

The Insolvency Act 1986

Notice of result of meeting of creditors held by correspondence

Name of Company Santa Fe Limited	Company number 03493688
In the High Court of Justice Chancery Division Companies Court	Court case number 2468 of 2008

We Alastair Paul Beveridge, Simon Jonathan Appell and James John Gleave of

Kroll Limited
10 Fleet Place
London
EC4M 7RB

hereby report the business of the meeting conducted by correspondence pursuant to paragraph 58 of Schedule B1 to the Insolvency Act 1986 and Rule 2 48 of the Insolvency Rules 1986

The closing date was 4 June 2008

The business transacted by correspondence was that


Proposals were approved

The following resolutions were also passed

Under Rule 2 106 of the Insolvency (Amendment) Rules 2003 and in the absence of a Creditors' Committee, the remuneration of the Joint Administrators be fixed by reference to time properly spent by them and their staff in attending to matters arising from the Administration

In accordance with Statement of Insolvency Practice No 9, issued by the Association of Business Recovery Professionals, the Joint Administrators be authorised to draw remuneration as and when funds are available on account of their time costs

The Joint Administrators will be discharged from liability under Paragraph 98(3) of Schedule B1 to the Insolvency Act 1986 immediately upon their appointment as Administrators ceasing to have effect

Signed 
Joint Administrator

Dated 13/06/2008

A copy of the original proposals is attached for those who did not receive such documents prior to the meeting

SATURDAY



A30

14/06/2008

229

COMPANIES HOUSE

ADM418B

Joint Administrators' Report and Statement of Proposals

**Santa Fe Limited -
In Administration**

21 May 2008



KROLL

CONTENTS

1	Statutory Information
2	Background to the Administrations
3	Administration Strategy and Objectives
4	Administrators' Receipts and Payments
5	Financial Position
6	Proposals
7	Explanation of Exit Routes
8	Administrators' Remuneration
9	Estimated Outcome
10	Next Report
11	Meeting of Creditors

APPENDICES

Receipts and Payments Account for the Period from 27 March 2008 to 30 April 2008	Appendix A
Summary of the Directors' Statement of Affairs of the Company as at 27 March 2008	Appendix B
Time Analysis for the Period from 27 March 2008 to 30 April 2008	Appendix C
Additional Information in Relation to Administrators' Fees Pursuant to Statement of Insolvency Practice 9	Appendix D

SANTA FE LIMITED - IN ADMINISTRATION

1 Statutory Information

- 1.1 The registered number of Santa Fe Limited (the **Company**) is 03493688
- 1.2 The Company is part of the Laurel Group of Companies (the **Group**). The Group is a large managed-house operator which comprised approximately 378 licensed trading sites under multiple brands, including 'Slug & Lettuce', 'Ha! Ha!', 'Yates', 'Santa Fe' and 'Litten Tree'
- 1.3 The Company is one of 15 Companies (the **Companies**) within the Group which were placed into Administration on 27 March 2008
- 1.4 The registered office of the Company has been changed from Porter Tun House, 500 Capability Green, Luton, Bedfordshire, LU1 3LS to c/o Kroll, 10 Fleet Place, London, EC4M 7RB
- 1.5 Details of the Company's directors and secretary are as follows

	Date appointed	Date resigned	Shares held
Directors			
Suzanne Baker	6 September 2006	N/A	Nil
Aaron Brown	20 May 2005	N/A	Nil
Mark Grunnell	22 November 2007	N/A	Nil
Christian Keen	29 November 2006	N/A	Nil
Ian Payne	26 January 2006	N/A	Nil
Timothy Smalley	20 May 2005	N/A	Nil
Paul Symonds	6 September 2006	N/A	Nil
Secretary			
Eversecretary Limited	12 September 2005	N/A	Nil

2 Background to the Administrations

- 2.1 In order to understand the financial position of the Company, we have set out below a brief summary of Laurel Pub Bidco Limited's financial position and how this in turn impacts the Company
- 2.2 The Group structure comprises companies which are involved in the business of operating pubs bars and/or restaurants (**Opco**) and companies whose business involved owning and leasing property (**Propco**). Yates Propco Limited, Pumpster Property Limited, S&L Propco Limited and Pumpster Property Acquisitions Limited (together the **Pumpster Landlords**) are

SANTA FE LIMITED - IN ADMINISTRATION

the landlords of 104 of Opco's trading sites (the **Pumpster Sites**) The remaining sites (the **Opco Sites**) have third party landlords Opco and Propco are under common ownership but with separate finance and security structures, with Propco's funding provided by a syndicate of banks in respect of which Royal Bank of Scotland plc is the arranger and facility agent (together the **Propco Banks**) The Opco business is financed by Dresdner Bank AG and Kaupthing Bank HF (the **Opco Banks**) as described in paragraph 2.4 below

