


FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

31 DECEMBER 2020

COMPANY NUMBER: 1698498



Contents

Strategic Report	2
Directors' Report	6
Statement of Directors' Responsibilities in Respect of the Strategic Report, Directors' Report and the Financial Statements	29
Business and Risk Management Policies	30
Independent auditor's report to the members of MUFG Securities EMEA plc	41
Company income statement	44
Company statement of comprehensive income	44
Company statement of financial position	45
Company statement of changes in equity	46
Company cash flow statement	47
Notes on Financial Statements	48

Strategic Report

The Strategic Report is prepared in accordance with The Companies Act 2006 (Strategic Report and Directors' Report) Regulations 2013.

Principal activities

MUFG Securities EMEA plc ('MUS(EMEA)' or 'the Company') is the international capital markets subsidiary of Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings Co., Ltd. ('MUSHD'). MUSHD's parent, and MUS(EMEA)'s ultimate holding company, is Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc. ('MUFG').

MUS(EMEA) actively trades in fixed income, equity and structured finance products, providing client solutions across primary and secondary markets. The client group includes financial institutions, corporations and central banks. The Company primarily supports this client group from its base in London, as well as a wholly owned subsidiary, MUFG Securities (Europe) N.V. ('MUS(EU)'), based in the Netherlands and a Paris branch of that entity. The Company additionally operates a branch in the Dubai International Financial Centre.

The principal activities of the Company remain largely consistent with those disclosed in the previous year financial statements.

Results

The results for the year are set out on page 44 and the profit for the year, after tax, amounted to £134.6 million (2019: £84.0 million). The financial statements of the Company are prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ('IFRS') as adopted by the European Union.

Business review and future development

The Company reported operating income of £449.0 million (2019: £374.2 million) for the year which represents a record revenue performance. These results are reflective of the evolved business model over recent years which has been a client focussed strategy whilst limiting risk exposures on the entity. The persistent low interest rate environment and continued market uncertainty are driving challenging market conditions, however recent volatility has presented opportunities through increased client demand for financial solutions. The firm has positioned itself well, ensuring appropriate availability and application of both capital and liquidity in order to facilitate client requirements. Future revenue projections for the entity reflect an ongoing positive outlook however appropriate moderation has been included to reflect the exceptional results achieved in the current year.

Revenue drivers include material revenue contributions across all product offerings, evidencing the breadth of the business and client base of the Company. The largest nominal gains were generated by the Flow Products business lines, additionally supported by gains within Structured Solutions, Equity Sales and Trading and Capital Markets Finance. Key messages include:

- Flow Products benefitted from much-improved client trading volumes with the Rates and Credit desks servicing both third party institutions as well as MUFG Group entities. Spreads generated by the Secured Financing business were above typical levels, mainly due to market uncertainty, which the desk captured by meeting client requirements across a largely matched book.
- Structured Solutions continued to deliver for its core Asian investor base including MUFG client deals - applying structuring expertise to offer innovative products complementing major group transactions. Additionally, Structured Financing navigated a brief period of difficult market conditions across European and American exposures ensuring continued client support on all commitments without incurring credit related losses.

The expense balances have increased in comparison to the prior year mainly due to higher group recharges including cost recoveries from affiliate entities where the Company is the economic beneficiary of trading gains. Nominal compensation accruals were increased in line with improved performance levels however, the cash payment and related expense impact is deferred in line with the payment profile.

The Company remains focused on cost control, with appropriate management tracking of spend, the right-sizing and repositioning of our resource base as well as strong remuneration governance (refer to Report of the Joint Remuneration Committee on pages 17 and 18).

Collaboration and integration with other entities across the MUFG network, mainly the London branch of another MUFG subsidiary, MUFG Bank, Ltd. ('MUFG Bank') represent the platform which enables our ability to deliver comprehensive client solutions by accessing the wider resource base. These solutions include a focus on origination, structuring and distribution across our core corporate banking as well as securities products thereby presenting MUFG as a key financial partner to our clients.

Revenue results have been achieved whilst maintaining Value at Risk ('VaR') metrics within internal limits set by the Board of Directors ('the Board'). These metrics reflect management's focus on client-led revenues and targeted risk taking, with capital deployment and associated risk management applied where appropriate (refer to page 33).

The Company manages and monitors its capital base to ensure that sufficient capital is available to support future business plans, its risk appetite, and to meet regulatory requirements. The regulatory agenda continues to evolve and the Company remains well positioned to respond to change whilst maintaining a strong culture of client service. In this regard, the Company continues to track relevant regulatory announcements such as a recent statement on the implementation of prudential reforms contained in the Financial Services Bill. Included within this statement, the authorities announced a delay of the timelines for introducing the Investment Firms Prudential Regime ('IFPR') and Basel III reforms. The implementation date has now been set for 1 January 2022.

Strategic Report (continued)

Business review and future development (continued)

In line with key regulations, specifically Basel III Leverage Ratio requirements, management has adopted a framework of leverage-based constraints reflective of both industry norms and the Company's high quality, and liquid, balance sheet. Interim internal constraints and planning for a glide path to the effective date for binding requirements are in place and considered adequate. The related profitability impact is mitigated through a selective approach to deployment of available capacity as well as ensuring efficiency through initiatives such as derivative compression. The 2020 closing Leverage Ratio was 2.8% (2019: 2.8%).

Management retains a positive outlook, as the Company continues to make progress against its strategic objectives, as outlined in internal medium-term business plans. Management continue to identify opportunities to leverage MUFG's global franchise strength whilst efficiently utilising the Company's capital, infrastructure and staff resources within defined risk appetite parameters. Deepening relationships with our core clients and a focus on expanding the client coverage across the MUFG network sets firm foundations for the ongoing growth of the business.

The year ahead will also likely see the continued evolution of our business to continue servicing clients across the European Union through our subsidiary, MUS(EU), including the continued novation of certain client portfolios. Additionally, MUFG has established an Environmental and Social Policy Framework with continued commitment and further definition of this policy likely to influence our business in the future (refer to the Stakeholder Engagement Report on pages 20 to 24).

The Board monitors the results of the Company by reference to various performance and risk based key metrics including:

- Revenue metrics: total operating income of £449.0 million (2019: £374.2 million) with a focus on quality of earnings and tracking against revenue plans
- Efficiency metrics: total operating expenses as a percentage of total operating income of 64% (2019: 71%) with a focus on efficient cost deployment
- Profitability metrics: return on equity ('ROE') calculated as profit attributable to owners of the company (refer to page 44), divided by the average of opening and closing total equity excluding Additional Tier 1 ('AT1'), of 8% (2019: 6%) with a focus on maximising ROE in the interests of shareholder returns
- Capital metrics: total capital requirements based on Pillar 1 requirements of £688.0 million (2019: £755.0 million) with a focus on optimising capital allocation and drivers of requirements
- Risk metrics: Total Value at Risk ('VaR'), as defined in the Business and Risk Management section, of £1.5 million (2019: £2.1 million) with a focus on tracking VaR.

Challenges and uncertainties

The Company faces a number of challenges and uncertainties in the normal course of its business. Operational risks are inherent in the Company's business activities and are covered in more detail under Business and Risk Management Policies on pages 30 to 40. Other uncertainties faced by the Company in the course of its business include: liquidity, funding, credit and market risks; the valuation of financial assets and liabilities in volatile markets; exposure to macro-economic and geopolitical uncertainty; changes to regulatory rules regarding market practices and regulatory capital. Volatility in Pound Sterling versus major trading currencies will impact the financial position of the Company due to the Sterling denominated Capital base; this has been embedded in scenario planning as appropriate.

The UK is no longer a member of the European Union (effective 11pm, 31 January 2020) and it is clear that the political situation, and hence the market outlook, is somewhat uncertain. Management has been, and continues to, actively consider the impact of Brexit on the business and will manage this accordingly. Management is not aware of any specific issues faced by the Company that are not faced by the rest of the financial services sector within the United Kingdom as a whole, and is maintaining communication with market peers and regulators in this regard. MUS(EU) is central to our strategy of continuing to service European Economic Area domiciled clients. MUS(EU) reported a profit for the first trading period ending 31 December 2019 and is again profitable for the full year to 31 December 2020 (refer to 'Share of gain in subsidiary' on page 44).

The London Interbank Offered Rate ('LIBOR') is expected to be phased out of use by the end of 2021. Before the end of 2021, financial services firms including MUFG will need to transition demising interbank offered rates ('IBOR') business to suitable alternative rates for all impacted clients. MUFG has been working with industry groups and engaging with our clients to support regulatory timeframes for transition. The transition process poses a number of challenges, including the development of infrastructure to capture new rates in the relevant timeframe and significant work required to amend legal agreements including the potential for tough legacy contracts though none have been identified at this stage. Throughout 2020 MUFG has been contacting clients to provide education with respect to key developments of IBOR transition, including following MUFG's adherence to the ISDA protocol during the escrow process and emphasising the importance of the ISDA protocol for contractual certainty. MUFG has progressed with engaging all clients bi-laterally to discuss their transition plans and readiness for transition. The Company has hedge accounting relationships which reference IBOR and applies hedge accounting, as set out in Notes 1 and 13. The Company has adopted phase 1 of the IASB amendment effective 1 January 2020 and will adopt the phase 2 amendments effective 1 January 2021, as set out in Note 2.

Efforts by the Company during the year and up to the date of signing include:

- initiating internal training programs; the set up of internal working groups as well as taking part in industry forums
- continuous system and operational enhancements to support derivatives risk free rate ('RFR') products since January 2020, having now enabled an RFR trading capability to support ~86% of legacy trades (by volume), further enhancements are planned throughout 2021
- assessing financial impacts from the net change in product valuations (noting, whilst not final, financial impacts are not considered to be material)

Strategic Report (continued)

Challenges and uncertainties (continued)

- adhering to the ISDA protocol during the escrow process and engaging clients to emphasize the importance of the ISDA protocol
- ISDA protocols provide contractual fallback certainty for the majority of the external derivatives exposures. ~96% of trade volume is either cleared or is already in-scope of the protocol, with a further ~4% of volume eligible, we are continuing to monitor counterparty adherence and anticipate this percentage to increase
- successful transition of LCH cleared EUR derivatives discounting from Euro Overnight Index Average ('EONIA') to Euro Short Term Rate ('ESTR') following LCH discounting changes in July 2020
- successful participation in the USD price alignment interest ('PAI') switch as initiated by LCH and CME with cash compensation and risk compensation received and distributed accordingly in October 2020
- We note that the Company has not amended any terms of its contracts except for enhancing the fallback language. The amendments to the fallback terms apply in the cases where the Company and its counterparty to an applicable derivative contract have both adhered to the ISDA 2020 IBOR Fallbacks Protocol ('ISDA Protocol'). The fallback terms will be included in the applicable derivative contracts either from 25th January 2021 or from the date the counterparty has adhered to the ISDA Protocol if after 25th January 2021.

Management are confident the Company will successfully support this transition for clients within required timescales including the set up of appropriate frameworks to ensure any material risks are appropriately mitigated.

The ongoing and widespread outbreak of the 2019 Novel Coronavirus ('COVID-19'), has significantly and adversely affected global economic activities and financial markets worldwide. This has directly contributed to volatility and uncertainty in global capital markets within which the Company is active. Governments and Central Banks have made certain efforts to contain the COVID-19 outbreak and the related impact on economies. Despite these efforts, the global economy has experienced, and continues to experience, severe adverse consequences. The full extent of these adverse consequences and the related impact on the financial performance of the Company is currently uncertain. The current negative financial impact has been limited, however the long term impact is uncertain. The results as at December 2020 include estimates of the potential impact on asset valuations as part of our standard approach to valuing traded positions. The Company is exposed to counterparty credit risk however takes actions to mitigate this by terms defined in over-arching legal documentation including ongoing margin collateral exchange, collateral haircuts and loan to value thresholds. Capital and liquidity metrics have remained above regulatory minimums throughout the year and the Company has maintained the coupon distribution on A11 capital instruments noting fiduciary duties for adequate capital maintenance and solvency testing. The forecast of full year results for 2021 does not evidence a material net adverse impact on the performance of the Company due to COVID-19 noting results for the current year include much-improved client trading volumes and deal spreads related to the volatile conditions notwithstanding an idiosyncratic trading loss with a particular counterparty. This specific trading loss resulted from a counterparty failing to deliver securities purchased by the Company on delivery versus payment ('DVP') terms. In response to these failed trades with the counterparty, the Company took appropriate action to ensure it did not fail to its onward counterparties and to mitigate its market exposure. However, in light of exceptional market volatility related to COVID-19 at the time, the Company suffered a loss of USD62.8 million (£48.9 million equivalent) net of hedging gains. The counterparty which failed to deliver the securities has entered administration. The Company has filed a claim for its loss in the administration, but material recoveries are not currently expected. The Company did not directly take advantage of any government schemes or initiatives designed to relieve the financial consequences of the pandemic.

Staff working practices have seen an immediate COVID-19 related impact, with most employees now working remotely. Whilst much of our trading, supervision, monitoring and control activity was already conducted electronically, certain changes to operational processes and business practices have been implemented. These efforts were considered necessary in order to ensure ongoing maintenance of risk management processes and internal controls as well as our ability to continue servicing clients in the new environment regardless of however long widespread remote working remains in place. A summary of some of these efforts includes:

- enabling remote access for all impacted users including supporting onboarding of new staff remotely
- provision of corporate supplied equipment for those users that require it
- extended cyber awareness training and home working guidelines for users to keep users and the Company safe
- frequent phishing tests and training for users to increase awareness of the risk associated to phishing emails
- increased monitoring of availability and capacity of core networks links; infrastructure and remote access solutions
- the provision of remote voice trading platforms for certain market makers
- general improvements in voice and other electronic communication platforms including requisite recorded facilities in line with compliance requirements.

Management continue to monitor regional and global market updates regards COVID-19, including remaining in contact with MUFG Group management and our regulators as appropriate. The Company has experienced limited business disruption, if any, to date and will react accordingly should this situation evolve.

Going concern

Taking the above into consideration, including: current performance through COVID-19 volatility and expected future profitability as evidenced in business plans; capital adequacy and funding availability, including projections which confirm continued regulatory compliance; the potential for stress scenarios and stress testing results, the Board believes that the Company will have adequate resources in place to continue trading for the foreseeable future. As such, the financial statements have been prepared on the going concern basis. The Company remains of strategic importance to MUSHD and may receive future capital injections from the MUFG Group when needed (though not considered necessary).

Strategic Report (continued)

Corporate governance reporting

Corporate governance reporting under Section 172 of the Companies Act 2006 is included within the Directors' Report which is included on pages 6 to 40.

By order of the Board

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'C. Kyle', written over a horizontal line.

Christopher Kyle
Director
25 Ropemaker Street
London EC2Y 9AJ
11 March 2021

Directors' Report

The Directors present their annual report and financial statements for the year ended 31 December 2020.

Directors

The Directors during the year were as follows:

Marshall Bailey	Chairman (Independent)	(appointed 1 October 2020)
William Fall	Chairman (Independent)	(resigned 30 September 2020)
Stephen Jack	Independent Non-Executive Director	
Gordon Sangster	Independent Non-Executive Director	
Eileen Taylor	Independent Non-Executive Director	
Makato Kobayashi	Group Non-Executive Director	(appointed 18 June 2020)
Takanori Sasaki	Group Non-Executive Director	
Yasutaka Suehiro	Group Non-Executive Director	(resigned 18 June 2020)
Masamichi Yasuda	Group Non-Executive Director	
John Winter	Chief Executive Officer	
Christopher Kyle	Chief Financial Officer	
Nicola Wickes	Chief Risk Officer	

The Board of Directors currently comprises the Chairman, three Independent Non-Executive Directors, three Group Non-Executive Directors and three Executive Directors. Group Non-Executive Directors are employed by another MUFG Group company and undertake other roles within the Group, in addition to their directorship of the Company. The Board considers all of the Independent Non-Executive Directors to be independent within the criteria set in the UK Corporate Governance Code (the 'Code').

Biographies of each of the current Directors can be found on pages 8 and 9.

Chairman

Mr Bailey succeeded Mr Fall in his role as Chairman and Non-Executive Director. Mr Bailey plays a key role in a number of strategic initiatives relating to oversight of business strategy, client activity, culture and collaboration with other MUFG entities.

Directors' and officers' indemnities

The Company maintained insurance against liabilities for all Directors and officers of the Company during the financial year and at the date of this report.

Dividend and distributions

The Company maintains a Distributable Reserves policy which forms the basis of management information considered ahead of any dividend approval. The policy sets out factors considered relevant to ensuring against any unlawful distribution. The Board ensures that it takes decisions in line with the requirements of section 172 of the Companies Act 2006.

The dividend and capital strategy of the Company will evolve with the needs of the business as well as the regulatory environment.

In 2020, the Directors approved total coupon payments on Additional Tier 1 capital instruments of £12.4 million (2019: £10.2 million). Refer to Note 24 for terms of issuance.

On 18 January 2021, the Directors approved a coupon payment on the AT1 capital instruments of £5.7 million. The amount has not been accrued in the results for the period ended 31 December 2020 as it was not due at that date.

No ordinary dividends were approved or paid during the year (2019: Nil).

Use of financial instruments

The trading and issuance of financial instruments is integral to the business activities of the Company. Information regarding the use of financial instruments as well as an indication of the company's financial risk management objectives and policies is included within the Business and Risk Management Policies on pages 30 to 40.

Compensation

Certain employees' discretionary remuneration is deferred where the reward exceeds thresholds set by the Joint Remuneration Committee, which meet the guidelines set out by the Prudential Regulatory Authority ('PRA'). Some of these deferrals take the form of Notional Stock Units ('NSU'), which track the performance of MUFG shares (refer to Note 7). Further details on the Company's remuneration structure and policies can be found in the Report of the Joint Remuneration Committee on pages 17 and 18.

Directors' Report (continued)

Subsequent events disclosure

As at the date of signing, the Directors are not aware of any material events which would warrant further disclosure. Refer to Note 28.

Statement on corporate governance arrangements

The Board is committed to applying the highest standards of corporate governance, as it believes that good corporate governance is at the heart of MUFG's vision to be the world's most trusted financial group; providing the highest quality service for clients while building sustainable trust with the communities in which we operate.


As a privately-owned subsidiary, the Company does not and is not required to apply a corporate governance code. Details of the corporate governance framework adopted by the Company are set out on pages 6 to 40. The Board has elected to benchmark the corporate governance framework adopted by the Company against the provisions and principles of the 2018 UK Corporate Governance Code and has not adopted the Wates principle.

Disclosure of information to the auditor

The Directors who hold office at the date of approval of this Directors' Report confirm that, so far as they are each aware, there is no relevant audit information of which the Company's auditor is unaware; and each Director has taken all the steps that they ought to have taken as a director to make themselves aware of any relevant audit information and to establish that the Company's auditor is aware of the same information. This confirmation is given and should be interpreted in accordance with the provisions of section 418 of the Companies Act 2006.

Deloitte LLP has expressed their willingness to continue in office as auditor and a resolution to reappoint them will be proposed at the forthcoming Annual General Meeting.

By order of the Board



Christopher Kyle
Director
25 Ropemaker Street
London EC2Y 9AJ
11 March 2021

Directors' Report (continued)

The Board of Directors

<p>Marshall Bailey</p> <p>Chairman</p> <p>Appointed: 2020</p>	<p>Skills and experience: Mr Bailey was appointed as the Chairman in 2020 to succeed Mr Fall. Mr Bailey served as Chairman Designate from May 2020 prior to obtaining regulatory approval to serve as Chairman in October 2020.</p> <p>Mr Bailey has extensive experience both as a senior executive and as a non-executive director in global financial services businesses. As an executive, he held senior positions with Royal Bank of Canada before moving to State Street where he held several roles including Chief Executive Officer of State Street Global Markets International before being appointed President and Global Head of ACI International – Financial Markets Association.</p> <p>Mr Bailey has since built an impressive non-executive portfolio across a range of financial services businesses and organisations. This includes serving as Chairman of CIBC World Markets and LCH Group and as a non-executive director on the London Stock Exchange Group, UK Financial Investments and Chair of the Financial Services Compensation Scheme. In 2018, he received an OBE for services to the financial services sector and services to charity.</p> <p>Other appointments: Mr Bailey is chairman of Financial Services Compensation Scheme; group chairman of LCH Group (SwapClear, ForexClear, etc) (until 9 September 2020); chairman of CIBC World Markets plc (until 31 December 2020); Public Investment Fund representative and non-executive board director of National Commercial Bank; non-executive board director of the London Stock Exchange Group (until 10 September 2020); member of the Board of Governors of the CFA Institute, Charlottesville, Virginia, USA; and trustee of the East End Community Foundation (until 30 June 2020).</p>
<p>John Winter</p> <p>Chief Executive Officer</p> <p>Appointed: 2019</p>	<p>Skills and experience: Mr. Winter is currently Chief Executive Officer for MUS(EMEA), Head of Global Markets Corporate and Investment Banking, Head of London Branch of MUFG Bank, and was appointed as an Executive Officer of MUFG in June 2019.</p> <p>Mr Winter has over 30 years of experience in financial services, including senior roles at some of the world's largest banks including Barclays, Deutsche and Merrill Lynch. Prior to joining MUFG in 2019, Mr Winter was CEO for Barclays Corporate Banking business for six years, having already spent eight years at the organisation as Head of its European Investment Banking and Debt Capital Markets business for EMEA.</p> <p>Other appointments: Mr Winter is a trustee of Richard House Children's Hospice.</p>
<p>Christopher Kyle</p> <p>Chief Financial Officer ('CFO')</p> <p>Appointed: 2015</p>	<p>Skills and experience: Mr Kyle is a highly experienced CFO with 30 years' experience financial services. He is currently CFO for MUS(EMEA) and the London Branch of MUFG Bank. Prior to joining MUFG, Mr Kyle held a number of senior roles, including such as Chief Financial Officer and Chief Operating Officer of the Global Banking & Markets Division at RBS, Barclays and Dresdner Kleinwort Benson. He is a Qualified Accountant.</p> <p>Other appointments: Mr Kyle is also a director of the Company's subsidiary MUS(EU).</p>
<p>Nicola Wickes</p> <p>Chief Risk Officer ('CRO')</p> <p>Appointed: 2019</p>	<p>Skills and experience: Ms Wickes has over 30 years of experience working with the financial sector, largely in the risk environment. She joined MUFG in 2019 as CRO for MUS(EMEA) and the London Branch of MUFG Bank. Prior to joining MUFG, Ms. Wickes was the CRO at CIBC for Europe and Asia for five years where she sat as a Board Director of CIBC World Markets plc. Ms Wickes also held a role as Non-Executive Director at the Global Risk Institution in Toronto, Canada (until April 2020), and has also worked extensively across all risk disciplines, specialising in Credit Risk at UBS and Mellon Bank.</p> <p>Other appointments: Ms Wickes is also a board member of MUFG Turkey A.Ş.</p>
<p>Stephen Jack</p> <p>Senior Independent Non-Executive Director</p> <p>Appointed: 2015</p>	<p>Skills and experience: Mr Jack is Chair of the Audit Committee. He is a Chartered Accountant who has held senior management positions in a number of international investment banking and broking organisations including being Global CFO of ING Barings, Group Finance Director of Collins Stewart Tullett plc and Group CFO of Compagnie Financiere Tradition SA.</p> <p>Other appointments: Vice chair of Anchor Hanover Group (up until 31 December 2020), vice chair of Cambridge Building Society, trustee of Royal Mencap Society, trustee of Golden Lane Housing and a trustee for the Company's pension scheme. In 2014 he was awarded an OBE for services to disabled people.</p>
<p>Gordon Sangster</p> <p>Independent Non-Executive Director</p> <p>Appointed: 2017</p>	<p>Skills and experience: Mr Sangster is Chair of the Board Risk Committee. Prior to joining MUS(EMEA), Mr Sangster was International Treasurer at Bank of America NA and prior to this appointment held a number of positions in Bank of America NA in both the Corporate Bank and Finance in a career spanning 35 years. In addition, Mr Sangster has held a number of INED appointments including with CLS Holdings, both as a Board member and Chair of the Audit Committee.</p> <p>Other appointments: Chair and non-executive director of the Company's subsidiary MUS(EU) and Chair and non-executive director of the Company's affiliate MUFG Securities Asia Limited. Mr Sangster has an honours degree in Physics from Edinburgh University and is FCCA, ACMA and ACG qualified.</p>

Directors' Report (continued)

The Board of Directors (continued)

<p>Eileen Taylor</p> <p>Independent Non-Executive Director</p> <p>Appointed: 2019</p>	<p>Skills and experience: Ms Taylor is a seasoned investment banker with 38 years of experience in global leadership roles based in the UK, US and Asia. Ms Taylor has a strong working knowledge of the Supervisory Review and Evaluation Process, non-financial risk, recovery and resolution planning and corporate governance. She has previously served as Chief Executive Officer of Deutsche Bank's UK bank, with significant regulatory interaction. Ms Taylor brings experience of managing large, global transformation programmes, as well as significant experience of designing and implementing strategy and running front-to-back business processes. Ms Taylor is an experienced operational, market and credit risk manager through various Risk and Chief Executive Officer roles.</p> <p>Other appointments: Vice chair of East London NHS Foundation Trust.</p>
<p>Makato Kobayashi</p> <p>Group Non-Executive Director</p> <p>Appointed: 2020</p>	<p>Skills and experience: Mr Kobayashi is Deputy Chief Operating Officer – International of MUSHD. Prior to this appointment in 2020, Mr Kobayashi was Head of Financial Solutions Group of MUFG Bank, responsible for the oversight of the MUFG Bank's Financial Solutions business globally, which includes syndications, M&A Finance, project finance and other structured finance. Mr Kobayashi is also Managing Executive Officer of MUFG and MUSHD, where he takes a role of Head of Capital Markets business outside Japan.</p> <p>Mr Kobayashi began his career at the Mitsubishi Bank, Ltd. in 1985. Over his 31 years at the bank, he has attained extensive experience in the Corporate and Investment Banking (CIB) business. Prior to his current role, he served as Global Head of Structured Finance, leading the bank's project finance franchise. Over the years, he has led project teams in both Tokyo and New York, arranging numerous significant deals across the globe. Before that, Mr Kobayashi held key positions in Solutions business as Global Head of Syndicated Finance and as Global Head of M&A Finance.</p> <p>Other appointments: Mr Kobayashi was a Non-Executive Director of Hitachi Capital Corporation until 29 June 2020.</p>
<p>Takanori Sazaki</p> <p>Group Non-Executive Director</p> <p>Appointed: 2019</p>	<p>Skills and experience: Mr Sazaki has held a number of positions gaining extensive global banking experience including 10 years of experience in Investment Banking and 7 years of experience in Strategic Planning in Tokyo, New York, Bangkok and London. He is currently the Regional Executive for Europe, Middle East and Africa assuming responsibility for the overall leadership of the MUFG Bank in the EMEA region.</p> <p>Other appointments: Mr Sazaki holds various appointments within the MUFG Group, including senior positions with MUFG, AO MUFG Bank (Eurasia), MUFG Bank, MUS(EU) and MUFG Bank (Europe) N.V..</p> <p>Mr Sazaki also holds positions externally with the Japanese Chamber of Commerce and Industry, UK (non-executive director and trustee), Nippon Club Limited, Director (non-executive director), The Japanese School Limited, (non-executive director and trustee), Japan House London Trust (non-executive director and trustee), Nippon Club (vice chairman) and Rikkyo School in England (trustee).</p>
<p>Masamichi Yasuda</p> <p>Group Non-Executive Director</p> <p>Appointed: 2014</p>	<p>Skills and experience: Mr Yasuda is the Senior Managing Executive Officer and Global Head of Sales and Trading for MUSHD. He is a member of the Board of Directors and Deputy President as well as the Chief Executive Officer of the Global Markets Business for Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities Co. ('MUMSS'), Ltd. Since joining MUFG Bank in 1983, he held a variety of positions in the Global Markets, Global Corporates, Finance and Risk Management areas. Mr Yasuda holds a bachelor's degree in law from the University of Hitotsubashi.</p> <p>Other appointments: In addition to his roles with MUMSS and MUSHD, Mr Yasuda holds positions with the following MUFG Group companies: MUFG, and PT Bank Danamon Indonesia, Tbk. Mr Yasuda is also a non-executive director of The Global Financial Markets Association.</p>

Directors' Report (continued)

Role of the Board

The objective of the Board is to define, oversee and be accountable for the implementation of governance arrangements that ensure the effective and prudent management for the long term success of the Company. Such oversight is within a framework of effective controls which enables risk to be assessed and managed.

The Board's responsibilities are clearly defined in its terms of reference. Some of the Board's key responsibilities include (amongst other responsibilities):

- Determining and approving the Company's strategy and overseeing its implementation.
- Approving the Company's business plans and monitoring their implementation.
- Monitoring the Company's capital adequacy and liquidity position.
- Ensuring that the Company's business is conducted in a prudent manner.
- Ensuring that the necessary resources are in place for the Company to meet its objectives.
- Determining and approving the development, implementation and maintenance of the Company's emerging and principal risks, overall risk appetite and monitoring their management.
- Approving the Company's annual budget and monitor the Company's performance against budget.
- Approving material changes in the organisational or business structure of the Company.
- Ensuring effective engagement with, and encouraging participation from the shareholder and the Company's other key stakeholders.
- Ensuring compliance of the Company's activities with all applicable regulatory rules and requirements.
- Ensuring adequate succession planning for the Board and senior management (in conjunction with the Nomination Committee) so as to maintain an appropriate balance of skills, experience and knowledge within the Company and on the Board.
- Ensuring maintenance of a sound system of internal control and risk management.
- Approving any material projects within the Company.
- Ensuring that policies and practices are consistent with the Company's values and support its long term sustainable success.
- In conjunction with the Joint Remuneration Committee, determining the Company's remuneration policy for staff, including Directors, the Company Secretary and other senior executives.
- Oversight of the Company's overall corporate governance arrangements.
- Establishing formal and transparent policies and procedures to ensure the independence and effectiveness of Internal Audit and external auditors.

The Board has established certain committees to support it with specific areas within the Board's remit of responsibilities. Details of each committee's members, responsibilities and work during the year can be found in the committee reports on pages 12 to 40.

Directors' Report (continued)

Separation of responsibilities

The Board has established a clear division of responsibilities between Executive Directors and Non-Executive Directors. In particular, the Board is committed to maintaining a clear separation of responsibilities of the Chairman and the Chief Executive Officer to reflect the unique role that each has within the Company. The table below summarises some of the key responsibilities of the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer:

1. Reporting lines	
Chairman	CEO
The Chairman reports to the Board.	The CEO reports to the Chairman (acting on behalf of the Board) and to the Board directly.
The Chairman is not responsible for executive management matters regarding the Company's business. Other than the CEO and the Company Secretary, no executive reports to the Chairman, other than through the CEO and Board.	The CEO is responsible for all executive management matters affecting the Company. All members of executive management report, either directly or indirectly, to him.
2. Key responsibilities	
Chairman	CEO
The Chairman's principal responsibility is the effective running of the Board.	The CEO's principal responsibility is running the Company's business.
The Chairman is responsible for ensuring that the Board as a whole plays a full and constructive part in the development and determination of the Company's strategy and overall commercial objectives.	The CEO is responsible for proposing and developing the Company's strategy and overall commercial objectives, which he does in close consultation with the Chairman and the Board.
3. Other responsibilities	
Chairman	CEO
Running the Board and setting its agenda.	Providing input to the Board's agenda from the executive management team.
Ensuring that Board agendas take full account of the important issues facing the Company and the concerns of all Board members. There should be an emphasis on strategic, rather than routine issues.	Ensuring that he maintains a dialogue with the Chairman on the important and strategic issues facing the group, and proposing Board agenda items to the Chairman which reflect these.
Ensuring that the Board receives accurate, timely and clear information on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the Company's performance; the issues, challenges and opportunities facing the Group; and matters reserved to it for decision. 	Ensuring that the executive management team gives appropriate priority to providing reports to the Board which contain accurate, timely and clear information.
Arranging informal meetings of the Directors, including meetings Non-Executive Directors at which the Executive Directors are not present, as required to ensure that sufficient time and consideration is given to complex, contentious or sensitive issues.	Ensuring that the Chairman is alerted to forthcoming complex, contentious or sensitive issues affecting the Company of which he might not otherwise be aware.
Ensuring that there is effective communication by the Company with its shareholder, including by the Executive Directors and other executive management, and ensuring that members of the Board develop an understanding of the strategy and objectives of the whole MUFG Group.	Leading the communication programme with the shareholder.
Ensuring that there is a properly constructed induction programme for new Directors, facilitated by the Company Secretary.	Commenting on induction programmes for new Directors and ensuring that appropriate management time is made available for the process.
Taking the lead in identifying and seeking to meet the development needs both of individual Directors and of the Board as a whole, assisted by the Company Secretary.	Ensuring that the development needs of the Executive Directors and other senior management reporting to him are identified and met.
Ensuring that the performance of the Board as a whole, its Sub-Committees, and individual Directors is formally and rigorously evaluated at least once a year.	Ensuring that performance reviews are carried out at least once a year for each of the Executive Directors. Providing input to the wider Board evaluation process.
Promoting the highest standards of integrity, probity and corporate governance throughout the Company and particularly at Board level.	Promoting, and conducting the affairs of the Company with the highest standards of integrity, probity and corporate governance.

The Board has also appointed a Senior Independent Non-Executive Director, with certain additional responsibilities, such as:

- Providing a sounding board for the Chairman and serving as an intermediary for the other Directors when necessary.
- Being available to the shareholder if it has concerns which contact through the normal channels of Chairman, CEO or other executive has failed to resolve or for which such contact is inappropriate.
- Providing confidential feedback to the Chairman on his performance following any assessment exercise.

No one individual has unfettered powers of decision-making. All Directors have access to the advice and services of the Company Secretary, who is responsible for advising the Board on all governance matters. Both the appointment and removal of the Company Secretary is a matter for the Board as a whole.

Directors' Report (continued)

Composition and gender balance

The Board comprises the Chairman, three independent Non-Executive Directors, three Group Non-Executive Directors appointed to represent the shareholder's interests and three Executive Directors.

As a wholly-owned subsidiary company, the Board recognises the importance of ensuring that there is appropriate representation of the Company's shareholder on the Board, and maintaining a majority of Non-Executive Directors on the Board. This also supports the Company in meeting the Code provisions on regular engagement by the Chairman and independent Non-Executive Directors (in particular the chairs of sub-committees of the Board) with shareholder representatives.

The Board is committed to diversity at all levels of the organisation, including on the Board itself. The Board recognises and embraces the benefits of having a diverse Board, and sees increasing diversity at Board level as an essential element of good corporate governance. A truly diverse Board will include and make good use of differences in, amongst other things, the skills, social and cultural background, race, gender and other distinctions between Directors. These differences will be considered in determining the optimum composition of the Board and when possible should be balanced appropriately. All Board appointments are made on merit, in the context of the skills, experience, independence and knowledge which the Board as a whole requires to be effective.

The Nomination Committee reviews and assesses the Board's composition and recommends the appointment of new Directors. In reviewing Board composition, the Nomination Committee will consider the benefits of all aspects of diversity including, but not limited to, those described above, in order to enable it to discharge its duties and responsibilities effectively in identifying suitable candidates for appointment to the Board.

The table below sets out the gender diversity of the Board.

Name	Gender
Marshall Bailey (Chairman)	Male
John Winter (CEO)	Male
Christopher Kyle (CFO)	Male
Nicola Wickes (CRO)	Female
Stephen Jack (Senior Independent Non-Executive Director)	Male
Gordon Sangster (Independent Non-Executive Director)	Male
Eileen Taylor (Independent Non-Executive Director)	Female
Makato Kobayashi (Group Non-Executive Director)	Male
Takanori Sasaki (Group Non-Executive Director)	Male
Masamichi Yasuda (Group Non-Executive Director)	Male

The Board's policy is to appoint and retain Non-Executive Directors who can apply their wider knowledge and experience, and to review and refresh regularly the skills and experience the Board requires. The Board is satisfied that each Non-Executive Director is able to devote sufficient time to the role in order to discharge their duties effectively.

Board and committee effectiveness

The Board conducts an annual evaluation of its effectiveness and seeks an external evaluation every three years, which is deemed to be best practice, based on the requirements set out in the Code. An external evaluation was conducted in 2020 by Boardroom Review Limited to review and assess, among other things, the quantity and quality of discussions, the management of meetings and the decision-making process. The external evaluation made a number of recommendations to enhance the Board's effectiveness. The Chairman, supported by the Company Secretary, is responsible for tracking the outcomes and follow-up actions of this review. The Senior Independent Non-Executive Director also undertakes an annual evaluation of the Chairman's performance with the other Board Directors.

Directors' Report (continued)

Attendance of Board and Board committee Meetings

The table below shows the number of meetings each Director attended as a member during the year and the maximum number of meetings they could have attended. Where a Director attended a meeting as an observer rather than as a member, this attendance is not included in the table below.

Name	Board	Nomination Committee	Board/Risk Committee	Audit Committee	Joint Remuneration Committee
Chairman					
Marshall Bailey	2/2	-	2/2	-	2/2
William Fall	5/5	2/2	5/5	3/4	5/5
Independent Non-Executive Directors					
Stephen Jack	7/7	2/2	7/7	4/4	7/7
Gordon Sangster	7/7	2/2	7/7	4/4	7/7
Eileen Taylor	7/7	2/2	7/7	4/4	7/7
Group Non-Executive Directors					
Makato Kobayashi	4/4	-	-	-	-
Takanori Sasaki	6/7	-	-	-	-
Yasutaka Suehiro	3/3	2/2	-	1/1	-
Masamichi Yasuda	7/7	-	-	-	-
Executive Directors					
Christopher Kyle	7/7	-	-	-	-
Nicola Wickes	5/5	-	-	-	-
John Winter	7/7	-	-	-	-

Directors' Report (continued)

Joint Audit Committee

Membership

Name	Position
Stephen Jack, Chair	Independent Non-Executive Director
Gordon Sangster	Independent Non-Executive Director
Eileen Taylor	Independent Non-Executive Director
William Fall	Independent Non-Executive Director (until 30 September 2020)
Yasutaka Suehiro	Group Non-Executive Director

The Board is satisfied that the Joint Audit Committee ('JAC') possesses relevant banking industry capabilities and expertise, and it is further satisfied that Mr Jack possesses relevant financial experience and the requisite competence in accounting.

As the Code recommends that the Chairman of the Board should not be a member of the JAC, the JAC Chair has after careful consideration, taken the view that the new Chairman should not replace his predecessor as a member of the JAC. The Chairman is instead invited as an observer.

During 2020, the former Audit Committee of the Board was concluded, and the JAC was established in its place with remit for both the Company and its subsidiary, MUS(EU). This JAC has continued the work of the former Audit Committee. In December 2020, the JAC was restructured to allow common reports of the JAC and the MUFG Bank Audit Committee to be reported at one section of the meeting; reports that are specific to the JAC and the MUFG Bank Audit Committee continue to be reported at their respective separate meetings.

Responsibilities

The objective of the JAC is to assist the Boards of Directors of the Company and MUS(EU) in their oversight of:

- The integrity of financial statements and other financial information provided by the Company and MUS(EU) to their shareholders, creditors, regulators or other third parties.
- Internal controls and risk management systems.
- The performance of the internal and external auditors.
- Auditing, accounting and financial reporting processes generally.

The JAC is responsible, among other matters, for determining whether the Company's internal controls over financial reporting are appropriate to the risks they are designed to monitor.

The JAC reports to the Board on its work, identifying any issues which it considers require action or improvement and makes recommendations to the Board for approval.

The Executive Directors, some members of senior management, including the Chief Financial Officer and the Chief Internal Auditor and the external auditors, are invited to attend meetings of the JAC, but only its members have the right to attend and to vote. Where common reports are presented to the JAC and the MUFG Bank Audit Committee, only members of the JAC have the right to vote on decisions concerning MUS(EMEA) and MUS(EU).

The JAC meets privately and separately from the Executive Directors, with the external auditor at least once a year. This meeting provides an opportunity for the external auditor to raise any concerns directly with the JAC.

Areas of focus during the year

The JAC (and its predecessor) held four scheduled meetings in 2020 and two additional 'deep dive' meetings to consider the strategy for Internal Audit and the approach to the MUS(EMEA) market conduct surveillance programme.

