe Ro	Rowanmoor Trustees Richard 1 Executive Pension Scheme Rowanmoor Trustees Michael Ltd Executive Pension Scheme Rowanmoor Trustees Hields Ex	Pension Scheme Rowannoor Trustees Marian Daalington Executive Pension 5s tub TC Fractional Ownership Coms Ltd TC Fractional & Ltd	The Corran Fractional D Ltd

D Bates
O Bates

1	94.491
Ì	0
I	

D Bates

D Bates	D Bates	D Bates	D Bates	D Bates	D Bates	D Bates	O Bates	D Bates	D Bates	D Bates	O Bates	D Bates	O Bates	O Bates

The Corran Fractional E Ltd
The Corran Fractional F Ltd
The Corran Fractional F Ltd
The Corran Fractional G Ltd
The Corran Fractional G Ltd
Hurst House Fractional A Ltd
Hurst House Fractional C Ltd
Hurst House Fractional C Ltd
Hurst House Fractional E Ltd
Hurst House Fractional G Ltd
Hurst House Fractional I Ltd

,	61.00	£1 00	0013	0013	5	8 8	3 8	200	00.13	00 13	£1 00	61.00	2 2	3 8	218	8113	£100	6100	£164,	Admitted to Admitted to vote to	L
																			£651381682 £2,108,13192 £164,14826 £2,79025722	No POD or CES Signed	Proxy Claims
E455 000 DO	5443 000 000	DO:000.2	E442,000 D0	£429,000 00	£330 000 00	£442,000 00	6390,000 00	6390,000 00	£429,000 00	00 000 000	TO ON ON	£429,000 00	£429 000 00	6429,000 00	£442 000 00	ST STORY TO ST	000000000	£442,000 00	00 £18,861,789.67	ian Special in	Claims favour Claims CES/WF Claims No proxy

£9,304 074 0A