- 2.3 The high street licensed trade has been adversely impaired by a number of factors including, an over saturated market, the smoking ban, availability of discounted alcohol from supermarkets, rising costs and the economic downturn, all of which, greatly impacted trading and reduced the liquidity of the Companies
- 2.4 Term loans of approximately £165.5m together with revolving credit facilities of £20m were due for repayment on 31 March 2008 (the **Opco Facilities**) Laurel Pub Bidco Limited is the Borrower under the Opco Facilities Guarantees were given by Laurel Pub Bidco Limited, Laurel Pub PIKCO Limited, Sapphire Property Portfolios Limited, the Laurel Pub Company Limited, Laurel High Street Estate Pubs Limited, Yates Bidco Limited, Laurel High Street Bars Limited, Yates Group Limited, Yates's Wine Lodges, Yates Brothers Limited and Ha' Ha' Bar and Canteen Limited (together the **Opco Bank Guarantee Companies**)
- 2.5 Laurel Pub Bidco Limited had insufficient funds to meet the repayment obligations under the Opco Facilities (which as noted above, were guaranteed by the Opco Bank Guarantee Companies) on 31 March 2008 (the **Repayment Date**) and was not in a position to raise new financing by the Repayment Date, and was therefore insolvent
- 2.6 Colliers CRE had marketed 90 Opco Sites for almost a year, but there was no meaningful expression of interest from potential purchasers (see paragraphs 3.22 below)
- 2.7 In view of Laurel Pub Bidco Limited's pending financial obligations, Kroll was engaged on 7 February 2008 to assist with contingency planning for the Opco business
- 2.8 The administration procedure under the Insolvency Act 1986 as amended (the **Insolvency Act**) is a rescue orientated procedure designed to facilitate, where appropriate, the rescue of the insolvent company and/or a better result for creditors as a whole During the administration process, no legal process may be instituted against the company in administration and/or its property without the consent of its' administrators or permission of the court The intention is to give the company a "breathing space" so that it can attempt to stabilise the business whilst efforts are undertaken to assess viability and, where appropriate, restructure both its operations and financial obligations With this in mind the directors of the Companies explored the possibility of pre-packaged administrations (in other

SANTA FE LIMITED - IN ADMINISTRATION

words, a sale of the business and assets of the Companies immediately following the Administrators' appointment)

- 2 9 The board of directors of each of the Companies met on 20 March 2008 to discuss the financial position of each of the Companies. It was agreed that the Company was, or was likely to become cash flow insolvent on 25 March 2008, when amongst other things
- the rent for the next quarter would fall due for payment,
 - further payments would fall due to key suppliers
- 2 10 Having regard to the above factors and following the advice of its lawyers, the Company was placed into administration on 27 March 2008 and Alastair Paul Beveridge, Simon Jonathan Appell, and James John Gleave, licensed insolvency practitioners, were appointed Joint Administrators of the Company by the Directors pursuant to paragraph 22(1) of Schedule B1 of the Insolvency Act (the **Administrators**)
- 2 11 Each of the Administrators are licensed by the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England & Wales
- 2 12 The appointment of the Administrators became effective on 27 March 2008 (the **Appointment Date**) when notice of the Administrators' appointment was filed at the High Court of Justice, Chancery Division, Companies Court (under case number 2468 of 2008)
- 2 13 The proceedings are "main proceedings" as defined by Article 3 of the EC Regulation on Insolvency Proceedings 2000 (the **Regulation**). The directors of the Company have confirmed that the Company's Centre of Main Interests as defined in the Regulation, is in the United Kingdom
- 2 14 The Administrators act jointly and severally, so that all functions may be exercised by any one of the Administrators
- 2 15 The Administrators must perform their functions with the objective of
- (a) rescuing the Company as a going concern, or
 - (b) achieving a better result for the Company's creditors as a whole than would be likely if the Company were wound up (without first being in administration), or
 - (c) realising property in order to make a distribution to one or more secured or preferential creditors

The Administrators must perform their functions with the objective of rescuing the Company as a going concern unless they believe that it is not reasonably practicable to achieve that

objective or that the objective in 2 15(b) would achieve a better result for the Company's creditors as a whole. The Administrators may perform their functions with the objective specified in 2 15(c) if they believe that it is not reasonably practicable to achieve either of the other objectives and this does not unnecessarily harm the interests of the creditors of the Company as a whole.

Further information on the pursuance of the above objectives is detailed in the next section.