The JAC has a number of standing agenda items that it considers each year affecting the Company's financial statements, financial risks, internal control matters, regulatory reporting and external audit.

In addition, each year the JAC focuses on a number of operational matters. Some of the items the JAC spent time on during 2020 were:

- Reports from Internal Audit, Compliance, Operational Risk and management's engagement with any control weaknesses identified by these functions.
- Approval of the annual internal audit plan.
- Monitoring external auditor independence and objectivity, including review of non-audit services.
- Considering remediation activity arising from Internal and External Audit assessment reports on the FCA's rules and principles related to the protection of client assets.
- The Company's framework for managing, and its assurance approach to, IT and cyber risk.
- The ongoing effectiveness of the Company's operational controls in the remote working environment arising from the Covid 19 pandemic.

Directors' Report (continued)

Joint Audit Committee (continued)

The JAC also considers significant matters that arise throughout the year relating to the financial statements. In 2020, these included:

- The Company's approach to revenue recognition, particularly the valuation of level 3 financial instruments, Day 1 profit and loss, and Credit Valuation Adjustments, for which management and the JAC were comfortable, and
- The transition of the Company's general ledger to a cloud based environment which necessitated extra work and testing of controls to ensure that data was accurately and completely migrated.

External audit

The Company's external auditor is Deloitte. Oversight of the relationship with Deloitte is one of the JAC's key responsibilities. The JAC reviewed and approved Deloitte's terms of engagement for the statutory audit and the audit fee.

The Company has a policy which is aimed at safeguarding and supporting the independence and objectivity of the external auditor. The policy regulates the appointment of former audit employees to positions in the Company and sets out the approach to be taken by the Company when using the non-audit services of the external auditor. The policy distinguishes between:

- Pre-approved services – Those non-audit services where it is considered appropriate to use the external auditor (such as statutory and non-statutory audit and assurance work).
- Case-by-case approved services – Where prior approval of the JAC is required.
- Excluded services - Where the independence of the external auditor could be threatened and the external auditor must not be used.

The external auditor has reviewed its own independence in line with these criteria and its own ethical guidance standards, and has confirmed to the JAC that following its review it is satisfied that it has acted in accordance with relevant regulatory and professional requirements, and that its objectivity is not impaired. Having considered compliance with our policy and the fees paid to the external auditor, the JAC is satisfied that the external auditor continues to be independent and objective.

Effectiveness of the External Auditor

To assess the effectiveness of the external auditor, the JAC requested that the external auditor conduct a self-assessment of its performance which included input from management. The results of the review were evaluated by the JAC in its September 2020 meeting, which concluded that Deloitte continued to perform a high-quality audit and provided an effective and independent challenge to management.

External audit tender

Deloitte has been the Company's auditor since 2014. As a wholly-owned subsidiary in a global group, the Company is subject to the Group's processes relating to appointment and rotation of its external auditor. If and when the MUG Group chooses to review its external auditor, the JAC will be responsible for conducting an independent validation process and making recommendations to the Board on:

- The appointment, re-appointment and removal of the external auditor.
- The remuneration and terms of engagement of the external auditor.

The JAC will also consider any questions of resignation or dismissal of the external auditor.

Directors' Report (continued)

Nomination Committee

Membership

Name	Position
Marshall Bailey, Chair	Independent Non-Executive Director (from 1 October 2020)
William Fall, Chair	Independent Non-Executive Director (until 30 September 2020)
Stephen Jack	Independent Non-Executive Director
Gordon Sangster	Independent Non-Executive Director
Eileen Taylor	Independent Non-Executive Director
Yasutaka Suehiro	Group Non-Executive Director (until 18 June 2020)
Makato Kobayashi	Group Non-Executive Director (from 18 June 2020)

Responsibilities

The objective of the Nomination Committee is to advise the Board on succession planning for Board and senior management positions and on the criteria for and selection of new Directors. The Nomination Committee keeps the composition of the Board under review and leads the appointment process for nominations to the Board.

Areas of focus during the year

The Committee has a number of standing agenda items that it considers each year. These include:

- Reviewing the Board Diversity Policy.
- Annual review of the knowledge, skills and experience of individual members of the Board and of the Board collectively, for reporting to the Board and developing individual training plans.
- The Board evaluation process, including the evaluation of the Chairman of the Board by the Senior Independent Non-Executive Director.
- Reviewing the continuing independence of the Independent Non-Executive Directors.
- Reviewing the composition of the Board and its committees.

During 2020, the Nomination Committee played a key role in recommending to the Board the appointment of the Chairman, Mr Bailey. An Independent Non-Executive Director (Mr Jack) led a robust and independent search for a successor to Mr Fall, who was due to retire from the Board in September 2020. Mr Jack formed a working group in late 2019 to develop a role definition, appointing Odgers Berndtson ('Odgers') to support the process. In December 2019, the working group worked with Odgers to agree a long-list of 12 candidates (6 male, 6 female) to proceed to a full Odgers interview and evaluation process. Of that long-list, a short list of five candidates was agreed in January 2020 to proceed to interview with MUFG. Various first-stage interviews were held in the UK before the preferred candidate proceeded to interviews with stakeholders in Tokyo. Feedback from the interview process for Mr Bailey was positive, highlighting in particular his deep financial services industry experience, his understanding of the regulatory landscape, his commitment to supporting MUFG achieve its strategic goals, and his understanding of the complexity of matrix management in a global firm. Having considered this feedback and Mr Bailey's experience, the Nomination Committee recommended his appointment to the Board in April 2020. Mr Bailey joined the Board as Chairman Designate in April 2020, pending approval of his appointment by the regulators. Mr Fall remained as Chairman until September 2020, providing a full handover to Mr Bailey.

The Nomination Committee was also responsible for considering the appointment of a new Group Non-Executive Director. In early-2020, it was announced that Mr Suehiro would retire from his position on the Board to take a new role within the MUFG Group. The Nomination Committee considered the proposed appointment of Mr Kobayashi as a Group Non-Executive Director, representing the Company's shareholder MUSHD. Members of the Committee and other Company stakeholders interviewed Mr Kobayashi and considered his skills and experience, as well as his position within MUSHD to benefit the Company, and recommended that the Board appoint him as Group Non-Executive Director and a member of the Nomination Committee.

The Nomination Committee, along with the Company Secretary, continues to support the Board in regards to succession planning for key executive and non-executive roles, ensuring that there is an appropriate balance of skills and experience on the Board as well as periodically refreshing Board membership.

Directors' Report (continued)

Joint Remuneration Committee

Membership

Name	Position
Eileen Taylor, Chair	Independent Non-Executive Director
Marshall Bailey	Independent Non-Executive Director (from 1 October 2020)
Stephen Jack	Independent Non-Executive Director
Gordon Sangster	Independent Non-Executive Director
William Fall, Chair	Independent Non-Executive Director (until 30 September 2020)

Responsibilities

The objective of the Joint Remuneration Committee ('JRC') is to assist the Board of Directors to exercise independent judgement in approving remuneration proposals and recommending a remuneration policy to the Board on an annual basis. The Committee makes decisions which are consistent with the Company's current and future financial status.

Some of the key responsibilities of the JRC are:

- Overseeing the implementation of the Company's remuneration related Policies.
- Ensuring that remuneration is structured in a way that aligns reward and promotes effective risk management.
- Ensuring that remuneration is structured to be compliant with any requirements under the Volcker Rule and does not reward or incentivise employees for prohibited proprietary trading.
- To review compensation recommendations for all employees within the JRC's remit in the context of individual and Company performance and review the annual incentive to ensure that any variable remuneration awarded is aligned with appropriate risk and compliance behaviours.
- To consider the remuneration of Directors, taking account of the current UK financial services investment banking market, the shareholder, the regulator(s), and the views of the Chairman of the Board and the CEO.
- To consider the application of performance adjustment, including malus and clawback in accordance with the Company's published Adjustment Policy.
- To review proposals for any changes to the policy for pension arrangements for current employees.
- To ensure that any termination arrangements and significant changes of contract for employees subject to the Remuneration Code are compliant with the UK Corporate Governance Code.
- To scrutinise the performance of management in meeting agreed goals and objectives and monitor the reporting of performance.
- To consider what compensation commitments (including pension contributions and all other elements) are contained in the directors' terms of appointment and ensure these are aligned with those available to the workforce.

The JRC reports to the Board on its work, identifying any issues which it considers require action or improvement and makes recommendations to the Board for approval. The Chair of the Remuneration Committee is available and engages with the shareholder regularly on aspects of the JRC's role and its work.

The Executive Directors and some members of senior management may be invited to attend meetings of the JRC, but only members of the JRC have the right to attend and vote. Some members of senior management submit reports to the JRC.

The JRC did not appoint a remuneration consultant during 2020.

Areas of focus during the year and the Company's approach to remuneration

In late-2019, it was proposed that the former Remuneration Committee of the Company be replaced with the JRC – a new remuneration committee with an expanded remit for the Company, its subsidiary MUS(EU), and MUFG Bank EMEA. Establishing the JRC has enhanced the governance in respect to MUFG's remuneration framework in EMEA in line with the Group's One MUFG strategy, as well as supporting MUFG's new global compensation framework introduced in 2020. The JRC has harmonised the standards of the three entities whilst meeting the respective statutory and regulatory requirements applicable to each of the three entities. The JRC held 7 scheduled meetings in 2020.

The remuneration cycle aligns with that of MUFG globally with performance assessment from April to March and with annual pay rises and discretionary bonuses being awarded in June. As such the JRC's work during 2020 is a combination of assessing and rewarding for the performance year April 2019 to March 2020 and the initial assessment for the performance year April 2020 to March 2021.

The Company does not have an Executive Directors' Remuneration policy as Executive Directors are covered within the Company's Remuneration Policy for all staff, as their remuneration follows the approach and structure of other employees.

The Company's shareholder is represented at JRC meetings by the attendance of a Group Non-Executive Director, whose role at these meetings is to review and provide the shareholder's feedback. The shareholder also provides independent approval of remuneration of all material risk takers ('MRTs') and high earners, including Executive Directors, through its management committee.

Directors' Report (continued)

Joint Remuneration Committee (continued)

The Company operates a robust performance management process which includes objective setting, formal evaluation against objectives, mid-year and year-end performance reviews, 360 degree feedback and the allocation of an overall performance rating. These are completed within a balanced scorecard which assesses individual performance in terms of 'What' is delivered and 'How' individuals behave. The balanced scorecard has four quadrants covering the 'What' and 'How' elements of performance, specifically:

What – Specific individual performance objectives for the year. Performance objectives are set by each individual and signed off by the appraising manager, categorised under:

- Individual Deliverables
- Financial Goals

How – Employees are expected to behave in alignment with the firm's policies, procedures and values. Role dependent mandatory objectives set out how individuals are expected to demonstrate this under the categories:

- Compliance, Risk and Regulatory
- Culture and Values

The Company also operates a Performance Framework. This is made available to all employees and sets out the behaviours employees are expected to demonstrate at each corporate title level. These are aligned to the Company's values, as follows:

- Integrity and responsibility
- Professionalism and teamwork
- Challenge ourselves to grow

Evaluation against these values forms part of the balanced scorecard in addition to being part of the criteria used when considering remuneration and promotion eligibility. Additionally employees are given a talent mapping reflective of performance and potential. Ratings for the Compliance, Risk and Regulatory category and the Culture and Values category were cross-checked by the Compliance, Risk, Audit and Human Resources ('HR') functions respectively.

Employees may be awarded an annual performance-based bonus which is reflective of the performance of the Company, their respective global business, the International Securities Business (being all other MUFG Securities entities outside of Japan), the relevant business unit and each employee's individual performance in the performance year. Individual performance is assessed through the individual's Balanced Scorecard. Bonuses are used to reward those who demonstrate the firm-wide cultural values and who deliver superior performance in a way that is consistent with risk and compliance requirements.

Bonus awards are determined in accordance with the relevant provisions and guidance of the PRA's and Financial Conduct Authority's ('FCA's') Remuneration Code. All such awards may be subject to (i) deferral, (ii) performance adjustment in line with prevailing policies and (iii) claw-back in relation to bonus awards made to Material Risk Takers. The Company does not operate any long-term incentive schemes which are separate from the deferral programmes applied to annual variable remuneration.

When setting individual variable remuneration, the ratio between fixed and proposed variable remuneration is reviewed for reasonableness. The ratio of fixed and variable remuneration is capped at 1:2 for MRTs, however Controlled Function Staff are predominantly paid in fixed remuneration.

The Company encourages effective risk management, discourages excessive risk-taking and supports a strong and appropriate conduct culture. For the performance year ending March 2020, the Company's approach to risk adjustment was two-fold via ex-ante and ex-post risk adjustment. Ex-ante risk adjustment was performed through the setting of risk adjusted budget-contributions for each business unit, with risk limit structures that were consistent with those budgets. Aggregate bonus spend was determined with reference to these risk adjusted approaches. The Company operates two models of risk adjustment to reflect both the nature of the risks being taken by desks and the capital costs these risks incur. The models are used to inform the overall pool as well as department level pools. Their use helps to ensure that financial return is appropriately offset against the risk undertaken to achieve that return. The first model used is a Tier 1 Capital model and the second is a Total Regulatory Capital model. Ex-post risk adjustment is on-going through the mechanisms of performance adjustment in relation to the movement in the value of MUFG stock and the application of malus and clawback provisions on an individual or aggregate basis, where applicable.

Remuneration is not a formulaic computation as both quantitative and qualitative considerations are made in agreeing individual awards, as highlighted above. In addition comparability is undertaken of peers to ensure consistency in approach and relative remuneration when factoring in all the relevant inputs.

Directors' Report (continued)

Board Risk Committee

Membership

Name	Position
Gordon Sangster, Chair	Independent Non-Executive Director
Marshall Bailey	Independent Non-Executive Director (from 1 October 2020)
Stephen Jack	Independent Non-Executive Director
Eileen Taylor	Independent Non-Executive Director
William Fall, Chair	Independent Non-Executive Director (until 30 September 2020)

Responsibilities

The objective of the Board Risk Committee ('BRC') is to exercise oversight on behalf of the Board of Directors of the key risks of the Company and make recommendations to the Board on the Company's risk appetite and risk strategy.

In addition to the above, the BRC is also responsible for the Company's risk management framework, which describes the holistic approach to the Company's risk management systems of control over the business. It describes the risk management responsibilities and delegated authority of the Board to its underlying committees, departments and significant individuals.

Areas of focus during the year

The BRC held seven scheduled meetings and four ad hoc 'deep dive' meetings in 2020. Attendance at the meetings of the BRC during 2020 is outlined on page 13.

The BRC has a number of standing agenda items that it considers each year. These include:

- Risk Profile Update
- Review of the Risk Unit's business plan and a review of significant business initiatives included in the business plan
- Review of Risk Management Framework
- Review of the Risks Arising from Climate Change and the company's framework for managing those risks
- Review of initiatives to promote and reinforce a healthy Risk Culture
- Review of the Board Risk Appetite and recommendation to Board for approval
- Review of the CRO's Risk Control Self-Assessment relevant to risk management policies
- Review of Stress Testing Framework/Testing Scenarios
- Review of Individual Liquidity Adequacy Assessment Process
- Review of Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process
- Review of Recovery Plan
- Review of the Resolution Pack
- Review of the Risk input and Adjusted Performance Measures for the Remuneration Process
- Regulatory Risk and Change Review / Controls Framework Update
- Review of Asset & Liability Committee Reports
- Review of the Annual and Interim Pillar 3 Disclosure and recommendation to the Board
- Review of Financial Statements Risk Disclosure

In addition to the above standard agenda items, other areas of focus which the BRC had oversight of were the impact from COVID-19, Brexit preparedness, IBOR Transition, wider geopolitical events across EMEA, financial risks arising from climate change, cyber and technology risks, and material updates to limit changes and/or breaches.

Directors' Report (continued)

Stakeholder Engagement Report

MUFG is a global financial services group with a local presence. We are proud to be part of a global network which spans across EMEA, Asia Pacific, and the Americas. MUFG's aim is to create stability and wealth for its clients, and by extension, we support people and communities that rely on those businesses for the jobs and opportunities they provide, and the taxes contributed into the wider economy.

Well-functioning societies and economies require well-functioning and trusted financial systems. MUFG seeks to play an active, sustainable and socially responsible role within this so there is an obligation on us to be a responsible business, a business with a purpose beyond what we do as a company, contributing more than profit to society. We believe that regular, proactive and constructive engagement with our stakeholders is central to our sustainable and responsible business model.

Our values

Our values are defined by integrity and responsibility, professionalism and teamwork, and challenging ourselves to grow. We will always strive to be fair, transparent, and honest, acting responsibly in the best interest of clients and society as a whole. In this way we will build long-term stakeholder relationships and ensure we give back to our communities.

Within the organisation, we will respect and promote the diversity of our fellow workers and foster a strong spirit of teamwork, while, at the same time, expecting the highest levels of professionalism.

As we challenge ourselves to grow our world-wide business, we adopt a global perspective that allows us to anticipate trends and opportunities for growth. We support this through a responsive and dynamic workplace where everyone can focus on providing outstanding client service and embrace new challenges.

Section 172 of the Companies Act 2006

Below we set out the section 172(1) factors and supplementary text which specifically addresses these factors. These factors do not exist in isolation and need to be read together.

- **The longer term:** As described below our Directors' decisions are based on long term considerations. We see this as key to our vision to be the world's most trusted financial group. The Group participates in a wide range of initiatives and engagements for which long term considerations are inherent, such as the Principles for Responsible Investment and the Principles for Responsible Banking.
- **Our employees:** Please see 'Report on Culture and Workforce Engagement'.
- **Our relationships with suppliers, customers and others:** Please see 'Our clients' and 'Suppliers and supplier finance'.
- **Our impact on the community and the environment:** Please see 'Our community' and 'Environment (MUFG TCFD Compliant Statement)'.
- **Safeguarding our reputation:** Please see 'Culture, risk management and conduct risk'.
- **Acting fairly to our shareholders:** We are a wholly-owned subsidiary and work closely with our immediate parent and other Group companies to align our interests as a group of companies.

The Board takes decisions which are in the long term interests of the Company, its shareholder and its stakeholders. Each Director is personally committed to ensuring that the requirements of section 172 of the Companies Act 2006 are satisfied, and that the interests of our stakeholders are promoted by the Board. Examples of how the Board achieves this include:

- Standing agenda items at each Board meeting. For example, the regular CRO's report helps the Board to identify key risks which might impact the business and its stakeholders.
- Our annual Board agenda matrix includes certain items which the Board must consider at regular intervals, such as the Company's approach to health and safety.
- The Board receives bespoke training on its duties under the Companies Act 2006. The Company Secretary also reminds the Board at each Board meeting of the duties of the Directors.
- Checking that the business and our people achieve high standards of conduct. The Board is responsible for approving the Company's Risk Appetite Statement annually, which defines and assesses the degree of risk (including conduct risk) the Company is prepared to tolerate to achieve its objectives.

Information on the issues and factors which the Directors consider relevant under section 172 is contained in '*Challenges and Uncertainties*'.

The Board has identified these categories of key stakeholders of our business:

Clients	Community	Suppliers	Environment	Workforce
---------	-----------	-----------	-------------	-----------

The following sections of this report detail how we engage with our clients, community and suppliers and our responsibilities towards the environment. A separate report on pages 25 to 28 details our engagement with our workforce.

Directors' Report (continued)

Stakeholder Engagement Report (continued)

Our clients

MUFG's vision is to be the world's most trusted financial group; providing the highest quality service for clients while building sustainable trust with the communities in which we operate. This corporate vision serves as the underlying policy in conducting all of our activities, and provides guidelines for all group activities as we grow our business.

To achieve this vision, our highest priority is protecting the individual needs of our clients while maintaining a robust organisation that is extremely professional, flexible and responsive.

In order to bring the full power of MUFG to our clients, MUFG's corporate banking and securities activities in EMEA have been integrated enabling the product expertise and corporate loan deal flow of MUFG Bank to be combined with the structuring and distribution capabilities of the MUFG Securities international platform. This means we are able to optimise the outcomes for both our corporate and institutional investor client bases by leveraging an integrated end-to-end origination to distribution model. Activity across these integrated businesses is now overseen by a single CEO and European management committee. The Board of Directors plays a key role in shaping and overseeing the delivery of this strategy.

This integrated approach has yielded recognition from well-known industry titles such as The Banker, GlobalCapital, IFR and Euromoney.

Highlights from 2020 include:

- MUFG was recognised in Global Trade Review's ('GTR's') Best Deals list for 2020. MUFG was involved in six winning transactions, all of which are related to sustainability-linked and clean energy project financing facilities.
- MUFG has been ranked number one in Inspiratia's renewables financial adviser rankings for volume of transactions in H1 2020, having advised on three large-scale offshore wind farms in Asia and Europe, including the Seagreen Offshore Wind Farm.

Our community

Throughout 2020, the Company has continued with its strong community initiatives and engagement.

Our Corporate Social Responsibility ('CSR') strategy is founded on a determination to become the world's most trusted financial group. Building trusted relationships with our local communities across EMEA is pivotal to our long term success. Our CSR programme underpins that trust and enables us to actively respond to the needs of the communities in which we operate and deliver positive and sustainable impact.

In EMEA, our CSR activities have a particular focus on supporting young people, including helping them into employment and bridging the financial literacy gap, and protecting the natural environment. Working in partnership with charities, community groups and other companies, our regional CSR strategy for EMEA is made up of three pillars: financial education, employability and environment. Our employee CSR champions, mentors and other employee volunteers across EMEA are key drivers for our programme. With young people set to be significantly affected by the education, economic and mental health challenges of COVID-19, our CSR programme will play an even greater role going forward which aligns to MUS(EMEA)'s commitment to being a responsible business.

Four key objectives shape the investments and activities we undertake through our CSR programme in EMEA:

1. Support and inspire young people as they prepare for the world of work,
2. Focus on issues that are relevant to our business (financial education, employability and environment),
3. Increase the impact of our CSR programme through partnerships with charities, community groups and other companies, and
4. Deliver measurable and sustainable impact and create a legacy that will outlast our CSR activities and funding.

We at MUFG pride ourselves on supporting a range of charities and organisations that make a positive difference to communities locally and across the world, which has become even more important over the course of this year. In October 2020, colleagues across EMEA took part in 'Fitprints for Good', a month long fundraising challenge in aid of Laureus Sport for Good ('Laureus'), which aimed to collectively cover 21,525km – the distance from Johannesburg to London, via our regional offices. In light of regional restrictions, our MUFG colleagues from 15 offices found creative ways to clock up the kilometres safely, which included enjoying virtual dance workouts and much more. We are proud to have collectively covered almost 35,000 kilometres, raising over £45,000 in the process in aid of Laureus, contributing to their efforts to help end violence and discrimination against young people in the region.

In addition to Laureus, the Company has as part of its focus on financial education and employability, supported Junior Achievement Europe, by joining colleagues across Belgium, the Czech Republic, Italy and Poland in volunteering almost 2,000 hours to Junior Achievement Europe's financial education and employability programmes to develop crucial skills of more than 600 students. Further, as part of the Company's support for BIG Alliance, a charity with a mission of uniting businesses in the London Borough of Islington with their local community to create positive social change, volunteers took part in a number of BIG Alliance challenges throughout 2019 and 2020 to maintain the local green space in Islington and took part in a 9 month-long mentoring programme with four local schools.

Directors' Report (continued)

Stakeholder Engagement Report (continued)

Our community (continued)

In terms of future plans, MUS(EMEA) recognises that the current global pandemic has undoubtedly exacerbated many inequalities in society. Young people's education, job prospects and mental wellbeing have been largely impacted by Covid-19 and there is greater significance for a green recovery from the virus. Consequently:

- the CSR team is reshaping the current CSR strategy to best respond to the growing needs of our communities as a result of Covid-19. We are working closely with our charity partners to provide them with flexible and relevant support,
- employee engagement will continue to be a key driver for CSR in EMEA. The move to virtual volunteering and fundraising has connected colleagues across the region, and
- under the Environment pillar in the CSR strategy, the Green Team will be increasing their initiatives during 2021 with a view to raising awareness and increasing engagement in 2021 and beyond.

Suppliers and supplier finance

We work closely and collaboratively with all our suppliers to ensure that they supply services to the Company efficiently, responsibly and sustainably. The Board oversees a robust framework of supplier engagement, ongoing management and oversight supported by appropriate policies, processes and risk management processes that are kept under constant review to ensure that the best practice standards are always maintained.

MUFG has implemented a supplier management programme which is designed to ensure that all third party supplier arrangements are subject to industry best practice principles, processes, templates, and tools. This will help MUFG maximise relationship value and minimise risk during the entire supplier relationship lifecycle.

The foundation for effective management of our suppliers is based on the following elements:

- Relationship management and development - Working collaboratively with suppliers to build trust and maximise the mutual value of supplier relationships.
- Cost management - An integral part of supplier selection, commodity management and ongoing planning. Effective cost management is not a one-off approach at MUFG to reduce its costs, but an ongoing expectation that is built into supplier relationships.
- Risk management - Effective oversight of the risks a supplier brings into the supply chain, which can open up opportunities to drive continuous improvement and create value.
- Contract management - A structured approach to good contract governance ensures all engagements are covered by valid contracts, and all key contract terms and obligations are fully understood, monitored and acted upon.
- Performance management - A structured approach to ensure that business requirements are consistently met by proactively managing service levels via key performance indicators developed from contractual service level agreements.
- Transactional efficiency - Identifying inefficiencies and waste in the transactional processes used by both parties to make the process of working together easier.

During the Covid-19 pandemic we have maintained good communications with our suppliers and have maintained our access to the supply of goods and services. We have not been adversely impacted by supplier finances during this time. Further, our Supplier Relationship Management framework has been effective and extremely important during the Covid-19 pandemic as it has ensured open communications between parties which has provided early insight to any supplier chain issues.

UK third party supplier contracts where EMEA offices take some benefit have been amended to reflect the UK exiting the EU at the end of December 2020.

During 2020 there has been a focus on ensuring intra-group arrangements (i.e. services being provided between entities, are fit for purpose). Where necessary documentation has been or will be redrafted and stored in a central contract repository. These arrangements are subject to similar due diligence as a third party supplier arrangement.

To oversee our suppliers effectively, we use multiple approaches, including:

- We expect all of our suppliers to comply with our CSR standards, such as those relating to modern slavery, data protection, human rights and ethics.
- Having key policies in place designed to ensure that all third party supplier arrangements are subject to industry 'best practice' in terms of competitive bidding, risk evaluation, contract negotiation, contract drafting, contract approval, contract sign-off and subsequent oversight of supplier performance throughout the entire contract lifecycle.
- Key controls are in place throughout the entire lifecycle including the oversight of the end to end procurement process which encompasses: supplier engagement, tenders, on-boarding, contract negotiations and annual reviews.
- As we are a UK regulated entity subject to both UK and European rules and regulations – we seek to ensure compliance to the highest standards with all applicable outsourcing requirements – as our customers, counterparties and our regulators need the utmost confidence in the reliability and complete integrity of core systems and platforms used in our financial services business and activities.
- To enable ongoing compliance with our regulatory obligations and our own corporate governance requirements – the Company's supplier management framework outlines the clear roles and responsibilities for managing and reporting on supplier risk in order to ensure the expectations of the Board are met.

Directors' Report (continued)

Stakeholder Engagement Report (continued)

Environment (MUFG TCFD Compliant Statement)

The Company is working with the MUFG group to develop consistent application of the disclosure recommendations from MUFG's participation in the Task-force for Climate-related Financial Disclosure ('TCFD'). The below statement is additional and supplementary to any TCFD disclosure made at MUFG group level which can be found on MUFG's website.

MUFG acknowledges the importance of the realisation of a sustainable environment and society, such as promoting the growth of renewable energy where MUFG has acted as an arranger of clean energy project finance in the solar, hydropower, wind, and geothermal energy sectors. MUFG will grow its business in the issuance of green, social and sustainability bonds and ESG loans.

MUFG supports and participates in a number of climate-related international agreements and initiatives:

- Paris Agreement
- The Financial Stability Board's Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures
- Principles for Responsible Investment
- United Nations Environment Programme Finance Initiative
- Signatory to the UN Principles of Responsible Banking
- Principles for Financial Action for the 21st Century
- 'CDP' (formerly the Carbon Disclosure Project)
- Adopter of Equator Principles.

Key Strategic Developments

MUFG globally aims to provide a cumulative total of 20 trillion yen in sustainable finance (including 8 trillion yen for environment) from 2019 to 2030 to help build a sustainable society and attain the SDGs through the provision of financial services to our clients. It is actively targeting seven SDGs identified as priorities that must be addressed.

MUFG Environmental Policies and Framework

In 2018 MUFG established the MUFG Environmental Policy Statement as its fundamental approach to addressing environmental issues and declared its intention to realise a sustainable society by 'actively working towards finding solutions to global environmental issues through our business activities' and by responding 'appropriately when our operations result in environmental risks or negative impacts, by reducing the environmental impacts of our operations'.

Additionally, MUFG established the 'MUFG Environmental and Social Policy Framework' ('the ES Framework') in 2018 to understand and manage environmental and social risk and opportunities within the Group's business activities and conduct financing with consideration for the environment and society. In May 2020, the ES Framework was updated to reflect MUFG's long-term commitment to build sustainable growth with its clients and society as a key mission and management vision of MUFG. As part of this mission, MUFG is advancing initiatives with goals including protecting the global environment and promoting human rights.

Note that these policies are set at the Group level and intend to reflect the Group's global approach to sustainability issues.

MUFG has established its Sustainable Business Office to deliver financial solutions to support medium to long-term changes in the environment and society. MUFG has a CSR committee to oversee a number of ESG matters supplemented by regional CSR initiatives to support local communities.

The Company's Reputational Risk Management Policy is key part of its governance framework. This is described in more detail under the Risk Management section below.

Governance

The MUS(EMEA) Board is responsible for the governance and oversight of climate change, with responsibility for the oversight of risks and opportunities arising from climate change delegated to the BRC.

The primary management governance body for climate change risk is the EMEA Risk Management Committee ('ERMC') which reports to the BRC. However all levels of governance have responsibilities for risks arising from climate change with the ERMC's core sub-committees responsible for managing risks across the core risk types (credit, market, operational risk, reputational).

The CRO is the Senior Manager responsible for managing the risks arising from climate change, specifically through the design and implementation of the risk management framework. Given the breadth of climate change and the potential impact across the business all senior managers are responsible for managing risks arising from climate change pertinent to their part of business.

Directors' Report (continued)

Stakeholder Engagement Report (continued)

Risk Management

Climate change presents both risks and opportunities for the Company across its customers, business operations and wider stakeholders. The Company recognises climate change risk covers both physical risks (i.e. the impact of acute weather events and chronic changes to the climate) and transitional risks (i.e. the impact of shifts to a low-carbon economy).

The Company is developing its approach and framework to identify measures, manage and report on financial risks and opportunities arising from climate change with particular focus on governance, risk management, scenario analysis and disclosure. A formal project is underway to meet the expectations of the Company's stakeholders, both internal and external.

Responsibilities for climate change are being assigned across the Three Lines of Defence as with other risk types. Further information on the risk management framework and Three Lines of Defence model is in Business and Risk management policies on pages 30 to 40.

Finally, the Company is committed to aligning its approaches to identifying, managing and mitigating climate change financial risk with UK supervisory and regulatory guidance. It expects to have implemented and fully embedded such measures by the end of 2021. The Company recognises that the challenge to respond to the climate change crisis will require review, refinement and enhancement over the coming years.

Energy and Carbon Reporting

During the first quarter of 2020 the Company managed its impact on the environment partly through the use of agile working which naturally reduces the volume of printed materials and waste in the office. Video conferencing facilities for international meetings instead of physical travel have been promoted and implemented where possible. During the remainder of 2020 the Company's working environment shifted due to the COVID-19 pandemic with the majority of staff working from home. Due to travel restrictions and lower energy usage in the London office the Company's CO₂ emissions have been reduced. However, during the last quarter of 2020 there was increased demand for out of hours air conditioning which was mostly related to the COVID-19 requirements to ventilate office floors in line with Government guidance. As travel restrictions are lifted, the Company expects travel emissions to rise but will continue to promote video conferencing facilities and agile working practices. The internal Green Team, made up of volunteers from across the Company, continues to operate by raising awareness of environmental and sustainable initiatives to all staff. The Company is committed to supporting environmental initiatives which is a core pillar within the Company's CSR strategy.

The measurements below take direction from the Greenhouse Gas Protocol for measuring emissions, covering three scopes. Scope 1 is direct emissions created on site. Scope 2 is indirect emissions from the use of electricity and energy in the Company's premises. Scope 3 are indirect emissions as a results of activities undertaken by staff in the performance of their responsibilities (for example, business travel).

The below figures include certain estimates for 2019 (amount of emissions from employee used vehicles and emissions from employee business travel in the first quarter of 2019). Additionally, the energy consumption from employees working from home during the COVID-19 pandemic is not captured in the figures below.

	<i>Units</i>	Current Reporting Year 2020	Comparative Reporting Year 2019
Electricity	<i>kwh</i>	2,743,852	3,059,007
Gas	<i>kwh</i>	169,630	74,683
Total	<i>kwh</i>	2,913,482	3,133,690

Emissions from Combustion of Gas	<i>tCO₂</i>	31	14
Emissions from business travel in rental-cars or employee owned vehicles where company is responsible for purchasing the fuel	<i>tCO₂</i>	1	8
Emissions from Purchased Electricity	<i>tCO₂</i>	708	842
Emissions from employee business travel which the company does not own or control and where not responsible for purchasing the fuel	<i>tCO₂</i>	341	1,829
Total Gross CO₂ based on above	<i>tCO₂</i>	1,081	2,693

Intensity Ratio		
tCO₂/£1m revenue	2.4	7.2

Directors' Report (continued)

Culture and Workforce Engagement

The below report represents the second such report on workforce engagement.

Introduction

The Board of Directors appointed Eileen Taylor (Chair of the Joint Remuneration Committee) as the Company's Workforce Engagement Ambassador in 2019. Since Ms Taylor's appointment, she has held regular focus groups with employees at different levels in partnership with HR. The purpose of the focus groups is to gather qualitative feedback on employee morale, and to listen to concerns from a cross-section of the UK workforce. Following a pause at the beginning of the first national lockdown of 2020, these were restarted and continue to be undertaken on a virtual basis.

The Company places considerable value on how we engage with our employees and the importance of keeping them well informed and involved in matters affecting them as employees. Employees are given the opportunity to share feedback on a wide range of matters affecting their current and future interests. This connectivity and open dialogue is driven through a variety of avenues, including formal and informal meetings, employee networks and committees, the Company's intranet and regular internal communications.

At the beginning of 2020, the Company recognised the increasing threat of Covid-19 and took the decision to reduce the spread of the infection whilst maintaining business continuity by requiring that over 90% of the UK workforce work remotely, ahead of the Government's decision to impose the first national lockdown in March 2020.

Following the first national lockdown, the Company recognised the challenges to employees with childcare and other dependant responsibilities and encouraged staff to prioritise their families by adopting alternative working hours and/or making use of special leave. The Company also identified the increasing challenges for employee wellbeing and helped support this by ensuring employees received informative internal communications that stressed the Company's actions and initiatives across the EMEA region to support employee mental and physical wellbeing during the crisis. This included:

- A new intranet hub to support various challenges being reported by employees around working remotely ('Working Remotely Resources Hub').
- Tools to support employee wellbeing and health, such as resilience training, an increase in meetings between Mental Health First Aiders and employees working with dependants, access to external suppliers and programs to support employees living in isolation and those on assignment.
- Creation of a Covid-specific intranet page to house the latest information, resources, and FAQs and return to the office support.
- A survey to gather information on how employees are feeling, appetite to return to office, return to office handbooks, recognition for essential workers.
- Launch of the Gigs initiative, with the purpose of supporting individuals and teams who needed additional resource whilst providing development of more junior colleagues through short term projects and assignments.

As a consequence of the activities undertaken, we are pleased to report that the MUFG Employee Survey reported a 16% improvement in overall leadership scores within the Company. Most notably, there has been a 19% improvement in perception of senior management interest in the well-being of employees, bringing us 7% above the financial services norm (according to Willis Towers Watson benchmark data).

Employee feedback on the Company's management of the situation was generally positive. In particular, employees supported the increased and open communication from senior management, with greater visibility of the CEO. The Company held regular townhalls with staff to share information on various matters including business performance and return to office plans. Confidence in the strategic decisions taken by MUFG's senior management increased by 14% in 2020. The sustainable engagement score for the Company increased by 6%. Other important contributing factors to engagement such as work environment and operational efficiencies showed statistically significant improvements.

Board engagement

The Company's workforce engagement framework is intended to:

- ensure the views and concerns of the workforce are taken into account by the Board and other committees, particularly when they are making decisions that could affect the workforce; and
- ensure the workforce is informed of why particular steps have or have not been taken to address workforce concerns along with the related feedback on the steps taken.

The framework allowed management, the workforce engagement Ambassador and the Board to gain greater context on the employee experience, inform decision-making (particularly in relation to Covid-19 and working from home arrangements) and communicate clearly to employees on issues most important to them.

The Board is committed to ensuring that the views and concerns of the workforce are considered by the Board and its committees, particularly when they are making decisions that could affect the workforce.

Our framework

Our people are the key to our success, and how we engage with them on key issues has always been a critical part of our culture and workforce engagement initiatives. Our leaders and managers are primarily accountable and responsible for the engagement of our employees, and we ensure they are equipped with appropriate tools – such as useful people data, employee feedback, guides and training - to manage this alongside expertise and support from our HR team.

Directors' Report (continued)

Culture and Workforce Engagement (continued)

The role of the Workforce Engagement Ambassador

Our Workforce Engagement Ambassador is involved directly in workforce initiatives, in addition to acting as a link between the workforce and the Board. The Workforce Engagement Ambassador works closely with HR to gather information from appropriate fora and sources to assess and determine key themes relating to Workforce Engagement at the firm, ensuring these key themes are championed at Board level. Themes include culture and engagement, inclusion and diversity, talent management, and recognition.

The focus group discussions led by the Workforce Engagement Ambassador have invited colleagues across all corporate titles and business areas to share experiences at MUFG. Discussions have focused on areas such as feedback, management support through the Covid-19 pandemic, leadership communication, well-being, reward and recognition, and embedding a 'Listen up, Speak up' culture in line with our culture principles.

On a monthly basis, the Workforce Engagement Ambassador meets with the Chief HR Officer for EMEA to discuss relevant key developments, events, fora and staff initiatives. Relevant HR topics such as key themes in grievances and employee disputes are also discussed. The Workforce Engagement Ambassador is also invited to attend all events and fora as she sees fit and has access to minutes and actions coming out of all relevant meetings.

On a bi-annual basis, the Workforce Engagement Ambassador (supported by HR) formally reports to the Board on key themes arising from her engagement with MUFG's people. However, where appropriate or timely, this is done so more regularly. HR also provides updates to the Board on related areas such as engagement and culture, inclusion and diversity, employee metrics, and in 2020 this included actions taken to support employees through the Covid-19 pandemic.

Opportunities to raise concerns in confidence

We acknowledge that there may be occasions where employees may want to raise concerns or escalate issues on a confidential basis. To enable this, the Company has a number of options in place for employees to speak up in confidence and/or get help and support, including:

- Our Whistleblowing Policy and dedicated hotline to report concerns
- Our Compliance team
- Our HR Business Partners
- The Employee Assistance Programme
- Our Mental Health First Aiders.

Our Chief HR Officer and Head of Inclusion and Diversity meet regularly with the Mental Health First Aiders in order to understand the concerns of employees. In order to improve visibility of mental health first aiders, our November 2020 employee call focused specifically on mental health and wellbeing, including a mental health first aider speaking about her experiences.

The Company also conducted an additional Covid-19 Employee Survey in May 2020 in order to allow employees to share their perspectives, raise concerns anonymously, and inform decisions on our 'New Normal' and return to office plans for the UK. A strong majority, 88% indicated they believe that their health and wellbeing had been and remains a priority throughout the pandemic. Further, 88% of employees felt communication from leaders and direct managers has been effective.

Culture

MUFG's vision is to be the world's most trusted financial group. The Company has a culture which reflects the core values of MUFG Group; Client Centricity, Achievement and 'One MUFG'. This is further reinforced and embedded by the Company's Cultural Programme in line with MUFG's Culture Principles.

