

WEDNESDAY 28TH JANUARY 2015

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL

ON APPEAL FROM THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE CHANCERY DIVISION COMPANIES COURT

4093OF2013

0 6 FEB 2015 '

BEFORE

nd

LORD JUSTICE LONGMORE LORD JUSTICE PATTEN

LORD JUSTICE VOS

COURT 17 Appeal No

A2/2014/1191 & C

IN THE MATTER OF:

CHANGTEL SOLUTIONS UK
LIMITED (FORMERLY ENTA
TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED) Co No.

02526028

AND IN THE MATTER OF:

THE INSOLVENCY ACT 1986

BETWEEN:

THE COMMISSIONERS FOR
HER MAJESTY'S REVENUE & CUSTOMS
Appellant & Pe

Appellant & Petitioner

-and-

CHANGTEL SOLUTIONS UK LIMITED (formerly ENTA TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED) Reg. No. 02526028



A09

15/07/2015 COMPANIES HOUSE #107

1

ORDER

UPON THE APPEAL of The Commissioners for Her Majesty's Revenue & Customs ("HMRC") from the order of Mr David Donaldson QC dated 21st March 2014

AND UPON THE <u>PETITION presented</u> by HMRC to wind-up Changtel Solutions UK Limited (formerly Enta Technologies Limited, "the Company") dated 7th June 2013

AND UPON THE APPLICATION of the Company dated 19th June 2013 to restrain advertisement and/or to strike out the Petition (hereafter "the Application")

AND UPON THE APPLICATION of the Petitioners after judgment dated 6th February 2015 (hereafter the "post-judgment application")

AND UPON hearing Counsel for the Company and Counsel for HMRC IT IS ORDERED THAT



- The Appeal be allowed
- 2 Paragraphs 2, 3 and 4 of the order dated 21 March 2014 made on the

Application and on the Petition be discharged

- 3 The Application be dismissed
- 4 Pursuant to Insolvency Rules 1986 rule 4 11(1), the court directs that no further notice or advertisement of the Petition is required
- 5 The Company be wound-up by this Court under the provisions of the Insolvency Act 1986
- 6 The Company be refused permission to appeal to the Supreme Court
- The Company pay the Petitioners costs of the Petition, the Application and the appeal, such costs to be assessed on the standard basis if not agreed, and the Petitioners' costs of the Petition be paid out of the assets of Company as an expense of the liquidation
- Pursuant to CPR Part 46.2, Jason Tsai of Stafford Park 6, Telford TF3.3AT (hereinafter 'Mr Tsai'') be added as a party to these proceedings for the purposes of costs only
- The issue of Mr Isai's liability for the costs of these proceedings and all further matters arising under the post-judgment application be referred to the Chancery Division of the High Court for directions and determination
- If the Company timeously seeks permission to appeal to the Supreme Court and pursues that application and any appeal for which permission is granted with due expedition, paragraph 5 of this Order shall be stayed and advertisement of the Petition shall be restrained until the date upon which the application for permission to appeal to the Supreme Court is dismissed, or, it permission is granted, the date upon which that appeal is finally determined

RFASONS

- A The Company must pay the costs of the hearing before Mr Donaldson QC and of the appeal. There are no grounds for reducing that liability in the light of the determination made as to the Company's conduct in the judgment of the Court.
- B There is no basis to stay the winding-up order pending a possible payment of the dispatch assessments by a third party in Singapore. Such a payment would leave the other assessments upon which the Petition is based outstanding. The Petitioners' concession as to those assessments was only made for the purposes of the hearings before Mr Donaldson and the Court of Appeal. The Petitioners would be entitled to proceed with the Petition based on the other assessments if they chose to do so Accordingly, the only basis upon which the petition could be dismissed on payment of the dispatch assessments would be if the Petitioners agreed to that course, which it appears they do not
- C A stay of the winding-up order is appropriate so as to enable the Company to apply for permission to appeal to the Supreme Court, provided that that application is made and progressed promptly. The winding-up order will come into effect immed ately if the application is not made in time or when the application (or appeal) is determined.



against the Company

D. The Petitioners are entitled to join Mr Tsai for the purpose of seeking costs against him. They are also entitled to seek a *Baihampion* order, but these matters are more easily dealt with in the Chancery Division and accordingly HMRC's post-judgment application is referred back to that court for further directions and determination.

By the Court

WEDNESDAY 28TH JANUARY 2015 IN THE COURT OF APPEAL

ON APPEAL FROM THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE CHANCERY DIVISION COMPANIES COURT

ORDER

Copies to

Chancery Division DX 160040 Strand 4

Dass Solicitors Dx 13006 Birminghain I Ref JASON TSAI

Hmre Solicitor'S Office Dx 146765 London (Bush House) Ref. SLR257310/KB/A6

Jason Tsai Stafford park 6 Telford TF3 3AT

This order was driven by Mr A Lee (Associate) to whom all enquiries regarding this order should be made. When communicating with the Court please address correspondence to Mr A Lee, Civil Appeals Office, Room F307. Royal Courts of Justice, S rand London WC2A 21 U(DX 44450 Strand) and quote the Court of Appeal reference number. The Associate's telephone number is 020 7073 4828.

Pursuant to Section 130(1) of the Insolvency Act 1986 and Rule 4.21(3) of the Insolvency Rules 1986

S.130(1) R4.21(3)

The Registrar of Companies Liquidation Section Room 1.03 Companies House DX 33050 Cardiff

For official use		
Company Number		
0252602	28	

Name of Company

Changtel Solutions UK Limited (Formerly ENTA Technologies Limited)

I, K Beasley, Official Receiver and Liquidator, of 2nd Floor, 3 Piccadilly Place, Manchester, M1 3BN enclose a copy of the court's notice of the winding-up order made against the company on 28 January 2015 for filing on the company's file

I also enclose a copy of the winding-up order for filing on the company's file

Date 14th July 2015

K Beasley

Official Receiver and Liquidator

For Official Use	
Liquidation Section	Post Room