3 Administration Strategy and Objectives

- 3 1 Survival of the Companies through Company Voluntary Arrangements (CVA) or Schemes of Arrangement under Section 425 of the Companies Act 1985, was not a viable option since there was little or no funding available within the Group and there were no investors willing to inject sufficient new funds into the business on appropriate terms in the time available.
- 3 2 With the cooperation of the directors of the Companies, the Opco Banks and the Propco Banks, the Administrators agreed a sale of the business and assets of the Companies as going concerns to Laurel Restaurant Company Limited, The Barley Pub Company Limited, Ha! Ha! Bar & Kitchen Limited and La Tasca Restaurants Limited, Hops Pub Company Limited, Slug and Lettuce Company Limited, Bay Restaurant Group Limited and Town and City Pub Company Limited (together **Newco**), which the Administrators believed (on the terms agreed) to be the best way of achieving a better result for the Company's creditors as a whole than would be likely if the sale was delayed and/or the Company were wound up.
- 3 3 Details of the sale are set out below, but in broad terms, it was agreed that there would be two Sale and Purchase Agreements entered into on the Appointment Date. Under one agreement (the **SPA**) the respective businesses of operating and managing pubs, bars and restaurants at the Opco Good Sites (the **Opco Business**) together with certain assets (detailed below) relating to the Opco Business, were sold to Newco. Under the terms of a conditional sale agreement (the **CSA**) the business relating to the Pumpster Sites (the **Pumpster Business**) together with certain assets relating to the Pumpster Business, were sold to Newco (other than Town and City Pub Company Limited) subject to certain conditions being met by 25 May 2008 (the **Final Date**).

Valuation of the Businesses

- 3 4 A detailed valuation of the businesses was undertaken by Kroll Corporate Finance (**KCF**). In addition, Grant Thornton was engaged by the directors of the Companies to review the KCF valuation and provide an external validation.

- 3 5 The KCF valuation assigned a mid range value to the combination of the Opco and Pumpster Sites, a further value was assigned to a combination of the Opco and 71 of the best performing Pumpster Sites, these values were both below the offer received from Newco
- 3 6 Having considered the potential buyer market and concluded that it was very unlikely that the businesses operated by the Companies (including the Company) could be sold as a whole, and having regard to the expense (including the likely adverse impact on the business of continuing to trade in administration) of a potentially lengthy and costly marketing campaign, the Administrators concluded that an immediate sale was the appropriate way forward
- 3 7 The Administrators were also aware that the likelihood of administration of all or part of the Group was reported in the Times newspaper on 19 February and 18 March several weeks before the Appointment Date but despite various queries received by the directors from certain third parties, no offers to acquire all or part of the businesses on terms comparable to or better than those offered by Newco were received
- 3 8 Newco's offer of £150,601,696 for the 186 of the Opco Sites (the **Opco Good Sites**) under the SPA (defined below) (and the sum £5,031,695 payable in respect of the Company's assets) was in excess of the KCF valuation. In light of the above, the Administrators were satisfied that the offer from Newco for the business and assets of the Companies (including the Company) was the best deal available and represented the best achievable outcome for creditors
- 3 9 The Administrators also agreed a financial support package with the Propco Banks and the Pumpster Landlords relating to the trading of the Pumpster Business up to the Final Date

The Sale and Purchase Agreement

- 3 10 The SPA completed on the Appointment Date. Under the Transfer of Undertakings (Protection of Employment) Regulations 2006, the jobs of all of the employees of the Opco Business were preserved and the employees' contracts transferred to Newco upon completion
- 3 11 The Administrators are currently helping Newco to facilitate lease assignments for the 185 Opco Good Sites sold

SPA Consideration

- 3 12 The consideration paid by Newco under the SPA was £150,601,696. Additional consideration up to £30,328,304 may be payable if the CSA does not become unconditional. Any additional consideration received will be allocated pro rata between the Companies

SANTA FE LIMITED - IN ADMINISTRATION

listed in the table below. As part of the consideration Newco agreed to assume certain liabilities of the Companies directly attributable to the Opco Business and the Pumpster Business in existence on the Appointment Date. The assets acquired include:

- The Opco Good Sites (185 leasehold properties and 1 freehold property)
- Goodwill
- Intellectual property
- Inter-Company debtors
- Trade debtors (relating to supply rebates)
- Furniture and equipment
- Stock

Allocation of Newco's purchase consideration to the Companies under the SPA

- 3.13 Detailed below is the split of the proceeds of £150,601,696 received by the Companies under the SPA:

	Total £
The Laurel Pub Company Limited	101,888,270
Yates's Wine Lodges Limited	2,585,413
Yates Group Limited	34,445,068
Ha! Ha! Bar and Canteen Limited	6,651,250
Santa Fe Limited	5,031,695
	<u>150,601,696</u>

Allocation of Newco's purchase consideration to the Company under the SPA

- 3.14 Detailed below is the split of the total consideration of £5,031,695 allocated to the Company under the SPA and the assets sold. The consideration under the SPA was satisfied (in part) by the reduction of the secured debt owed to the Opco Banks by Laurel Pub Bidco Limited and guaranteed by the Opco Bank Guarantee Companies. Since the Company is not an Opco Bank Guarantee Company, the Opco Banks agreed that the dividend arising out of the consideration allocated to the Company would be payable from the Costs Fund (see paragraph 8.2) unless paid by the settlement of intercompany claims. To facilitate such payment, Yates's Wine Lodges Limited incurred an intercompany debt to the Company in consideration for obtaining a reduction in its liability as a guarantor of the Opco Bank Facilities, such debt to be discharged in satisfaction of any dividend payable by the Company to Yates's Wine Lodges Limited and/or by the payment of cash to the Company from the Costs Fund.