We are all leaders of culture by aligning behind a common vision and strategy, and role modelling the following MUFG culture principles:

- Client centric.
- People focused.
- Listen up, speak up.
- Innovate and simplify.
- Own and execute.

Our culture initiatives are led by the Human Resources function in partnership with leaders and employees, with regular progress updates presented to the Board. In 2020 the Culture Programme progressed with the following initiatives:

- The integration of the Engagement and Awareness Surveys globally.
- Formation of the Culture Working Group, a cross-departmental group focusing on MUS(EMEA) culture initiatives.
- The continued appointment of Executive Secondes to the EMEA Management Committee, in order to provide the voice of the employee at leadership level and to lead on strategic employee-led projects.
- The continued appointment of a group of cultural influencers led by Executive Secondes, tasked with developing tangible actions to effect cultural change in the organisation.
- The continuation of the Excellence Awards EMEA - personal and team achievements that exemplified behaviours against each Culture Goal, Inclusion and Diversity and CSR were highlighted on an all employee call. Employees appreciated the value of this event in recognising positive behaviours and successes aligned to our target culture.

Directors' Report (continued)

Culture and Workforce Engagement (continued)

Culture (continued)

- The continuation of regular Managing Director and all employee calls to enable senior management to disseminate key messages in an honest forum and create an opportunity to ask questions of senior regional leaders.
- Implementation of the annual MUS(EMEA) mentoring programmes, with over 400 employees taking part across the region.

In light of the challenges of Covid-19, all events and initiatives were moved online through virtual sessions, enabling greater connection and engagement region-wide.

As the Covid-19 pandemic continues, it is becoming increasingly evident that the Company needs to think about and adjust to a 'New Normal' workplace, shifting from 'crisis management' to a more 'strategic management' approach encompassing; enhanced operational resilience, improved employee engagement and overall efficiency. The New Normal Steering committee has been established with representative sponsors across Change Management, Operations, Technology, Corporate Services, Human Resources, Risk Management, Communications, Legal, Planning and Front Office businesses. The committee will build and review plans for areas such as property strategy, business process innovation, agile working, technology and collaboration tools.

Opportunities to speak up and shape our firm

MUFG has established a number of fora to actively support its Culture strategies. These focus on ensuring that the opinions and perspectives of our workforce on key issues affecting employees are heard and can be fed into the firm's overarching priorities. This creates a culture of collaboration across our business and ensures we are continuously building an inclusive working environment. These include:

- MUFG's Culture Committee and Culture Influencer network.
- MUFG's EMEA Employee Network Programme (covering Inclusion and Diversity priorities including gender, multi-culturalism, disability and mental health, LGBTQ+ and family). The Social Mobility network was also established in 2020, focused on supporting and attracting individuals from lower social and economic backgrounds within the community and in MUFG.
- The EMEA Inclusion & Diversity Steering Committee. This is made up of leaders, managers, employees, and network chairs to ensure representation for all.
- MUFG Connect – a committee focused on bringing employees together through fun activities which support individuals' social, mental and physical wellbeing. This network was re-launched at the end of 2020, with a broader focus on all facets of wellbeing, and to connect people virtually during the Covid-19 pandemic.
- Focus Groups, coffee mornings and forums run by the EMEA Employee Network Programme and Inclusion & Diversity team. MUFG increased the number of sessions offered for different groups in order to support individuals through the pandemic and create more space for discussion on racial inclusion at MUFG. In particular, Mosaic and HR worked in partnership to facilitate discussions between the CEO, the Head of Human Resources and employees on the topic of ethnicity and racial inclusion to identify key actions to be delivered.
- Sub-fora such as the Japanese Women and Parents & Parents-to-be groups.
- Employee focus groups with the Workforce Engagement Ambassador and Human Resources management in order to gather employee feedback on a wide range of topics related to the work environment and engagement.

The effectiveness of these fora is regularly reported to the Board in the engagement, HR and I&D reports.

Opportunities to feed back in Employee Surveys

The Company encourages ongoing feedback from all of its employees so it can hear what really matters to its people. In addition to the regular forums for providing feedback, MUFG holds periodic surveys, including the Global MUFG Employee Survey, which in 2020 merged the Engagement Survey and MUFG Awareness Survey.

The 2020 completion rate for the Company and MUFG Bank was 73%, up from 66% in 2019. All EMEA engagement categories have improved since 2019. For 2021, focus areas for further improvement are leadership, operational efficiency, talent management, sustainable development goals and transformation.

The Board receives detailed engagement result analysis and regularly seeks information on what the Company is doing to continuously improve.

Inclusion and Diversity

Our vision is for MUFG to fully embrace diversity and actively embed an inclusive approach to everything we do, attaching a high value to the variety of backgrounds, experiences, perspectives, skills and expertise that our employees bring to work every day. This will give MUFG the platform to achieve sustainable growth in the increasingly diverse, competitive, sophisticated and global environment in which we do business.

Inclusion requires proactive, continued dialogue and positive action to address culture and reform people processes. An inclusive culture also requires a degree of diversity in the first instance. However, just because an organisation is diverse, does not mean it is inclusive. So, whilst we continue to focus on diversity, building an inclusive culture is key and remains a critical driver of our culture goals of 'One MUFG', client centricity and achievement.

Directors' Report (continued)

Culture and Workforce Engagement (continued)

Inclusion and Diversity (continued)

Recent key highlights of the Inclusion and Diversity ('I&D') programme include:

- Over 60 I&D events were held throughout EMEA during 2020, covering topics including gender, multiculturalism, race and ethnicity, social mobility, LGBTQ+ rights, mental health and wellbeing, disability and family.
- MUFG signed the Race at Work Charter in 2020, and appointed Mr Winter as the accountable executive for Race.
- In order to support greater allyship and embed a more inclusive workplace, MUS(EMEA) ran its first 'Inclusion Week' in September 2020, focusing on intersectionality and allyship. The week combined external speakers with internal training and panellists who discussed ways to improve inclusion and become better allies for one another.
- MUFG agreed a global Pride logo in 2020 to be used throughout Pride month, as well as wider LGBTQ+ initiatives and on external marketing materials.
- Female and BAME insight days were held during November - designed as an informative session to promote MUFG to prospective graduate applicants and to dispel pre-conceived ideas about working in financial services by providing information about careers within finance.
- MUFG was ranked in the Top 75 employers by the UK Social Mobility index for the first time in 2020, jumping 29 places in the rankings.
- We continue to profile our Mental Health First Aider programme, utilising the 48 employees who were accredited during 2018 to signpost employees to resources and support. The Mental Health First Aiders meet regularly with the Head of Human Resources and Head of Inclusion and Diversity in order to understand themes and trends, and take action to continuously support employee wellbeing.
- MUFG completed the Working Families Benchmarking Survey and the Bloomberg Gender Equality index in collaboration with the global I&D team.
- MUFG implemented a reverse mentoring scheme with EMEA senior management whereby leaders were matched with female talent across the region in order to share experiences, influence decision making, and provide development opportunities for all participants.
- The Family network and HR held calls with parents at MUFG to provide a safe space to share experiences and resources, particularly whilst parents balanced childcare and work responsibilities through Covid-19 lockdowns.
- MUFG celebrated Black History month with a series of events focused on celebrating the history and achievements of members of the black community, leveraging this opportunity to raise awareness of issues affecting ethnic minority employees in the workplace and society. Our flagship event with Olympic Athlete Martin Johnson was opened by the Chairman of the Board.
- Implementation of Mental Health Awareness week, aimed at reducing the stigma around mental health. The events held were focused on supporting individuals to have open conversations about mental wellbeing, and look after themselves and each other throughout the pandemic.
- We are participating in the 30% Club mentoring scheme, through which 10 mentors and 10 mentees from MUFG are participating in cross-company mentorship. The 30% Club campaign was set up by Dame Helena Morrissey in 2010 with the aim of achieving a minimum of 30% female representation on FTSE 100 boards.

In 2020, MUFG in EMEA saw a 7% improvement in survey scores relating to 'active steps being taken to improve both inclusion and diversity' and an 8% improvement in responses to the question 'MUFG treats all employees with respect and values diverse perspectives'.

The Board receives regular updates on key metrics, actions and concerns relating to Inclusion and Diversity, in order to influence decision making and hold leaders accountable for taking action.

Embedding knowledge and understanding through Equality Training

In 2020, our EMEA Management Committee completed unconscious bias training in order to understand and mitigate personal bias in their actions and decision making. The newly launched 'Managing for Excellence' training, rolled out to 230+ managers across MUFG(EMEA) and MUFG Bank in EMEA, also includes modules on managing diverse teams and managing across cultures.

The EMEA Inclusion & Diversity team launched an Allyship toolkit following inclusion week to support employees in developing themselves as effective and proactive allies in the workplace and the community.

Recognition

The 'MUFG Excellence Awards EMEA' is our annual awards programme for senior management to recognise colleagues who have gone above and beyond in their normal roles to deliver success to the business. Putting the spotlight on some of the outstanding role models we have in our business celebrates success but also encourages us all to do better.

Employees

It is the policy of the Company to give full and fair consideration to applications for employment from disabled persons, to continue wherever possible the employment of members of staff who may become disabled and to ensure that suitable training, career development and promotion are encouraged. The Company places considerable value on the involvement of its employees and has continued to keep them informed on personnel policies or issues, matters affecting them as employees and on the various factors affecting the performance of the Company. This is achieved through formal and informal meetings, the Company's intranet and regular internal communications. Employee representatives are consulted regularly on a wide range of matters affecting their current and future interests. These communications help to achieve a common awareness amongst employees of the financial and economic factors affecting the performance of the Company and the broader MUFG companies.

Statement of Directors' Responsibilities in Respect of the Strategic Report, Directors' Report and the Financial Statements

The Directors are responsible for preparing the Strategic Report, the Directors' Report and the financial statements in accordance with applicable law and regulations.

Company law requires the Directors to prepare financial statements for each financial year. Under that law the Directors have elected to prepare the financial statements in accordance with IFRS as adopted by the European Union.

Under company law the Directors must not approve the financial statements unless they are satisfied that they give a true and fair view of the state of affairs of the company and of the profit or loss of the company for that period. In preparing these financial statements, International Accounting Standard 1 requires that Directors:

- properly select and apply accounting policies
- present information, including accounting policies, in a manner that provides relevant, reliable, comparable and understandable information
- provide additional disclosures when compliance with the specific requirements in IFRSs are insufficient to enable users to understand the impact of particular transactions, other events and conditions on the entity's financial position and financial performance, and
- make an assessment of the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

The Directors are responsible for keeping adequate accounting records that are sufficient to show and explain the Company's transactions and disclose with reasonable accuracy at any time the financial position of the Company and enable them to ensure that the financial statements comply with the Companies Act 2006. They are also responsible for safeguarding the assets of the Company and hence for taking reasonable steps for the prevention and detection of fraud and other irregularities.

The Directors are responsible for the maintenance and integrity of the corporate and financial information included on the Company's website. Legislation in the United Kingdom governing the preparation and dissemination of financial statements may differ from legislation in other jurisdictions.

By order of the Board



Christopher Kyle
Director
25 Ropemaker Street
London EC2Y 9AJ
11 March 2021

Business and Risk Management Policies

Risk Management Framework

The Company maintains positions in financial instruments as an integral part of daily market activities. These positions are held as part of portfolios that are maintained and monitored by instrument or risk type. The risk appetite is set by the Board and individual trading areas are allocated risk limits based on a wide range of market factors and are required to maintain portfolios within those limits. As such they are responsible for maintaining hedges in the portfolios.

A more detailed explanation of risk strategy and factors is given below.

Committees and Corporate Structure

1. Board

The responsibility for risk management resides with the Board, with support from the Board Risk Committee ('BRC'). As part of the Company's business strategy, the Board considers the risks to which the Company is exposed, and specifies an appetite and management strategy for each of these risks. The primary financial, operational and reputational risks are defined and discussed in further detail in the following sections.

The Board has approved an enterprise-wide risk management framework for the Company which describes the Company's approach to risk appetite, strategy, governance, reporting and controls to ensure that risks taken are appropriately measured, monitored, reported, controlled and limited to the confines of the Company's risk appetite. The Board is ultimately responsible for reviewing the adequacy of the enterprise-wide risk management framework. The Directors consider that the framework currently in place is adequate.

2. Board Risk Committee

The objective of the BRC is to exercise oversight on behalf of the Board of the key risks of the Company and shall review and make recommendations to the Board on: (a) the Company's risk appetite and risk strategy; (b) the Company's risk culture to ensure that it supports the Company's risk appetite. In addition to the above, the Committee is also responsible for the Company's risk management framework (incorporating principles, policies, methodologies, systems, processes, procedures and people).

As at 31 December 2020, the BRC comprised of the Independent Non-Executive Directors, including the Chair of the Board. The BRC is supported by the regular attendance of the CRO and CFO who is the primary contributor of capital and liquidity metrics.

3. Risk structure and other committees

Day-to-day risk management is the responsibility of all employees of the Company. Accountability for second line risk management, with the exception of compliance and conduct risk, resides with the CRO, who reports directly to the CEO and the BRC. Market, credit, operational, reputational and model risk are overseen by the EMEA Risk Management Committee ('ERMC') supported by its underlying sub-committees.

Valuation risk is overseen by the Valuations Working Group ('VWG'). Liquidity and capital risk is overseen by the Asset and Liability Committee ('ALCO'), which is chaired by the CFO. Compliance, conduct and legal risk are overseen by the Joint Compliance Committee ('JCC'). Second line risk management of compliance risk and conduct risk resides with the Chief Compliance Officer ('CCO'), who reports directly to the CEO.


Each of these executive sub-committees report to the EMEA Executive Committee, which reports directly to the Board. In addition, the ERMC reports to the BRC, via the CRO.

The EMEA Executive Control Committee was established during the financial year as an advisory committee to support the CEO with oversight and management of the controls framework.

MUFG Securities EMEA plc

Business and Risk Management (continued)

The Company's risk committee and corporate structure as at 31 December 2020 is illustrated below:



(1) Committee covers MUS(EMEA) and MUFG Bank London branch

(2) Committee covers MUS(EMEA), MUS(EU), and MUFG Bank London branch

(3) Committee covers MUS(EMEA) and MUS(EU)

Three Lines of Defence

Line 1 - Business Management – Front Office and functional support departments

Department Heads and all Front Office staff are responsible for:

- Identifying, managing and owning the risks inherent in their business activities
- Identifying the necessary appetite for those risks required to support their business plan and the overall strategy of the business
- Remaining within the established risk appetite and in adherence with the framework and its related policies and procedures
- Self-identifying risks and issues and driving remediation of control weaknesses
- Supervision, ensuring competence and training of their staff
- Escalating risk issues to the appropriate Committee i.e. EMEA Executive Committee, EMEA Management Committee, ERM and ALCO, where relevant.

Line 2 - Challenge and Risk Control – Risk Departments, Compliance and other control support departments

- Independent of Front Office, led by the CRO, CFO and the CCO
- Enable the Company to maintain a system of checks and balances
- Implementation of the framework in conjunction with the first line comprising risk appetite and risk policies
- Setting the risk appetite in conjunction with the first line
- Ensuring that the business operates within the appetite established
- Challenge the business strategy to ensure it aligns with the framework
- Self-identifying risks and issues and driving remediation of control weaknesses
- Escalate risk issues to the appropriate Committee i.e. ERM, TPVC, ALCO and where appropriate to the EMEA Management Committee or EMEA Executive Committee
- The Risk function and the ERM have a reporting line to the Board and the BRC, independent of the CEO.

Line 3 - Assurance – Internal Audit

- Assurance role carried out by Internal Audit
- Independent opinion to Senior Management and the Audit Committee of the Board
- Objective appraisal of the adequacy and effectiveness of the internal control systems designed and installed by Senior Management and their remediation
- Reports to Senior Management on whether the control systems are fulfilling, or are likely to fulfil, the control objectives of the Company
- Independent reporting line to the Chair of the Audit Committee of the Board.

Business and Risk Management (continued)

Risk Appetite

Central to the Company's risk management is a clear risk appetite, consistent with its business profile and plans, as well as a strong and independent review and challenge structure. This facilitates optimisation of risk/return and assists Senior Management to effectively control and coordinate risk taking across the business. The Company's risk appetite is specified by the Board through a number of metrics including capital, liquidity, earnings volatility, market, operational and credit risk. It is reviewed at regular meetings of the Board and recalibrated annually as part of the Company's budget and planning process. The risk appetite is cascaded through the Company via the allocation of limits to front office departments and individual traders.

Risk limits impose an upper constraint on the level of exposure to a particular factor or a combination of factors. Limits are imposed to express the Board and Senior Management's appetite for certain risk types and to facilitate prudent allocation of such risk appetite to individual risk takers or group of risk takers, taking client needs and revenue targets into consideration. These are set at the Company, business unit, department, and trader level and risk limits are monitored daily.

The establishment of the risk appetite is largely a top down process and is supplemented and reinforced by a bottom up approach to risk identification, the results of which are maintained in the Company's risk register.

The Company establishes and is subject to risk management policies. These policies formalise the behaviours and standards expected in support of the risk culture. Policies are established across each primary risk type to formalise the processes by which business activities should fall within the appetite for each risk. Additionally, risk policies are established to ensure quality of risk measurement, risk monitoring, and appropriate avenues for escalation to occur.

The Company has established formal processes governing new activities (i.e. new business, complex transactions and new product mandates), which support the identification of any additional risk to the Company and ensure that the risks related to the proposal are within the risk appetite of the Company and has the support of the Company's risk management functions.

Capital Adequacy

The Company monitors its capital adequacy on an ongoing basis and conducts a formal annual Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process ('ICAAP') in which it assesses its risks, controls and capital.

The Board is involved in all the key elements of the ICAAP and approves the business and capital plans, Risk Appetite Statement, stress testing framework and oversees the preparation of the ICAAP document. The ICAAP process is closely aligned with the strategy setting and business planning process as well as the process for identification, measurement and control of those risks.

Stress testing is used to assess the impact of severe but plausible financial stresses on either individual or multiple risk factors and to determine appropriate capital buffers. The Company manages its risk and capital resources with the objective of maintaining a regulatory ratio comfortably in excess of the minimum capital resources required by the regulators.

Stress Testing

The Company has a stress testing framework that includes scenario stress testing (comprising macroeconomic and event stress testing based upon forward looking, historical and reverse stress testing), as well as single risk factor stress tests (which are designed to identify and quantify risk concentrations to particular risk factors). Results of stress testing are calculated at the Company level and also by department and business line, and reported regularly to Senior Management.

Risk Management by Risk Type

Market Risk

Market risk is the risk of losses from movements in market prices in the trading portfolio. The Company uses a variety of risk measures to quantify and control this risk, with the overall objective of ensuring that potential losses arising from market risk remain within the appetite set by the Board:

- Value at Risk ('VaR'), Stressed Value at Risk ('SVaR'), and Incremental Risk Charge ('IRC') measures provide aggregate indicators of potential losses, subject to stated confidence levels and holding periods
- Risk factor sensitivities measure the impact of moves in each risk factor, allowing concentrations of risk to be identified and controlled
- Stress testing is used to monitor and control the exposure of the portfolio to extreme moves in market rates and prices. A range of stress tests is run, covering exposures to relevant market factors and scenarios in various market conditions
- Stop loss and drawdown limits monitor actual losses at the Company, business unit, department, and trader level.

Day-to-day responsibility for the management of market risk resides with the front office departments and responsibility for second line review, challenge and oversight is with the Market Risk Management department. The Risk Analytics Group is responsible for the design of new market risk management models. Daily market risk reports are prepared for senior management and trading departments using the Company's in house and vendor systems.

The Company makes use of a range of internal models for the quantification of market risk.

MUFG Securities EMEA plc

Business and Risk Management (continued)

VaR Modelling

The VaR of a trading book is an estimate of the potential loss on risk positions as a result of movements in market rates and prices over a specific time horizon and to a given confidence level.

The Company uses VaR methodologies to monitor the price risks arising from different trading books across portfolios. This is measured based on a 1 day holding period using confidence levels of 99% and 95% for regulatory and internal VaR respectively. The internal VaR confidence level was changed from 99% to 95% in 2020, to align measurements across the MUFG group.

Actual profit and loss outcomes are also monitored to test the validity of the assumptions made in the calculation of VaR. The VaR outputs are based on a full revaluation historical simulation model and a 2 year and 1 year data window for regulatory and internal VaR respectively.

The Company additionally calculates SVaR using an appropriately stressed 1 year lookback period as required by regulatory rules.

VaR Backtesting

The Company carries out a daily comparison of end of day VaR measures to the 1 day change of the portfolio's value on the day the profit and loss figures are produced. In 2020 the number of occasions on which actual trading book outcomes exceeded the previous day's VaR was within the acceptable tolerances of the model. In addition to the VaR backtesting at the aggregate Company level, the Company conducts backtesting on a number of sub-portfolios across the different business units.

Stressed VaR

The Company calculates SVaR based on inputs calibrated to historical data from a continuous 12 month period of significant financial stress relevant to the Company's portfolio.

Risks Not In VaR

The Company calculates additional capital under its Risks Not in VaR framework for certain risk factors that are not fully captured in VaR.

Incremental Risk Charge

The Company calculates IRC which captures risk from the default and rating migration of non-securitised credit exposures in the trading book. The IRC is calculated daily and is included in regulatory capital calculations. IRC is calculated using a Monte Carlo model of portfolio rating migration and default. Risk is measured over a 1 year horizon to a confidence level of 99.9% and is calculated on current positions assuming that risk will be at similar levels throughout the year.

VaR considered in isolation has limitations which are listed below in further detail. The Company also uses a wide range of other risk limits, for example stop-loss limits, risk factor sensitivity limits or stress limits, to manage its exposures.

The Company's VaR has the following limitations:

- Calculations are based on historical data which may not be the best estimate of risk factor changes that occur in future
- In transforming historical data into future scenarios the Company makes assumptions that may not be the best estimate of how changes will occur in the future
- Focusing on the maximum loss that is expected to be incurred 99% (or 95%) of the time says little about the smaller losses that are expected to be incurred more frequently, or the larger losses in excess of VaR that are expected to be incurred 1% (or 5%) of the time
- VaR is generally based on calculations performed at the end of each business day. The end-of-day figure may not be representative of the figure at other times of the day.

The following table shows internal VaR figures for 2020. The breakdown in terms of different risk factors is as described below. The 'Close' column shows the VaR at the year-end date. The 'Average' column shows the average VaR measurement from each trading day in the year and the 'Maximum' and 'Minimum' columns show the highest and lowest VaR value in the year respectively. 'Diversification benefit' is the difference between the simple sum of the VaRs for each risk factor, and the Company's overall VaR, which is based on the simultaneous modelling of all risk factors.

95% VaR as at 31 December 2020	Close £'m	Average £'m	Maximum £'m	Minimum £'m
Interest Rate Curve Risk	0.8	0.8	4.4	0.2
Interest Rate Vega Risk	0.9	0.7	1.3	0.3
Asset Spread Risk	0.9	1.1	2.3	0.5
Currency Risk	0.3	0.2	0.7	0.1
Equity Price Risk	0.1	0.2	0.9	0.0
Equity Vega Risk	0.8	0.8	2.3	0.1
Inflation Risk	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.0
Basis Risk	0.9	1.2	1.8	0.7
Diversification Benefit	-3.3	n/a	n/a	n/a
Total VaR	1.5	1.7	4.8	0.9

31 December 2019 closing VaR based on a 99% confidence level was £2.1 million.

Business and Risk Management (continued)

Market Risk (continued)

Interest Rate Curve Risk

The risk of losses arising from changes in market interest rates.

Interest Rate Vega Risk

The risk of losses arising from change in implied interest rate volatility.

Asset Spread Risk

The risk of losses due to the market price of bonds and credit derivatives attributable to changes in such factors as perceived credit quality or liquidity, as distinct from price changes attributable solely to market interest rates.

Currency Risk

The risk of losses arising from changes in market FX rates and changes in implied FX volatility.

Equity Price Risk

The risk of losses due to price movements in the equity market.

Equity Vega Risk

The risk of losses arising from change in implied equity volatility.

Inflation Risk

The risk of losses arising from the changes in the market prices of inflation derivatives, or from price changes in inflation-linked securities attributable to inflation.

Basis Risk

The risk of losses arising from changes in market prices for cross currency basis, tenor basis, and other basis swaps in the interest rate market.

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk of loss resulting from client, issuer or counterparty default and arises on credit exposure in all forms, including settlement risk.

The Company manages its credit risk in accordance with policies originated and approved within the Company and endorsed by its parent company. Counterparty exposure is managed through a process of credit risk assessment, limit setting, exposure monitoring and exception reporting.

The Company assesses the default probabilities of individual counterparties by using a rating methodology incorporating external ratings, the market price of credit risk and internal fundamental analysis.

Day-to-day responsibility for the management of credit risk resides with the front office departments and responsibility for second line review, challenge and oversight is with the Credit Risk Management department. The Credit Risk Management department is organisationally independent from the front office departments, and the Risk Analytics Group which is responsible for the design of new credit risk management models. Daily credit risk reports are prepared for Senior Management and trading departments using the Company's in house and vendor systems. The objective of Credit Risk Management is to:

- Identify, quantify, monitor and control credit risk exposure
- Provide sufficient, timely and relevant data of credit risk exposure by counterparty across all product classes and against each respective approved credit limit
- Maintain static data for all counterparties
- Produce timely credit risk reports as appropriate
- Mitigate credit risk by mandating collateral requirements in accordance with the Company's Collateral Policy
- Provide credit portfolio monitoring and analysis.

On a monthly basis, Credit Risk Management reports the Company's total credit risk exposure to the EMEA Credit Risk Management Committee ('ECRMC'), which is a sub-committee of the ERM. Monthly reporting includes a review of large exposures, exposures to lower rated issuers and counterparties, and exposure to higher risk industry and country sectors. The ECRMC escalates material matters to the ERM. The ERM is also the forum where credit policies are reviewed and finally approved. In addition to the ERM, a summary of the Company's credit risk exposure is also reported to each EMEA Executive Committee and BRC meeting.

Credit exposure is normally measured on a net basis i.e. by taking account of received collateral and aggregating trades with both positive and negative values provided that a legally enforceable master netting agreement has been executed that permits close-out netting. To mitigate derivative counterparty credit risk, the Company has Credit Support Annexes in place with the majority of its counterparties (this includes the exchange of initial margin with certain non-centrally cleared, over-the-counter derivatives counterparties for in-scope products) and guarantee arrangements in place with members of MUFG. Risk is managed net of these guarantees.

An analysis of the Company's credit exposures is included in Note 32.

MUFG Securities EMEA plc

Business and Risk Management (continued)

Credit Concentration Risk

Credit concentration risk is the risk arising from an uneven distribution of exposures, through single name, sector or geographical concentration. The Company analyses the credit concentrations through its daily credit exposure reports. The Company's exposures are concentrated on Government bonds, the financial sector and exposures to Japanese markets and counterparties.

Liquidity Risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company has insufficient resources to meet its financial obligations as they fall due. This risk could arise from both institution specific and market-wide events.

Oversight

The ultimate responsibility for liquidity risk management sits with the Board who sets the Company's liquidity risk appetite, which expresses the level of risk the Company chooses to take in pursuit of its strategic objectives. The Board mandate to the Executive Committee in respect of liquidity risk includes specification of liquidity stress testing, approval of business line unsecured funding limits, transfer pricing rates/policy and the contingency funding plan.

The Executive Committee has determined the powers and discretions delegated to the ALCO which meets monthly or on an ad-hoc basis (as appropriate) to:

- Review and define the funding and liquidity risk policy
- Monitor the Company's liquidity risk profile and review compliance with the Board approved liquidity risk appetite
- Oversee and review stress testing
- Measure, monitor and mitigate liquidity risk exposures for the Company
- Ensure that appropriate business incentives are maintained that reflect the cost and availability of liquidity through the Company's Fund Transfer Pricing ('FTP') process and unsecured funding limit allocation process
- Review critical liquidity risk factors and prioritise issues arising
- Determine the Company's funding plans and funding diversification strategy in light of business projections and objectives.

The Company uses a variety of quantitative and qualitative measures to monitor the adequacy of the Company's liquidity resources and to ensure an integrated approach to liquidity risk management. This framework incorporates a range of tools described below:

Internal Stress Testing

The Company's primary liquidity stress testing tool is the Maximum Cumulative Outflow model, which is designed to capture all material drivers of liquidity risk (both on and off balance sheet) under different scenarios, and to determine the size of liquidity resources needed to navigate the stress event. The model has been developed as a synthesis of market practice, regulatory requirements and past experience in stressed market conditions. The scenarios modelled are categorised as baseline (reflective of normal business conditions), systemic (refers to a market-wide liquidity event) and combined (analogous of a combined market and Company specific stress event). Stress testing is conducted on both an aggregated currency basis and by material individual currency.

Funds Transfer Pricing

The Company seeks to align its liquidity risk appetite with the strategic objectives of the business through regulating the demand for liquidity and allocating the cost of liquidity on the basis of unsecured funding usage and underlying liquidity requirements. The ALCO is responsible for the FTP policy framework, and Treasury is responsible for the day to day application of the FTP framework. The cost of funding is allocated to businesses on the basis of the funding requirements to finance current inventory positions and ongoing business activities. The cost of liquidity reserved to cover contingent liquidity outflows is also allocated to the business – this includes liquidity reserved to cover regulatory liquidity requirements.

Funding Plan

The balance sheet projection process balances aggregate business line requests for unsecured funding against Treasury's assessment of the projected balance sheet, funding requirements and capacity for the Company to raise unsecured financing. The ALCO will review and approve funding plans including allocation of funding limits to business lines. This ensures that business activities do not impose an unknown strain on the Company's ability to source adequate liquidity in normal business conditions, and allows Treasury to plan and sustain appropriate levels of liquidity in anticipation of business line funding usage. As part of funding liquidity risk monitoring, Treasury looks at the short and long term currency mismatch horizons in accordance with the Board's guideline.

Liquid Asset Buffer

The Company holds its liquidity portfolio in a stock of high quality government bonds and bonds issued by multi-lateral development banks, local government and agency issuers, as well as central bank deposits (where applicable). The size of the liquidity buffer is calibrated using both the Company's internal stress testing framework and applicable regulatory requirements. The liquidity portfolio is held on an unencumbered basis without restrictions on rehypothecation and with full Company legal ownership. The investment criteria for the liquidity portfolio are approved by the ALCO with risk limits imposed and monitored by Market Risk Management.

Business and Risk Management (continued)

Contingency Funding Plan

The Contingency Funding Plan ('CFP') allows senior management to identify internal and external triggers indicative of a stress event, and initiate the most effective response for stabilising and mitigating liquidity risk exposures through clear operational plans, clearly defined decision making responsibilities and effective communication with both internal and external stakeholders. The CFP also specifies the means through which additional funding should be sourced during a period of heightened liquidity concern, as well as the process by which the Company deactivates the CFP at an appropriate time.

The Company also maintains detailed recovery plans which consider actions to facilitate recovery or an orderly resolution from a severe stress.

Liquidity Stage Assessment

The principal assessment framework within the Funding Liquidity Risk Management Policy is the liquidity stage assessment. This is a formal assessment of the external environment affecting the Company and other companies within the MUSHD Group.

The liquidity stage is determined by an evaluation of the availability of funding and is monitored through a combination of early warning indicators, the Company's internal stress testing and compliance with regulatory liquidity requirements. Elevation of the liquidity stage is specifically linked to activation of the CFP, which provides a range of mitigating actions to be taken. Such actions are taken following consideration of any relevant market, economic or client impact. In the event the liquidity stage is elevated, formal approval is required from the ALCO, which will in turn escalate and sanction actions as appropriate. Monitoring of the liquidity stage is conducted at Company and MUSHD level on an on-going basis. Any elevation of liquidity stage risk at the MUSHD level is deemed to represent a worsening of conditions that would impact the Company too. The Funding Liquidity Risk Policy identifies general contingency actions to be taken by departments at each stage.

Asset Encumbrance

Asset encumbrance arises from collateral pledged against secured funding and other collateralised obligations. Due to the nature of its business the Company funds a portion of debt securities via repurchase agreements and other similar secured borrowing. Additionally debt securities and cash are provided to meet initial and variation margin requirements from central clearing counterparts and margin requirements arising from derivative and repurchase agreements.

The Company monitors the mix of secured and unsecured funding sources and seeks to efficiently utilise collateral to raise secured funding and meet other collateralised obligations.

Regulation

The Company assesses liquidity adequacy as part of the annual Internal Liquidity Adequacy Assessment Process that it submits to the PRA. The Company's compliance with prevailing regulatory liquidity requirements including the Liquidity Coverage Ratio are complemented by the internal stress testing framework. The Company manages its liquidity prudently, holding its Liquid Asset Buffer well in excess of the regulatory requirement.

Capital Risk

Capital risk is the risk that the Company has insufficient capital resources to meet the capital requirements that are incurred through execution of the business plan.

The Company aims to manage and control its exposure to Capital Risk through its policies and procedures with the objectives of:

- Holding sufficient capital resources to support the risks in which the Company engages
- Identifying an appropriate capital plan to ensure that this objective is maintained over the three year business plan horizon
- Managing the relative proportions of the constituent parts of capital resources such that the Company meets these objectives in an efficient manner.

The ALCO has primary responsibility for overseeing the Company's capital management. The Company measures key capital sensitivities and analysis of drivers of change in capital adequacy which are regularly reported in the ALCO. The capital position is also reported to the JRMCM regularly.

The Company assesses capital risk against minimum regulatory requirements and internal targets at Company level, supported by assessments of capital requirements at business level against internal targets. Capital risk reports are circulated to senior management daily and are discussed at Board and Committee level.

The Company determines and maintains a capital planning buffer to reduce the risk of having to raise capital or reduce business at short notice. The Company's objective is to manage capital to withstand severe but plausible stresses without the need to significantly alter the business. This capital planning buffer is determined on the basis of appropriate stresses to the Company's business.

Model Risk

Model risk is the potential for loss arising from decisions based on incorrect or misused model outputs and reports.

The Company manages model risk by having a segregation of duties between model development and validation of the model. There are governance sub-committees and working groups that oversee the models used by the Company. In the case of risk models, the Model Oversight Committee ('MOC'), which reports to the ERM, is responsible for reviewing the output of ongoing validation and for model performance. The Valuations Working Group ('VWG') oversees the use of pricing models. The independent validation of risk models is performed by the Model Risk Management function which is part of the Company's Enterprise Risk Management department and has membership on the MOC and the VWG.

Business and Risk Management (continued)

Operational Risk

Operational risk is defined as the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems or from external events, including legal risk.

The Company manages and controls its exposure to operational risk through its policies and procedures, which are designed to ensure that it:

- Mitigates the risk of exposure to fraud
- Processes transactions correctly, accurately and on a timely basis
- Protects the integrity and availability of information processing facilities, infrastructure and data
- Maintains the confidentiality of its client information
- Employs appropriate numbers of skilled staff and complies with relevant employment laws and regulations
- Establishes workplace environments that are safe for both employees and visitors
- Reduces both the likelihood of an incident occurring and the impact should an incident occur.

The Company employs The Standardised Approach ('TSA') for calculating its Pillar 1 Operational Risk Capital Requirement. The Company is committed to adopting leading industry practices for managing and measuring operational risk, and has also developed a primarily scenario based capital model to determine whether it should hold any additional capital for operational risk.

In order to facilitate the management of operational risk, the Company breaks down its Risk Taxonomy using the Basel II categories:

1. Execution, delivery and process management
2. Clients, products and business practices
3. Internal fraud risks
4. External fraud risks
5. Employment practices and workplace safety
6. Business disruption and systems failures
7. Damage to physical assets.

Operational Risk Management Framework

The Operational Risk Management Framework is defined within the Company's policies and detailed procedures, and comprises of the following key elements:

- Governance: The Operational Risk Governance Structure outlines the committees and meetings through which key risk and control concerns and incidents are escalated, risk management action is driven and risk management decisions are made
- Risk appetite: The Company has defined its Operational Risk Appetite in both quantitative and qualitative terms, reflecting both the financial and non-financial impacts that can arise from operational risk
- Risk and Control Self Assessments ('RCSAs'): Departments within the Company assess the operational risks they face, and the effectiveness of the controls that mitigate those key operational risks, relative to the Company's appetite
- Self-Identified Issues ('SIIs'): Departments identify, record and manage the remediation of deficiencies and/or weaknesses in their risk and control environments
- Key control attestations: Managers attest to the adequacy of their control environment twice a year.
- Scenario analysis: The Company uses scenario analysis to assess the risks of extreme but plausible events
- Key risk & control indicators: The Company uses metrics to monitor its operational risk profile and to alert management when risk levels exceed acceptable ranges
- Internal Operational Risk Events: The Company systematically collects details of both operational risk losses (or gains) above a certain threshold and details of incidents, even if they have not led to losses (or gains) and performs root cause analysis where applicable
- External Operational Risk Events: Business and support departments use information obtained from external events to assess their own risk profile, understand 'lessons learned' and evaluate and adapt their current control environment from events which have impacted similar firms' business processes
- Remedial actions: Progress in completing remedial actions is tracked and reported
- Reporting: The operational risk function and management uses reports to understand, monitor, manage and control operational risks
- Insurance policies: As part of its risk management approach, the Company uses insurance to mitigate the impact of some operational risks
- Training: Staff are required to undertake annually mandatory on-line operational risk awareness training.

The Company has a dedicated operational risk management department. Issues of significance are escalated to the EMEA Operational Risk and Controls Committee ('EORCC'), which reports to the ERM and meets on a monthly basis.

Technology and Cyber Risk

The Technology and Cyber Risk team in the Operational Risk Management Department is responsible for second line oversight and challenge for technology and cyber risk. Technology and cyber risk management information ('MI') is presented to the EORCC and any material matters are escalated to the ERM.

Business and Risk Management (continued)

Operational Risk (continued)

The Company has a dedicated Technology Risk and Control function in the first line with responsibility for the technology and cyber risk. Areas of focus include the top risks and threats related to: network security; malware and firewall threats; access management; data backups; security patching; user awareness training; monitoring and reporting service and security events. It also delivers testing and mitigation activities to identify any potential security vulnerabilities in the infrastructure platforms and business applications. The Company has an ongoing programme of work that continually invests in improving controls to manage technology and cyber risk.

Pension Risk

Pension risk is the risk that there is a shortfall in the value of the assets of the defined benefit pension scheme relative to its liabilities. The main risk is that the assets that the pension scheme holds decline significantly and there is no offsetting change in liabilities, or that the liabilities increase with no offsetting increase in the assets.

The Company's defined benefit pension scheme was closed to new members on 2 July 1999. The assets held are not an exact match to the liabilities. A mandatory actuarial valuation of the fund is carried out every three years for the pension trustees. The Statement of Funding Principles of the scheme requires a recovery plan to eliminate any funding deficit over the next 10 years or sooner. The scheme was closed to future accrual on 31 January 2011. This action limited the future growth of the estimated liabilities of the defined benefit scheme. The Company calculates its pension risk on an annual basis as part of its ICAAP process and holds capital to mitigate against the possibility of a material deficit in its pension fund.

Further details on the Company's pension scheme can be found in Note 8.

Strategic Risk

Strategic risk is the risk of loss that may arise from the pursuit of an unsuccessful business plan including insufficient diversification of revenue sources. Strategic risk is a necessary consequence of doing business and covers a number of financial risk types. Strategic risks are generally longer term risks whereas shorter term risks will usually be captured as part of business risk. The Company's primary approach to the management of strategic risk is through its business planning processes which highlight the key dependencies of its strategy, which allows for the assessment of strategic risk at the point that the strategy is devised and agreed. The Company's programme of qualitative reverse stress testing is intended to focus on key strategic risks, identifying scenarios that could lead to their realisation as well as contingent actions that could be taken to address their emergence and mitigate the impact of the strategic risk being realised.

The Company's strategic risks also include potential impacts arising from the Company's relationship with its stakeholders and its relationship with MUFG. These risks include but are not limited to ongoing group support, maintenance of satisfactory relationships with key regulators, continued ability to meet core client demands, and the ability to attract and retain high quality staff.

Strategic risk incorporates business risk which is the sensitivity between expected revenues and expected costs. It is a measure of how easily the cost base can be managed in relation to lower than expected revenues. The risk of doing business is categorised as the volatility of the business planning forecast compared to the realised revenue which is dependent on the market environment. The breadth of the business plan has increased to accommodate for Brexit (refer to Strategic Report on pages 2 to 5).

Compliance Risk

Compliance risk, including Financial Crime, is the risk of financial, reputational or other damage to the Company through failing to comply with regulations, rules, guidelines, codes of conduct professional ethics, governance and other standards.

The Company maintains a governance structure designed to ensure appropriate management, oversight and second-line assurance of significant risks and associated mitigants, including, in respect of Compliance risk, a Compliance function with sufficient authority, stature, independence, resources and access to the Board. Accountability for compliance rests with functional units across the Company which own their respective compliance risks. The Compliance function is accountable for several controls and mitigants, including monitoring, testing, advising on regulatory change and compliance matters, and escalation of issues arising. The Company's compliance programme and internal control infrastructures evolve in response to changes in best practice and the Company's risk profile, including from strategic and regulatory developments and new products.

MUFG Securities EMEA plc

Business and Risk Management (continued)

Conduct Risk

Conduct risk is the risk that the actions of the company have a negative impact on customers, competition in the marketplace or market integrity and reputation. This risk can crystallise for many reasons, including compliance failures, conflicts of interest, poor culture and individual behaviour. It may negatively impact the company's reputation leading to loss of business, and/or regulatory sanctions.

Effective identification and management of Conduct risk is key to the Company's future success. The Company has implemented a Conduct Risk management framework which identifies and manages Conduct risk within including through;

- Compliance policies and front office desk procedures
- A risk assessment framework covering conduct risk identification and mitigation, informing the compliance programme
- Measures of Board risk appetite for Conduct risk in the context of the Company's strategic objectives and business plan
- A formal compliance monitoring programme to review the effectiveness of key controls to mitigate potential conduct risk exposure
- Production and analysis of Conduct risk management information
- Company-wide Conduct risk training and awareness programme.

Legal Risk

Legal risk is the risk of loss or damage to the firm by failing to comply with any laws, regulations or contractual obligations applicable to its business activities or failure to take appropriate steps to manage legal claims or actions.

The Company manages legal risk by compliance with all applicable laws and regulations and promoting honesty and integrity by all staff. It seeks to promote prudent business growth and profitability through the rigorous control of legal and regulatory risks in support of the wider objectives of the Company. The Company has an established permanent Legal function that is independent of business activities and has sufficient resources to carry out its role including:

- Identification of the main legal and regulatory risk issues affecting the business, recommending how these will be managed and, where appropriate, elevating residual risks to the relevant front office department, risk management department or the Board and its sub-committees
- Identifying and advising on legal and regulatory change and its impact on the business and assisting with scoping and implementation of mitigating systems, controls and infrastructure
- Managing legal and regulatory risk through due diligence, review of contracts and transactions including establishing legal enforceability of collateral arrangements for MUS(EMEA) to liquidate or take possession of collateral in a timely manner in the event of the default, insolvency, bankruptcy or other credit event of obligors, negotiation of transaction documentation and the management of all legal and regulatory actions.

Reputational Risk

Reputational risk is the risk of loss arising from reputational damage in the event that the business activities deviate substantially from the expectations and confidence of customers, shareholders, investors, society and other wide-ranging stakeholders and any similar risk. It is usually a secondary risk which exacerbates the loss from another risk type. The Company's business is dependent on its reputation and it will impact its performance should it deteriorate. The Company has a Reputational Risk Management policy and controls to mitigate the impact and reduce the likelihood of reputational incidents. The policy includes escalation to the EMEA Reputational Risk Management Committee which oversees the reputational risk profile of the company on behalf of the ERMIC.

Such incidents can occur in any type of risk from market through to operational, or from external risks over which the Company has no direct control. The Reputational Risk Management Policy sets out how the risk of reputational events is managed.

IBOR transition

Following the announcement by the UK's FCA in July 2017 that LIBOR is expected to be phased out by the end of 2021, MUFG has set up internal working groups to assess impacts and actively manage the LIBOR transition while mitigating associated risks. This transition process poses a number of challenges and exposes the Company to contractual and financial risks. MUFG is taking steps to mitigate these risks through initiatives such as development of new systems and related review and amendment of legal agreements. In addition, the Company continues to engage with industry participants.

Business and Risk Management (continued)

Status of Regulatory Capital Resources

The Company's regulatory capital resources are assessed under the Capital Requirements Regulation and the Capital Requirements Directive IV ('CRDIV'). The Company's capital consists of Tier 1 – share capital, retained earnings and Additional Tier 1 instruments, and Tier 2 – subordinated debt which is fixed term and denominated in Japanese Yen.

The Company manages its risk profile and its capital resources with the objective of maintaining a capital ratio in excess of the Capital Resources Requirement for its risk profile at all times. The management of the Company's capital is carried out under the principle that it should not unexpectedly need to raise new capital or significantly reduce its risk taking in order to meet its capital management objectives.

The Company has fulfilled its capital requirements at all times during the year.

The Company's capital resources, Pillar 1 capital requirements and capital ratios for 2020 and 2019 are shown in the table below:

	2020	2019
as at 31 December	£'m	£'m
Common Equity Tier 1 Capital after Deductions	1,470	1,408
Additional Tier 1 Capital after Deductions	464	464
Tier 2 Capital after Deductions	312	306
Total Capital Resources	2,246	2,178
Credit Risk (including Concentration Risk)	442	485
Market Risk	189	217
Operational Risk	57	53
Total Capital Requirements	688	755
	2020	2019
as at 31 December	%	%
Common Equity Tier 1 Ratio (Common Equity Tier 1/ Risk Weighted Assets)	17.1	14.9
Tier 1 Ratio (Tier 1/ Risk Weighted Assets)	22.5	19.8
Total Capital Ratio (Total Capital/ Risk Weighted Assets)	26.1	23.1

MUFG Securities EMEA plc

Independent auditor's report to the members of MUFG Securities EMEA plc

Report on the audit of the financial statements

Opinion

In our opinion the financial statements of MUFG Securities EMEA plc (the 'company'):

- give a true and fair view of the state of the company's affairs as at 31 December 2020 and of its profit for the year then ended;
- have been properly prepared in accordance with international accounting standards in conformity with the requirements of the Companies Act 2006 and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRSs) as adopted by the EU; and
- have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Companies Act 2006.

We have audited the financial statements which comprise:

- the company income statement;
- the company statement of comprehensive income;
- the company statement of financial position;
- the company statement of changes in equity;
- the company cash flow statement;
- the accounting policies; and
- the related notes 1 to 36.

The financial reporting framework that has been applied in their preparation is applicable law and international accounting standards in conformity with the requirements of the Companies Act 2006.

Basis for opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK) (ISAs (UK)) and applicable law. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements section of our report.

We are independent of the company in accordance with the ethical requirements that are relevant to our audit of the financial statements in the UK, including the Financial Reporting Council's (the 'FRC's') Ethical Standard, and we have fulfilled our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with these requirements. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

Conclusions relating to going concern

In auditing the financial statements, we have concluded that the directors' use of the going concern basis of accounting in the preparation of the financial statements is appropriate.

Our evaluation of the directors' assessment of the company's ability to continue to adopt the going concern basis of accounting included our assessment of the company's:

- profitability and forecast profitability over the lookout period
- financing facilities including nature of facilities, repayment terms and covenants
- linkage to business model and medium-term risks
- assumptions used in the forecasts and historical accuracy of forecasts prepared by management
- amount of headroom in the capital and liquidity forecasts
- capital and liquidity stress testing

Based on the work we have performed, we have not identified any material uncertainties relating to events or conditions that, individually or collectively, may cast significant doubt on the company's ability to continue as a going concern for a period of at least twelve months from when the financial statements are authorised for issue.

Our responsibilities and the responsibilities of the directors with respect to going concern are described in the relevant sections of this report.

Other information

The other information comprises the information included in the annual report, other than the financial statements and our auditor's report thereon. The directors are responsible for the other information contained within the annual report. Our opinion on the financial statements does not cover the other information and, except to the extent otherwise explicitly stated in our report, we do not express any form of assurance conclusion thereon.

Our responsibility is to read the other information and, in doing so, consider whether the other information is materially inconsistent with the financial statements or our knowledge obtained in the course of the audit, or otherwise appears to be materially misstated. If we identify such material inconsistencies or apparent material misstatements, we are required to determine whether this gives rise to a material misstatement in the financial statements themselves. If, based on the work we have performed, we conclude that there is a material misstatement of this other information, we are required to report that fact.

We have nothing to report in this regard.

Responsibilities of directors

As explained more fully in the directors' responsibilities statement, the directors are responsible for the preparation of the financial statements and for being satisfied that they give a true and fair view, and for such internal control as the directors determine is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

MUFG Securities EMEA plc**Responsibilities of directors (continued)**

In preparing the financial statements, the directors are responsible for assessing the company's ability to continue as a going concern, disclosing, as applicable, matters related to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting unless the directors either intend to liquidate the company or to cease operations, or have no realistic alternative but to do so.

Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with ISAs (UK) will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these financial statements.

A further description of our responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements is located on the FRC's website at: www.frc.org.uk/auditorsresponsibilities. This description forms part of our auditor's report.

Extent to which the audit was considered capable of detecting irregularities, including fraud

Irregularities, including fraud, are instances of non-compliance with laws and regulations. We design procedures in line with our responsibilities, outlined above, to detect material misstatements in respect of irregularities, including fraud. The extent to which our procedures are capable of detecting irregularities, including fraud is detailed below.

We considered the nature of the company's industry and its control environment and reviewed the company's documentation of their policies and procedures relating to fraud and compliance with laws and regulations. We also enquired of management, including the Head of Compliance, Co-heads of Legal, CRO, Head of Internal Audit and members of the Audit Committee about their own identification and assessment of the risks of irregularities.

We obtained an understanding of the legal and regulatory frameworks that the company operates in, and identified the key laws and regulations that:

- had a direct effect on the determination of material amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. These include the UK Companies Act and tax legislation; and
- do not have a direct effect on the financial statements but compliance with which may be fundamental to the company's ability to operate or to avoid a material penalty. These included the company's operating licence and regulatory solvency requirements.

We discussed among the audit engagement team including relevant internal specialists such as tax, valuations, IT and actuarial specialists regarding the opportunities and incentives that may exist within the organisation for fraud and how and where fraud might occur in the financial statements.

In common with all audits under ISAs (UK), we are also required to perform specific procedures to respond to the risk of management override. In addressing the risk of fraud through management override of controls, testing the appropriateness of journal entries and other adjustments; assessing whether the judgements made in making accounting estimates are indicative of a potential bias; and evaluating the business rationale of any significant transactions that are unusual or outside the normal course of business.

In addition to the above, our procedures to respond to the risks identified included the following:

- reviewing financial statement disclosures by testing to supporting documentation to assess compliance with provisions of relevant laws and regulations described as having a direct effect on the financial statements;
- performing analytical procedures to identify any unusual or unexpected relationships that may indicate risks of material misstatement due to fraud;
- enquiring of management, internal audit and in-house legal counsel concerning actual and potential litigation and claims, and instances of non-compliance with laws and regulations; and
- reading minutes of meetings of those charged with governance, reviewing internal audit reports and reviewing correspondence with HMRC, the PRA and the FCA.

Report on other legal and regulatory requirements**Opinions on other matters prescribed by the Companies Act 2006**

In our opinion, based on the work undertaken in the course of the audit:

- the information given in the strategic report and the directors' report for the financial year for which the financial statements are prepared is consistent with the financial statements; and
- the strategic report and the directors' report have been prepared in accordance with applicable legal requirements.

In the light of the knowledge and understanding of the company and its environment obtained in the course of the audit, we have not identified any material misstatements in the strategic report or the directors' report.

Matters on which we are required to report by exception

Under the Companies Act 2006 we are required to report in respect of the following matters if, in our opinion:

- adequate accounting records have not been kept, or returns adequate for our audit have not been received from branches not visited by us; or
- the financial statements are not in agreement with the accounting records and returns; or
- certain disclosures of directors' remuneration specified by law are not made; or
- we have not received all the information and explanations we require for our audit.

We have nothing to report in respect of these matters.

MUFG Securities EMEA plc

Opinion on other matter prescribed by the Capital Requirements (Country-by-Country Reporting) Regulations 2013

In our opinion the information given in Note 36 to the financial statements for the financial year ended 31 December 2020 has been properly prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the Capital Requirements (Country-by-Country Reporting) Regulations 2013.

Use of our report

This report is made solely to the company's members, as a body, in accordance with Chapter 3 of Part 16 of the Companies Act 2006. Our audit work has been undertaken so that we might state to the company's members those matters we are required to state to them in an auditor's report and for no other purpose. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we do not accept or assume responsibility to anyone other than the company and the company's members as a body, for our audit work, for this report, or for the opinions we have formed.

DocuSigned by:

Kulbir Grewal

2D313A1824DF4AD...

Kulbir Grewal ACA (Senior statutory auditor)
For and on behalf of Deloitte LLP
Statutory Auditor
London, United Kingdom
11 March 2021

Company income statement

		2020 £'000	2019 £'000
For the year ended 31 December	Notes		
Interest income	3	26,874	25,737
Interest expense	4	(28,158)	(24,125)
Net interest income		(1,284)	1,612
Fees and commissions income		74,642	67,921
Fees and commissions expense		(23,471)	(17,984)
Net fees and commissions income		51,171	49,937
Trading income		398,258	315,716
Net investment income / (expense)		(3,082)	4,655
Other income		3,933	2,231
Total operating income		448,996	374,151
Administrative expenses	5	(251,625)	(238,049)
Amortisation of intangible assets	15	(26,287)	(21,560)
Depreciation of property, plant and equipment	16	(2,872)	(2,869)
Depreciation of right-of-use assets	17	(4,905)	(4,813)
Total operating expenses		(285,689)	(267,291)
Share of gain in subsidiary	18	10,886	4,829
Profit on ordinary activities before taxation		174,193	111,689
Taxation	9	(39,617)	(27,750)
Profit attributable to owners of the company		134,576	83,939

The above results are derived from continuing operations of the business.

Company statement of comprehensive income

	2020 £'000	2019 £'000
For the year ended 31 December		
Profit after tax	134,576	83,939
Other comprehensive (loss) / income from continuing operations:		
Revaluation of securities at fair value through other comprehensive income (FVTOCI)		
Net gains from changes in fair value	2,469	3,694
Net (gains) / losses transferred to net profit	79	(3,300)
Taxation	(695)	(159)
Cash flow hedging reserve		
Net gains from changes in fair value	129	324
Net (gains) transferred to net profit	-	(206)
Taxation	(36)	(32)
Net investment hedge reserve		
Change in value of the hedged item due to changes in spot rate	9,564	(4,006)
Change in value of the hedging instrument due to changes in spot rate	(9,564)	4,006
Taxation	-	-
Total comprehensive income that may be recycled to profit or loss	136,522	84,260
Other comprehensive (loss) / income not recycled to profit or loss		
Actuarial gains / (losses) in retirement benefit schemes	1,867	(9,230)
Taxation	(585)	2,446
Total other comprehensive income / (loss)	1,282	(6,784)
Total comprehensive income for the year attributable to owners of the company	137,804	77,476

Company statement of financial position

As at 31 December

	Notes	2020 £'000	*2019 £'000
ASSETS			
Cash and balances at central banks		2,634,106	151,650
Securities at FVTOCI	11	1,504,097	1,333,140
Trading portfolio financial assets	12	15,042,417	14,053,869
Derivative financial instruments	13	18,667,574	15,781,720
Reverse repurchase agreements		27,878,922	32,941,146
Other financing at fair value	14	1,033,472	1,199,633
Cash collateral on securities borrowed		4,585,005	3,684,652
Cash collateral paid to derivative counterparties and brokers		2,757,322	2,691,991
Deferred tax asset	9	3,301	9,788
Intangible assets	15	77,768	69,294
Property, plant and equipment	16	10,312	11,526
Right-of-use assets	17	29,640	31,225
Investment in subsidiary	18	192,609	172,023
Other assets	19	230,638	359,101
Total assets		74,647,183	72,490,758
LIABILITIES			
Deposits by banks		5,684	15,189
Trading portfolio financial liabilities	20	8,857,771	10,513,925
Derivative financial instruments	13	16,419,880	14,723,352
Repurchase agreements		29,801,088	29,053,686
Cash collateral on securities lent		560,748	535,405
Cash collateral received from derivative counterparties and brokers		8,650,101	7,358,590
Financial liabilities designated at fair value	21	6,216,932	6,859,508
Financial liabilities at amortised cost	22	1,633,029	875,819
Other liabilities	23	313,888	492,663
Total liabilities		72,459,121	70,428,137
EQUITY			
Equity instruments	24	1,747,094	1,747,094
Other reserves		2,870	924
Retained earnings		438,098	314,603
Total equity		2,188,062	2,062,621
Total liabilities and equity		74,647,183	72,490,758

Company Number: 1698498

The financial statements on pages 44 to 80 and business and risk management policies on pages 30 to 40 were approved by the Board of Directors and authorised for issue on 11 March 2021 and signed on its behalf by:

Signed on behalf of the Board



Christopher Kyle
Chief Financial Officer
11 March 2021

*2019 includes presentational changes. These changes have not impacted 'Total assets' or 'Total liabilities' balances.

New categories and classifications include:

1. 'Other financing held at fair value' which includes balances previously presented within 'Equity finance assets', 'Reverse repurchase agreements' and 'Other assets'.
2. 'Cash collateral paid to derivative counterparties and brokers' which includes balances previously presented within 'Other Assets'.
3. 'Cash collateral received from derivative and broker counterparties' which includes balances previously presented within 'Other liabilities'.
4. 'Financial liabilities at amortised cost' which includes balances previously presented within 'Senior unsecured loan' and 'Subordinated liabilities'.

Refer to note 34 for further information.

Company statement of changes in equity

	Equity instruments £'000	Securities held at FVTOCI £'000	Available for sale reserve £'000	Cash flow hedging reserve £'000	Cumulative translation adjustment £'000	Retained earnings £'000	Total equity £'000
2019							
As at 31 December 2018	1,335,380	547	-	56	-	249,859	1,585,842
IFRS 16 adoption adjustment	-	-	-	-	-	(2,260)	(2,260)
As at 1 January 2019	1,335,380	547	-	56	-	247,599	1,583,582
Profit after tax	-	-	-	-	-	83,939	83,939
Issue of equity instruments	411,714	-	-	-	-	-	411,714
AT1 coupon	-	-	-	-	-	(10,151)	(10,151)
Securities held at FVTOCI	-	235	-	-	-	-	235
Cash flow hedges	-	-	-	86	-	-	86
Revaluation on equity method investment	-	-	-	-	(4,006)	-	(4,006)
Transfer of NIH instruments	-	-	-	-	4,006	-	4,006
Actuarial loss	-	-	-	-	-	(6,784)	(6,784)
As at 31 December 2019	1,747,094	782	-	142	-	314,603	2,062,621
2020							
As at 1 January 2020	1,747,094	782	-	142	-	314,603	2,062,621
Profit after tax	-	-	-	-	-	134,576	134,576
AT1 coupon	-	-	-	-	-	(12,363)	(12,363)
Securities held at FVTOCI	-	1,853	-	-	-	-	1,853
Cash flow hedges	-	-	-	93	-	-	93
Revaluation on equity method investment	-	-	-	-	9,564	-	9,564
Transfer of NIH instruments	-	-	-	-	(9,564)	-	(9,564)
Actuarial gain	-	-	-	-	-	1,282	1,282
As at 31 December 2020	1,747,094	2,635	-	235	-	438,098	2,188,062

Company cash flow statement

For the year ended 31 December	Note	2020 £'000	2019 £'000
Reconciliation of profit before tax to net cash flows from operating activities			
Profit before tax		174,193	111,689
Adjustment for non-cash items:			
Depreciation and impairment of property, plant and equipment		2,873	2,869
Amortisation and impairment of intangible assets		26,286	21,560
Depreciation of right of use asset		4,905	4,813
Net loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment and intangible assets		-	31
(Profit) / loss on investment in subsidiary		(11,022)	(823)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Net (increase) in trading portfolio financial assets and liabilities		(2,644,702)	(2,603,676)
Net decrease / (increase) in derivative financial instruments		(1,189,419)	627,324
Net decrease / (increase) in reverse repurchase agreements		5,062,224	(7,094,051)
Net increase in repurchase agreements		747,402	9,342,355
Net (increase) / decrease in cash collateral for securities and derivatives		351,170	(170,862)
Net decrease / (increase) in other assets		302,394	(470,234)
Net (decrease) in other liabilities		(160,924)	(229,069)
Corporation tax paid		(52,968)	(10,867)
Net cash used in operating activities		2,612,412	(468,941)
(Purchase) of property, plant and equipment		(1,664)	(2,634)
(Purchase) of intangible assets		(35,882)	(29,181)
(Purchase) of securities at FVTOCI		(1,755,174)	(1,044,739)
Investment in subsidiary		-	(155,684)
Proceeds from disposal or transfer of property, plant and equipment		6	2,182
Proceeds from disposal or transfer of intangible assets		1,121	11,071
Proceeds from sale or redemption of securities at FVTOCI		1,582,364	400,021
Other cash inflows / (outflows) associated with investing activities		(7,618)	321
Net cash from investing activities		(216,847)	(818,643)
Proceeds from issuance of ordinary share capital		-	411,714
Proceeds / (repayments) of financial liabilities at amortised cost		757,210	(33,038)
Proceeds from financial liabilities designated at fair value		11,275,525	11,907,152
Repayments of financial liabilities designated at fair value		(11,918,101)	(11,031,615)
Distributions paid to holders of AT1 capital		(12,364)	(10,150)
Lease payments		(5,874)	(5,984)
Net cash from financing activities	10	96,396	1,238,079
Net (decrease) / increase in cash and cash equivalents		2,491,961	(49,505)
Opening cash and cash equivalents		136,461	185,966
Net cash (decrease) / increase		2,512,996	(76,581)
Foreign exchange		(21,035)	27,076
Closing cash and cash equivalents	10	2,628,422	136,461
Cash and balances at central banks		2,634,106	151,650
Deposits by banks		(5,684)	(15,189)
Total cash and cash equivalents	10	2,628,422	136,461

Notes on Financial Statements

1 Accounting policies

Basis of preparation of company accounts

The financial information includes the financial statements of the Company for the year ended 31 December 2020. The financial information has been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) as adopted by the European Union and with the Companies Act 2006.

The financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis due to expected future profitability, strong capital and liquidity position. The Company's business activities, together with the factors likely to affect its future development, performance and position are set out in the Strategic Report on pages 2 to 5. The financial position of the Company, its liquidity position and borrowing facilities are described through the financial statements beginning on page 44. In addition, the Business and Risk Management Policies on pages 30 to 40 include the Company's objectives, policies and processes for managing its capital; its financial risk management objectives; and various forms of risk.

The Company has considerable financial resources in place. Additionally, the Company has contracts with both a broad range of customers and financial institutions across different geographic areas. As a consequence, the Directors believe that the Company is well placed to manage its business risks successfully despite the current uncertain global economic outlook. The Directors have a reasonable expectation that the Company has adequate resources to continue in operational existence for the foreseeable future. Thus they continue to adopt the going concern basis in preparing the annual financial statements, refer to the strategic report on pages 2 to 5.

The Company has elected to make use of the parent company exemption within IFRS 10, exempting it from the requirement to prepare consolidated financial statements. The Company's parent, MUSHD, has been consulted and does not object to this approach. The consolidated financial statements of MUSHD include the results of the Company and its subsidiary. As such, the investment in subsidiary is included within these separate financial statements using the equity method of accounting as defined in IAS 28.

Use of estimates and judgements

The preparation of financial information requires the use of estimates and judgements about future conditions. The use of available information and the application of assumptions are inherent in the formation of estimates; actual results in the future may differ from estimates upon which financial information is prepared.

Critical accounting policies where management estimates are applied are:

- the valuation of Level 3 financial instruments, where there is no active market for a financial instrument and valuation techniques are used that depend on unobservable parameters (refer to Note 27),
- discretionary compensation accruals following the change of the performance review cycle as well as related vesting and payment dates (refer to Note 5),
- metrics which support the actuarial valuation of the defined benefit pension scheme (refer to Note 8).

The critical accounting policies where management judgement is applied is timing of recognition of day 1 PL (refer to Note 27)

The recognition of a deferred tax asset relies on an assessment of the probability and sufficiency of future taxable profits, future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences and ongoing tax planning strategies. The most significant judgements relate to expected future profitability. See below for further comments on fair value measurement of financial assets and liabilities.

Financial instruments

Financial assets and financial liabilities are recognised when the Company becomes a party to the contractual provisions of the instrument, and are initially measured at fair value.

Financial assets

Financial assets are classified as financial assets at amortised cost, financial assets at fair value through Other Comprehensive Income ('FVTOCI') or financial assets mandatory at fair value through profit and loss ('FVTPL'). The classification of financial assets is determined by a 2 step process: analysis of the business model under which the asset is held; and, where required, analysis of the contractual terms of the financial asset.

MUFG Securities EMEA plc

1 Accounting policies (continued)

Financial assets held at amortised cost

Financial assets may be measured at amortised cost where they are:

- Held in a business model under which the Company intends to hold the asset in order to collect payments of principal and interest, and
- The contractual terms of the asset give rise on specified dates to cash flows that are solely payments of principal and interest on the principal amount outstanding.

Where assets are held at amortised cost, the carrying value is calculated using the effective interest rate method, less any impairment.

Financial assets at amortised cost include: cash and balances at central banks; cash collateral paid to derivative counterparties and brokers; and other assets.

Financial assets held at FVTOCI

Financial assets are measured at fair value through other comprehensive income ('FVTOCI') where they are:

- Held in a business model under which the Company may either sell the asset or hold it in order to collect contractual cash flows, and
- The contractual terms of the asset give rise on specified dates to cash flows that are solely payments of principal and interest on the principal ('SPPI') amount outstanding.

Interest income is recognised in the income statement using the effective interest method. Foreign exchange gains or losses on assets held at FVTOCI are recognised in net trading income. Fair value changes attributable to hedged risks on instruments designated in fair value hedge accounting relationships are recognised in net trading income. Other fair value changes are recognised directly in shareholder's equity within the Securities held at FVTOCI reserve until the investment is sold or impaired, at which time the balance in equity is recycled to the income statement within net investment income.

This category is used for certain high credit quality debt securities purchased by the Company to satisfy regulatory liquidity obligations, and as such, are available to be sold at short notice to meet the cash demands of the business.

Financial assets held mandatory at FVTPL

Financial assets are mandatory measured at fair value through profit or loss ('FVTPL') where they do not meet the criteria to be carried under a different classification.

Such financial assets are recognised initially at fair value, with transaction costs taken to the income statement, and are subsequently remeasured at fair value. All subsequent gains and losses from changes in the fair value of these assets and liabilities together with related interest income, interest expense and dividends, are recognised in the income statement within trading income as they arise.

The majority of the Company's financial assets are held within this category, including trading portfolio financial assets, derivatives, reverse repurchase agreements and other financing at fair value.

Option to designate a financial asset at FVTPL

The Company may irrevocably designate a financial asset as held at FVTPL upon initial recognition where doing so eliminates or significantly reduces a measurement or recognition inconsistency that would otherwise arise from carrying financial assets or financial liabilities on different bases.

Option to carry certain equity investments at FVTOCI

The Company may make an irrevocable designation for certain investments in equity securities, that would otherwise be measured at FVTPL, to present changes in fair value through other comprehensive income. Where the Company chooses this election, dividends on those securities will be recorded through the PL as the Company becomes contractually entitled to receive them. Any other gains or losses on these securities, included those related to currency translation, are recorded in other comprehensive income and may not subsequently be reclassified to PL, but may be transferred between elements of shareholder's equity.

Identification and measurement of impairment

The recognition and measurement of impairment under IFRS 9 is based on an internal expected credit loss ('ECL') model. The Company recognises expected credit losses for financial assets carried at amortised cost and FVTOCI. Expected credit losses are estimated on a forward-looking basis as a function of the probability of default, loss given default, and the Company's exposure at default; and represent the difference between all contractual cash flows that are due under the contract and all the cash flows that the Company expects to receive, discounted at the original effective interest rate of the instrument.

1 Accounting policies (continued)

The ECL model applied classifies these financial assets in the following stages:

- Stage 1: Performing assets – 12-month ECL Financial instruments that have not experienced significant increase in credit risk since initial recognition. Provision is determined based on probability of default event occurring within the next 12 months ('12-month ECL'). The Company calculates 12 month expected losses for the majority of assets held due to the high credit quality of instruments within the amortised cost and FVTOCI categories
- Stage 2: Under-performing assets – Lifetime ECL Financial instruments where a significant increase in credit risk has been identified but are not in default. Provision is determined based on probability of default event over the expected life of the financial instrument ('Lifetime ECL'). A financial asset moves from Stage 1 to Stage 2 when there is a significant increase in credit risk (SICR) since initial recognition, which is assessed by analysis of published credit ratings and other factors where appropriate. The Company assumes that there has been no significant increase in credit risk where the asset is determined to be of low credit risk at the reporting date. An asset has low credit risk where it has been assigned an external rating within the investment grade range
- Stage 3: Non-performing assets – Lifetime ECL Financial instruments are classified as Stage 3 where a default has been identified. The definition of default is aligned with the EBA definition within the context of risk management. Stage 3 provisions are determined based on a Lifetime ECL using a Discounted Cash Flow (DCF) method. The company does not currently calculate lifetime ECL for any assets.

The Company calculates 12 month expected losses for the majority of assets held due to the high credit quality of instruments within the amortised cost and FVTOCI categories. 12 month expected credit losses represent that portion of lifetime expected credit losses which result from default events on the asset that are possible within 12 months of the reporting date. The ECL for the current and prior year is not material.

Financial liabilities

Financial liabilities are held at amortised cost except where they meet the conditions listed below to be carried at FVTPL. Where liabilities are held at amortised cost, the carrying value is calculated using the effective interest rate method.

Trading liabilities

Repurchase agreements, derivatives, short positions in debt (bonds, pass through notes and asset backed securities) and equity securities, or positions which are part of a portfolio of identified financial instruments that are managed together and for which there is evidence of a recent actual pattern of short-term profit taking, are classified as held for trading. Such financial liabilities are recognised initially at fair value, with transaction costs taken to the income statement, and are subsequently remeasured at fair value. All subsequent gains and losses from changes in the fair value of these liabilities together with related interest income, interest expense and dividends, are recognised in the income statement within trading income as they arise.

Financial liabilities designated at FVTPL

Financial liabilities, other than those held for trading, are classified in this category if they meet one or more of the criteria set out below, and are so designated by management upon initial recognition. The Company may designate financial liabilities at fair value when doing so results in more relevant information due to the following:

- It eliminates or significantly reduces valuation or recognition inconsistencies that would otherwise arise from measuring financial assets or financial liabilities, or recognising gains and losses on them, on different bases. Under this criterion, the main class of financial instruments designated by the Company includes medium term note issues and money market loans and deposits. The return on certain instruments has been matched with derivatives. An accounting mismatch would arise if the debt securities and money market transactions were accounted for at amortised cost, because the related derivatives are measured at fair value with movements in the fair value taken through the income statement. By designating these assets and liabilities at fair value, the movement in their fair value will also be recorded in the income statement.
- Groups of financial assets, financial liabilities or combinations thereof are managed and their performance evaluated, on a fair value basis in accordance with a documented risk management or investment strategy, and information about groups of financial instruments is reported to management on that basis.
- Certain financial instruments contain one or more embedded derivatives that significantly modify the cash flows resulting from those financial instruments.

Where financial liabilities have been designated at FVTPL, movements in their value due to changes in the Company's own credit risk are required to be recorded through other comprehensive income. As the Company does not have significant debt issuances to external investors, it measures changes in the value of issued debt from the treasury function of the movements in the credit spread charged by investors when they purchase newly issued debt from the treasury function of the immediate parent. The Company sources funds through this treasury function, and carries a credit rating consistent with its immediate parent. Due to the stability of funding costs achieved by the immediate parent, these changes in value were not material during the period. The Company trades structured notes, reported within financial liabilities designated at fair value, which include embedded derivatives and has elected to designate these at FVTPL.

All other subsequent gains and losses from changes in the fair value of these liabilities, together with related interest expense are recognised within trading, in the income statement.

1 Accounting policies (continued)

Issued debt – financial liability vs equity classification

Issued financial instruments or their components are classified as equity when there is no contractual obligation to transfer cash, other financial assets or issue a variable number of the Company's own equity instruments to the holder of the instrument. The proceeds of the issue are recorded directly in equity, and held at historical cost. Incremental costs directly attributable to the issue of equity instruments are shown in equity as a deduction from the proceeds, net of tax. Dividends and other returns to equity holders are recognised as a deduction from distributable reserves within equity when paid or declared by the Company.

Issued financial instruments or their components are classified as liabilities if the underlying contract results in a present obligation for the Company to either deliver cash, another financial asset, or a variable number of the Company's own equity shares to the holder of the instrument. Financial liabilities are measured at amortised cost, except for trading liabilities and liabilities designated at fair value, which are held at FVTPL.

Where issued financial instruments contain both liability and equity components, these are accounted for separately. The fair value of the debt is estimated first and the remainder of the proceeds are included within equity. No such compound instruments existed at year-end or throughout the year.

Embedded derivatives

Derivatives may be embedded in other contractual arrangements. Embedded derivatives are treated as separate derivatives when their economic characteristics and risks are not clearly and closely related to those of the host; the terms of the embedded derivatives would meet the definition of a stand-alone derivative if they were contained in a separate contract; and the combined contract is a financial liability not held for trading or designated at fair value. These embedded derivatives are measured at fair value with changes in fair value recognised in the income statement.

The Company has embedded derivatives within its issued structured notes portfolio. Those notes are carried at FVTPL under the fair value option.

Repurchase and resale agreements

Securities which have been sold subject to an agreement to repurchase remain on the balance sheet and a liability based on the net present value of the associated future cash out flows is recorded within liabilities. Securities acquired in purchase and resale transactions are not recognised on the balance sheet and an asset based on the net present value of the associated future cash receipts is recorded within assets.

Sale and repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements are managed together as a portfolio on a fair value basis and are accounted for at FVTPL. As such, the balances recorded in assets and liabilities are subsequently remeasured at fair value. Gains and losses from changes in the fair value of the associated cash flows are recognised in the income statement as they arise. Assets and liabilities are offset and the net amount reported on the balance sheet when there is a legally enforceable right to offset the recognised amounts and there is an intention to settle on a net basis.

Derivatives

Where contracts meet the definition of a derivative within IFRS 9, they are recognised initially, and are subsequently remeasured, at fair value. All changes in fair value, except for certain gains and losses related to cash flow hedges and net investment hedges, are recognised in the income statement within trading income as they arise. Fair values are obtained from quoted market prices in active markets, or using valuation techniques where an active market does not exist. Valuation techniques include discounted cash flow models, recent market transactions and option pricing models as appropriate. All derivatives are classified as assets when their fair value is positive or as liabilities when their fair value is negative. Derivatives are recognised using trade date accounting.

The company makes use of the exemption from derivative accounting permitted within the standard for regular way purchases and sales of securities – these are accounted for using settlement date accounting.

Financial assets and liabilities are offset and the net amount reported on the balance sheet if, and only if, the entity currently has a legally enforceable right of offset and there is an intention to settle on a net basis, or to realise an asset and settle the liability simultaneously. In many cases, even though master netting agreements are in place, the related assets and liabilities are presented gross on the balance sheet as these requirements are not met.

The value of derivative contracts has been adjusted to include the impact of counterparty credit risk ('CVA') and the cost and benefit of future funding ('FVA'). The impact of changes in the Company's own credit risk ('DVA') is materially included within the application of FVA. The Company is the beneficiary of an intercompany guarantee from MUFG Bank which provides the Company with protection against default over a portfolio of corporate derivatives. This guarantee does not meet the definition in IFRS 9 of a financial guarantee, and as such is accounted for as a credit derivative.

1 Accounting policies (continued)

Collateral

Cash collateral pledged by the Company, which is included within cash collateral paid to derivative counterparties and brokers and other assets balances, is classified within financial assets at amortised cost. Cash collateral pledged by counterparties, which is included within the cash collateral received from derivative counterparties and brokers balance, is classified as a financial liability measured at amortised cost. These balances are initially measured at fair value and subsequently measured at amortised cost.

Where securities are posted to counterparties as collateral against liabilities of the Company the security will be retained on the Company's balance sheet and will not impact the recorded liability. Collateral received in the form of securities is not recorded on the balance sheet.

Derecognition of financial assets and liabilities

Financial assets are derecognised when the rights to receive cash flows from the assets have expired; or when the Company has transferred both its contractual right to receive the cash flows of the financial assets, and substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership; or where control is not retained. Financial liabilities are derecognised when they are extinguished, that is when the obligation is discharged, cancelled or expired.

Hedge accounting

At the inception of a hedging relationship, the Company documents the relationship between the hedging instruments and the hedged items, its risk management objective, its strategy for undertaking the hedge, and how it will assess hedge effectiveness.

The Company also requires a documented assessment, both at hedge inception and on an ongoing basis, of whether or not the hedging relationships continue to meet hedge effectiveness requirements. Following the adoption of IFRS 9 hedge accounting, the Company assesses the following hedge effectiveness requirements using a combination of qualitative and, where applicable, quantitative methods:

- An economic relationship exists between the hedged item and the hedging instrument;
- Credit risk does not dominate the changes in value of either the hedged item or the hedging instrument; and
- The hedge ratio is consistent with the Company's risk management policy

Hedges are designated by the Company as one of: hedges of the change in fair value of recognised assets or liabilities ('fair value hedges'); hedges of the variability of cash flows attributable to a recognised asset or liability or a forecast transaction ('cash flow hedges'); or hedges of a net investment in a foreign operation.

Hedges directly affected by the interest rate benchmark reform

The Company adopted the IASBs phase 1 amendments on 1 January 2020. In assessing whether the hedge is expected to be highly effective on a forward-looking basis, the Company has assumed that the USD LIBOR, GBP LIBOR or EONIA interest rates on which the cash flows of the interest rate swaps that hedge fixed rate securities and fixed rate debt are based are not altered by IBOR reform. The Company also continues hedge accounting where the interest rate component may not be separately identifiable, until the uncertainty arising from IBOR reform with respect to the timing and the amount of the underlying cash flows that the Company is exposed to ends.

Fair value hedges

Changes in the fair value of derivatives that are designated, and qualify, as fair value hedging instruments are recorded in the income statement, along with changes in the fair value of the hedged assets, liabilities or groups thereof that are attributable to the hedged risk. If a hedging relationship no longer meets the criteria for hedge accounting, taking into account any reliefs from usual criteria for changes to hedging relationships required by IBOR reform, the cumulative adjustment to the carrying amount of the hedged item is amortised to the income statement based on a recalculated effective interest rate over the residual period to maturity, unless the hedged item has been derecognised, in which case, it is released to the income statement immediately.

Cash flow hedges

The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives that are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges is recognised in shareholder's equity within the cash flow hedging reserve. Any gain or loss in fair value relating to an ineffective portion is recognised immediately in the income statement. Amounts accumulated in equity are recycled to the income statement in the periods in which the hedged item will affect profit or loss. When a hedging instrument expires or is sold, or when a hedge no longer meets the criteria for hedge accounting, any cumulative gain or loss existing in equity at that time remains in equity until the hedged transaction is recognised in the income statement. When a hedged forecast transaction is no longer expected to occur, the cumulative gain or loss that was reported in equity is immediately transferred to the income statement.

Net investment hedges

The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives that are designated and qualify as net investment hedges is recognised in shareholder's equity within the net investment hedge reserve. Any gain or loss in fair value relating to an ineffective portion is recognised immediately in the income statement. Amounts accumulated in equity are recycled to the income statement only when the subsidiary is de-recognised. When a hedging instrument expires or is sold, or when a hedge no longer meets the criteria for hedge accounting, any cumulative gain or loss existing in equity at that time remains in equity until the subsidiary is de-recognised.

1 Accounting policies (continued)

Fair value measurement of financial assets and liabilities

Fair value is the amount for which an asset could be sold, or a liability transferred, between knowledgeable, willing parties in an arm's length transaction on the measurement date.

When available, the Company measures the fair value of an instrument using quoted prices in an active market for that instrument. A market is regarded as active if quoted prices are readily and regularly available and represent actual and regularly occurring market transactions on an arm's length basis.