SANTA FE LIMITED - IN ADMINISTRATION

- 3 15 In accordance with Statement of Insolvency Practice No 13 (SIP13), please also be advised the following directors of the Company are also each a director of Newco

Date of Transaction	Asset Involved	Consideration Paid	Newco Director	Relationship to the Company
27 March 2008	Trade Debtors	£10,002	Suzanne Baker Christian Keen Timothy Payne Paul Symonds	Director
	Goodwill	£703,298		Director
	Stock	£60,573		Director
	Leasehold property (subject to pre-emption rights)	£4,257,822		Director
	TOTAL	£5,031,695		

Newco and the Administrators were independently advised by Denton Wilde Sapte LLP (DWS) and Sidley Austin LLP (Sidley) respectively, during the sales process

The Conditional Sale Agreement

- 3 16 The CSA was also executed on the Appointment Date but the sale remains subject to certain conditions being satisfied including obtaining the consent of the Pumpster Landlords and Propco Banks (both of which stipulated that they needed to undertake further due diligence before deciding whether to consent to the sale) Under the CSA the parties have until the Final Date to satisfy such conditions The Company is not party to the CSA
- 3 17 Up to the Final Date the Pumpster Business is being traded in administration All employees of the Pumpster Business have been retained pending completion/termination of the CSA On the Appointment Date the Company entered into a Transitional Service Agreement (the TSA) between the Administrators, the Companies (though see paragraph 3 21 below) and Town and City Pub Company Limited and Bay Restaurant Group Limited (the **Newco TSA Companies**) pursuant to which the Newco TSA Companies are providing certain services to the Companies in respect of the Pumpster Business
- 3 18 If the Pumpster Landlords give their consent to the sale and the other conditions to the CSA are satisfied by the Final Date, the Pumpster Sites will be transferred to Newco on the Final Date (or earlier if the conditions are satisfied before hand) under the CSA If not, the Pumpster Sites will remain under the control of the Administrators and the Pumpster Business (or part of it, as the Administrators deem appropriate) may continue to be traded in the short term with the benefit of the services provided under the TSA
- 3 19 In the event the sale is not completed by the Final Date, the Administrators will assess the options and determine an appropriate strategy for the Pumpster Sites An external marketing strategy for these Pumpster Sites may be required in such circumstances
- 3 20 Along with the other Companies, the Company is a party to the TSA However, given that it is now clear that the Company has no interest in any of the Pumpster Sites managed

SANTA FE LIMITED - IN ADMINISTRATION

pursuant to the TSA, the Administrators propose to enter into a supplemental agreement to the TSA, removing the Company as a party and releasing it from all liabilities under the TSA

CSA Consideration

- 3 21 Detailed below is the split of the proposed proceeds to be received from Newco upon completion of the CSA, and the allocation of this to certain of the Companies as appropriate

	Total £
The Laurel Pub Company Limited	22,322,185
Yates's Wine Lodges Limited	770,410
Yates Group Limited	7,235,709
	<u>30,328,304</u>

Pre-Administration closure of sites

- 3 22 In addition to the Opco Good Sites and Pumpster Sites, Colliers CRE had previously marketed 90 Opco Sites (**Closed Sites**), many for almost a year prior to the Administrators' appointment. As there had been no meaningful expression of interest from potential purchasers during such period and the Closed Sites were trading at a loss, these 90 sites were closed prior to the Administrators' appointment.
- 3 23 The Administrators are currently attempting to negotiate lease assignments / surrenders for premiums, where possible, for the Closed Sites. All stock and equipment was removed by the relevant companies which owned the stock and equipment prior to the Appointment Date and the consideration includes payment for these assets. In addition, the employees of the Closed Sites were made redundant and it is understood that these employees have received full contractual redundancy payments prior to the Administrators' appointment.

4 Administrators' Receipts and Payments

- 4 1 A summary of receipts and payments for the Administration period for the Company, from the Appointment Date to 30 April 2008 is attached as Appendix A.
- 4 2 As detailed at 3 14 a loan of £5,031,695 has been given to Yates's Wine Lodges Limited, such debt will be discharged in satisfaction of any dividend payable by the Company to Yates's Wine Lodges Limited and/or by the payment of cash to the Company from the Costs Fund.

SANTA FE LIMITED - IN ADMINISTRATION

5 Financial Position

- 5 1 Attached as Appendix B is a summary of the Directors' Estimated Statement of Affairs of the Company as at the Appointment Date
- 5 2 We have the following observations to make in relation to the Statement of Affairs
- (a) The estimated to realise values attributed to certain assets by the Directors, include the proposed proceeds which will be attributed to these assets under the CSA. However, as this is a conditional agreement with Newco which has not yet completed these values may be subject to change
 - (b) The £45,742 cash at bank stated on the Statement of Affairs, is £10,173 18 less than that realised per the receipts and payments account. This is due to timing differences allowing for cash lodgements, cheques, and credit card receipts relating to pre Administration sales received since the Appointment Date

6 Proposals

The Administrators propose the following

- 6 1 The proposals listed below be approved by the creditors in a meeting conducted by correspondence in accordance with Rule 2.48 of the Insolvency Rules 1986 (the **Rules**) (see paragraph 11.2 below)
- 6 2 The Administrators continue to manage the affairs of the Company to try to realise the inter-company receivables to achieve the objective of the administration
- 6 3 The Administrators try to negotiate the removal of the Company as a party to the TSA on the basis that the Company is released from all liability under the TSA
- 6 4 If, having realised the remaining assets of the Company, the Administrators believe that a distribution will be available to unsecured creditors, they will file a notice with the Registrar of Companies under Paragraph 83 of Schedule B1 of the Act. This will bring the appointment of the Administrators to an end and place the Company into a Creditors' Voluntary Liquidation (**CVL**), which will facilitate a distribution to unsecured creditors. In these circumstances, the Administrators will become the liquidators of the CVL. See Section 7 below on **Exit Routes** for further information on this process
- 6 5 If the Administrators believe that the Company has no property which might permit a distribution to its unsecured creditors the Administrators will file a notice with the Court and

SANTA FE LIMITED - IN ADMINISTRATION

the Registrar of Companies for the dissolution of the Company. See Section 7 below on **Exit Routes** for further information on this process.

- 6.6 The Administrators shall do all such other things and generally exercise all of their powers as contained in Schedule 1 of the Act as they consider desirable or expedient to achieve the statutory purpose of the administration.
- 6.7 The remuneration of the Administrators shall be fixed by reference to time properly spent by them and their staff in managing the administration.
- 6.8 If the Administrator's remuneration is to be discharged from the funds provided by the Opco Banks (as described in paragraph 8.2) (the **Costs Fund**) such remuneration be fixed by approval of the Opco Banks and paid as and when costs are incurred. If necessary, the Administrators will make an application to court to have their remuneration fixed.
- 6.9 Should the Administrators seek to recover all or any part of their fees other than from the Costs Fund, the Administrators may seek approval of their fees by a resolution of a meeting of creditors to be conducted by correspondence. If approval is not obtained the Administrators may make an application to Court for approval of their fees (see paragraph 8.3 below).
- 6.10 In respect of remuneration (if any) to be paid other than from the Costs Fund, the Administrators shall be authorised to draw remuneration when funds are available on account of their time costs.
- 6.11 The Administrators' will be discharged from liability under Paragraph 98 of Schedule B1 to the Act immediately upon their appointment as Administrators ceasing to have effect.

7 Explanation of Exit Routes

Creditors Voluntary Liquidation

- 7.1 Based on present information, the Administrators believe that a dividend is likely to be paid to the Company's unsecured creditors. As noted above (at paragraph 6.2), if this is the case, it is proposed that the Administrators will file a notice with the Registrar of Companies bringing the administration to an end and commencing the winding up of the Company in accordance with paragraph 83 of Schedule B1 of the Insolvency Act. It is proposed that the Administrators will also become the liquidators of the CVL.
- 7.2 Creditors have the right to nominate an alternative liquidator of their choice in accordance with paragraph 83(7) of Schedule B1 of the Insolvency Act and with Rule 2.117(3) of the

Insolvency Rules 1986 To do this, creditors must make their nomination in writing to the Administrators after receipt of these proposals and prior to the proposals being approved Where this occurs, the Administrators will advise and provide creditors with the opportunity to vote on the appointment of a liquidator In the absence of a nomination, the Administrators will automatically become the liquidators of the subsequent CVL

Dissolution of the Company

- 7 3 If the Administrators believe that the Company has no property which might permit a distribution to its creditors, they propose filing a notice together with their final progress report at Court and with the Registrar of Companies for the dissolution of the Company in accordance with paragraph 84 of Schedule B1 to the Act (the **Administration Notice**). Copies of these documents will be sent to the Company and its creditors at the appropriate time If, after receiving the Administration Notice you have any objection to the dissolution then you should apply to court in accordance with paragraph 84(7) of Schedule B1 to the Act The appointment of the Administrators will end following the registration of the Administration Notice by the Registrar of Companies Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, at the end of the period of three months beginning with the date of registration of the Administration Notice, the Company will be deemed to be dissolved