If the market for a financial instrument is not active, the Company establishes fair value using valuation techniques. Valuation techniques include using recent arm's length transactions between knowledgeable, willing parties (if available), reference to the current fair value of other instruments that are substantially the same, discounted cash flow analysis and option pricing models. The chosen valuation technique makes maximum use of market inputs, relies as little as possible on estimates specific to the Company, incorporates all factors that market participants would consider in setting a price, and is consistent with accepted economic methodologies for pricing financial instruments. Inputs to valuation techniques reasonably represent market expectations and measures of the risk-return factors inherent in the financial instrument. The Company calibrates valuation techniques and tests them for validity using prices from observable current market transactions in the same instrument or based on other available observable market data.

When unobservable market data has a significant impact on the valuation of financial instruments and the model valuations indicate initial profits or losses on the transaction, the entire initial gain or loss is not recognised immediately in the income statement. The initial gain or loss is measured as the difference in fair value indicated by the valuation model price and the transaction price. These gains or losses are deferred and recognised over the life of the transaction on a systematic basis, or when the inputs become observable, or the transaction matures or is closed out, or when the Company enters into an eligible offsetting or economic hedging transaction which provides a market data point to demonstrate observability of the unobservable input(s). Refer to Note 27 for further detail on the fair value of financial instruments.

Transactions in which the Company acts as an agent

Where the Company acts as an intermediary on behalf of another entity and does not have exposure to the significant risks and rewards associated with the activities performed, it is determined to be acting in an agency capacity. When examining relationships, MUS(EMEA) reviews which entity has primary responsibility for providing services to customers, has inventory risk, has latitude to establish prices, or bears credit risk of the transaction. In the absence of evidence or analysis to the contrary, MUS(EMEA) will follow the legal form of transactions and arrangements will be reported as principal relationships.

When the Company acts as an agent on behalf of another entity, neither the amounts collected from clients on behalf of the principal entity nor the amounts paid away to the principal entity are recorded as revenue. Rather, MUS(EMEA) records the net commissions received from the principal as revenue and such revenue is accrued as the service is provided. Similarly, unsettled amounts relating to agency trading will not be shown on the balance sheet.

Fees and commissions

Fees and commissions income include fees received when the Company acts as an underwriter or agent and from the provision of advisory services. Fees and commissions income typically arise on contracts where there is a single performance obligation. Revenues are recognised when the performance obligations are satisfied in accordance with the contract. This may be at a particular point in time or over a period of time.

Fees and commissions expenses include transaction and service fees. Amounts are recognised as the related services are received.

Client money segregation

The Company holds money on behalf of some clients in accordance with the Client Money Rules of the Financial Conduct Authority. Such monies and the corresponding amounts due to clients are not held on the balance sheet as the clients retain beneficial ownership.

Net interest income

The interest balances presented within the income statement represent the returns and costs to the firm of holding regulatory buffer assets and long term investment positions. These positions are held and managed within the Treasury function. Interest income represents coupon income and amortisation of any premium or discount arising upon purchase of securities held at FVTOCI and certain reverse repurchase agreements held at Amortised Cost. Interest expense represents the cost of funding these positions and includes the cost of repurchase agreements held as liabilities at amortised cost, interest payable on subordinated debt, interest payable on senior unsecured debt which is carried at amortised cost and interest costs on other sources of funds that support these investments.

Any coupon receivable or payable on items which are part of the trading activities of the Company are included directly within Trading Income.

Other income

Other income includes revenues for providing administrative support services to other Group companies, which are recognised when the performance obligations are satisfied.

1 Accounting policies (continued)

Foreign currencies

The financial statements are presented in pounds sterling which is the presentation and functional currency of the Company.

Monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies and open forward foreign exchange contracts are translated into the functional currency using the exchange rate of exchange prevailing at the balance sheet date. Non-monetary assets and liabilities measured at fair value in a foreign currency are translated into the functional currency at the exchange rate when the fair value was determined. Non-monetary items measured in terms of historical cost in a foreign currency are translated at the exchange rate at the date of the transaction.

Gains or losses on translation are generally included in the income statement. Gains or losses on translation are included in OCI for the following items:

- a financial liability designated as a hedge of the net investment in a foreign operation to the extent that the hedge is effective; and
- qualifying cash flow hedges to the extent that the hedges are effective.

The assets and liabilities of the Company recognised in foreign currencies are translated to the Company's functional currency at the exchange rates of the reporting date. The income and expenses of the Company are translated to the Company's functional currency at the exchange rates at the dates of the transactions.

Property, plant and equipment and intangible assets

Property, plant and equipment and intangible assets are measured at cost less accumulated depreciation and any accumulated impairment losses.

Expenditure to bring purchased software into operational use and internally developed software are recognised as intangible assets when the Company considers that the software will be used in a manner that will generate future economic benefits and can reliably measure the costs of development.

All fixed assets are reviewed for impairment on an annual basis. Assets are impaired where it is considered that the future economic benefit of the asset is lower than its carrying amount. Such impairment losses are included directly in the income statement.

Depreciation is provided to write off the cost less estimated residual value of tangible fixed assets and intangible software assets by equal instalments over their estimated useful lives as follows:

Office furniture and fittings	5 years
Office machinery and equipment	3 – 5 years
Intangible software	4 – 7 years

Leases

The Company classifies a contract as containing a lease if the contract conveys the right to control the use of an identified asset for the period of time in exchange for consideration.

As a lessee, the Company recognises a lease liability and a corresponding right-of-use asset for all arrangements containing a lease, with the exception of short term leases (with the term of 12 months or less) and leases of assets of low value.

Lease liability is initially measured at the present value of the remaining lease payments at the commencement date, discounted applying lessee's incremental borrowing rate when there is no interest rate implicit in the lease, and presented within Other Liabilities (refer to Note 17). The lease liability is subsequently measured by increasing the carrying amount to reflect interest on the lease liability (using the effective interest method) and by reducing the carrying amount to reflect the lease payments made.

The associated right-of-use asset is initially recognised at the amount equal to the lease liability, adjusted by any accrued or prepaid lease payments, an initial estimate of restoration costs and any initial direct costs incurred by the Company as a lessee and presented as a separate line in the statement of financial position. Right-of-use asset is depreciated from the commencement date to the earlier of the useful life of the underlying asset or the end of the lease term. The Company applies the requirements of IAS 36 to determine whether the right-of-use asset has been impaired and to account for any identified impairment losses.

1 Accounting policies (continued)

Investments in subsidiaries

The Company has elected to make use of the parent company exemption within IFRS 10, exempting it from the requirement to prepare consolidated financial statements. The Company's parent, MUSHD, has been consulted and does not object to this approach. The consolidated financial statements of MUSHD include the results of the Company and its subsidiary. As such, the investment in the subsidiary is included within these separate financial statements using the equity method of accounting as defined in IAS 28.

Under the equity method of accounting, the initial investment in the subsidiary is recorded at cost in the functional currency of the Company. The value of the investment will not be re-measured after the initial recording date, except for the following:

- The Company's share of the net profit / loss of the subsidiary at each reporting date – recording any net income / (expense) as a gain / (loss) in the PL and an increase / (decrease) in the value of the equity investment
- Any dividends or distributions received from the subsidiary will reduce the value of the investment on the balance sheet
- Movements in the value of the equity method investment due to changes in foreign currency exchange rates across periods will be recorded in Other Comprehensive Income.

Taxation

Taxation comprises current and deferred tax. Current tax and deferred tax are recognised in the income statement except to the extent that they relate to items recognised directly in equity.

Current tax is the expected tax payable or receivable on the taxable income or loss for the year, using tax rates enacted or substantively enacted at the reporting date, and any adjustment to tax payable in respect of previous years.

Deferred tax is recognised in respect of all temporary differences and tax losses that have originated but not reversed by the balance sheet date. Temporary differences are divergences between the Company's results for tax purposes and its results as stated in the financial statements that arise from the inclusion of income and expenses in tax assessments in periods different from those in which they are recognised in the financial statements. Temporary differences and tax losses are taken into account if they have originated prior to the balance sheet date and are expected to reverse in one or more future periods.

Deferred tax is calculated at the tax rates that are expected to be applied to temporary differences or tax losses when they reverse, based on the laws that have been enacted or substantively enacted by the reporting date. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are offset if there is a legally enforceable right to offset current tax liabilities against current tax assets, and they relate to taxes levied by the same tax authority on the same taxable entity, or on different taxable entities which intend to settle current tax liabilities and assets on a net basis.

A deferred tax asset is recognised for unused tax losses and other deductible temporary differences to the extent that it is probable that future taxable profits will be available against which they can be utilised. Deferred tax assets are reviewed at each reporting date and are reduced to the extent that it is no longer probable that the related tax benefit will be realised.

Deferred tax balances are not discounted.

Employee benefits

Staff are remunerated through both salary and annual performance based discretionary compensation awards. Performance based awards are calculated annually, and reflect the performance of both the individual and the Company during that annual period. Portions of performance based awards are paid by the Company on deferred terms. From 2011 onwards, a portion of these deferred awards for certain employees subject to the PRA's Remuneration Code is linked to the performance of the share price of MUFG. These awards are termed Notional Stock Units (NSU's).

Where payments are made on a deferred basis and the cash value is fixed at the award date, the Company recognises the costs of the deferred awards during the period that the award is made, even though cash payments will not be made until future periods. The Company considers that this treatment most effectively represents the costs of employee compensation for the period.

Where payments are made on a deferred basis and the cash value is linked to the MUFG share price, the Company amortises the expected cost of the award across the entire deferral period, and records as an expense only that portion which is deemed to have accrued during the current period. The Company records post grant date changes in the value of the total award due to changes in the MUFG share price directly through expenses.

1 Accounting policies (continued)

Pensions

The Company maintains both a defined contribution pension scheme and a defined benefit pension scheme (the defined benefit scheme is closed to new entrants and to future accruals).

For the defined contribution scheme, pension costs which represent the contributions payable to the scheme in respect of the accounting period are charged to the income statement.

For the defined benefit scheme, pension scheme assets are measured using market value. Pension scheme liabilities are measured using a projected unit method and discounted at the current rate of return on high quality corporate bonds of equivalent term and currency to the liability. Any increase in the present value of the liabilities of the Company's defined benefit pension scheme expected to arise from employee service in the period is charged to Profit on ordinary activities before taxation. The expected return on the scheme's assets and the increase during the period in the present value of the scheme's liabilities arising from the passage of time are included in administrative expenses. Actuarial gains and losses are recognised in the statement of total comprehensive income. Deficits in the scheme are recognised in the Company's balance sheet. Surpluses are only recognised to the extent that they are recoverable through reduced contributions in the future or through refunds from the scheme.

The Company recognises the effect of material changes to the terms of its defined benefit pension scheme which reduce future benefits as curtailments; gains and losses are recognised in the income statement when the curtailments occur.

The Company revalues its defined benefit scheme at 30 June and 31 December each year, in consultation with the scheme's actuary. The assumptions underlying the calculations are used to determine the expected income statement charge for the year going forward.

Future Accounting Developments

The following standards, which have been issued but are not yet required to be applied, are likely to have an impact on the entity's financial reporting (note, standards or amendments which are not expected to have an impact on the Company's financial reporting have not been summarised here):

Amendments to IFRS 9, IAS 39 and IFRS 7, IFRS 4 and IFRS 16 – Interest Rate Benchmark Reform Phase 2

On the 27 August 2020, IASB completed its two-phase project on interest rate benchmark reform (IBOR reform) and issued amendments to address financial reporting issues when an existing interest rate benchmark is replaced with an alternative benchmark rate. The amendments introduce additional disclosure requirements and provide practical relief from certain requirements in IFRS 9, IAS 39, IFRS 7, IFRS 4 and IFRS 16 with respect to contract modifications, hedge accounting and leases.

The amendments will require the Company to account for a change in the basis for determining the contractual cash flows of a financial instrument measured using effective interest method that is required by IBOR reform by updating its effective interest rate. The Company expects no significant impact will arise as a result of applying the amendments to its financial instruments carried at amortised cost, FVTOCI or FVTPL that transition to alternative benchmark rates.

The amendments provide exceptions to hedge accounting requirements in the following areas:

- Allow amendment of the designation of a hedging relationship to reflect changes that are required by the reform;
- When a hedged item in a cash flow hedge is amended to reflect the changes that are required by the reform, the amount accumulated in the cash flow hedge reserve will be deemed to be based on the alternative benchmark rate on which the hedged future cash flows are determined;
- When a group of items is designated as a hedged item and an item in the group is amended to reflect the changes that are required by the reform, the hedged items are allocated to subgroups based on the benchmark rates being hedged;
- If an entity reasonably expects that an alternative benchmark rate will be separately identifiable within a period of 24 months, it is not prohibited from designating the rate as a non-contractually specified risk component if it is not separately identifiable at the designation date.

The amendments will require the Company to disclose additional information about its exposure to risks arising from IBOR reform and related risk management activities.

The amendments also provide a practical expedient to account for a lease modification that is required by IBOR reform in a similar fashion to any other change in estimate, rather than as a lease modification.

The Company will apply the amendments from 1 January 2021. The adoption is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's financial reporting.

2 First time adoption of accounting standards

Only those standards which have been adopted and which have a potential impact on the Company's reporting are included below. Other changes to accounting standards in the current year have been adopted but had no material impact.

Amendments to IFRS 9, IAS 39 and IFRS 7 – Interest Rate Benchmark Reform Phase 1

On the 26 September 2019, IASB issued amendments to hedge accounting rules in IAS 39 and IFRS 9, as well as IFRS 7 disclosure requirements. Interest rate benchmark reform (IBOR reform) is expected to have an impact on financial reporting. The amendments provide temporary relief from applying specific hedge accounting requirements to hedging relationships directly affected by IBOR reform. The reliefs generally allow hedge accounting to continue during the period of uncertainty arising from the reform. However, any hedge ineffectiveness should continue to be recorded in the income statement. Furthermore, the amendments set out triggers for when the reliefs will end, which include when the uncertainty arising from IBOR reform is no longer present.

The application of the amendments impact the Company's accounting in the following ways:

- The Company has US dollar-denominated fixed rate debt which it fair value hedges using US dollar fixed to USD LIBOR interest rate swaps. The amendments permit continuation of hedge accounting even if in the future the hedged benchmark interest rate, USD LIBOR, may no longer be separately identifiable. However, this relief does not extend to the requirement that the designated interest rate risk component must continue to be reliably measureable. If the risk component is no longer reliably measureable, the hedging relationship is discontinued.
- The Company holds investments in sterling-denominated, US dollar-denominated and Euro-denominated fixed rate debt securities for liquidity management purposes under a 'held to collect and sell' business model. These securities are measured at fair value through other comprehensive income. The interest rate risk of the securities is hedged using fixed to GBP LIBOR or SONIA interest rate swaps, USD LIBOR or FedFunds interest rate swaps or EONIA interest rate swaps. As noted above, the amendments permit continuation of hedge accounting even if in the future the hedged benchmark interest rate, GBP LIBOR, USD LIBOR or EONIA may no longer be separately identifiable. However, if the risk component is no longer reliably measureable, the hedging relationship is discontinued.
- In assessing whether the hedge is expected to be highly effective on a forward-looking basis, the Company has assumed that the USD LIBOR, GBP LIBOR or EONIA interest rates on which the cash flows of the interest rate swaps that hedge fixed rate securities and fixed rate debt are based are not altered by IBOR reform.

Amendments are effective for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 2020, with earlier adoption permitted. The Company has adopted the amendments from 1 January 2020. The adoption did not have any impact on the financial results of the Company.

The Company will continue to apply the IFRS 9 reliefs until the uncertainty arising from IBOR reform with respect to the timing and the amount of the underlying cash flows that the Company is exposed to ends. The Company has assumed that this uncertainty will not end until the Company's contracts that reference interest rate benchmarks affected by the reform are amended to specify the date the interest rate benchmark will be replaced and the cash flows of the alternative benchmark rate and the relevant spread adjustment. This will, in part, be dependent on the introduction and trigger of fall back clauses and negotiation with counterparties.

Below are details of the hedging instruments and hedged items in scope of the IFRS 9 amendments due to benchmark interest rate reform.

Hedge type	Hedged item	Instrument type	Underlying interest rate benchmark	Anticipated interest rate benchmark end date	GBP Notional	Notional of instruments maturing after anticipated end date
Fair value hedge	Fixed rate debt securities of the same maturity and nominal of the relevant swap	Pay sterling fixed, receive 3 month GBP LIBOR interest rate swap	GBP LIBOR	31 Dec 2021	51,921,000	51,921,000
		Pay USD fixed, receive 3 month USD LIBOR interest rate swap	USD LIBOR	30 Jun 2023	175,636,138	175,636,138
		Pay EUR fixed, receive EONIA interest rate swap	EONIA	03 Jan 2022	16,120,969	16,120,969
	US dollar fixed rate issued debt of the same maturity and nominal of the swaps	Pay 3 month USD LIBOR, received USD fixed interest rate swap	USD LIBOR	30 Jun 2023	731,817,243	731,817,243

MUFG Securities EMEA plc

3 Interest income and similar income

	2020 £'000	2019 £'000
Interest on non-trading assets	26,874	25,737
	26,874	25,737

Interest income includes coupon earned on Securities at FVTOCI and cash deposits.

4 Interest expense

	2020 £'000	2019 £'000
Interest on non-trading liabilities	(424)	2,131
Interest on MUSHD USD loan	15,340	-
Interest on senior unsecured loan	10,715	19,530
Interest on subordinated liabilities	2,527	2,464
	28,158	24,125

Interest on loans and liabilities refers to the intercompany debt issued to the Company's immediate parent, MUSHD (refer to Note 22).

5 Administrative expenses

	2020 £'000	2019 £'000
Personnel expenses		
Wages and salaries	115,882	135,327
Retirement benefits	5,729	5,242
Social security costs	16,147	17,801
	137,758	158,370
General and administrative expenses		
Auditor's remuneration (Note 6)	1,058	812
Other administrative expense	112,809	78,867
	113,867	79,679
Total administrative expenses	251,625	238,049

The average number of employees of the Company was 672 (2019: 663), split 161:511 (2019: 165:498) between front office and support staff respectively.

Included within 'Wages and salaries' is a discretionary compensation accrual representing management's best estimate of the charge.

6 Auditor's remuneration

The analysis of the auditor's remuneration is as follows:

	2020 £'000	2019 £'000
Fees payable to the Company's auditor for audit and other services		
Statutory audit fees	795	543
Audit related assurance services	178	251
Other non-audit services relating to corporate finance	35	-
Other non-audit services	32	-
	1,040	794
Fees payable to the Company's auditor in respect of associated pension schemes	18	18
Total auditor's remuneration	1,058	812
Statutory audit fees of the Company's subsidiary	134	127

Fees for audit of the Company's subsidiary or not incurred, nor expensed by the Company.

7 Share based payment plans

Notional Stock Units

The Company awarded NSUs to a number of employees during the year. The NSUs are deferred over a period of 3, 5 or 7 years, depending on award date and the individuals role, and track the performance of MUFG shares (see accounting policies).

	2020		2019	
	No. Units '000	Value	No. Units '000	Value
No. of NSUs outstanding as at 1 January	2,544		2,422	
Granted during the year:				
No. of NSUs granted	3,058		1,780	
Value in £'000 equivalent at grant date		10,043		6,577
Less:				
No. of NSUs vested during the year	(566)		(1,617)	
No. of NSUs forfeited / cancelled (unvested)	(9)		(41)	
No. of NSUs as at 31 December	5,027		2,544	
Fair value of outstanding NSUs at 31 December £'000		16,356		10,492
Average share price at vesting / payment (JPY)		497		508

8 Retirement benefits

The Company provides a defined contribution pension scheme, the Group Personal Pension Plan ('GPPP'), for employees of the Company. The assets of the scheme are held separately from those of the Company in an independently administered fund. The cost for the period recognised in the income statement was £6.2m (2019: £6.0m).

The Company also provides a funded, final salary, defined benefit pension scheme which was closed to new entrants and future accrual in 2011. The assets of the scheme are held separately from those of the Company in a segregated fund administered by trustees. The scheme is deemed to be a registered pension scheme under the terms of Schedule 36 of the Finance Act 2004 with scheme funding target objectives set by this act. Pension valuations are undertaken by independent qualified actuary with reporting guidelines set by the Technical Actuarial Standard with calculations consistent with the International Accounting Standard ('IAS19').

An update to the latest actuarial valuation was performed as at 31 December 2020 and the principal actuarial assumptions at the balance sheet date were as follows:

	2020 %	2019 %
Discount rate	1.30	1.90
RPI inflation assumption	3.10	3.20
CPI inflation assumption	2.30	2.20
LPI pension increase assumption	3.05	3.10

The discount rate assumption is based upon published corporate bond indices. The inflation assumptions reference Bank of England published yield curve data.

The underlying mortality assumption is based upon the standard table known as S3PXA_L on a year of birth usage with CMI_2019 future improvement factors with a long term annual rate of future improvement of 1.25% p.a. (2019: S3PXA_L on a year of birth usage with CMI_2018 future improvement factors with a long term annual rate of future improvement of 1.25% p.a.). This results in the following life expectancies:

- Male age 65 has a life expectancy of 23 years (previously also 23 years)
- Female age 65 has a life expectancy of 24 years (previously also 24 years)

The amounts recognised in the balance sheet as at the year end are as follows:

	2020 £'000	2019 £'000
Present value of funded obligations	(216,917)	(192,877)
Fair value of plan assets	254,649	209,050
Recognisable surplus in the scheme	37,732	16,173
Related deferred tax (liability)	(10,185)	(4,283)
Net pension asset	27,547	11,890

The amounts recognised in the income statement within administrative expenses total £469k credit (2019: £716k credit). The projected income for the calendar year 2021 is £491k credit within administrative expenses.

8 Retirement benefits (continued)

All scheme costs are met directly by the Company and are therefore excluded from these disclosures.

Changes to the present value of the scheme liabilities for the year end are as follows:

	2020 £'000	2019 £'000
Present value of unfunded obligation at opening	192,877	159,135
Interest cost	3,617	4,582
Past service cost	21	-
Actuarial remeasurement	25,457	35,457
Benefits paid	(5,055)	(6,297)
Present value of obligation at closing	216,917	192,877

Changes in the fair value of the scheme assets for the year end are as follows:

	2020 £'000	2019 £'000
Fair value of plan assets at opening	209,050	183,822
Expected return on plan assets	4,107	5,298
Actuarial remeasurement	27,324	26,227
Benefits paid by fund	(5,055)	(6,297)
Contributions paid by the Company	19,223	-
Fair value of scheme assets at closing	254,649	209,050

The expected contribution to be paid by the Company for the forthcoming year (year ending 31 December 2021) is Nil.

The market value of total scheme assets for the year end are as follows:

	2020 £'000	2019 £'000
UK equities	47,490	54,666
Overseas equities	148,515	124,087
Absolute return	-	10,037
Property funds	-	2,124
UK Gilts and corporate bonds	24,931	7,793
Cash	33,713	10,343
Total	254,649	209,050

All scheme assets have a quoted market price in an active market. The assets of the scheme are held separately from those of the Company in funds under the control of the Trustees of the scheme.

The amounts recognised in Other Comprehensive Income for the year end are as follows:

	2020 £'000	2019 £'000
Actual return less expected return on scheme assets	27,324	26,227
Experienced gains and losses arising on scheme liabilities	3,682	(260)
Changes in assumptions underlying the present value of scheme liabilities	(29,139)	(35,197)
Actuarial (loss) / gain recognised in OCI	1,867	(9,230)

Movement in recognisable surplus during the year are as follows:

	2020 £'000	2019 £'000
Surplus at start of year	16,173	24,687
Income recognised in income statement	469	716
Contributions paid by the company	19,223	-
Recognised actuarial gain	1,867	(9,230)
Surplus at end of year	37,732	16,173

Analysis of present value of scheme liabilities:

	2020 %	2019 %
Deferred members	69	72
Current pensioners	31	28
Total	100	100

8 Retirement benefits (continued)

The below table shows the impact on the present value placed on the scheme's liabilities of the stated changes to the actuarial assumptions (refer page 59). These sensitivities have been determined by a full recalculation of the present value using the different assumptions and are therefore fully accurate (2019: same approach).

	2020 %	2019 %
Discount rate +/- 0.5%	-11 / +12	-10 / +12
RPI and CPI +/- 0.5%	+2 / -2	+3 / -3
Life expectancy +/- 1 year	+5 / -4	+5 / -5
Pension increase (in payment and deferment) +/- 0.5%	+2 / -2	+3 / -3

The weighted average duration of the scheme liabilities is approximately 22.5 years (2019: 22.5 years).

9 Applicable taxes

	2020 £'000	2019 £'000
UK corporation tax		
Current year	(32,524)	(22,625)
Adjustments in respect of prior years	(104)	2,347
	<u>(32,628)</u>	<u>(20,278)</u>
Foreign tax		
Current year	(1,818)	(852)
Total current tax	<u>(34,446)</u>	<u>(21,130)</u>
Deferred tax		
Origination and reversal of timing differences	(6,587)	(3,967)
Effect of increase in rate used to recognise deferred tax assets	1,194	
Adjustments in respect of prior years	222	(2,653)
Total deferred tax	<u>(5,171)</u>	<u>(6,620)</u>
Total tax expense	<u>(39,617)</u>	<u>(27,750)</u>

Corporation tax is calculated at 27% (2019: 27%) of the estimated taxable profit for the year. The Company continues to be subject to the 8% corporation tax surcharge applicable to banks and banking groups.

The charge for the year can be reconciled to the profit in the income statement as follows:

	2020 £'000	2019 £'000
Profit on ordinary activities before taxation	174,193	111,689
Tax at the UK corporation tax rate of 27% (2019: 27%)	(47,032)	(30,156)
Tax effect of expenses that are not deductible in determining taxable profits	(60)	(1,446)
Foreign tax suffered	(1,327)	(622)
Deferred tax prior year adjustment	222	(2,653)
Effect of reduction in rate used to recognise deferred tax assets	1,194	
Revaluation of deferred tax assets	-	(754)
Current tax prior year adjustment	(104)	2,347
Share of group bank surcharge allowance	1,219	1,447
Tax impact of profit / (loss) in subsidiary	2,933	1,346
AT1 coupon	3,338	2,741
Tax expense for the year	<u>(39,617)</u>	<u>(27,750)</u>

9 Applicable taxes (continued)

In addition to the amount charged to the income statement, the following amounts related to tax have been recognised in Equity:

	2020 £'000	2019 £'000
Deferred tax		
Items that will not be reclassified subsequently to profit and loss		
Remeasurement of net defined benefit liability	(585)	2,446
Items that may be reclassified subsequently to profit or loss		
Securities held at FVTOCI (gains) / losses in period	(695)	(159)
Cash flow hedges (gains) / losses in period	(36)	(32)
Total income tax recognised in other comprehensive income	(1,316)	2,255

Deferred tax assets

The following are the deferred tax assets and liabilities recognised by the Company and movements thereon during the current reporting period.

	Charge to profit or loss £'000	Charge to OCI £'000	Balance as at 31 December 2020 £'000
Accelerated tax depreciation	(9)	-	3,119
Research & development expenditure	(951)	-	(3,809)
Deferred compensation	2,145	-	13,389
Spreading of IFRS16 transitional adjustment	(2)	-	733
Spreading of IFRS9 transitional adjustment	(185)	-	(157)
Spreading of IFRS13 transitional adjustment	(13)	-	1,349
Cash flow hedge reserve	-	(36)	(87)
Securities at FVTOCI	82	(695)	(1,051)
Retirement benefit obligations	(5,317)	(585)	(10,185)
Tax losses	(921)	-	-
Total	(5,171)	(1,316)	3,301

Deferred tax assets are valued at the applicable tax rate based on the period in which the underlying temporary difference is expected to reverse. The UK corporation tax rate reduction from 19% to 17% that was to become effective from April 2020 was cancelled in March 2020, and this has been taken into consideration in valuing the Company's net deferred tax asset. The deferred tax assets above have been recognised based on supporting profit forecasts demonstrating sufficient future profits against which the assets can be utilised. Tax losses from pre-April 2015 have been fully utilised in the year.

In the March 2021 Budget the Chancellor announced that the UK corporation tax rate would increase to 25%, this increase was not substantively enacted at the balance sheet and has not been taken into account in valuing the Company's deferred tax assets. The increase announced would result in a combined effective rate (including surcharge) of 33%, however the Chancellor also announced the Government will review the existing bank surcharge rate. The Government intends to set out the approach to the taxation of bank profits in Autumn 2021 with a view to ensuring the combined rate of tax on bank profits does not increase substantially from its current level.

10 Notes to the cash flow statement

Cash and cash equivalents comprise cash and short-term bank deposits with an original maturity of three months or less, net of outstanding bank overdrafts. The carrying amount of these assets is approximately equal to their face value. Cash and cash equivalents as shown in the statement of cash flows can be reconciled to the related items in the balance sheet position as shown below.

	2020 £'000	2019 £'000
Cash and balances at central banks	2,634,106	151,650
Deposits by banks repayable on demand	(5,684)	(15,189)
Total cash and cash equivalents	2,628,422	136,461

10 Notes to the cash flow statement (continued)

The table below details changes to the Company's liabilities arising from financing activities, including both cash and non-cash changes.

	Balance as at 1 January 2020 £'000	Financing cash and interest flows £'000	Changes in fair values £'000	Effect of changes in foreign exchange rates £'000	Balance as at 31 December 2020 £'000
Own issued notes	1,308,474	287,165	(23,991)	104	1,571,752
Commercial paper	1,124,073	(367,420)	3,489	(1,874)	758,268
Other financial liabilities	2,378,494	(465,816)	(1,903)	29,128	1,939,903
MUSHD loan facility	2,048,467	(191,168)	1,491	88,219	1,947,009
MUSHD USD loan	-	807,930	-	(37,000)	770,930
Short-term intercompany money market deposits	-	19,927	-	(19,927)	-
Senior unsecured loan	569,868	(1,738)	-	(18,081)	550,049
Subordinated liabilities	305,951	13	-	6,086	312,050
Total liabilities from financing activities	7,735,327	88,893	(20,914)	46,655	7,849,961

11 Securities at fair value through other comprehensive income

The following table gives the carrying value of securities at fair value through other comprehensive income by major classifications.

	2020 £'000	2019 £'000
Government bonds	614,465	610,071
Other public sector securities	889,500	722,142
Corporate bonds		802
Total debt securities	1,503,965	1,333,015
Equity instruments	132	125
Total securities at FVTOCI	1,504,097	1,333,140

Expected credit losses have been calculated for securities within this category and reported as an adjustment to both profit or loss and OCI. Expected credit losses as at 31 December 2020 were £240k (2019: £78k).

12 Trading portfolio financial assets

	2020 £'000	2019 £'000
Government bonds	2,750,976	2,459,971
Corporation bonds	1,411,589	961,901
Other public sector securities	120,889	49,471
Total debt securities	4,283,454	3,471,343
Equity instruments	10,758,963	10,582,526
Total trading portfolio financial assets	15,042,417	14,053,869
Of which listed:		
Debt securities	3,519,801	3,029,714
Equity instruments	7,186,351	7,405,204
Of which unlisted:		
Debt securities	763,653	441,629
Equity instruments	3,572,612	3,177,322
	15,042,417	14,053,869

13 Derivative financial instruments

	2020		
	Notional amount	Fair value assets	Fair value liabilities
	£'000	£'000	£'000
Derivatives held for trading			
Foreign exchange contracts	287,862,261	6,827,941	5,599,107
Interest rate contracts	3,938,541,505	10,673,134	9,722,022
Credit derivative contracts	48,259,607	659,872	550,215
Equity contracts	19,074,314	311,480	355,281
Commodity contracts	795,013	192,324	192,774
Total trading contracts	4,294,532,700	18,664,751	16,419,399
Derivatives designated as fair value hedges			
Interest rate swaps contracts	1,333,110	411	354
Derivatives designated as cash flow hedges			
Currency swaps contracts	1,832	-	127
Derivatives designated as net investment hedges			
Currency swaps contracts	181,528	2,412	-
Total hedging contracts	1,516,470	2,823	481
Total derivative financial instruments	4,296,049,170	18,667,574	16,419,880
	2019		
	Notional amount	Fair value assets	Fair value liabilities
	£'000	£'000	£'000
Derivatives held for trading			
Foreign exchange contracts	264,060,955	4,547,653	4,120,654
Interest rate contracts	3,810,980,439	10,191,068	9,758,156
Credit derivative contracts	44,154,054	629,369	481,488
Equity contracts	20,345,412	229,894	177,855
Commodity contracts	821,131	183,627	184,086
Total trading contracts	4,140,361,991	15,781,611	14,722,239
Derivatives designated as fair value hedges			
Interest rate swaps contracts	878,380	1	901
Derivatives designated as cash flow hedges			
Currency swaps contracts	1,832	-	212
Derivatives designated as net investment hedges			
Currency swaps contracts	169,646	108	-
Total hedging contracts	1,049,858	109	1,113
Total derivative financial instruments	4,141,411,849	15,781,720	14,723,352

13 Derivative financial instruments (continued)

OTC credit derivatives include a credit derivative asset, which relates to a financial guarantee provided by a group company, MUFG Bank, for the uncollateralised derivatives exposures within a portfolio of corporate counterparties. At year end the present value of this derivative totalled £153m (2019: £115m), this amount is offset by a CVA adjustment in respect of the exposure to the underlying corporate counterparties. This largely pertains to interest rate and foreign exchange contracts. CVA is measured on a portfolio basis by counterparty, and later allocated at a transaction level for financial reporting; allocations are based on absolute derivative notional values.

	2020 £'000	2019 £'000
Gains and losses arising from fair value hedges - interest rate risk:		
Securities at FVTOCI		
On hedging instruments	(45,645)	(14,142)
On the hedged items attributable to the hedged risk	44,837	14,098
Net (losses) / gains	(808)	(44)
Financial liabilities at amortised cost		
On hedging instruments	33,846	-
On the hedged items attributable to the hedged risk	(32,828)	-
Net (losses) / gains	1,018	-
Gains and losses arising from net investment hedges:		
On hedging instruments	(9,564)	4,138
On the hedged items attributable to the hedged risk	9,700	(4,006)
Net gains / (losses)	136	132

The gains and losses on ineffective portions of hedges are recognised immediately in Trading Income. The impact during 2020 was not significant (2019 : not significant).

Fair value hedges of interest rate risk comprise swaps that are used to protect against changes in the fair value of bonds and loans due to movements in market interest rates. The fair value of the bonds at year end are £599.2m (2019: £928.9m). Fair value hedges related to the MUSH USD amortised loan, entered into during the year, consist of currency swaps, the carrying value of the loan at year end is £770.9m. Potential sources of ineffectiveness in the Company's fair value hedges include bid-offer spreads and intraday market movements between instigation of the trade and end of day pricing.

Net investment hedges consist of foreign currency exchange contracts to hedge the exposure to foreign currency which arises from translation of the equity method investment. Potential sources of ineffectiveness include a decline in the local currency carrying amount of the net investment to below the value of the designated hedge item.

14 Other financing at fair value

As at period ended	Dec-20 £'000	Dec-19 £'000
Equity finance assets	189,802	416,227
Other secured financing	520,255	456,909
Loans	323,415	326,497
	1,033,472	1,199,633

'Equity finance assets' relate to financing transactions secured by equity pledges.

'Other secured financing' relates to structured financing arrangements facing special purpose vehicles where financing is secured by underlying wider debt portfolios.

'Loans' relate to the partial drawdown on facilities granted to clients, refer to note 30 for undrawn facilities.

All these are carried mandatory at fair value through P&L.

15 Intangible assets

	Software £'000
Cost	
As at 1 January 2019	181,038
Additions	29,181
Disposals	(49,233)
Reclassification to other assets	(10,602)
As at 31 December 2019	150,384
Additions	35,882
Disposals	(1,121)
Reclassification to other assets	(1,121)
As at 31 December 2020	185,145
Amortisation	
As at 1 January 2019	108,262
Charge for the Year	21,560
Disposals	(48,732)
As at 31 December 2019	81,090
Charge for the Year	26,287
Disposals	(1,121)
As at 31 December 2020	107,377
Carrying amount:	
As at 31 December 2019	69,294
As at 31 December 2020	77,768

The reclassification from work-in-progress to other assets relates to the infrastructure set up of MUS(EU).

16 Property, plant and equipment

	Leasehold improve- ments £'000	Office furniture & fittings £'000	Office machinery & equipment £'000	Total £'000
Cost				
As at 1 January 2019	14,031	1,561	25,344	40,936
Additions	1,156	-	1,478	2,634
Disposals	(39)	(1,413)	(14,794)	(16,246)
Reclassification to other assets	(1,173)	-	(998)	(2,171)
As at 31 December 2019	13,975	148	11,030	25,153
Additions	-	-	1,664	1,664
Disposals	(6)	-	-	(6)
Reclassification to other assets	-	-	-	-
As at 31 December 2020	13,969	148	12,694	26,811
Depreciation				
As at 1 January 2019	5,876	1,483	19,634	26,993
Charge for the year	922	25	1,922	2,869
Disposals	(38)	(1,403)	(14,794)	(16,235)
As at 31 December 2019	6,760	105	6,762	13,627
Charge for the year	878	16	1,978	2,872
Disposals	-	-	-	-
As at 31 December 2020	7,638	121	8,740	16,499
Carrying amount				
As at 31 December 2019	7,215	43	4,268	11,526
As at 31 December 2020	6,331	27	3,954	10,312

The reclassification from work-in-progress to other assets relates to the infrastructure set up of MUS(EU).

17 Leases

The Company's leases include office space and data centre in addition to previously recognised finance leases for certain fixtures, equipment and software. Lease terms vary between periods of 5 to 20 years.

Lease contracts include lease and non-lease components. For the office lease, the Company has chosen to apply the practical expedient and account for lease and non-lease components as a single contract. For the data centre lease, the Company has elected to separate the components based on their respective stand-alone prices.

Right-of-use assets ('ROU assets') are measured at cost, which comprises the amount of the initial measurement of lease liability with no other payments, initial direct costs or restoration costs applicable.

Lease liability is measured on the net present value basis and includes fixed payments only as all leases are on a fixed repayment basis. No arrangements have been entered into for contingent rental payments, residual value guarantees, purchase options or penalties for terminating the lease.

Payments relating to short term leases, which are signed for the period of 12 months or less, are recognised on the straight line basis. The Company does not have any leases classified as low value.

Right-of-use assets

	Property £'000	Office machinery & equipment £'000	Software £'000	Total £'000
2019				
Balance as at 1 January 2019	30,391	3,742	412	34,545
Increase in ROU after rent increase	1,493	-	-	1,493
Depreciation charge for the year	(2,830)	(1,714)	(269)	(4,813)
Balance as at 31 December 2019	29,054	2,028	143	31,225
2020				
Balance as at 1 January 2020	29,054	2,028	143	31,225
Additions to right-of-use assets	-	3,320	-	3,320
Increase in ROU after rent increase	-	-	-	-
Depreciation charge for the year	(2,955)	(1,807)	(143)	(4,905)
Balance as at 31 December 2020	26,099	3,541	-	29,640

	2020 £'000	2019 £'000
Other amounts recognised in the income statement		
Interest on lease liability	573	430
Expense relating to short-term leases	817	975
Amounts recognised in the cash flow statement		
Total cash outflow for leases	5,874	5,984

Refer to Note 31 for maturity analysis of lease liabilities.

18 Investment in subsidiary

	2020 £'000	2019 £'000
As at 1 January	172,023	15,516
Purchase of equity	-	155,684
Share of net profit / (loss)	10,886	4,829
Impact of foreign currency revaluation	9,700	(4,006)
As at 31 December	192,609	172,023

The above balances relate to the wholly owned subsidiary: MUS(EU). Further corporate structure references are included within the Strategic report on pages 2 to 5 and in Note 35.

19 Other assets

	2020 £'000	2019 £'000
CLO Warehouse	-	138,742
Prepayments and accrued income	16,907	15,175
Current taxation	10,674	1,098
Pension asset (Note 8)	37,732	16,173
Trade and other receivables	165,325	187,913
	230,638	359,101

'CLO Warehouse' is a EUR denominated revolving senior loan held at amortised cost and was settled on 8 January 2020. 'Trade and other receivables' is driven by intercompany balances and default fund deposits.