8 Administrators' Remuneration

- 8 1 The Administrators' time costs at 30 April 2008 are £6,177 50 This represents 25 2 hours at an average rate of £245 per hour A copy of "A Creditors' Guide to Administrators' fees can be downloaded from the Insolvency Practitioners Association Website (www.insolvency-practitioners.org.uk – select "Technical" "Creditors Guides to Fees" and then the Administration Guide) If you would prefer this to be sent to you in hard copy please contact us and we will forward a copy to you Also attached at Appendix C is a Time Analysis which provides details of the activity costs broken down by reference to by staff grade to the above date We propose drawing fees in accordance with the proposals outlined above
- 8 2 The Opco Banks agreed that the Administrators could use certain cash remaining in the Companies at the Appointment Date and payable to the Opco Banks, for the purpose of funding administration costs (i e the Costs Fund) If the Administrators' remuneration is to be discharged from the Costs Fund the Administrators will seek approval, as appropriate, from the Opco Banks for their fees charged on a time cost basis as set out above
- 8 3 If the Administrators realise any of the Company's assets they may seek to recover all or part of their fees from such realisations in which case they will seek approval of their fees by a resolution of creditors of the Company in accordance with Rule 2 106 (5) This will be

SANTA FE LIMITED - IN ADMINISTRATION

conducted by correspondence under the provisions of Rule 2.48. If approval is not obtained the Administrators may make an application to Court for approval of their fees under Rule 2.106(6).

- 8.4 Attached as Appendix D is additional information in relation to our policy on staffing, the use of sub-contractors, disbursements and details of our current charge-out rates by staff grade.

8.5 *Administration and Planning*

The work involved within this area consists of dealing with the statutory duties and requirements of the administration and reporting to creditors. In addition, time spent formulating the strategy for the progression of the administration (including internal meetings) is recorded here, as are travel and administration time.

Investigations

This section relates to time spent investigating the conduct of the directors of the Company.

Realisation of Assets

This refers to time spent negotiating and dealing with the realisation of the assets of the Company.

Creditors

This reflects the time incurred dealing with the creditors of the Company, including written correspondence and telephone calls.

9 **Estimated Outcome**

- 9.1 Based on the Statement of Affairs attached to this report the estimated value of the preferential creditors is £nil and the unsecured creditors is £16,756,426.
- 9.2 Based on present information, the Administrators are not aware of any preferential creditors. Whilst no preferential claims have been received to date, if any claims are received these will be paid ahead of any distributions made to the unsecured creditors and floating charge holders which are detailed below.
- 9.3 It is anticipated that there will be sufficient asset realisations to enable a distribution to be made to unsecured creditors. Although it is unknown at this stage the likely timescale and level of any potential distribution.

SANTA FE LIMITED - IN ADMINISTRATION

10 Next Report

- 10 1 If the Company is not dissolved and the administration continues, the Administrators are required to provide a progress report within one month of the end of the first six months of the administration

11 Meeting of Creditors

- 11 1 As a potential distribution to the unsecured creditors of the Company is anticipated, an initial meeting of the Company's creditors is being convened to approve the Administrators' proposals
- 11 2 The Administrators propose to deal with this meeting by correspondence rather than by calling a meeting of creditors in order to save costs, under the provisions of Rule 2.48 of the Insolvency Rules 1986. Further details on this procedure are contained in the letter which accompanies this report

For and on behalf of
Santa Fe Limited



Alastair Beveridge
Joint Administrator

Enc

SANTA FE LIMITED - IN ADMINISTRATION

Receipts and Payments Account for the Period from 27 March 2008 to
30 April 2008

Appendix A

	Fixed Charge £	Floating Charge £	Total £
RECEIPTS			
Goodwill		703,298 00	703,298 00
Goodwill (Pre-emption rights)		4,257,822 00	4,257,822 00
Stock		60,573 00	60,573 00
Trade Debtors		10,002 00	10,002 00
Cash at Bank		55,915 18	55,915 18
	0 00	5,087,610 18	5,087,610 18
PAYMENTS			
Loan to Yates's Wine Lodges		5,031,695 00	5,031,695 00
	0 00	5,031,695 00	5,031,695 00
Balances in Hand	0 00	55,915 18	55,915 18
	0 00	5,087,610 18	5,087,610 18

SANTA FE LIMITED - IN ADMINISTRATION

**Summary of the Directors' Statement of Affairs of the Company as at
27 March 2008**

Appendix B

Statement of affairs

Name of Company
Santa Fe Ltd

Company number
3493688

In the High Court of Justice
Chancery Division
Companies Court

Court case number
2468 of 2008

(a) Insert name and address of
registered office of the company

Statement as to the affairs of (a) Santa Fe Ltd, Porter Tun House, 500 Capability Green, Luton, BEDS,
LU1 3LS

(b) Insert date

on the (b) 27th March 2008, the date that the company entered
administration

Statement of Truth

I believe that the facts stated in this statement of affairs are a full, true and complete statement of the
affairs of the above named company as at (b) 27th March 2008 the date that the
company entered administration

Full name Christian Keen

Signed

Dated

25/4/08

Assets

	Book Value £	Estimated to Realise £
Assets subject to fixed charge		
Balance		
Uncharged assets		
Goodwill		703,298
Preemption rights		4,257,822
Intercompany debtors	14,313,612	
Debtors	365,532	10,000
Stock	44,708	60,573
Furniture & Equipment	1,807,768	-
Cash	45,742	45,742
Estimated total assets available for preferential creditors		5,077,435

A1 – Summary of Liabilities

	Estimated to realise £
Estimated total assets available for preferential creditors (carried from page A)	5 077 435
Liabilities	
Preferential creditors -	-
	5,077,435
Estimated deficiency/surplus as regards preferential creditors	
Estimated prescribed part of net property where applicable (to carry forward)	-
	-
Estimated total assets available for floating charge holders	5 077,435
Debts secured by floating charges	-
	-
Estimated deficiency/surplus of assets after floating charges	5,077,435
Estimated prescribed part of net property where applicable (brought down)	-
	-
Total assets available to unsecured creditors	5,077 435
Unsecured non-preferential claims (excluding any shortfall to floating charge holders)	16,756,426 - 16,756,426
	-
Estimated deficiency/surplus as regards non-preferential creditors (excluding any shortfall to floating charge holders)	11 678,991
Shortfall to floating charge holders (brought down)	-
	-
Estimated deficiency/surplus as regards creditors	11,678,991
Issued and called up capital	3,000,000 - 3,000 000
	-
Estimated total deficiency/surplus as regards members	14 678 991

Signature _____ Date _____

COMPANY CREDITORS

Note: You must include all creditors and identify all creditors under hire-purchase, chattel leasing or conditional sale agreements *and* customers claiming amounts paid in advance of the supply of goods or services *and* creditors claiming retention of title over property in the company's possession.

[illegible]

Signature [Signature] Date 2/5/08

[illegible]

Business Name	72.00	60 PICCADILLY	TUNBRIDGE WELLS	YORK	YO1 9WX
SUPERBREAK MINI HOLIDAYS LTD	700.00	22 CHURCH ROAD	PORINGLAND	KENT	TN1 1JP
BEVERLEY DESIGN SERVICES LTD	185.00	22 UPGATE		NORWICH	NR14 1SH
APH MARKETING LTD	3004.42	NEW ROAD		ROTHERHAM	SE1 2DU
LLOYD CATERING EQUIPMENT	424.05	WESTINGHOUSE RD	MANCHESTER		M17 1PJ
PRINT SEARCH LTD	450.00	UNIT 4 HASLEMERE IND ESTATE		LONDON	SW18 4SE
DEANS BLINDS & AWNINGS	190.00	VOGUE HOUSE			W1S 1JU
THE CONDE NAST PUBLICATIONS LTD	25.00	9 HOLMETHORPE AVENUE	HOLMETHORPE INDUSTRIAL ESTATE	REDHILL	RH1 2NB
COFFEETECH					

COMPANY SHAREHOLDERS

Name of Shareholder	Address (with postcode)	No of shares held	Nominal Value	Details of Shares held
Santa Fe Group Ltd	Porter Tun House, 500, Capability Green, Luton. Beds LU1 3LS	1500000	£30000000	Ordinary Shares of £2 each
TOTALS		1500000	£30000000	

[Handwritten Signature]

Signature _____ Date 28/1/08

SANTA FE LIMITED - IN ADMINISTRATION

Time Analysis for the Period from 27 March 2008 to 30 April 2008

Appendix C

	Employee Grade (Hours)					(£'s)	
	Partner/ Director	Senior Associate	Associate/ Analyst	Junior Analyst/ Support	Total Hours	Total Cost	Average Rate p/h
Administration and Planning							
Strategy and Control	0 1	0 1	0 5	-	0 7	210 50	301
Creditor Reporting	-	0 1	4 5	0 7	5 3	1,317 00	248
Committee Meetings and Reports	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Statutory Duties	-	0 9	1 7	0 6	3 2	814 50	255
Job Administration	-	0 1	-	1 0	1 1	157 50	143
Cash Accounting and Time Records	-	1 1	0 2	1 9	3 2	760 00	238
Travel and Waiting Time	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Case Closure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Internal Documentation and IT	-	0 5	-	-	0 5	167 50	335
Investigations							
D Reports	-	-	0 3	0 2	0 5	97 00	194
Other Investigations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Internal Documentation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Realisation of Assets - Fixed Charge							
Valuation and Offers	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sale of Assets	-	0 2	-	-	0 2	65 00	325
Insurance	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Litigation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Internal and External Documentation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Realisation of Assets - Debtors							
Debt Collection	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debtors Litigation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Crown Debtors	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Internal and External Documentation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Realisation of Assets - Floating Charge							
Valuation and Offers	-	-	-	0 3	0 3	37 50	125
Sale of Assets	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Insurance	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retention of Title	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hire Purchase / Leased Assets	-	-	-	0 6	0 6	75 00	125
Litigation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Internal and External Communications	-	-	0 1	-	0 1	27 00	270
Trading							
Initial Actions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cash Accounting	-	-	0 5	-	0 5	135 00	270
Ongoing Trading Activities	-	-	8 2	-	8 2	2,214 00	270
Internal and External Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Creditors							
Initial Actions	-	-	-	0 8	0 8	100 00	125
Creditor claims	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Litigation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shareholders / Bankrupts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Internal Documentation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Employees	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Employee Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals	0 1	3 0	16 0	6 1	25 2	6,177 50	245
Average Rate per Grade	495 00	332 50	265 88	143 69			