20 Trading portfolio financial liabilities

	2020 £'000	2019 £'000
Government bonds	2,429,559	3,198,861
Corporation bonds	174,383	205,478
Other public sector securities	19,111	12,809
Total debt securities	2,623,053	3,417,148
Equity instruments	6,234,718	7,096,777
Total trading portfolio financial liabilities	8,857,771	10,513,925
Of which listed:		
Debt securities	2,622,901	3,299,345
Equity instruments	6,188,136	7,053,110
Of which unlisted:		
Debt securities	46,734	161,470
	8,857,771	10,513,925

21 Financial liabilities designated at fair value

	2020 £'000	2019 £'000
Own issued notes	1,571,752	1,308,474
Commercial paper	758,268	1,124,073
Other financial liabilities	1,939,903	2,378,494
MUSHD loan facility	1,947,009	2,048,467
	6,216,932	6,859,508

'Own issued notes' includes issuances with structured payment profiles. The Company issues these notes to raise term funding and satisfy investor demand, and carries them at fair value through profit and loss. The structured return profiles include securities which pay coupon only where certain conditions relating to equity performance, foreign currency movements, or other factors are met. Issuances are typically denominated in JPY and USD.

'Commercial paper' represents short term issuances where the funding typically carries a 3 month term. Issuances are typically denominated in GBP and USD.

'Other financial liabilities' represents funded swap transactions denominated in JPY and USD.

'MUSHD loan facility' represents senior unsecured intercompany borrowings lent under an uncommitted loan facility which allows the Company to borrow JPY funds from MUSHD.

The Company has assessed the change in value of these liabilities due to changes in own credit with reference to published credit assessments and a proxy cost of funds curve. In light of the observed stability of the proxy spread for own credit, the Company has assessed this change in value to be immaterial.

22 Financial liabilities at amortised cost

As at period ended	Dec-20 £'000	Dec-19 £'000
MUSHD USD loan	770,930	-
Senior unsecured loan	550,049	569,868
Subordinated liabilities	312,050	305,951
	1,633,029	875,819

'MUSHD USD loan' relates to two long-term, amortised cost loans from MUSHD with maturity dates in 2025 and 2030 across the two instruments. These loans are designated in a fair value hedge relationship.

'Senior unsecured loan' relates to contingent, subordinated senior securities issued by MUSHD. The loans include an embedded MREL amendment option which may be exercised by the Company to convert them to MREL compliant instruments. The conversion to MREL compliant debt does not affect the interest rate paid, though the debt may be written off if required by the UK resolution authority.

'Subordinated liabilities' are loans agreed between the Company and MUSHD and are due to mature in 2026.

23 Other liabilities

	2020 £'000	2019 £'000
Payables to structured entities	71,977	269,581
Tax and social security	4,903	17,137
Lease liabilities	37,100	40,959
Trade and other payables	199,908	164,986
	313,888	492,663

'Trade and other payables' is driven by compensation related accruals, intercompany balances and brokerage fees.

24 Equity instruments

	2020 £'000	2019 £'000
Ordinary shares of £1 each		
Share capital as at 1 January	1,283,241	1,028,401
Issued during the year	-	254,840
Allotted, called up and fully paid as at 31 December	1,283,241	1,283,241
Additional Tier 1 capital		
Additional Tier 1 capital as at 1 January	463,853	306,979
Issued during the year	-	156,874
Total Additional Tier 1 capital as at 31 December	463,853	463,853
Total equity instruments	1,747,094	1,747,094

At 31 December 2020, the Company has in issue £1,283m (2019: £1,283m) £1 ordinary shares which carry no right to fixed income. The company has authorised share capital of £2,000m (2019: £2,000m) with a nominal value of £1 per share.

During 2019, the Company issued an additional £255m ordinary share capital to MUSHD, £157m of which was matched by an equivalent EUR issuance by MUS(EU) to the Company. This issuance was in line with the capital injection strategy for MUS(EU) and represents the key driver for the movement in 'Investment in subsidiary' as reflected within the Balance Sheet.

Additional Tier 1 capital instruments are issued to MUSHD. During 2019, the company issued a further Additional Tier 1 capital instruments to MUSHD with a value of approximately £157m. Consistent with previous issuances, the instruments include a contingent conversion feature which has the effect of converting the instruments to ordinary share capital of the Company if a trigger event, being a breach of minimum Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio, occurs at any time. The instruments are perpetual and are subordinated to senior creditors and subordinated loan creditors of the Company. The instruments bear a floating rate of interest. All interest payments are cancellable in certain circumstances, where certain distribution tests are breached, and are non-cumulative.

25 Distributions on equity instruments

In 2020, the Directors approved total coupon payments on Additional Tier 1 capital instruments of £12.4 million (2019: £10.2 million). Refer to Note 24 for terms of issuance.

On 18 January 2021, the Directors approved a coupon payment on the AT1 capital instruments of £5.7 million. The amount has not been accrued in the results for the period ended 31 December 2020 as it was not due at that date.

No ordinary dividends were approved or paid during the year (2019: Nil).

26 Report on Directors' remuneration and interests

	2020 £'000	2019 £'000
Remuneration of key management personnel		
Short term employment benefits	3,393	3,665
Post employment benefits	-	3
Other long term benefits	1,348	1,114
Notional share based payments	809	669
	5,550	5,451
Realised MTM / interest on NSUs & other long term benefits	(11)	(144)
Total remuneration of key management personnel	5,539	5,307

The number of Directors who were members of the Group defined contribution personal pension plan during the period was 1 (2019: 1).

NSUs are cash settled awards linked to the share price of the ultimate holding company, MUFG. Deferred NSU compensation expense is pro-rated across the entire deferral period. Deferred cash compensation expense is recognised during the period the award is made.

Non-executive Directors provided by other Group companies receive no fees or other remuneration for their services to the Company. The key management are the same personnel as the Directors of the Company.

The emoluments of the highest paid Director were as follows:

	2020 £'000	2019 £'000
Remuneration award		
Short term employment benefits	2,037	1,548
Other long term benefits	918	656
Notional share based payments	551	394
	3,506	2,598
Realised MTM / interest on NSUs & other long term benefits	-	-
Total remuneration	3,506	2,598

27 Fair value of financial instruments

The majority of the Company's assets and liabilities are carried on the balance sheet at fair value, in which case fair value is equal to the carrying value. The following table presents a comparison by category of book amounts and fair value of the Company's financial assets and liabilities for those items which are not carried at fair value on the balance sheet.

	2020		2019	
	Carrying value £'000	Fair value £'000	Carrying value £'000	Fair value £'000
Assets				
<i>at amortised cost</i>				
Cash and balances at central banks	2,634,106	2,634,106	151,650	151,650
Cash collateral paid to derivative counterparties and brokers	2,757,322	2,757,322	2,691,991	2,691,991
Other assets	230,638	230,638	359,101	359,101
Liabilities				
<i>at amortised cost</i>				
Deposits by banks	5,684	5,684	15,189	15,189
Cash collateral received from derivative counterparties and brokers	8,650,101	8,650,101	7,358,590	7,358,590
Financial liabilities at amortised cost	1,633,029	1,632,942	875,819	875,986
Other liabilities	313,888	313,888	492,663	492,663

FX loss of £643m (2019: gain of £455m) were recognised on financial assets and liabilities not carried at fair value, driven by revaluation of cash collateral received from derivative counterparties. The currency exposure is economically hedged with instruments held at fair value, with FX gains and losses and associated fair value gains and losses being recognised net in the income statement as trading income.

27 Fair value of financial instruments (continued)

Valuation of financial assets and liabilities

Valuation techniques incorporate assumptions about factors that other market participants would use in their valuations, including interest rate yield curves, exchange rates, volatilities and prepayment and default rates. The Company measures fair value using the following fair value hierarchy that reflects the significance of the inputs used in making measurements:

- Level 1: Quoted market price (unadjusted) in an active market for an identical instrument.
- Level 2: Valuation techniques based on observable inputs, either directly (i.e. as prices) or indirectly (i.e. derived from prices). This category includes instruments valued using quoted market prices in active markets for similar instruments; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are considered less than active; or other valuation techniques where all significant inputs are directly or indirectly observable from market data.
- Level 3: Valuation techniques using significant unobservable inputs. This category includes all instruments where the valuation technique includes inputs not based on observable data and the unobservable inputs have a significant effect on the instrument's valuation. This category includes instruments that are valued based on quoted prices for similar instruments where significant unobservable adjustments or assumptions are required to reflect differences between the instruments.

Issued structured notes and certain other hybrid instrument liabilities are designated at fair value. The spread applied to these instruments is derived from the spreads at which the Company issues structured notes. The change in fair value due to credit risk on these instruments is not significant (2019: not significant).

The fair value of certain financial instruments is measured using valuation techniques that are determined in full or partly on assumptions that are not supported by observable market prices. The effect of changing these assumptions to a range of reasonably possible alternative assumptions would provide a range from £24.0m (2019: £34.8m) lower to £31.5m (2019: £26.7m) higher than the fair value recognised in the financial statements for these assets and liabilities, with the current year range driven by increased volume of equity financing trades.

The movements in balances of level 3 items are detailed on page 73.

The Company has prepared a Value-at-Risk analysis which reflects interdependencies between market risk factors, refer to page 33.

27 Fair value of financial instruments (continued)

2020				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
Assets				
<i>Financial assets at FVTPL</i>				
Trading portfolio financial assets	10,037,652	5,004,765	-	15,042,417
Reverse repurchase agreements - fair value	-	27,878,922	-	27,878,922
Cash collateral on securities borrowed	-	4,585,005	-	4,585,005
Derivative financial instruments	88,776	18,158,036	420,762	18,667,574
Other financing held at fair value	-	1,033,472	-	1,033,472
<i>Financial assets at FVTOCI</i>				
Securities at FVTOCI	813,032	690,933	132	1,504,097
	10,939,460	57,351,133	420,894	68,711,487
Liabilities				
<i>Financial liabilities at FVTPL</i>				
Trading portfolio financial liabilities	8,624,589	233,182	-	8,857,771
Repurchase agreements - fair value	-	29,801,088	-	29,801,088
Cash collateral on securities lent	-	560,748	-	560,748
Derivative financial instruments	127,519	16,014,363	277,998	16,419,880
<i>Financial liabilities designated at FVTPL</i>				
Financial liabilities designated at fair value	-	6,041,271	175,661	6,216,932
	8,752,108	52,650,652	453,659	61,856,419

2019				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
Assets				
<i>Financial assets at FVTPL</i>				
Trading portfolio financial assets	9,889,098	4,164,771	-	14,053,869
Reverse repurchase agreements - fair value	-	32,941,146	-	32,941,146
Cash collateral on securities borrowed	-	3,684,652	-	3,684,652
Derivative financial instruments	3,765	15,440,939	337,016	15,781,720
Other financing held at fair value	-	1,199,633	-	1,199,633
<i>Financial assets at FVTOCI</i>				
Securities at FVTOCI	858,348	474,667	125	1,333,140
	10,751,211	57,905,808	337,141	68,994,160
Liabilities				
<i>Financial liabilities at FVTPL</i>				
Trading portfolio financial liabilities	10,253,764	260,161	-	10,513,925
Repurchase agreements - fair value	-	29,053,686	-	29,053,686
Cash collateral on securities lent	-	535,405	-	535,405
Derivative financial instruments	46,851	14,201,072	475,429	14,723,352
<i>Financial liabilities designated at FVTPL</i>				
Financial liabilities designated at fair value	-	6,640,772	218,736	6,859,508
	10,300,615	50,691,096	694,165	61,685,876

27 Fair value of financial instruments (continued)

The table below shows a reconciliation from the beginning balances to the end balances for the fair value of instruments in level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. This does not include movements in level 1 or level 2 derivatives that are also used to hedge the level 3 assets and liabilities.

	Trading portfolio financial assets £'000	Derivative assets £'000	Securities at FVTOCI £'000	Derivative liabilities £'000	Financial liabilities designated at fair value £'000
2019					
As at 31 December 2018	190,967	596,978	133	(486,731)	(571,763)
Total gains/(losses) in profit or loss	3,343	(174,499)	-	5,465	(42,307)
Total gains in reserves	-	-	(8)	-	-
Purchases	-	-	-	-	-
Issues	-	-	-	-	(15,858)
Settlements	(194,310)	(86,943)	-	5,837	77,816
Transfers into level 3	-	1,480	-	-	(3,507)
Transfers from level 3	-	-	-	-	336,883
Closing balance 31 December	-	337,016	125	(475,429)	(218,736)
Net unrealised gains/(losses) in PL	3,343	(169,920)	-	(10,020)	(20,193)
2020					
As at 31 December 2019	-	337,016	125	(475,429)	(218,736)
Total gains/(losses) in profit or loss	-	319,312	-	(236,930)	(24,207)
Total gains in reserves	-	-	7	-	-
Purchases	-	-	-	-	-
Issues	-	-	-	-	(35,548)
Settlements	-	(235,566)	-	434,361	51,084
Transfers into level 3	-	-	-	-	(38,874)
Transfers from level 3	-	-	-	-	90,620
Closing balance 31 December	-	420,762	132	(277,998)	(175,661)
Net unrealised gains/(losses) in PL	-	146,203	-	(91,060)	(12,422)

2019 and 2020 'Transfers from level 3' are driven by structured notes which have been reclassified to level 2 of the hierarchy. The notes contain exotic embedded derivatives, primarily linked to either foreign exchange rates or equity prices which drive the returns and valuation of the notes. During that period, there had been an increase in the observability of the key inputs to the valuation models and a corresponding decrease in the significance of the unobservable valuation inputs.

Financial instruments valued using models with unobservable inputs

The amount that has yet to be recognised in the Company income statement relating to the difference between the fair value at initial recognition (the transaction price) and the amount that would have arisen had valuation techniques used for subsequent measurement been applied at initial recognition, less subsequent releases, is as follows:

	2020 £'000	2019 £'000
Unamortised balance at 1 January	-	-
Deferral on new transactions	39,466	-
Amortised to the profit and loss account during the financial year	(24,185)	-
Unamortised balance at 31 December	15,281	-

During the year, the Company issued note structures with an autocallable payoff linked to baskets of US single stocks. The Day 1 profit on these transactions was reserved and will be amortised to the profit and loss account on a straight line basis over the remaining life of the trade or immediately released in the event of an early termination of the trade. This treatment is in line with related accounting policies and is driven by the lack of observable market data for the correlation between stocks.

Financial assets designated at fair value

The Company did not have any financial assets designated at fair value at 31 December 2020 (2019: nil).

27 Fair value of financial instruments (continued)

Significant unobservable inputs

The disclosures below describe the valuation techniques and significant unobservable inputs for assets and liabilities classified as Level 3 along with the range of values used for those significant unobservable inputs. Level 3 financial liabilities designated at fair value totalling £176m (2019: £219m) contain embedded derivatives with inputs represented by the interest rate, foreign exchange and equity derivatives described in the table below.

2020	Total assets £'000	Total liabilities £'000	Valuation technique(s)	Significant unobservable inputs	Range Min	Range Max	Units
Derivatives							
Interest rate derivatives	130,810	(44,519)	Option model	IR - IR Correlation FX - IR Correlation Option volatility	30.00 35.00 0.00	98.00 60.00 100.00	% % %
Foreign exchange derivatives	52,638	(23,594)	Option model	IR - IR Correlation FX - IR Correlation Correlation - underlying assets Option volatility	10.00 0.00 50.00 9.48	70.00 60.00 70.56 22.11	% % % %
Equity derivatives	44,990	(17,111)	Option model Basket correlations Discounted cash flow	Equity - FX Correlation Correlation - underlying assets Equity - FX Correlation Term of Litigation swap	-58.36 17.00 -58.36 2 days	30.00 95.00 54.96 14 months	% % % %
Commodities	192,324	(192,774)	Option model	Commodity - IR Correlation Commodity - gas swap rate	25.00 2.25	75.00 5.12	% %
	420,762	(277,998)					
2019							
Trading portfolio financial assets							
Trading portfolio financial assets	-	-	Discounted cash flow model	Loan price	90.50	100.25	%
Derivatives							
Interest rate derivatives	62,449	(153,236)	Option model	IR - IR Correlation FX - IR Correlation Option volatility Barrier Shift	35.00 35.00 2.27 19.00	60.00 60.00 100.00 23.00	% % % bps
Foreign exchange derivatives	46,881	(99,235)	Option model	IR - IR Correlation FX - IR Correlation Correlation - underlying assets Option volatility	30.00 35.00 60.00 7.47	70.00 60.00 60.00 16.97	% % % %
Equity derivatives	44,017	(38,872)	Option model Basket correlations Discounted cash flow	Equity - FX Correlation Correlation - underlying assets Equity - FX Correlation Term of Litigation swap	-58.36 78.00 -58.36 1.00	27.00 81.00 56.42 13.00	% % % Month
Commodities	183,628	(184,086)	Option model	Commodity - IR Correlation Commodity - gas swap rate	25.00 2.46	75.00 5.84	% %
Credit derivatives	41	-	Discounted cash flow	Recovery rate	0.00	0.00	%
	337,016	(475,429)					

27 Fair value of financial instruments (continued)

The following provides a summary description of significant unobservable inputs included in the table on the preceding page:

- Correlation is a measure of the relationship between the movements of two variables (i.e. how much the change in one variable may be linked to the change in the other variable). Correlation is often an input into valuation of derivative contracts with more than one underlying risk. A significant increase in correlation in isolation can result in a movement in fair value that is favourable or unfavourable depending on the specific terms of the instrument.
- A barrier shift is the mechanism by which reference levels are amended within a trade representation to be more appropriate. These are commonly used within trades which contain barrier reference levels or digital optionality to allow better risk management and to align trade valuations to fair value. The actual payoff would be based on the contractual terms of reference rather than the shifted version.
- Prepayment probability is the probability of voluntary, unscheduled repayments by a borrower. Unscheduled prepayment affects the average life of transactions by altering the timing of principal repayment and the amount of interest earned. A significant increase in a prepayment probability can result in a movement in fair value that is favourable or unfavourable depending on the specific terms of the financial contract.
- Option volatility is a measure of the degree of variation in price movements for a given derivative underlying, and therefore represents an estimate of how much a particular underlying instrument, parameter or index could change on average over time. In general, a significant increase in volatility in isolation will result in an increase in fair value for the holder of a simple option.
- Term of litigation swap relates to a series of swaps linked to an asset which is affected by a legal case, where the payments under the swap terminate at the earlier of exercise of call options in the favour of the counterparty, some of which are immediately exercisable, where applicable, or soon after the litigation ends. The term of the swap is therefore difficult to quantify and needs to be estimated from available information, legal commentary and judgement to determine if and when the call options may be exercised. A decrease in the expectation of the term of the swap would generally result in an unfavourable movement in the fair value.
- Loan price refers to independent valuations which are supported by a number of third party quotes. For CLO warehouse structures the depth of third party quotes obtained is a key benchmark used to determine minimum observability requirements. Loans that have only one or two third party quotes to support the evaluated price are deemed to be level 3. These quotes are inputs to the fair valuation of both the notes (held within Trading portfolio financial assets) and related derivatives held on the balance sheet.

28 Post-balance sheet events

The Directors' approved an AT1 coupon payment of £5.7m on 18 January 2021, refer to Note 25 for details.

The impact of the March 2021 Budget has not been taken in to account when valuing the Company's deferred tax assets, as the Budget has not yet been substantively enacted, the impact is considered immaterial.

29 Collateral

Assets are pledged as collateral to secure liabilities under repurchase agreements, securities lending agreements, borrowing transactions, to note holders as part of structuring transactions and agreements for derivative transactions. The following table summarises the nature and carrying amount of the assets pledged as security against these liabilities:

	2020 £'000	2019 £'000
Trading securities	4,826,490	3,653,636
Investment securities	930,689	624,154
Total assets pledged as collateral	5,757,179	4,277,790

Under certain transactions, including reverse repurchase agreements and stock borrowing transactions, the Company is allowed to resell or repledge the collateral held. The fair value of collateral accepted with the right to repledge to others was as follows:

	2020		2019	
	Fair Value £'000	Sold or repledged £'000	Fair Value £'000	Sold or repledged £'000
Accepted collateral	59,675,440	56,602,303	62,536,058	56,668,056

These balances represent substantially all of the collateral received by the Company in relation to assets. The Company has the obligation to return the collateral on the maturity date of the secured transaction. The process by which assets are pledged as collateral and accepted as collateral is generally conducted under standard documentation used by financial market participants.

Transferred Assets

The Company enters into transactions in the normal course of business by which it transfers recognised financial assets to third parties or to special purpose entities, but the transfer does not qualify for de-recognition as stated in the accounting policies. The Company will continue to recognise financial assets transferred when it retains control of the security, retains rights to receive cash flows from the assets, and substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership. The carrying amount of debt securities that have been transferred to special purpose entities but do not meet the criteria for de-recognition in as at 31 December 2020 was nil (2019: nil).

29 Collateral (continued)

Collateral Management of Repurchase Agreements

Securities purchased under agreements to resell ('reverse repurchase agreements') and securities sold under agreements to repurchase ('repurchase agreements') are mainly collateralised by highly rated credit bonds (predominantly government and corporate bonds). The repurchase agreements are treated as collateralised financing transactions and are carried at the contract amounts at which the securities will subsequently be reacquired or resold as specified in the respective agreements. It is the Company's policy to generally take possession of securities purchased under agreements to resell at the time such agreements are made. The Company's agreements with counterparties contain provisions allowing for additional collateral to be obtained, or excess collateral returned, based on market valuations of such collateral. The Company re-values the collateral underlying its repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements on a daily basis. In the event the market value of such securities falls below the related agreement to resell at contract amount plus accrued interest, the Company will generally request additional collateral.

Unconsolidated structured entities in which the Company has an interest

The Company is involved with various special purpose entities in the normal course of business. These have been established as structured entities such that voting or similar rights are not the deciding factor in determining control of the entity.

The structured entities are typically asset repackaging transactions established to source funding, purchase credit protection or provide returns to investors that are not otherwise readily available in the market. The principle risk to the structure is the credit risk of the securities acting as collateral to the investors who retain the risk and rewards.

The following tables represent the total assets, maximum exposure to loss and assets and liabilities which relate to the Company's interest in non-consolidated special purpose vehicles ('SPVs'). Maximum exposure is determined by the carrying amount of any on-balance sheet assets net of any recourse liabilities, where applicable.

	SPVs for structured financing £'000	Repackaged instruments £'000	Other £'000	Total £'000
As at 31 December 2020				
Derivative financial instruments	2,098,523	85,758	245,211	2,429,492
Reverse repurchase agreements	37,613	393,926	148,632	580,171
Derivative cash collateral pledged	24,896	-	-	24,896
Other financing held at fair value	63	189,484	503,662	693,209
Total Assets	2,161,095	669,168	897,505	3,727,768
Derivative financial liabilities	29,125	2,166	449	31,740
Financial liabilities designated at fair value	-	1,088,272	-	1,088,272
Repurchase agreements	3,563	-	-	3,563
Other liabilities	-	-	-	-
Derivative cash collateral received	11,467	-	147,405	158,872
Total Liabilities	44,155	1,090,438	147,854	1,282,447
Maximum Exposure	2,125,556	669,168	772,053	3,566,777

As at 31 December 2019

Trading portfolio financial assets	-	204,290	-	204,290
Derivative financial instruments	1,745,846	99,172	198,603	2,043,621
Reverse repurchase agreements	101,239	525,186	826,330	1,452,755
Derivative cash collateral pledged	3,659	-	-	3,659
Other financing held at fair value	24	273,982	369,994	400,238
Total Assets	1,850,768	1,102,630	1,394,927	4,348,325
Derivative financial liabilities	26,665	8,977	271	35,913
Financial liabilities designated at fair value	-	890,629	-	890,629
Repurchase agreements	2,258	-	-	2,258
Other liabilities	-	152,647	-	152,647
Derivative cash collateral received	4,926	-	94,858	99,784
Total Liabilities	33,849	1,052,253	95,129	1,181,231
Maximum Exposure	1,838,462	1,101,106	1,300,069	4,239,637

30 Guarantees, commitments and contingent liabilities

At the year end, the Company was committed to provide facilities in favour of external counterparties. The facilities are provided in major currencies and total £277.2m (2019: £533.1m) equivalent; where facilities are partially drawn down the undrawn portion is reported as a commitment and the drawn portion reflected as a loan on the Balance Sheet within Other financing at fair value amounting to £343.1m as at 31 December 2020 (2019: £326.5m), refer to Note 14. Once drawn, balances are measured at FVTPL.

31 Contractual maturity analysis

The breakdown of financial liabilities by contractual maturity is shown in the table below. These are based on the contractual terms, expect for derivatives which are deemed to be on demand. The balances do not agree directly to the balances in the balance sheet as the table incorporates contractual cash flows on an undiscounted basis. The repurchase agreement balances are presented on a gross basis, not taking account of any balances which have been offset in the balance sheet. Derivative contracts are reflected as on demand at their fair value. The subordinated liabilities bear interest at variable rates which are not known until specified fixing dates have occurred.

	On demand £'000	Due within 3 months £'000	Due between 3 and 12 months £'000	Due between 1 and 5 years £'000	Over 5 years £'000	Total £'000
2020						
Deposits by banks	5,684	-	-	-	-	5,684
Trading portfolio financial liabilities	8,857,771	-	-	-	-	8,857,771
Repurchase agreements	1,661,500	35,292,091	565,821	389,948	-	37,909,360
Cash collateral on securities lent	357,691	221,038	-	-	-	578,729
Cash collateral received from derivatives counterparties and brokers	8,650,101	-	-	-	-	8,650,101
Derivatives	16,419,880	-	-	-	-	16,419,880
Financial liabilities designated at fair value	-	1,386,044	2,483,030	2,003,620	443,682	6,316,376
Financial liabilities at amortised cost	-	10,461	16,013	1,204,622	494,770	1,725,866
Other liabilities (excl. lease liability)	243,406	260	7,803	20,806	2,698	274,973
Lease liability	-	1,332	3,997	17,335	16,251	38,915
	36,196,033	36,911,226	3,076,664	3,636,331	957,401	80,777,655
2019						
Deposits by banks	15,189	-	-	-	-	15,189
Trading portfolio financial liabilities	10,513,925	-	-	-	-	10,513,925
Repurchase agreements	1,826,537	32,913,275	403,153	630,049	-	35,773,014
Cash collateral on securities lent	278,316	262,074	-	-	-	540,390
Cash collateral received from derivatives counterparties and brokers	7,358,590	-	-	-	-	7,358,590
Derivatives	14,723,352	-	-	-	-	14,723,352
Financial liabilities designated at fair value	-	3,453,771	2,393,716	520,144	539,257	6,906,888
Financial liabilities at amortised cost	-	4,193	14,236	618,456	311,590	948,475
Other liabilities (excl. lease liability)	377,833	672	24,882	38,954	6,722	449,063
Lease liability	-	242	4,443	18,330	20,585	43,600
	35,093,742	36,634,227	2,840,430	1,825,933	878,154	77,272,486

The Company holds liquid assets comprising cash and cash equivalents and investment securities for which there is an active and liquid market. These assets can be readily sold to meet liquidity requirements.

Financial liabilities designated at fair value include certain note issuances with structured payment profiles. The notes are issued across a range of currencies and interest rate profiles, with the most prevalent being JPY floating rate notes. The Company issues these notes to raise term funding and satisfy investor demand, and carries them at fair value through profit and loss. Some of the notes may be redeemed prior to maturity subject to certain knock-out events, at the option of the issuer or holder of the debt. These factors are contractually specified at the point of issuance.

32 Offsetting financial assets and financial liabilities

In accordance with IAS 32 Financial Instruments: Presentation, the Company reports financial assets and financial liabilities on a net basis on the balance sheet only if there is a legally enforceable right to set off the recognised amounts and there is an intention to settle on a net basis, or to realise the asset and settle the liability simultaneously. The following table shows the impact of netting arrangements on:

- All financial assets and liabilities that are reported net on the balance sheet; and
- All derivative financial instruments, reverse repurchase and repurchase agreements and other similar secured lending and borrowing agreements that are subject to enforceable master netting arrangements or similar agreements, but do not qualify for balance sheet netting.

The table identifies the amounts that have been offset in the balance sheet and also those amounts that are covered by enforceable netting arrangements (offsetting arrangements and financial collateral) but do not qualify for netting under the requirements of IAS 32 described above.

The net amounts presented in the following table are not intended to represent the Company's actual exposure to credit risk, as a variety of credit mitigation strategies are employed in addition to netting and collateral arrangements.

	Amounts subject to enforceable netting arrangements						Exposure	Not subject to enforceable netting	Balance sheet total
	Effects of offsetting on			Related amounts not offset					
	Gross amounts £'000	Amounts offset £'000	Net amounts on balance sheet £'000	Financial instruments £'000	Cash collateral £'000	Financial collateral £'000			
As at 31 December 2020									
Derivative financial assets	16,389,031	-	16,389,031	10,535,387	2,766,240	45,021	3,042,383	2,278,543	18,667,574
Reverse repurchase agreements	34,695,260	8,109,455	26,585,805	2,464,965	71,977	24,002,403	46,460	1,293,117	27,878,922
Cash collateral on securities borrowed	4,585,005	-	4,585,005	121,035	-	4,411,214	52,756	-	4,585,005
Other Secured Financing	634,058	296,043	338,015	-	-	338,015	-	-	338,015
Total Assets	56,303,354	8,405,498	47,897,856	13,121,387	2,838,217	28,796,653	3,141,599	3,571,660	51,469,516
Derivative financial liabilities	15,043,606	-	15,043,606	10,535,387	2,060,046	917,144	153,1029	1,376,274	16,419,880
Repurchase agreements	37,793,951	8,109,456	29,684,495	2,464,965	7,308	27,207,588	4,634	16,593	29,801,088
Cash collateral on securities lent	560,748	-	560,748	121,035	-	429,462	10,251	-	560,748
Total Liabilities	53,398,305	8,109,456	45,288,849	13,121,387	2,067,354	28,554,184	154,914	1,492,867	46,781,716
As at 31 December 2019									
Derivative financial assets	13,932,368	74,868	13,857,500	9,388,106	1,129,268	52,014	3,288,112	1,924,220	15,781,720
Reverse repurchase agreements	38,364,159	6,738,299	31,625,860	1,871,339	23,601	29,650,163	80,757	13,15,286	32,941,146
Cash collateral on securities borrowed	3,684,652	-	3,684,652	86,935	-	3,565,083	32,634	-	3,684,652
Other Secured Financing	492,452	35,543	456,909	-	94,858	362,051	-	-	456,909
Total Assets	56,473,631	6,848,710	49,624,921	11,346,380	1,247,727	33,629,311	3,401,503	3,239,506	52,864,427
Derivative financial liabilities	13,400,355	74,868	13,325,487	9,388,106	2,222,362	813,633	901,386	1,397,865	14,723,352
Repurchase agreements	35,791,985	6,738,299	29,053,686	1,871,339	6,379	27,149,375	26,593	-	29,053,686
Cash collateral on securities lent	535,405	-	535,405	86,935	-	447,272	1,188	-	535,405
Total Liabilities	49,727,745	6,813,167	42,914,578	11,346,380	2,228,741	28,410,280	929,177	1,397,865	44,312,443

33 Related party transactions

Balances and transactions between the Company and related parties are disclosed below.

	Parent Company	Subsidiary	MUFG	Other related parties	Total
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
2020					
Income	71	4,068	89,641	3,423	97,203
Expenses	49,132	20,374	24,939	886	95,331
Total assets	7,586	1,097,190	10,176,897	827,541	12,109,214
Total liabilities	3,809,753	662,863	7,770,431	578,145	12,821,192
2019					
Income	105	1,917	100,300	3,482	105,804
Expenses	35,838	6,102	7,988	2,351	52,279
Total assets	4,899	540,838	14,155,449	627,016	15,328,202
Total liabilities	3,137,553	1,016,776	7,920,289	417,046	12,491,664

All related parties are wholly owned subsidiaries of MUFG, with the exception of Morgan Stanley Group companies, which are presented as 'Other Related Parties' due to their affiliate status with MUFG.

33 Related party transactions (continued)

Transactions executed with related parties are entered into at market price on an arm's length basis considered to be materially in line with Japanese funding rates for similar institutions. No provisions have been made for doubtful debts in respect of the amounts owed by related parties.

Income includes fee allocations from the Equity and Structuring businesses which relate to the sourcing of Group orders and income for inter-regional note issuances, respectively. Expenses includes interest for subordinated loans and management fees paid to the parent company as well as fees paid for a keepwell and guarantees in place between MUS(EMEA), MUFG Bank and MUFG. The keepwell guarantee provided by MUFG Bank and MUFG covers unsecured liabilities external to the MUFG Group up to an \$8 billion limit. Total assets include the credit derivative, which is a MUFG Bank guarantee, referred to in Note 13.

There are no material related party transactions with key management, and persons connected with them, other than remuneration disclosed in Note 26.

34 Prior year representation

The current financial statements include a presentational change reflected across a number of balance sheet categories within the 'Company statement of financial position' on page 45. The changes have been reflected retrospectively by representing prior year balances to ensure comparability and are outlined in the table below.

The change does not impact 'Total assets' or 'Total liabilities' of the Company and neither does this change impact the results presented in the 'Company income statement' on page 44.

The presentational change follows a re-assessment of the categorisation of certain balances and is considered to improve the relevance and comparability. The prior presentation was acceptable, but the new presentation is deemed to be better aligned with peer disclosures and aides comparison for users of our financial statements.

The key changes include the creation of the following new balance sheet line items:

- 'Other financing held at fair value' which includes certain hybrid financing structures previously reported within repurchase agreements, equity pledge finance structures as well as collateralised facility drawdowns previously reported within 'Other assets'.
- 'Financial liabilities at amortised cost' which includes balances previously reported as 'Senior unsecured loan' and 'Subordinated liabilities'.

Additionally, certain broker margin balances have been represented from other assets and other liabilities and are reported as cash collateral paid to / received from derivative counterparties and brokers.

	Represented		Previous	
	2020 £'000	2019 £'000	Adjustment £'000	2019 £'000
Assets				
Reverse repurchase agreements	27,878,922	32,941,146	(456,909)	33,398,055
Equity finance assets	-	-	(416,227)	416,227
Other financing held at fair value	1,033,472	1,199,633	1,199,633	-
Cash collateral paid to derivative counterparties and brokers	2,749,254	2,691,991	125,695	2,566,296
Other assets	238,706	359,101	(452,192)	811,293
			-	
Liabilities				
Cash collateral received from derivative counterparties and brokers	8,857,771	7,358,590	209,475	7,149,115
Other liabilities	313,620	492,663	(209,475)	702,138
Financial liabilities at amortised cost	1,633,029	875,819	875,819	-
Senior unsecured loan	-	-	(569,868)	569,868
Subordinated liabilities	-	-	(305,951)	305,951
			-	

MUFG Securities EMEA plc

35 Group information

MUFG Securities EMEA plc is a private company incorporated in the England and Wales under the Companies Act 2006. The principal activities of the Company and the nature of the Company's operations are set out in the Strategic Report on pages 2 to 5. The address of the registered office is:

MUFG Securities EMEA plc
25 Ropemaker Street
London EC2Y 9AJ
United Kingdom

The address of the wholly owned subsidiary is:

MUFG Securities (Europe) N.V.
World Trade Center, Tower H, 11th floor
Zuidplein 98
1077 XV Amsterdam
The Netherlands

The Company's immediate parent undertaking is Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings Co. Ltd., a company registered in Japan. The Company's ultimate parent company and ultimate controlling party is Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, incorporated in Japan. The audited consolidated financial statements of Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings Co., Ltd. are made available to the public annually and may be obtained from its registered office at:

Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings Co., Ltd.
5-2, Marunouchi 2-chome
Chiyoda-ku
Tokyo 100-0005, Japan

36 Country by country reporting

Article 89 of CRD IV requires regulated entities to report on certain tax, financial and statistical data for each country in which they operate. The Company is a qualifying institution under the terms of CRD IV.

As the Company has elected to make use of the parent company exemption within IFRS 10, the preceding notes have been prepared on a company only basis, whereas the following disclosure is on a consolidated basis to comply with this directive.

Details of the subsidiaries and branches are as follows:

Country	Entity	Nature of activities			
United Kingdom	MUFG Securities (EMEA) plc	Financial services			
United Arab Emirates	MUFG Securities (EMEA) plc (Dubai Branch)	Financial services			
Netherlands	MUFG Securities (Europe) N.V.	Financial services			
France	MUFG Securities (Europe) N.V.(Paris Branch)	Financial services			

	United Kingdom	United Arab Emirates	Netherlands	France
Average number of employees	668	4	16	23
Turnover (£'000)	446,522	2,474	13,159	26,413
Profit / (loss) before tax (£'000)	174,193	-	1,943	12,192
Corporation tax paid (£'000)	52,968	-	-	5,809

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

FORM 20-F

☐ REGISTRATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OR (g) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

OR

☒ ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020

OR

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

OR

☐ SHELL COMPANY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Date of event requiring this shell company report
Commission file number 000-54189

KABUSHIKI KAISHA MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

mitsubishi ufj financial group, inc.

(Translation of Registrant's name into English)

Japan

(Jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

7-1, Marunouchi 2-chome
Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8330

Japan

(Address of principal executive offices)

Masahisa Takahashi, +81-3-3240-8111, +81-3-5218-8666, 4-5, Marunouchi 1-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8212, Japan

(Name, Telephone, Facsimile number and Address of Company Contact Person)

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Trading symbol(s)	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common stock, without par value		New York Stock Exchange ⁽¹⁾
American depositary shares, each of which represents one share of common stock	MUFG	New York Stock Exchange

(1) The listing of the registrant's common stock on the New York Stock Exchange is for technical purposes only and without trading privileges.

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act: None

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the annual report:

As of March 31, 2020, 13,581,995,120 shares of common stock (including 741,772,308 shares of common stock held by the registrant and its consolidated subsidiaries as treasury stock)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes ☒ No ☐

If this report is an annual or transition report, indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Yes ☐ No ☒

Note—Checking the box above will not relieve any registrant required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 from their obligations under those Sections.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically every Interactive Data File required to be submitted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit such files).

Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or an emerging growth company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ☒ Accelerated filer ☐ Non-accelerated filer ☐ Emerging growth company ☐

If an emerging growth company that prepares its financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards† provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

† The term "new or revised financial accounting standard" refers to any update issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board to its Accounting Standards Codification after April 5, 2012.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has filed a report on and attestation to its management's assessment of the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting under Section 404(b) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act (15 U.S.C. 7262(b)) by the registered public accounting firm that prepared or issued its audit report.

Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark which basis of accounting the registrant has used to prepare the financial statements included in this filing:

U.S. GAAP ☒ International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ☐ Other ☐

If "Other" has been checked in response to the previous question, indicate by check mark which financial statement item the registrant has elected to follow.

Item 17 ☐ Item 18 ☐

If this is an annual report, indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes ☐ No ☒

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Forward-Looking Statements	3
Item 1. Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisers	5
Item 2. Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable	5
Item 3. Key Information	5
Item 4. Information on the Company	27
Item 4A. Unresolved Staff Comments	72
Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects	73
Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees	140
Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions	162
Item 8. Financial Information	164
Item 9. The Offer and Listing	165
Item 10. Additional Information	165
Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Credit, Market and Other Risk	174
Item 12. Description of Securities Other than Equity Securities	203
Item 13. Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies	205
Item 14. Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds	205
Item 15. Controls and Procedures	205
Item 16A. Audit Committee Financial Expert	209
Item 16B. Code of Ethics	209
Item 16C. Principal Accountant Fees and Services	209
Item 16D. Exemptions from the Listing Standards for Audit Committees	210
Item 16E. Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers	210
Item 16F. Change in Registrant's Certifying Accountant	211
Item 16G. Corporate Governance	211
Item 16H. Mine Safety Disclosure	213
Item 17. Financial Statements	214
Item 18. Financial Statements	214
Item 19. Exhibits	214
Selected Statistical Data	A-1
Consolidated Financial Statements	F-1

For purposes of this Annual Report, we have presented our consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, or U.S. GAAP, except for risk-adjusted capital ratios, capital components, risk-weighted assets, business segment financial information and some other specifically identified information. Unless otherwise stated or the context otherwise requires, all amounts in our financial statements are expressed in Japanese yen.

In this Annual Report, unless otherwise indicated or the context otherwise requires, all figures are rounded to the figures shown except for the capital ratios, capital components, risk-weighted assets, leverage ratios and liquidity coverage ratios of MUFG and its domestic subsidiaries, which are rounded down and truncated to the figures shown. In some cases, figures presented in tables are adjusted to match the sum of the figures with the total amount, and such figures are also referred to in the related text.

When we refer in this Annual Report to “MUFG,” “we,” “us,” “our” and the “Group,” we generally mean Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries, but from time to time as the context requires, we mean Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc. as an individual legal entity. In addition, our “commercial banking subsidiaries” refers to MUFG Bank, Ltd. (formerly, The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd.), or “BK,” and, as the context requires, its consolidated subsidiaries engaged in the commercial banking business. Our “trust banking subsidiaries” refers to Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking Corporation, or “TB,” and, as the context requires, its consolidated subsidiaries engaged in the trust banking business. Our “banking subsidiaries” refers to MUFG Bank and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking and, as the context requires, their respective consolidated subsidiaries engaged in the banking business. Our “securities subsidiaries” refers to Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings Co., Ltd., or “SCHD,” and as the context requires, its consolidated subsidiaries engaged in the securities business.

References to “MUAH” and “BK(US)” are to MUFG Americas Holdings Corporation and MUFG Union Bank, N.A., as single entities, respectively, as well as to MUFG Americas Holdings and MUFG Union Bank and their respective consolidated subsidiaries, as the context requires.

References to “Krungsri” are to Bank of Ayudhya Public Company Limited, as a single entity, as well as to Bank of Ayudhya Public Company Limited and its respective consolidated subsidiaries, as the context requires. References to “Bank Danamon” are to PT Bank Danamon Indonesia, Tbk., as a single entity, as well as to PT Bank Danamon Indonesia, Tbk. and its respective consolidated subsidiaries, as the context requires. References to “First Sentier Investors” are to First Sentier Investors Holdings Pty Ltd., as a single entity, as well as to First Sentier Investors Holdings Pty Ltd. and its respective consolidated subsidiaries, as the context requires.

References to the “FSA” are to the Financial Services Agency, an agency of the Cabinet Office of Japan.

References in this Annual Report to “yen” or “¥” are to Japanese yen, references to “U.S. dollars,” “U.S. dollar,” “dollars,” “U.S.\$” or “\$” are to United States dollars, references to “euro” or “€” are to the currency of the member states of the European Monetary Union, references to “THB” are to Thai baht, references to “AU\$” are to Australian dollars, references to “HK\$” are to Hong Kong dollars, and references to “IDR” are to Indonesian rupiah.

Our fiscal year ends on March 31 of each year. References to years not specified as being fiscal years are to calendar years.

We usually hold the annual ordinary general meeting of shareholders of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc. in June of each year in Tokyo.

Forward-Looking Statements

We may from time to time make written or oral forward-looking statements. Written forward-looking statements may appear in documents filed with, or submitted to, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, including this Annual Report, and other reports to shareholders and other communications.

The U.S. Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a “safe harbor” for forward-looking information to encourage companies to provide prospective information about themselves. We rely on this safe harbor in making these forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements appear in a number of places in this Annual Report and include statements regarding our current intent, business plan, targets, belief or expectations or the current belief or current expectations of our management with respect to our results of operations and financial condition, including, among other matters, our problem loans and loan losses. In many, but not all cases, we use words such as “anticipate,” “aim,” “believe,” “estimate,” “expect,” “intend,” “plan,” “probability,” “risk,” “will,” “may” and similar expressions, as they relate to us or our management, to identify forward-looking statements. These statements reflect our current views with respect to future events and are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those which are aimed, anticipated, believed, estimated, expected, intended or planned, or otherwise stated.

Our forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks and uncertainties. Actual results may differ from those in the forward-looking statements as a result of various factors. Important factors that could cause such differences include, without limitation,

- deterioration in economic conditions in Japan and around the world,
- reduction in foreign currency funding liquidity,
- significant unexpected increases in credit costs,
- cyber-attacks and other information security threats,
- problems with the proper functioning and development of information, communications and transaction management systems,
- failure to address regulatory or public concerns or to meet market or industry rules or standards, customer protection requirements, or corporate behavior expectations,
- external events, such as natural disasters, COVID-19 and other health pandemics or epidemics, terrorism, and other political and social conflicts,
- reforms of London Interbank Offered Rate and other interest rate benchmarks,
- climate change and resulting physical damages and changes in the business environment,
- competitive pressures resulting from regulatory and market changes,
- failure to implement our business expansion strategy as planned and to manage new or expanded risks that entail such strategy,
- negative developments relating to our strategic alliance with Morgan Stanley,
- adverse changes in the business of our overseas subsidiaries,
- significant impairment losses on goodwill recognized in connection with our acquisitions,
- failure to maintain our capital ratios and other regulatory ratios above minimum required levels,
- financial difficulties of other financial institutions that affect the overall banking environment and their borrowers,

- fluctuations in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and stock prices,
- transactions with counterparties in countries designated by the U.S. Department of State as state sponsors of terrorism,
- changes in laws, regulations, rules, policies, accounting standards or methods, voluntary codes of practices, and interpretations,
- changes in the business and regulatory environment for consumer finance companies,
- damage to our reputation resulting from our failure to prevent or properly address negative perceptions held by customers, investors, regulators and the general public regarding us and our operations, and
- other risks and uncertainties discussed in “Item 3.D. Key Information—Risk Factors,” “Item 4.B. Information on the Company—Business Overview,” “Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects” and elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Given these and other risks and uncertainties, you are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of the filing of this Annual Report. We are under no obligation, and disclaim any obligation, to update or alter our forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise unless required by law.

PART I

Item 1. Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisers.

Not applicable.

Item 2. Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable.

Not applicable.

Item 3. Key Information.

A. Selected Financial Data

The selected statement of income data and selected balance sheet data set forth below has been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements.

Except for risk-adjusted capital ratios, which are calculated in accordance with Japanese banking regulations based on information derived from our consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in Japan, or Japanese GAAP, the selected financial data set forth below are derived from our consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

You should read the selected financial data set forth below in conjunction with “Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects,” “Selected Statistical Data” and our consolidated financial statements and other financial data included elsewhere in this Annual Report. These data are qualified in their entirety by reference to all of that information.

Fiscal years ended March 31,					
	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(in millions, except per share data and number of shares)					
Statement of income data:					
Interest income	¥ 3,005,738	¥ 2,990,767	¥ 3,259,016	¥ 3,813,379	¥ 3,927,143
Interest expense	744,364	769,639	1,028,755	1,517,981	1,684,344
Net interest income	2,261,374	2,221,128	2,230,261	2,295,398	2,242,799
Provision for (reversal of) credit losses	231,862	253,688	(240,847)	34,330	321,713
Net interest income after provision for (reversal of) credit losses	2,029,512	1,967,440	2,471,108	2,261,068	1,921,086
Non-interest income	2,407,690	1,196,706	1,935,091	1,595,244	1,875,695
Non-interest expense	3,274,532	2,891,603	2,744,380	2,985,470	3,363,561
Income before income tax expense	1,162,670	272,543	1,661,819	870,842	433,220
Income tax expense	369,432	94,453	407,823	133,237	114,505
Net income before attribution of noncontrolling interests	793,238	178,090	1,253,996	737,605	318,715
Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	(9,094)	(24,590)	25,836	18,960	12,760
Net income attributable to Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group	¥ 802,332	¥ 202,680	¥ 1,228,160	¥ 718,645	¥ 305,955
Earnings applicable to common shareholders of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group	¥ 802,332	¥ 202,680	¥ 1,228,160	¥ 718,645	¥ 305,955
Amounts per share:					
Basic earnings per common share—Earnings applicable to common shareholders of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group	¥ 57.78	¥ 14.93	¥ 92.40	¥ 55.03	¥ 23.69
Diluted earnings per common share—Earnings applicable to common shareholders of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group	57.51	14.68	92.10	54.74	23.47
Number of shares used to calculate basic earnings per common share (in thousands)	13,885,842	13,574,314	13,291,842	13,058,698	12,912,790
Number of shares used to calculate diluted earnings per common share (in thousands) ⁽¹⁾	13,903,316	13,584,885	13,293,492	13,059,182	12,912,956
Cash dividends per share paid during the fiscal year:—Common stock	¥ 18.00	¥ 18.00	¥ 18.00	¥ 21.00	¥ 23.50
	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.22

As of March 31,					
	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(in millions)					
Balance sheet data:					
Total assets	¥292,557,355	¥297,185,019	¥300,570,312	¥305,228,899	¥331,753,283
Loans, net of allowance for credit losses	121,679,828	117,032,784	116,271,771	116,225,757	117,377,199
Total liabilities	277,709,088	282,420,311	284,924,497	289,244,151	316,008,767
Deposits	181,438,087	190,401,623	195,674,593	199,280,789	203,954,528
Long-term debt ⁽²⁾	20,524,615	26,131,527	27,069,556	27,990,543	27,926,763
Total equity	14,848,267	14,764,708	15,645,815	15,984,748	15,744,516
Capital stock	2,090,270	2,090,270	2,090,270	2,090,270	2,090,270

	Fiscal years ended March 31,				
	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	(in millions, except percentages)				
Other financial data:					
Average balances:					
Interest-earning assets	¥252,715,743	¥239,192,449	¥239,048,981	¥241,407,356	¥246,283,676
Interest-bearing liabilities	221,135,208	223,522,296	233,857,052	234,643,197	238,861,520
Total assets	299,270,873	307,938,699	320,589,932	321,292,847	325,500,449
Total equity	15,285,766	15,010,829	15,423,078	16,076,679	15,417,885
Return on equity and assets:					
Earnings applicable to common shareholders as a percentage of average total assets	0.27%	0.07%	0.38%	0.22%	0.09%
Earnings applicable to common shareholders as a percentage of average total equity	5.25%	1.35%	7.96%	4.47%	1.98%
Dividends per common share as a percentage of basic earnings per common share	31.15%	120.56%	19.48%	38.16%	99.20%
Average total equity as a percentage of average total assets	5.11%	4.87%	4.81%	5.00%	4.74%
Net interest income as a percentage of average total interest-earning assets	0.89%	0.93%	0.93%	0.95%	0.91%
Credit quality data:					
Allowance for credit losses	¥ 1,111,130	¥ 1,182,188	¥ 764,124	¥ 658,184	¥ 809,540
Allowance for credit losses as a percentage of loans	0.90%	1.00%	0.65%	0.56%	0.68%
Impaired loans	¥ 1,725,150	¥ 1,715,850	¥ 1,331,123	¥ 1,209,791	¥ 1,306,829
Impaired loans as a percentage of loans	1.40%	1.45%	1.14%	1.04%	1.11%
Allowance for credit losses related to impaired loans as a percentage of impaired loans	42.60%	51.42%	37.14%	32.33%	33.96%
Net loan charge-offs	¥ 156,959	¥ 169,809	¥ 180,999	¥ 129,924	¥ 179,277
Net loan charge-offs as a percentage of average loans	0.13%	0.14%	0.15%	0.11%	0.15%
Average interest rate spread	0.85%	0.91%	0.92%	0.93%	0.88%
Risk-adjusted capital ratio calculated under Japanese GAAP ⁽³⁾	16.01%	15.85%	16.56%	16.03%	15.87%

Notes:

- (1) Includes the common shares that were potentially issuable upon exercise of stock acquisition rights. See “Item 6.B. Directors, Senior Management and Employees—Compensation.”
- (2) Reflects the changes in presentation adopted in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018, where long-term payables under repurchase agreements are no longer included in long-term debt but are aggregated with short-term payables under repurchase agreements in payables under repurchase agreements, and applied to the fiscal years ended March 31, 2016 and 2017.
- (3) Risk-adjusted capital ratios have been calculated in accordance with Japanese banking regulations as applicable on the relevant calculation date, based on information derived from our consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with Japanese GAAP. For a description of the applicable capital ratio calculation and other requirements applicable, see “Item 4.B. Information on the Company—Business Overview—Supervision and Regulation—Japan—Capital adequacy” and “Item 5.B. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Capital Adequacy.”

B. Capitalization and Indebtedness

Not applicable.

C. Reasons for the Offer and Use of Proceeds

Not applicable.

D. Risk Factors

Investing in our securities involves a high degree of risk. You should carefully consider the risks described in this section, which is intended to disclose all of the risks that we consider material based on the information currently available to us, as well as all the other information in this Annual Report, including our consolidated financial statements and related notes, “Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects,” “Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Credit, Market and Other Risk” and “Selected Statistical Data.”

Our business, operating results and financial condition could be materially and adversely affected by any of the factors discussed below. The trading price of our securities could decline due to any of these factors. This Annual Report also contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of various factors, including those described in this section and elsewhere in this Annual Report. See “Forward-Looking Statements.”

Risks Related to Our Business Environment

Because a large portion of our assets as well as our business operations are in Japan, we may incur losses if economic conditions in Japan worsen.

Our performance is particularly affected by the general economic conditions of Japan where we are headquartered and conduct a significant amount of our business. As of March 31, 2020, 62.6% of our total assets were related to Japanese domestic assets, including Japanese national government and Japanese government agency bonds, which accounted for 56.1% of our total investment securities portfolio and 7.4% of our total assets, respectively. Interest and non-interest income in Japan represented 27.5% of our total interest and non-interest income for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020. Furthermore, as of March 31, 2020, our loans in Japan accounted for 55.0% of our total loans outstanding.

There is significant uncertainty surrounding Japan’s economy. For example, Japan’s fiscal health and sovereign creditworthiness may deteriorate if the Japanese government’s economic measures and the Bank of Japan’s monetary policies prove ineffective or result in negative consequences. If the prices of Japanese government bonds decline rapidly, resulting in an unexpectedly sudden increase in interest rates, our investment securities portfolio as well as our lending, borrowing, trading and other operations may be negatively impacted. In addition, interest rates may suddenly increase as a result of a decision made by the Bank of Japan to end or modify its current interest rate policy, including the negative interest rate of minus 0.1% applied to certain current account amounts that financial institutions hold at the Bank of Japan and the Japanese government bond purchase program with an aim to keep the yield of 10-year Japanese government bonds around zero percent, or market expectations relating to any such decision. See “—Market Risk—Fluctuations in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and stock prices could adversely affect the value or the yield of our portfolio.”

Instability in the Japanese stock market and foreign currency exchange rates may also have a significant adverse impact on our asset and liability management as well as our results of operations. Various other factors, including the COVID-19 pandemic and measures being implemented in response to the pandemic such as restrictions on travel, store operations and other economic activities, the decreasing and aging demographics in Japan, stagnation or deterioration of economic and market conditions in other countries, growing global competition and trade conflicts, may also have a material negative impact on the Japanese economy. See “—Risk Relating to Our Strategic Equity Portfolio—If the Japanese stock market or other global markets decline in the future, we may incur losses on our securities portfolio and our capital ratios will be adversely affected.” For a detailed discussion on the business environment in Japan and abroad, see “Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Business Environment.”

Since our domestic loans in Japan accounted for a significant portion of our loan portfolio, deteriorating or stagnant economic conditions in Japan may cause adverse effects on our financial results, such as increases in

credit costs, as the credit quality of some borrowers could deteriorate, particularly borrowers in industries that are adversely affected by the COVID-19 pandemic. Our domestic loan portfolio may also be adversely affected by interest rate fluctuations in Japan. For example, as a result of the Bank of Japan's interest rate policy and measures to purchase Japanese government bonds in the market, the yield on many financial instruments and other market interest rates in Japan have declined to low or negative levels. If the Bank of Japan's policy and measures are maintained for an extended period, or if the Bank of Japan's negative interest rate is lowered from the current level, market interest rates may decline further, and our interest rate spread on our domestic loan portfolio may narrow further, reducing our net interest income.

Our results of operations may be materially affected by deterioration of economic conditions in Japan and around the world.

Economic conditions in Japan and around the world may deteriorate significantly due to various factors such as the COVID-19 pandemic and measures being implemented in response to the pandemic, including restrictions on travel, store operations and other economic activities, in Japan and other countries and regions as well as crude oil prices declining or remaining at low levels. Uncertainty over the Japanese and global economies still remain not only because of the unpredictability of the timing of containment of COVID-19 but also because of such other factors as concerns over political developments in the United States, the possible negative impact on international trade resulting from shifts in the trade policies of various countries and regions, concerns relating to the United Kingdom's withdrawal from the European Union, and the slowing economic growth in China and the economic stagnation in emerging countries and commodity-exporting countries, as well as the political turmoil in various regions around the world. In addition, external events, such as earthquakes, typhoons, floods and other natural disasters, terrorism and other political and social conflicts, abduction, and health pandemics or epidemics, may cause deterioration in economic conditions and market instability in affected areas. As of March 31, 2020, based principally on the domicile of the obligors, assets related to the United States accounted for approximately 18.3% of our total assets, assets related to Asia and Oceania excluding Japan accounted for approximately 9.3% of our total assets, and assets related to Europe accounted for approximately 5.8% of our total assets. See "Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Business Environment."

Worsening economic conditions in Japan and around the world may result in, among other things, impairment or valuation losses on securities and other assets that we hold due to declines in the market value of such assets, an increase in our non-performing loans and credit costs due to deterioration in borrowers' business performance, a decrease in our profits due to deterioration in the creditworthiness of counterparties in market transactions, a reduction in foreign currency funding liquidity, an increase in our foreign currency funding costs, and an increase in the level of risk in the risk assets that we hold. Our profitability may be adversely affected by various other factors, including a decline in our net interest income caused by such factors as changes in the monetary policies of central banks in various jurisdictions. In addition, an economic downturn may result in a decline in new investments and business transactions by customers due to stagnation in economic activity, weak consumer spending, diminished investor appetite for making investments in uncertain financial markets, and a decrease in our assets under custody or management.

We have incurred losses, and may incur further losses, as a result of changes in the fair value of our financial instruments resulting from weakening market conditions. For example, we recorded ¥646.0 billion of net losses from marketable equity securities, which reflected unrealized losses, or holding losses, on marketable equity securities, and ¥4.8 billion of impairment losses on available-for-sale debt securities and other securities for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020. As of March 31, 2020, approximately 26.4% of our total assets were financial instruments for which we measure fair value on a recurring basis, and less than 0.5% of our total assets were financial instruments for which we measure fair value on a non-recurring basis. Generally, in order to establish the fair value of these instruments, we rely on quoted prices. If the value of these financial instruments declines, a corresponding loss or write-down may be recognized in our consolidated statements of income. In addition, because we hold a large amount of investment securities, short-term fluctuations in the value of our securities may trigger losses or exit costs for us to manage our risk. For more information on our valuation

method for financial instruments, see “Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Critical Accounting Estimates.”

Our business operations are exposed to risks of natural disasters, terrorism and other disruptions caused by external events.

As a major financial institution incorporated in Japan and operating in major international financial markets, our business operations, ATMs and other information technology systems, personnel, and facilities and other physical assets are subject to the risks of earthquakes, typhoons, floods and other natural disasters, terrorism and other political and social conflicts, abduction, health pandemics or epidemics, and other disruptions caused by external events, which are beyond our control. Such external events may result in loss of facility and human and other resources, suspension or delay in all or part of our operations, inability to implement business strategic measures or respond to changes in the market or regulatory environment as planned, and other disruptions to our operations. In addition, we may be required to incur significant costs and expenses, including those incurred for preventive or remedial measures, to deal with the consequences of such external events. As a result, our business, operating results and financial condition may be materially and adversely affected.

For example, the COVID-19 pandemic has required us to temporarily close some of our business locations, resulted in reduction in our and our vendors’ operational capacity due to restrictions on mobility, and had other negative impact on us. Although we have taken various measures designed to ensure the safety of our employees and vendors as well as the continuity of our operations, if a large number or concentrated groups of employees of us and our vendors become infected of the virus or if the pandemic continues to expand or is not sufficiently controlled, we may be further adversely affected.

As with other Japanese companies, we are exposed to heightened risks of large-scale natural disasters, particularly earthquakes. In particular, a large-scale earthquake occurring in the Tokyo metropolitan area and other areas where we have our important business functions may have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

Our risk management policies and procedures may be insufficient to address the consequences of these external events, resulting in our inability to continue to operate a part or the whole of our business. In addition, our redundancy and backup measures may not be sufficient to avoid a material disruption in our operations, and our contingency and business continuity plans may not address all eventualities that may occur in the event of a material disruption caused by a large-scale natural disaster such as the March 2011 Great East Japan Earthquake, which led to tsunamis, soil liquefaction and fires, as well as electricity power supply shortages and electricity power conservation measures.

Reforms of London Interbank Offered Rate and other interest rate benchmarks could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We have various transactions, including derivatives, loans, bonds, and securitized products, that reference London Interbank Offered Rate, or LIBOR. In July 2014, the Financial Stability Board proposed reforms of interest rate benchmarks and development of a risk-free rate as an alternative interest rate benchmark. In July 2017, the Chief Executive of the Financial Conduct Authority, or FCA, of the United Kingdom, which regulates LIBOR, announced that it would not compel panel banks to quote rates in order to continue publishing LIBOR beyond the end of calendar 2021 and, as a result, there are considerable uncertainties regarding the publication of LIBOR after calendar 2021.

In anticipation of the discontinuation of the publication of LIBOR at the end of calendar 2021, we are taking measures to deal with the reform of LIBOR and other interest rate benchmarks and the transition to an alternative interest rate. However, such transition is complex and uncertain in many respects and may have various adverse

impacts on our business, financial position and operating results. In particular, such transition may, among other things,

- adversely affect the price, liquidity, profitability, and tradability of a wide range of financial instruments, such as loans and derivatives, included in our financial assets and liabilities that reference LIBOR and other interest rate benchmarks;
- require negotiations with our counterparties to modify contracts to replace the reference rate for existing contracts based on or linked to LIBOR and other interest rate benchmarks with an alternative interest rate;
- result in disputes with customers and counterparties concerning the interpretation of affected contracts or economic adjustments to the alternative interest rate adopted in connection with the reform of LIBOR and other interest rates and the transition to alternative interest rates, or disputes concerning inappropriate trade practices or abuse of a dominant bargaining position in transactions with customers;
- require us to respond to regulatory authorities in connection with the reform of LIBOR and other interest rates and the transition to an alternative interest rate; and
- require us to develop risk management and other operational systems and processes necessary to effectively deal with the reform of LIBOR and other interest rates and the transition to an alternative interest rate, which may prove challenging or impossible, or incur significant system investment and other costs in connection with such reform and transition.

Climate change could have a material adverse impact on us and our clients.

Physical damage caused by extreme weather conditions and natural disasters resulting from climate change, as well as governments' measures to strengthen climate-related regulations and the transition to a low-carbon society, may adversely affect the business and financial condition of us and our clients, including our credit portfolio.

We recognize the importance of appropriately understanding, evaluating and disclosing climate change-related risks, and we intend to support the recommendations of the Climate Financial Disclosure Task Force, or TCFD, which has been established by the Financial Stability Board. To be in line with the TCFD, we intend to make a continued effort to improve our understanding and evaluation of the relevant risks and to enhance our related disclosure. However, if our effort to address climate change-related risks or to make appropriate disclosure proves or is deemed insufficient and, as a result, we are considered to fail to fulfill our responsibility to society, our corporate value may be impaired and our business and results of operations may be adversely affected.

Risks Related to Our Strategies

Our business may be adversely affected by competitive pressures, which have partly increased due to regulatory changes and recent market changes in the financial industry domestically and globally.

Competition in the financial services industry may further intensify due to the increase in the number of non-financial institutions entering the financial services industry with alternative services such as electronic settlement services as a result of development of new technologies such as artificial intelligence, or AI, and blockchain as well as significant changes in regulatory barriers. Competition may also further increase as other global financial institutions enhance their competitive strength through development or adoption of such new technologies as well as mergers, acquisitions, strategic alliances, and profit enhancement and other measures. See "Item 4.B. Information on the Company—Business Overview—Competition."

Under such circumstances, although we have been implementing various business strategies on a global basis designed to strengthen our competitive position and profitability, our business, financial condition and

results of operations may be adversely affected if these strategies fail to produce the results we expect or if we are required to delay or otherwise change these strategies and result in the decline in our competitiveness because of various factors, including:

- the volume of loans made to borrowers does not increase as anticipated;
- our income from interest spreads on the existing loans does not improve as anticipated;
- our loan interest spread further narrows as a result of the “quantitative and qualitative monetary easing with yield curve control” program being maintained in Japan for an extended period or the negative interest rate being lowered from the current level;
- our fee income does not increase as much or quickly as we aim to do;
- our strategy to build a business infrastructure for new services and products through digitalization or otherwise does not proceed as planned;
- clients and business opportunities are lost, costs and expenses significantly exceed our expectations, or our strategies to improve financial and operational efficiency or system integration plans are not achieved as expected, because of delays or other changes in the ongoing or planned intra-group integration, reorganization or streamlining of our operations;
- we are unable to hire or retain sufficient human resources;
- our foreign currency funding becomes limited or unavailable; and
- we are restricted in agility or flexibility in investing in non-financial institutions under applicable laws and regulations in and outside of Japan.

Our strategy to expand the range of our financial products and services and the geographic scope of our business globally may fail if we are unable to anticipate or manage new or expanded risks that entail such expansion.

As we expand our business operations, we may become exposed to new and increasingly complex risks. We may not be able to establish appropriate internal controls or risk management systems or to hire or retain necessary human resources to effectively deal with compliance, regulatory, market and other risks entailing the expanded scope of our operations, products and services in all cases and, as a consequence, our financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected.

As a strategic measure implemented in an effort to become the world’s most trusted financial group, we acquire businesses, make investments and enter into capital alliances globally. We may continue to pursue opportunities to acquire businesses, make investments and enter into capital alliances. However, our acquisition, investments and capital alliances may not proceed as planned or may be changed or dissolved, we may not achieve the synergies or other results that we expected, or we may incur impairment or valuation losses on securities acquired or intangible assets, including goodwill, recorded in connection with such business acquisitions, investments or business alliances, because of changes in the strategies or financial condition of our acquirees, investees or alliance partners that are inconsistent with our interests, unanticipated changes in the industry or business environment affecting our acquirees, investees or alliance partners, stagnation of the economy, changes in the laws, regulations or accounting standards applicable to our acquirees, investees or alliance partners, or inability to obtain regulatory approvals. These and other similar circumstances may adversely affect our business strategies, financial condition and results of operations.

Our efforts to offer new products and services or penetrate new markets may not succeed due to any of the foregoing reasons or other reasons, including if product or market opportunities develop more slowly than expected, if our new products and services are not well accepted among customers, if the profitability of opportunities is undermined by competitive pressures, regulatory limitations or changes in our business

environment, if our planned acquisitions, investments or capital alliances are not approved by regulators or do not proceed as planned, or if our acquisitions, investments or capital alliances fail to achieve the synergies or other results that we expect. For more information on our recent acquisition and investment transactions, see “Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Recent Developments.”

Risks Related to Our Major Investees

If our strategic alliance with Morgan Stanley fails, we could suffer financial or reputational loss.

We have a global strategic alliance with Morgan Stanley, under which we operate two joint venture securities companies in Japan, engage in joint corporate finance operations in the United States and pursue other cooperative opportunities. We hold approximately 23.9% of the voting rights in Morgan Stanley as of March 31, 2020 and continue to hold approximately \$521.4 million of perpetual non-cumulative non-convertible preferred stock with a 10% dividend. In addition, we currently have two representatives on Morgan Stanley’s board of directors.

We initially entered into this strategic alliance in October 2008 with a view towards long-term cooperation with Morgan Stanley, and currently plan to deepen the strategic alliance. However, due to any unexpected changes in social, economic or financial conditions, changes in the regulatory environment, or any failure to integrate or share staff, products or services, or to operate, manage or implement the business strategy of the securities joint venture companies or other cooperative opportunities as planned, we may be unable to achieve the expected synergies from this alliance.

If our strategic alliance with Morgan Stanley is terminated, it could have a material negative impact on our business strategy, financial condition, and results of operations. For example, because we conduct our securities operations in Japan through the joint venture companies we have with Morgan Stanley, such termination may result in our inability to attain the planned growth in this line of business.

In addition, with our current investment in Morgan Stanley, we have neither a controlling interest in, nor control over the business operations of, Morgan Stanley. If Morgan Stanley makes any business decisions that are inconsistent with our interests, we may be unable to achieve the goals initially set out for the strategic alliance. Furthermore, although we do not control Morgan Stanley, given the magnitude of our investment, if Morgan Stanley encounters financial or other business difficulties due to adverse changes in the economy, regulatory environment or other factors, we may suffer a financial loss on our investment or damage to our reputation. For example, we recorded an impairment loss of ¥579.5 billion on our investment in Morgan Stanley’s common stock for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2012.

We apply equity method accounting to our investment in Morgan Stanley in our consolidated financial statements. As a result, Morgan Stanley’s performance affects our results of operations, and Morgan Stanley has contributed to a significant portion of our net income in recent periods. Rule 3-09 of Regulation S-X requires Morgan Stanley’s financial statements to be included in this Annual Report. In addition, fluctuations in Morgan Stanley’s stock price or in our equity ownership interest in Morgan Stanley may cause us to recognize additional losses on our investment in Morgan Stanley.

Any adverse changes in the business of MUFG Americas Holdings Corporation, Krungsri or Bank Danamon could significantly affect our results of operations.

Our major overseas subsidiaries include MUFG Americas Holdings, a wholly owned subsidiary in the United States, Krungsri, an indirect subsidiary in Thailand, and Bank Danamon, an indirect subsidiary in Indonesia. These subsidiaries engage in retail and commercial banking primarily in their respective local markets. Adverse changes in the business and financial performance of any of these subsidiaries may significantly affect our financial condition and results of operations. Factors that can negatively impact these

subsidiaries include deterioration in the local economy or economic prospects or the credit quality of their loan portfolio, fluctuations in the stock, interest rate, foreign currency exchange, commodities or real estate market, political or social instability, changes in the regulatory or competitive environment, natural disasters, pandemics or epidemics of infectious or contagious diseases, geopolitical conflicts, losses from legal proceedings, as well as the business performance of companies investing in or entering into the local market and the condition of economies, financial systems, laws and financial markets in the markets where such companies primarily operate. Costs incurred due to weaknesses in the internal controls or the regulatory compliance systems of the subsidiaries may also adversely affect their business and financial performance. For more information, see “Item 4.B. Information on the Company—Business Overview—Global Commercial Banking Business Group” and “Item 4.B. Information on the Company—Business Overview—Supervision and Regulation—United States.”

If the goodwill recorded in connection with our acquisitions becomes impaired, we may be required to record impairment losses, which may adversely affect our financial results.

In accordance with U.S. GAAP, we account for our business combinations using the acquisition method of accounting. We recorded the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the assets and liabilities of the acquired companies as goodwill. U.S. GAAP requires us to test goodwill for impairment at least annually, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that goodwill may be impaired. As of March 31, 2020, the total balance of goodwill was ¥517.6 billion. As we expand our business through acquisitions and investments, we may record additional goodwill in connection with such acquisitions and investments, which may subsequently be impaired.

For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, we recognized ¥241.4 billion, ¥80.3 billion and ¥62.2 billion, respectively, in impairment of goodwill relating to Bank Danamon, MUFG Americas Holdings and Krungsri within Global Commercial Banking Business Group and Global Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group. We consolidate MUFG Americas Holdings based on financial information for the fiscal year ended December 31 as this date and our fiscal year which ended on March 31 have been treated as coterminous. For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, the effect of recording a goodwill impairment as an intervening event primarily due to economic environment triggered by COVID-19 pandemic for the three-month period ended March 31, 2020 would have been approximately ¥80 billion to ¥110 billion. This intervening event occurring during the three-month period ended March 31, 2020, however, was not recognized for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020. See “Item 5.A. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Operating Results—Impairment of goodwill” and Note 6 to our audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

We may be required to record additional impairment losses relating to goodwill in future periods if the fair value of any of our reporting units declines below the fair value of related assets net of liabilities. Any additional impairment losses will negatively affect our financial results, and the price of our securities could be adversely affected. For a detailed discussion of our periodic testing of goodwill for impairment and the goodwill recorded, see “Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Critical Accounting Estimates—Impairment on Goodwill and Intangible Assets.”

Risks Related to Our Ability to Meet Regulatory Capital Requirements

We may not be able to maintain our capital ratios and other regulatory ratios above minimum required levels, which could result in various regulatory actions, including the suspension of some or all of our operations.

We, as a holding company, and our Japanese banking subsidiaries are required to maintain risk-weighted capital ratios and leverage ratios above the levels specified in the guidelines adopted by the FSA to implement

the Basel III framework. As of March 31, 2020, our total risk-adjusted capital ratio was 15.87% compared to the minimum risk-adjusted capital ratio required of 12.01%, our Tier 1 capital ratio was 13.56% compared to the minimum Tier 1 capital ratio required of 10.01%, and our Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio was 11.90% compared to the minimum Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio required of 8.51%, each including a capital conservation buffer of 2.50%, a G-SIB surcharge of 1.50% and a countercyclical buffer of 0.01%. As of the same date, our leverage ratio was 4.42% compared to the minimum leverage ratio required of 3.00%. Basel III risk measurement reforms are expected to be phased in from 2023. Our capital and leverage ratios are calculated in accordance with Japanese banking regulations based on information derived from our financial statements prepared in accordance with Japanese GAAP.

The Financial Stability Board has identified us as one of G-SIBs. The banks that are included in the list of G-SIBs are subject to a capital surcharge to varying degrees depending on the bucket to which each bank is allocated. As the list of G-SIBs is expected to be updated annually, we may be required to meet stricter capital ratio requirements. G-SIBs are currently expected to become subject to a leverage ratio surcharge in 2023.

If our or our Japanese banking subsidiaries' capital ratios or leverage ratios fall below the required levels, including various capital buffers, the FSA may require us to take a variety of corrective actions, including abstention from making capital distributions and suspension of our business operations.

In addition, some of our banking subsidiaries are subject to the local capital adequacy ratio and other regulatory ratio requirements of various foreign countries, including the United States, and if their ratios fall below the required levels, the local regulators will require them to take a variety of corrective actions.

Factors that will affect our and our bank subsidiaries' capital ratios or leverage ratios include:

- fluctuations in our or our banking subsidiaries' portfolios due to deterioration in the creditworthiness of borrowers and the issuers of equity and debt securities;
- difficulty in refinancing or issuing instruments upon redemption or at maturity of such instruments to raise capital under terms and conditions similar to prior financings or issuances;
- declines in the value of our or our banking subsidiaries' securities portfolios;
- adverse changes in foreign currency exchange rates;
- adverse revisions to the capital ratio and other regulatory ratio requirements;
- reductions in the value of our or our banking subsidiaries' deferred tax assets; and
- other adverse developments.

Capital raising instruments issued in or prior to March 2013 can be included, as a capital item when calculating capital ratios to the extent permitted by a transitional measure. Such capital raising instruments may require refinancing under the current FSA guidelines. However, in order for newly issued capital raising instruments, other than common stock, to be included as a capital item in the calculation of capital ratios under the above capital adequacy guidelines, such instruments must, among other things, have a clause in their terms and conditions that requires them to be written off or converted into common stock upon the occurrence of certain events, including when the issuing financial institution is deemed non-viable or when the issuing financial institution's capital ratios decline below prescribed levels. Under certain market conditions, we may be unable to refinance or issue capital raising instruments under terms and conditions similar to those of capital raising instruments issued in or prior to March 2013. If such circumstances arise, our and our banking subsidiaries' capital could be reduced, and our and our bank subsidiaries' capital ratios and leverage ratios could decrease.

In March 2019, we became subject to the FSA's new regulations requiring G-SIBs in Japan to maintain certain minimum levels of capital and liabilities that are deemed to have loss-absorbing and recapitalization

capacity, or External TLAC, and allocate a certain minimum level of External TLAC to any material subsidiary within their respective groups of companies, or Internal TLAC. As of March 31, 2020, we maintained 18.62% of External TLAC on a risk-weighted assets basis compared to the required minimum ratio of 16.00% and 7.38% of External TLAC on a leverage exposure basis compared to the required minimum ratio of 6.00%. The applicable minimum ratio requirements are expected to be raised to 18.00% on a risk-weighted assets basis and 6.75% on a leverage exposure basis on March 31, 2022. Within the MUFG Group, MUFG Bank, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking, Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities Co., Ltd. and MUFG Americas Holdings are designated as our material subsidiaries. We may become subject to various regulatory actions, including restrictions on capital distributions, if we are unable to maintain our External TLAC ratios or the amount of Internal TLAC allocated to any of our material subsidiaries in Japan above the minimum levels required by the standards imposed by the FSA. Our External TLAC ratios and the amount of our Internal TLAC are affected by various factors that affect our capital ratios and leverage ratios described above. Although we plan to issue TLAC-qualified debt in an effort to meet the minimum required levels of External TLAC ratios and Internal TLAC amounts, we may fail to do so if we are unable to issue or refinance TLAC-qualified debt as planned.

For a discussion of the applicable regulatory guidelines and our capital ratios, see “Item 4.B. Information on the Company—Business Overview—Supervision and Regulation” and “Item 5.B. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Capital Adequacy.”

Fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates may result in transaction losses on translation of monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies as well as foreign currency translation losses with respect to our foreign subsidiaries and equity method investees.

Fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates against the Japanese yen create transaction gains or losses on the translation into Japanese yen of monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies. To the extent that our foreign currency-denominated assets and liabilities are not matched in the same currency or appropriately hedged, we could incur losses due to future foreign exchange rate fluctuations. During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, the average balance of our foreign interest-earning assets was ¥101,024.8 billion and the average balance of our foreign interest-bearing liabilities was ¥65,982.7 billion, representing 41.0% of our average total interest-earning assets and 27.6% of our average total interest-bearing liabilities during the same period. Due to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations, we may incur losses attributable to net transaction losses on the translation into Japanese yen of monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies, net losses on currency derivative instruments entered into for trading purposes, and net losses on translation into Japanese yen of securities accounted for under the fair value option. In addition, we may incur foreign currency translation losses with respect to our foreign subsidiaries and equity method investees due to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. For recent market trends in foreign currency exchange rates, see “Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Business Environment.” For information on foreign exchange gains and losses and foreign currency translation gains and losses, see “Item 5.A. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Operating Results—Results of Operations—Non-Interest Income” and “Item 5.A. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Operating Results—Effect of Change in Exchange Rates on Foreign Currency Translation.”

For a discussion of a potential impact of foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations on our capital ratios and other regulatory ratios, see “—Risks Related to Our Ability to Meet Regulatory Capital Requirements—We may not be able to maintain our capital ratios and other regulatory ratios above minimum required levels, which could result in various regulatory actions, including the suspension of some or all of our operations.”

Credit Risk

We may suffer additional credit-related losses in the future if our borrowers are unable to repay their loans as expected or if the measures we take in reaction to, or in anticipation of, our borrowers' deteriorating repayment abilities prove inappropriate or insufficient.

When we lend money or commit to lend money, we incur credit risk, which is the risk of losses if our borrowers do not repay their loans. We may incur significant credit losses or have to provide for a significant amount of additional allowance for credit losses if:

- large borrowers become insolvent or must be restructured;
- domestic or global economic conditions, either generally or in particular industries in which large borrowers operate, deteriorate;
- the value of the collateral we hold, such as real estate or securities, declines; or
- we are adversely affected by corporate credibility issues among our borrowers, to an extent that is worse than anticipated.

As a percentage of total loans, impaired loans, which primarily include nonaccrual loans and troubled debt restructurings, or TDRs, ranged from 1.04% to 1.45% as of the five most recent fiscal year-ends. As of March 31, 2020, impaired loans were ¥1.31 trillion, representing 1.11% of our total outstanding loans. If the economic conditions in Japan or other parts of the world, including emerging countries, or in particular industries, including the energy and real estate industries, to which we have significant credit risk exposure, or the air transportation and other industries that may be adversely affected by the COVID-19 pandemic, worsen, or if emerging market currencies depreciate against major currencies, our problem loans and credit-related expenses may increase. An increase in problem loans and credit-related expenses would adversely affect our results of operations, weaken our financial condition and erode our capital base.