**Additional Information in Relation to Administrators' Fees Pursuant to
Statement of Insolvency Practice 9**

Appendix D

1 Policy

Detailed below is Kroll's policy in relation to

- staff allocation and the use of sub-contractors,
- professional advisors, and
- disbursements

1.1 *Staff Allocation and the use of Sub-contractors*

Our general approach to resourcing our assignments is to allocate staff with the skills and experience to meet the specific requirements of the case

The constitution of the case team will usually consist of a Partner, a Senior Associate, an Associate and an Analyst. The exact constitution of the case team will depend on the anticipated size and complexity of the assignment and the experience requirements of the assignment. On larger, more complex cases, several staff at all grades may be allocated to meet the demands of the case. Our charge out rate schedule below provides details of all grades of staff and their experience level.

With regard to support staff, we would advise that time spent by cashiers in relation to specific tasks on an assignment is charged. Only if there is a large block of time incurred by a member of the secretarial team, e.g., report compilation and distribution, do we seek to charge and recover our time in this regard.

We have not utilised the services of any sub-contractors in this case.

SANTA FE LIMITED - IN ADMINISTRATION

1.2 Professional Advisors

On this assignment we have used the professional advisors listed below, due to the complexity and scale of the case, a larger number of professional advisors has been required. We have also indicated alongside, the basis of our fee arrangement with them, which is subject to review on a regular basis.

Name of Professional Advisor	Basis of Fee Arrangement
Sidley Austin LLP (legal advice)	Hourly rate and disbursements
Denton Wilde Sapte LLP (legal advice in relation to leasehold properties only)	Hourly rate and disbursements
Blake Laphorn Tarlo Lyons (Legal advice in relation to premises licences - North England & Wales)	Hourly rate and disbursements
Bevan Brittan LLP (Legal advice in relation to premises licences - South England & Wales)	Hourly rate and disbursements
Brunton Miller (Legal advice in relation to premises licences - Scotland)	Hourly rate and disbursements
Willis Limited (Insurance)	Risk based premium
Gerald Eve Limited (Rating appeals in relation to leasehold properties)	Contingent fee agreement
Atis Real Weatheralls Limited (Rating appeals in relation to leasehold properties)	Contingent fee agreement
Goodman Naish Limited (Rates audit work in relation to leasehold properties)	Contingent fee agreement
Colliers CRE Limited (valuation and disposal advice in relation to leasehold properties)	Small fixed admin fee plus variable percentage of realisations

Our choice was based on our perception of their experience and ability to perform this type of work, the complexity and nature of the assignment and the basis of our fee arrangement with them.

1.3 Disbursements

Category 1 disbursements do not require approval by creditors. The type of disbursements that may be charged as a Category 1 disbursement to a case generally comprise of external supplies of incidental services specifically identifiable to the case, such as postage, case advertising, invoiced travel and external printing, room hire and document storage. Also chargeable will be any properly reimbursed expenses incurred by personnel in connection with the case.

Category 2 disbursements do require approval from creditors. These disbursements can include costs incurred which relate to payments due to associated companies for the provision of services to the office holder. No Category 2 disbursements have been incurred to date on this case.

SANTA FE LIMITED - IN ADMINISTRATION

2 Charge-out Rates

A schedule of Kroll charge-out rates for this assignment effective from 1 January 2008 is detailed below

	(Per hour) £
Partner/Director.	
Partner 1*	495
Partner 2*	445
Director	400
Senior Associate	
Senior Associate 1*	350
Senior Associate 2*	325
Associate/Analyst	
Associate	270
Analyst*	240
Junior Analyst and Support Staff	
Junior Analyst*	125
Senior Treasury Associate	195
Treasury Associate	135
Treasury Analyst	80
Support	80
*Key	
Partner 1 – Partners with 3 or more years experience at partner level	
Partner 2 – Partners with less than 3 years experience at partner level	
Senior Associate 1 – Staff who have been Senior Associates for over 2 years	
Senior Associate 2 – Staff who have been Senior Associates for less than 2 years	
Analyst – Staff who have been Analysts for more than 1 year	
Junior Analyst – First year Analysts	