We may provide additional loans, equity capital or other forms of support to troubled borrowers in order to facilitate their restructuring and revitalization efforts. We may also forbear from exercising some or all of our rights as a creditor against them, and we may forgive loans to them in conjunction with their debt restructurings. We may take these steps even when such steps might not be warranted from the perspective of our short-term or narrow economic interests or a technical analysis of our legal rights against those borrowers, in light of other factors such as our longer-term economic interests and our commitment to supporting the Japanese economy. These practices may substantially increase our exposure to troubled borrowers and increase our losses. Credit losses may also increase if we elect, or are forced by economic or other considerations, to sell or write off our problem loans at a larger discount, in a larger amount or in a different time or manner, than we may otherwise want.

Although we, from time to time, enter into collateral, guarantee and credit derivative transactions, including credit default swap contracts, to manage our credit risk exposure, such transactions may not provide the protection against credit defaults that we intended due to counterparty defaults or other reasons. For more information on our credit derivative transactions, see Note 23 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Our loan losses could prove to be materially different from our estimates and could materially exceed our current allowance for credit losses, in which case we may need to provide for additional allowance for credit losses and may also record credit losses beyond our allowance. Our allowance for credit losses in our loan portfolio is based on evaluations of customers' creditworthiness and the value of collateral we hold. We recorded ¥321.7 billion of provision for credit losses, including ¥46.4 billion of estimated impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the Commercial segment of our loan portfolio, for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020. We consolidate certain foreign subsidiaries based on financial information for the fiscal year ended December 31 as this date and our fiscal year which ended on March 31 have been treated as coterminous. For the fiscal year

ended March 31, 2020, the effect of recording a provision for credit losses and a provision for off-balance sheet credit instruments, including commitments to extend credit, financial guarantees and standby letters of credit, as an intervening event primarily due to the economic environment triggered by COVID-19 pandemic for the three-month period ended March 31, 2020 would have been approximately ¥84 billion and would have resulted in a decrease of ¥58 billion to net income attributable to Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group. This intervening event occurring during the three-month period ended March 31, 2020, however, was not recognized for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020. See Note 4 to our audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report. While we closely observe conditions of our individual borrowers and industry trends, we may need to provide for additional allowance for credit losses due to deterioration in domestic and global economic conditions as well as commodity price fluctuations or other conditions specific to certain borrowers.

Also, the regulatory standards or guidance on establishing allowances may also change, causing us to change some of the evaluations used in determining the allowances. As a result, we may need to provide for additional allowance for credit losses. For example, as a result of our adoption on April 1, 2020 of an accounting methodology that reflects expected credit losses and requires consideration of a broader range of reasonable and supportable information to inform credit loss estimates, we estimated that our allowance for credit losses and our allowance for off-balance sheet credit instruments increased by an aggregate of approximately ¥380 billion to ¥450 billion as of the opening balance sheet date. See Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Our efforts to diversify our portfolio to avoid any concentration of credit risk exposures to particular industries or counterparties may prove insufficient. For example, our credit exposures to the energy and real estate industries are relatively high in comparison to other industries. The credit quality of borrowers in this sector do not necessarily correspond to general economic conditions in Japan or other parts of the world, and adverse fluctuations in oil and other commodity prices or adverse developments in the real estate market may disproportionately increase our credit costs.

When we believe there is an improvement in asset quality, we may reverse the allowance for credit losses to a level management deems appropriate and record the amount of reversal in our consolidated statements of income. For example, for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, we recorded ¥1.0 billion of reversal of credit losses for the Residential segment of our loan portfolio, while recording a total of ¥322.7 billion of provision for credit losses for the other segments. We have historically recorded provision for credit losses rather than recording reversal of credit losses in most periods, and in future periods we may need to recognize provision for credit losses for all of the segments of our loan portfolio or to a larger extent for some or all of our loan portfolio segments.

For more information on our loan portfolio, see “Item 5.B. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Financial Condition—Loan Portfolio.”

We may incur further losses as a result of financial difficulties relating to other financial institutions, both directly and through the effect they may have on the overall banking environment and on their borrowers.

Declining asset quality and other financial problems may exist, arise or worsen at some domestic and foreign financial institutions, including banks, non-bank lending and credit institutions, securities companies and insurance companies. Financial difficulties relating to financial institutions may not only lead to liquidity and insolvency problems for such financial institutions but also result in systemic problems adversely affecting the financial market and the wider economy.

Financial difficulties relating to financial institutions could adversely affect us because we have extended loans, some of which may need to be classified as impaired loans, to banks, securities companies, insurance

companies and other financial institutions that are not our consolidated subsidiaries. Our loans to banks and other financial institutions have been more than 10% of our total loans as of each year-end in the three fiscal years ended March 31, 2020, with the percentage being 14.3% as of March 31, 2020. We may also be adversely affected because we enter into transactions, such as derivative transactions, in the ordinary course of business, with other banks and financial institutions as counterparties. For example, we enter into credit derivatives with banks, broker-dealers, insurance companies and other financial institutions for managing credit risk exposures, for facilitating client transactions, and for proprietary trading purposes. The notional amount of the protection we sold through these instruments was ¥3.93 trillion as of March 31, 2020. In addition, we may be adversely affected because:

- we are shareholders of financial institutions;
- financial institutions that face difficulties may terminate or reduce financial support to borrowers, putting such borrowers under financial stress and causing our loans to such borrowers to be impaired;
- we may be requested to participate in providing support to distressed financial institutions;
- the government may elect to provide regulatory, tax, funding or other benefits to financial institutions under its supervision or control to strengthen their capital or increase their profitability or for other purposes, causing our competitiveness against such financial institutions to weaken;
- our deposit insurance premiums may rise if deposit insurance funds prove to be inadequate;
- bankruptcies or government control or other intervention of financial institutions may generally undermine the confidence of depositors in, or adversely affect the overall business environment for, financial institutions; and
- negative media coverage of the financial industry or system, regardless of its accuracy and applicability to us, may harm our reputation as well as market confidence in the financial industry and system.

Risk Relating to Our Strategic Equity Portfolio

If the Japanese stock market or other global markets decline in the future, we may incur losses on our securities portfolio and our capital ratios will be adversely affected.

A decline in Japanese stock prices could reduce the value of the domestic marketable equity securities that we hold, which accounted for 10.8% of our total investment securities portfolio, and 1.4% of our total assets, as of March 31, 2020. Our strategic equity investments in Japan accounted for a vast majority of our total domestic marketable equity securities of ¥4.74 trillion as of March 31, 2020. A decline in stock prices may also reduce our regulatory capital ratios because unrealized gains and losses on the equity securities we hold are reflected in the calculation of such ratios. Weakening or stagnant economic conditions in Japan, the United States, China, the Eurozone and Asian countries may have a significant negative impact on Japanese companies, which in turn will cause their stock prices to decline. Japanese stock prices may fluctuate significantly and negatively in future periods, as the global economy remains volatile and investors continue to observe the changes in economic, monetary and trade policies mainly in these countries and regions. Concerns over the impact of geopolitical tensions and conflicts in various parts of the world on Japanese companies may also adversely affect stock prices in Japan. In addition, the global trend towards further reduction in risk assets could result in lower stock prices.

See “Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Business Environment” and “Item 5.B. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Investment Portfolio.”

Market Risk

Fluctuations in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and stock prices could adversely affect the value or the yield of our portfolio.

We undertake extensive financial market operations involving a variety of financial instruments, including derivatives, and hold large volumes of such financial instruments. As a result, our financial condition and results

of operations are subject to the risks relating to these operations and holdings. The primary risks are fluctuations in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and stock prices in and outside of Japan.

The aggregate carrying amount of the Japanese government and corporate bonds and foreign bonds, including U.S. Treasury bonds, that we held as of March 31, 2020 was 8.7% of our total assets. In particular, the Japanese national government and Japanese government agency bonds accounted for 7.4% of our total assets as of March 31, 2020. If market interest rates decline due to such factors as changes in the monetary policies of central banks in various jurisdictions, the yield on the Japanese government bonds and foreign government bonds that we hold may also decline. Furthermore, if short-term interest rates rise to a larger extent than long-term interest rates, our interest income may be adversely affected. If interest rates in and outside of Japan rise, we may incur significant losses on sales of, and valuation losses on, our bond portfolio. For more information on our bond portfolio, see “Selected Statistical Data—Investment Portfolio.”

Appreciation of the Japanese yen against the U.S. dollar and other major currencies causes the yen-converted value of our foreign currency-denominated investments to decline and may cause us to recognize significant losses on sales of, or valuation losses on, such investments in our financial statements. Furthermore, if stock prices decline, the value of marketable equity securities and trading account securities that we hold also declines, we may incur significant losses on sales of, and valuation losses on, our equity securities and trading account securities portfolios.

In addition, the derivative financial instruments in our trading portfolio may cause us to record significant gains or losses, when sold or marked to market, and may fluctuate from period to period due to numerous factors that are beyond our control, including interest rate levels, foreign currency exchange rates, stock price fluctuations, the credit risk of our counterparties, and general market volatility.

For information on fluctuations in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and stock prices, see “Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Business Environment.”

Our assessment and management of market risks, including those related to fluctuations in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and securities prices, may prove insufficient and, as a result, our actual losses in the future may exceed our estimated market risk exposure. See “Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Credit, Market and Other Risk—Market Risk Management.”

Funding Liquidity Risk

A downgrade of our credit ratings could adversely affect our ability to access and maintain liquidity.

Any downgrade of the credit ratings assigned to us or our debt securities by Moody's, Fitch, Standard & Poor's or any other credit rating agency could increase the cost, or decrease the availability, of our funding, particularly in U.S. dollars and other foreign currencies, adversely affect our liquidity position or net interest margin, trigger additional collateral or funding obligations, and result in losses of depositors, investors and counterparties willing or permitted to transact with us, thereby reducing our ability to generate income and weakening our financial position.

Assuming all of the relevant credit rating agencies downgraded the credit ratings of MUFG, MUFG Bank, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking and Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings as of March 31, 2020 by one-notch on the same date, we estimate that MUFG and its three main subsidiaries would have been required to provide of approximately ¥58.8 billion of additional collateral under their derivative contracts. Assuming a two-notch downgrade by all of the same credit rating agencies occurring on the same date, we estimate that the additional collateral requirements for the same MUFG group companies under their derivative contracts would have been approximately ¥87.0 billion.

Rating agencies regularly evaluate us and our major subsidiaries as well as our and their respective debt securities. Their ratings are based on a number of factors, including their assessment of the relative financial

strength of MUFG or of the relevant subsidiary, as well as conditions generally affecting the financial services industry in Japan or on a global basis, some of which are not entirely within our control. As a result of changes in their evaluation of these factors or in their rating methodologies, rating agencies may downgrade our ratings or our subsidiaries' ratings. In April 2020, Fitch downgraded the long-term credit ratings of MUFG, MUFG Bank, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking by one-notch from A to A-. In addition, in April 2020, Standard and Poor's changed the credit rating outlook for MUFG, MUFG Bank and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking from "Positive" to "Stable".

For additional information, see "Item 5.B. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Financial Condition—Sources of Funding and Liquidity."

Operational Risk

We may become subject to regulatory actions or other legal proceedings relating to our transactions or other aspects of our operations, which could result in significant financial losses, restrictions on our operations and damage to our reputation.

We conduct our business subject to ongoing regulation and associated regulatory and legal risks. Global financial institutions, including us, currently face heightened regulatory scrutiny as a result of the concerns developing in the global financial sector, and growing public pressure to demand even greater regulatory surveillance following several high-profile scandals and risk management failures in the financial industry. In the current regulatory environment, we are subject to various regulatory inquiries or investigations from time to time in connection with various aspects of our business and operations. In addition, multiple government authorities with overlapping jurisdiction more frequently conduct investigations and take other regulatory actions in coordination with one another or separately on the same or related matters. Our controls may be found insufficient in addressing regulatory or public concerns relating to money laundering, economic sanctions, bribery, corruption, financial crimes, or unfair or inappropriate business practices, or in meeting market or industry rules or standards, customer protection requirements, or corporate behavior expectations.

In November 2017, MUFG Bank agreed to the entry by the U.S. Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, or OCC, of a consent order that includes remedial terms and conditions that are substantively the same as those included in the consent agreements that MUFG Bank had reached with the New York Department of Financial Services in 2013 and 2014 pertaining to compliance with OFAC sanctions requirements. The consent order was a condition for the conversion of MUFG Bank's branches and agencies in the United States from state-licensed branches and agencies under the supervision of state regulatory agencies to federally licensed branches and agencies under the supervision of the OCC. In February 2019, MUFG Bank entered into a consent order with the OCC, relating to deficiencies identified by the OCC in the Bank Secrecy Act/Anti-Money Laundering compliance program of MUFG Bank's U.S. branches in New York, Los Angeles, and Chicago. The consent order requires MUFG Bank and its U.S. branches to implement various remedial measures to address the deficiencies found in the OCC examination, including a comprehensive action plan satisfactory to the OCC, implementation of measures to ensure effective compliance management and qualified staffing, the adoption of comprehensive Bank Secrecy Act/Anti-Money Laundering risk assessment policies and procedures, and other remedial actions. MUFG Bank is undertaking necessary actions relating to the consent orders.

We have received requests and subpoenas for information from government agencies in some jurisdictions that are conducting investigations into past submissions made by panel members, including us, to the bodies that set various interbank benchmark rates as well as investigations into foreign exchange related practices of global financial institutions. Some of the investigations into foreign exchange related practices resulted in our payment of monetary penalties to the relevant government agencies. We are cooperating with the ongoing investigations and have been conducting an internal investigation, among other things. In connection with these matters, we and other financial institutions are involved as defendants in a number of civil lawsuits, including putative class actions, in the United States.

These developments or other similar matters may result in additional regulatory actions against us or agreements to make significant additional settlement payments. These developments or other matters to which we are subject from time to time may also expose us to substantial monetary damages, legal defense costs, criminal and civil liability, and restrictions on our business operations as well as damage to our reputation. Our ability to obtain regulatory approvals for future strategic initiatives may also be adversely affected. The outcome of such matters, including the extent of the potential impact of any unfavorable outcome on our financial results, however, is inherently uncertain and difficult to predict. The extent of financial, human and other resources required to conduct any investigations or to implement any corrective or preventive measures is similarly uncertain and could be significant. Such resources may also be difficult for us to secure in a timely manner.

Failure to safeguard personal and other confidential information may result in liability, reputational damage or financial losses.

As our operations expand in volume, complexity and geographic scope, we are exposed to increased risk of confidential information in our possession being lost, leaked, altered or falsified as a result of human or system error, misconduct, unlawful behavior or scheme, unauthorized access or natural or human-caused disasters. Our information systems and information management policies and procedures may not be sufficient to safeguard confidential information against such risks.

As a financial institution in possession of customer information, we are obligated to treat personal and other confidential information as required by the Act on the Protection of Personal Information, the Act on the Use of Personal Identification Numbers in the Administration of Government Affairs, the Banking Law and the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act of Japan, as well as other similar laws and regulations of other jurisdictions in which we operate. In the event that personal information in our possession about our customers or employees is leaked or improperly accessed and subsequently misused, we may be subject to liability and regulatory action. We may have to provide compensation for economic loss and emotional distress arising out of a failure to protect such information. In addition, such incidents could create a negative public perception of our operations, systems or brand, which may in turn decrease customer and market confidence and materially and adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition.

Moreover, any loss, leakage, alteration or falsification of confidential information, or any malfunction or failure of our information systems, may result in significant disruptions to our business operations or plans or may require us to incur significant financial, human and other resources to implement corrective measures or enhance our information systems and information management policies and procedures.

Our operations are highly dependent on our information, communications and transaction management systems and are subject to an increasing risk of cyber-attacks and other information security threats and to changes in the business and regulatory environment.

Our information, communications and transaction management systems, which include not only our own proprietary systems but also those third-party systems that are provided for our use or to which our systems are connected, constitute a core infrastructure for our operations. The proper functioning of our information, communications and transaction management systems is critical to our ability to efficiently and accurately process a large volume of transactions, ensure adequate internal controls, appropriately manage various risks, and otherwise service our clients and customers, particularly in the current business environment with increasing dependence on remote or online networks and our strategy to promote digitization.

Cyber-attacks, unauthorized access and computer viruses are becoming increasingly more sophisticated and more difficult to predict, detect and prevent. For instance, bank internal financial transaction systems or automatic teller machines may become the target of cyber-attacks for monetary gain, and bank internal information systems may become the target of confidential information theft. In addition, banks' websites or customer internet banking systems may become the target of cyber-attacks for political and other purposes. These cyber threats, as well as our failure to appropriately and timely anticipate and deal with changes associated with

technological advances and new systems and tools introduced in response to industry, regulatory and other developments, could cause disruptions to, and malfunctions of, information, communications and transaction management systems and result in fraud or other misconduct, unintended releases of confidential and proprietary information stored in or transmitted through the systems, interruptions in the operations of our clients, customers, counterparties and service providers, and deterioration in our ability to service our clients and customers. In addition, our banking and other transaction management systems may not meet all applicable business and regulatory requirements in an environment where such requirements are becoming increasingly sophisticated and complicated. Furthermore, our system development or improvement projects, many of which are critical to our ability to operate in accordance with market and regulatory standards, may not be completed as planned due to the complexity and other difficulty relating to such projects. These consequences could result in financial losses, including costs and expenses incurred in connection with countermeasures and improvements as well as compensation to affected parties, lead to regulatory actions, diminish our clients' and customers' satisfaction with and confidence in us, and harm our reputation in the market, which could in turn adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. Moreover, significant financial, human and other resources may be required to design, implement and enhance measures to manage cyber and information security risks and comply with regulatory requirements.

Transactions with counterparties in countries designated by the U.S. Department of State as state sponsors of terrorism may lead some potential customers and investors in the United States and other countries to avoid doing business with us or investing in our shares.

We, through our subsidiaries, engage in limited business activities with entities in or affiliated with Iran, including transactions with counterparties owned or controlled by the Iranian government, and our commercial banking subsidiary has a representative office in Iran for information gathering purposes only. The U.S. Department of State has designated Iran and other countries as "state sponsors of terrorism," and U.S. law generally prohibits U.S. persons from doing business with such countries. We currently have limited business activities conducted with entities in or affiliated with such countries. Such business activities are conducted in accordance with our policies and procedures designed to ensure compliance with regulations applicable in the jurisdictions in which we operate and with exemptions and general licenses available under U.S. law.

We have transactions with counterparties in or affiliated with countries designated as state sponsors of terrorism which consist of receiving deposits or holding assets on behalf of individuals residing in Japan who are citizens of countries designated as state sponsors of terrorism and processing payments to or from entities in or affiliated with these countries on behalf of our customers. These transactions do not have a material impact on our business or financial condition. For a further discussion of transactions required to be disclosed under the U.S. Iran Threat Reduction and Syria Human Rights Act of 2012, see "Item 4.B. Information on the Company—Business Overview—Supervision and Regulation—United States—Disclosure pursuant to Section 13(r) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934."

We are aware of initiatives by U.S. governmental entities and non-government entities, including institutional investors such as pension funds, to adopt or consider adopting laws, regulations or policies prohibiting transactions with or investment in, or requiring divestment from, entities doing business with Iran and other countries identified as state sponsors of terrorism. It is possible that such initiatives may result in our being unable to gain or retain entities subject to such prohibitions as customers, counter-parties or investors in our shares. In addition, depending on socio-political developments, our reputation may suffer due to our transactions with counterparties in or affiliated with these countries. The above circumstances could have an adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

Global financial institutions, including us, have become subject to an increasingly complex set of sanctions laws and regulations in recent years, and this regulatory environment is expected to continue. Moreover, the measures proposed or adopted vary across the major jurisdictions, increasing the cost and resources necessary to design and implement an appropriate global compliance program. The U.S. federal government and some state

governments in the United States have enacted legislation designed to limit economic and financial transactions with Iran by limiting the ability of financial institutions that may have engaged in any one of a broad range of activities related to Iran to conduct various transactions in the relevant jurisdictions. In addition, in May 2018, the United States withdrew from participation in the Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action. Under subsequently issued executive orders, the United States may impose secondary sanctions against non-U.S. persons who engage in or facilitate a broad range of transactions and activities involving Iran. The Japanese government has also implemented a series of measures under the Foreign Exchange and Foreign Trade Act, such as freezing the assets of persons involved in Iran's sensitive nuclear activities and development of nuclear weapon delivery systems, and our most recently modified policies and procedures take into account the current Japanese regulatory requirements. We continue to implement measures to enhance our policies and procedures to comply with such legislative and regulatory requirements. There remains a risk of potential regulatory action against us, however, if regulators perceive the modified policies and procedures not to be in compliance with applicable legislation and regulations.

Legal and regulatory changes could have a negative impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

As a global financial services provider, our business is subject to ongoing changes in laws, regulations, rules, policies, accounting standards or methods, voluntary codes of practice and interpretations in Japan and other markets where we operate. Major global financial institutions currently face an increasingly stricter set of laws, regulations and standards as a result of the concerns enveloping the global financial sector. There is also growing political pressure to demand even greater internal compliance and risk management systems following several high-profile scandals and risk management failures in the financial industry. See "Item 4.B. Information on the Company—Business Overview—Supervision and Regulation."

Future developments or changes in laws, regulations, rules, policies, accounting standards or methods, voluntary codes of practice and their effects are expected to require greater capital, human and technological resources as well as significant management attention, and may require us to modify our business strategies and plans. We may be unable to enhance our compliance management programs and systems, which, in some cases, are supported by third-party service providers, as required or planned. Our failure or inability to comply fully with applicable laws and regulations may lead to penalties, fines, public reprimands, damage to reputation, issuance of business improvement and other administrative orders, enforced suspension of operations, our ability to obtain regulatory approvals for future strategic initiatives or, in extreme cases, withdrawal of authorization to operate, adversely affecting our business and results of operations.

Because of our loans to consumers and our shareholdings in companies engaged in consumer lending, changes in the business or regulatory environment for consumer finance companies in Japan may further adversely affect our financial results.

We have a large loan portfolio in the consumer lending industry as well as large shareholdings in subsidiaries and equity method investees in the consumer finance industry. Our domestic loans to consumers amount to approximately one-seventh of our total outstanding loans. Of this amount, the consumer loans provided by Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS, Co., Ltd., which is our primary consumer financing subsidiary, were ¥565.6 billion as of March 31, 2020, compared to ¥578.5 billion as of March 31, 2019.

Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS's consumer loan portfolio has been adversely affected by a series of regulatory reforms that were introduced in Japan between 2006 and 2010, which have negatively affected the domestic consumer lending industry. In December 2006, the Japanese Diet passed legislation to reform the regulations relating to the consumer lending business, including amendments to the Act Regulating the Receipt of Contributions, the Receipt of Deposits, and Interest Rates, which, effective June 18, 2010, reduced the maximum permissible interest rate from 29.2% per annum to 20% per annum. The regulatory reforms also included amendments to the Money Lending Business Act, which, effective June 18, 2010, abolished the so-called "gray-

zone interest.” Gray-zone interest refers to interest rates exceeding the limits stipulated by the Interest Rate Restriction Act (between 15% per annum to 20% per annum depending on the amount of principal). Prior to June 18, 2010, gray-zone interest was permitted under certain conditions set forth in the Money Lending Business Act. As a result of the regulatory reforms, all interest rates are now subject to the lower limits imposed by the Interest Rate Restriction Act, compelling lending institutions, including our consumer finance subsidiaries and equity method investees, to lower the interest rates they charge borrowers.

In addition, as a result of decisions by the Supreme Court of Japan prior to June 18, 2010 imposing stringent requirements under the Money Lending Business Act for charging gray-zone interest rates, consumer finance companies have experienced a significant increase in borrowers’ claims for reimbursement of previously collected interest payments in excess of the limits stipulated by the Interest Rate Restriction Act.

Following the various legal developments in June 2010 and other industry developments, Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS revised its estimate of allowance for repayment of excess interest by updating management’s future forecast to reflect new reimbursement claims information and other data. As of March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020, we had ¥23.7 billion, ¥25.0 billion and ¥29.4 billion of allowance for repayment of excess interest, respectively.

These developments have adversely affected, and these and any future developments may further adversely affect, the operations and financial condition of our subsidiaries, equity method investees and borrowers which are engaged in consumer lending, which in turn may affect the value of our related shareholdings and loan portfolio.

Damage to our reputation could harm our businesses.

We are one of the leading financial institutions in Japan and one of the handful G-SIBs in the world, and we aim to be the world’s most trusted financial group. Our ability to conduct business is indispensably dependent on the trust and confidence of our customers, as well as regional and global communities. Our reputation is critical in maintaining our relationships with customers, investors, regulators and the general public. Our reputation may be damaged by their negative perceptions of us and our operations in light of their concerns over human rights, the environment, public health and safety, or other corporate social responsibilities, or by our transactions or operations if they are deemed repugnant to the intent and policy underlying applicable laws and regulations such as anti-money laundering, economic sanctions and competition laws as well as the prohibition on dealing with anti-social forces. Failure to prevent or properly address these issues may result in impairment of our corporate brand, loss of our existing or prospective customers or investors, or increased public or regulatory scrutiny, and may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Risks Related to Owning Our Shares

It may not be possible for investors to effect service of process within the United States upon us or our directors or management members, or to enforce against us or those persons judgments obtained in U.S. courts predicated upon the civil liability provisions of the U.S. federal or state securities laws.

We are a joint stock company incorporated under the laws of Japan. Almost all of our directors or management members reside outside the United States. Many of our assets and the assets of these persons are located in Japan and elsewhere outside the United States. It may not be possible, therefore, for U.S. investors to effect service of process within the United States upon us or these persons or to enforce, against us or these persons, judgments obtained in the U.S. courts predicated upon the civil liability provisions of the U.S. federal or state securities laws.

We believe there is doubt as to the enforceability in Japan, in original actions or in actions brought in Japanese courts to enforce judgments of U.S. courts, of claims predicated solely upon the U.S. federal or state securities laws mainly because the Civil Execution Act of Japan requires Japanese courts to deny requests for the

enforcement of judgments of foreign courts if foreign judgments fail to satisfy the requirements prescribed by the Civil Execution Act, including:

- the jurisdiction of the foreign court be recognized under laws, regulations, treaties or conventions;
- proper service of process be made on relevant defendants, or relevant defendants be given appropriate protection if such service is not received;
- the judgment and proceedings of the foreign court not be repugnant to public policy as applied in Japan; and
- there exist reciprocity as to the recognition by a court of the relevant foreign jurisdiction of a final judgment of a Japanese court.

Judgments obtained in the U.S. courts predicated upon the civil liability provisions of the U.S. federal or state securities laws may not satisfy these requirements.

Risks Related to Owning Our American Depositary Shares

As a holder of American Depositary Shares, you have fewer rights than a shareholder of record in our shareholder register since you must act through the depositary to exercise these rights.

The rights of our shareholders under Japanese law to take actions such as voting, receiving dividends and distributions, bringing derivative actions, examining our accounting books and records and exercising appraisal rights are available only to shareholders of record. Because the depositary, through its custodian, is the record holder of the shares underlying the American Depositary Shares, or ADSs, only the depositary can exercise shareholder rights relating to the deposited shares. ADS holders, in their capacity, will not be able to directly bring a derivative action, examine our accounting books and records and exercise appraisal rights. We have appointed The Bank of New York Mellon as depositary, and we have the authority to replace the depositary.

Pursuant to the deposit agreement among us, the depositary and a holder of ADSs, the depositary will make efforts to exercise voting or any other rights associated with shares underlying ADSs in accordance with the instructions given by ADS holders, and to pay to ADS holders dividends and distributions collected from us. However, the depositary can exercise reasonable discretion in carrying out the instructions or making distributions, and is not liable for failure to do so as long as it has acted in good faith. Therefore, ADS holders may not be able to exercise voting or any other rights in the manner that they had intended, or may lose some or all of the value of the dividends or the distributions. Moreover, the depositary agreement that governs the obligations of the depositary may be amended or terminated by us and the depositary without ADS holders' consent, notice, or any reason. As a result, ADS holders may be prevented from having the rights in connection with the deposited shares exercised in the way ADS holders had wished or at all.

ADS holders are dependent on the depositary to receive our communications. We send to the depositary all of our communications to ADS holders, including annual reports, notices and voting materials, in Japanese. ADS holders may not receive all of our communications with shareholders of record in our shareholder register in the same manner or on an equal basis. In addition, ADS holders may not be able to exercise their rights as ADS holders due to delays in the depositary transmitting our shareholder communications to ADS holders. For a detailed discussion of the rights of ADS holders and the terms of the deposit agreement, see Exhibit 2(c) to this Annual Report.

Item 4. Information on the Company.

A. History and Development of the Company

Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc.

MUFG is a bank holding company incorporated as a joint stock company (*kabushiki kaisha*) under the Companies Act of Japan. We are the holding company for MUFG Bank, Ltd. (formerly, The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd.), Mitsubishu UFJ Trust and Banking Corporation, Mitsubishu UFJ Securities Holdings Co., Ltd., Mitsubishu UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities Co., Ltd., Mitsubishu UFJ NICOS Co., Ltd., and other companies engaged in a wide range of financial businesses.

On April 2, 2001, The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishu, Ltd., Mitsubishu Trust and Banking Corporation, or Mitsubishu Trust Bank, and Nippon Trust and Banking Co., Ltd. established Mitsubishu Tokyo Financial Group, Inc., or MTFG, to be a holding company for the three entities. Before that, each of the banks had been a publicly traded company. On April 2, 2001, through a stock-for-stock exchange, they became wholly-owned subsidiaries of MTFG, and the former shareholders of the three banks became shareholders of MTFG. Nippon Trust and Banking was later merged into Mitsubishu Trust Bank.

On June 29, 2005, the merger agreement between MTFG and UFJ Holdings, Inc. was approved at the general shareholders meetings of MTFG and UFJ Holdings. As the surviving entity, MTFG was renamed "Mitsubishu UFJ Financial Group, Inc." The merger of the two bank holding companies was completed on October 1, 2005.

On September 30, 2007, Mitsubishu UFJ Securities Holdings, which was then called "Mitsubishu UFJ Securities Co., Ltd." or MUS, became our wholly-owned subsidiary through a share exchange transaction.

On October 13, 2008, we formed a global strategic alliance with Morgan Stanley and, as part of the alliance, made an equity investment in Morgan Stanley in the form of convertible and non-convertible preferred stock, and subsequently appointed a representative to Morgan Stanley's board of directors.

On October 21, 2008, we completed a tender offer for outstanding shares of ACOM CO., LTD. common stock, raising our ownership in ACOM to approximately 40%.

On November 4, 2008, Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishu UFJ completed the acquisition of all of the shares of common stock of UnionBankCal Corporation, or UNBC, not previously owned by Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishu UFJ and, as a result, UNBC became a wholly-owned indirect subsidiary of MUFG.

On May 1, 2010, we and Morgan Stanley integrated our securities and investment banking businesses in Japan into two joint venture securities companies, one of which is Mitsubishu UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities. Mitsubishu UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities was created by spinning off the wholesale and retail securities businesses conducted in Japan from Mitsubishu UFJ Securities Holdings and subsequently assuming certain operations in Japan from a subsidiary of Morgan Stanley.

On June 30, 2011, we converted all of our Morgan Stanley's convertible preferred stock into Morgan Stanley's common stock, resulting in our holding approximately 22.4% of the voting rights in Morgan Stanley. Further, we appointed a second representative to Morgan Stanley's board of directors on July 20, 2011. Following the conversion on June 30, 2011, Morgan Stanley became our equity-method affiliate. As of March 31, 2020, we held approximately 23.9% of the voting rights in Morgan Stanley and had two representatives appointed to Morgan Stanley's board of directors. We and Morgan Stanley continue to pursue a variety of business opportunities in Japan and abroad in accordance with the global strategic alliance.

On December 18, 2013, we acquired approximately 72.0% of the total outstanding shares of Krungsri through Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishu UFJ. As a result of the transaction, Krungsri has become a consolidated subsidiary of Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishu UFJ.

On July 1, 2014, we integrated Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ's operations in the Americas region with UNBC's operations, and changed UNBC's corporate name to "MUFG Americas Holdings Corporation." On the same day, Union Bank, N.A., which is MUFG Americas Holdings' principal subsidiary and our primary operating subsidiary in the United States, was also renamed "MUFG Union Bank, N.A.." On July 1, 2016, MUFG Americas Holdings was designated as our U.S. intermediate holding company to comply with the FRB's enhanced prudential standards.

On January 5, 2015, Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ integrated its Bangkok branch with Krungsri through a contribution in kind of the Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ Bangkok branch business to Krungsri, and Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ received newly issued shares of Krungsri common stock. As a result of this transaction, Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ's ownership interest in Krungsri increased to 76.9%.

On October 1, 2017, we acquired all of the shares of common stock of Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS which we did not previously own and, as a result, Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS became a wholly-owned subsidiary of MUFG.

On December 29, 2017, Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ initially acquired 19.9% of the shares of common stock of PT Bank Danamon Indonesia, Tbk. On May 1, 2019, MUFG Bank, Ltd. completed a series of transactions to increase its ownership interest in Bank Danamon to 94.1%, as a result of which Bank Danamon became MUFG Bank's consolidated subsidiary.

On April 1, 2018, we changed Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ's corporate name to "MUFG Bank, Ltd."

On April 16, 2018, we transferred Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking's corporate loan-related businesses to MUFG Bank. The corporate loan-related businesses include the corporate loan, project finance and real estate finance businesses, and any related foreign exchange and remittance services, but do not include pension-related services, the corporate agency business, or the real estate-related businesses.

On August 2, 2019, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking completed its acquisition of 100% of the shares in each of nine subsidiaries of Colonial First State Group Limited which collectively represent the global asset management business known as Colonial First State Global Asset Management, or CFSGAM, from Australian financial group Commonwealth Bank of Australia and its wholly-owned subsidiary Colonial First State Group Limited. As a result of the acquisition, the nine subsidiaries became our consolidated subsidiaries. In September 2019, CFSGAM was rebranded as First Sentier Investors.

On November 18, 2019, MUFG Bank completed its acquisition from DVB Bank SE in Germany of DVB Bank's aviation finance lending portfolio, employees and related operating infrastructure, based on an agreement entered into among the two banks and BOT Lease Co., Ltd., a consolidated subsidiary of MUFG Bank, in March 2019. In addition, under this agreement, MUFG Bank and BOT Lease are expected to acquire DVB Bank's aviation investment management and asset management businesses during the first half of the fiscal year ending March 31, 2021, subject to applicable regulatory approvals and certain other conditions.

Our registered address is 7-1, Marunouchi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8330, Japan, and our telephone number is 81-3-3240-8111.

For a discussion of recent developments, see "Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Recent Developments."

MUFG Bank, Ltd.

MUFG Bank is a major commercial banking organization in Japan that provides a broad range of domestic and international banking services from its offices in Japan and around the world. MUFG Bank's registered head

office is located at 7-1, Marunouchi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8388, Japan, and its telephone number is 81-3-3240-1111. MUFG Bank is a joint stock company (*kabushiki kaisha*) incorporated in Japan under the Companies Act. The bank changed its name to MUFG Bank, Ltd. from The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd. as of April 1, 2018.

MUFG Bank was formed through the merger, on January 1, 2006, of Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi and UFJ Bank Limited after their respective parent companies, MTFG and UFJ Holdings, merged to form MUFG on October 1, 2005.

Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi was formed through the merger, on April 1, 1996, of The Mitsubishi Bank, Limited and The Bank of Tokyo, Ltd.

The origins of Mitsubishi Bank can be traced to the Mitsubishi Exchange Office, a money exchange house established in 1880 by Yataro Iwasaki, the founder of the Mitsubishi industrial, commercial and financial group. In 1895, the Mitsubishi Exchange Office was succeeded by the Banking Division of the Mitsubishi Goshi Kaisha, the holding company of the “Mitsubishi group” of companies. Mitsubishi Bank had been a principal bank to many of the Mitsubishi group companies but broadened its relationships to cover a wide range of Japanese industries, small and medium-sized companies and individuals.

Bank of Tokyo was established in 1946 as a successor to The Yokohama Specie Bank, Ltd., a special foreign exchange bank established in 1880. When the government of Japan promulgated the Foreign Exchange Bank Law in 1954, Bank of Tokyo became the only bank licensed under that law. Because of its license, Bank of Tokyo received special consideration from the Ministry of Finance in establishing its offices abroad and in many other aspects relating to foreign exchange and international finance.

UFJ Bank was formed through the merger, on January 15, 2002, of The Sanwa Bank, Limited and The Tokai Bank, Limited.

Sanwa Bank was established in 1933 when the three Osaka-based banks, the Konoike Bank, the Yamaguchi Bank, and the Sanjyushi Bank merged. Sanwa Bank was known as a city bank having the longest history in Japan, since the foundation of Konoike Bank can be traced back to the Konoike Exchange Office established in 1656. The origin of Yamaguchi Bank was also a money exchange house, established in 1863. Sanjyushi Bank was founded by influential fiber wholesalers in 1878. The corporate philosophy of Sanwa Bank had been the creation of premier banking services especially for small and medium-sized companies and individuals.

Tokai Bank was established in 1941 when the three Nagoya-based banks, the Aichi Bank, the Ito Bank, and the Nagoya Bank merged. In 1896, Aichi Bank took over businesses of the Jyuichi Bank established by wholesalers in 1877 and the Hyakusanjyushi Bank established in 1878. Ito Bank and Nagoya Bank were established in 1881 and 1882, respectively. Tokai Bank had expanded the commercial banking business to contribute to economic growth mainly of the Chubu area in Japan, which is known for its manufacturing industries, especially automobiles.

Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking Corporation

Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking is a major trust bank in Japan, providing trust and banking services to meet the financing and investment needs of clients in Japan and the rest of Asia, as well as in the United States and Europe. Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking’s registered head office is located at 4-5, Marunouchi 1-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8212, Japan, and its telephone number is 81-3-3212-1211. Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking is a joint stock company (*kabushiki kaisha*) incorporated in Japan under the Companies Act.

Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking was formed on October 1, 2005 through the merger of Mitsubishi Trust Bank and UFJ Trust Bank Limited. As the surviving entity, Mitsubishi Trust Bank was renamed “Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking Corporation.”

Mitsubishi Trust Bank traces its history to The Mitsubishi Trust Company, Limited, which was founded by the leading members of the Mitsubishi group companies in 1927. The Japanese banking and financial industry was reconstructed after World War II and, in 1948, Mitsubishi Trust Bank was authorized to engage in the commercial banking business, in addition to its trust business, under the new name Asahi Trust & Banking Corporation. In 1952, the bank changed its name again to “The Mitsubishi Trust and Banking Corporation.”

Nippon Trust and Banking and The Tokyo Trust Bank, Ltd., which were previously subsidiaries of Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi, was merged into Mitsubishi Trust Bank on October 1, 2001.

UFJ Trust Bank was founded in 1959 as The Toyo Trust & Banking Company, Limited, or Toyo Trust Bank. The Sanwa Trust & Banking Company, Limited, which was a subsidiary of Sanwa Bank, was merged into Toyo Trust Bank on October 1, 1999. The Tokai Trust & Banking Company, Limited, which was a subsidiary of Tokai Bank, was merged into Toyo Trust Bank on July 1, 2001. Toyo Trust Bank was renamed “UFJ Trust Bank Limited” on January 15, 2002.

Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings Co., Ltd.

Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings is a wholly-owned subsidiary of MUFG. Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings functions as an intermediate holding company of MUFG’s global securities and investment banking businesses. Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings’ registered head office is located at 5-2, Marunouchi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-0005, Japan, and its telephone number is 81-3-6213-2550. Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings is a joint stock company (*kabushiki kaisha*) incorporated in Japan under the Companies Act. Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings has major overseas operating entities in London, New York, Hong Kong and Amsterdam.

In April 2010, Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings, which was previously called “Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Co., Ltd.,” or MUS, became an intermediate holding company by spinning off its securities and investment banking business operations to a wholly-owned operating subsidiary established in December 2009, currently Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities Co., Ltd. Upon the consummation of the corporate spin-off transaction, the intermediate holding company was renamed “Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings Co., Ltd.” and the operating subsidiary was renamed “Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Co., Ltd.” The operating subsidiary was subsequently renamed Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities in May 2010 upon integration of our securities operations in Japan with those of Morgan Stanley.

MUS was formed through the merger between Mitsubishi Securities Co., Ltd. and UFJ Tsubasa Securities Co., Ltd. on October 1, 2005, with Mitsubishi Securities being the surviving entity. The surviving entity was renamed “Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Co., Ltd.” and, in September 2007, became our wholly-owned subsidiary through a share exchange transaction.

Mitsubishi Securities was formed in September 2002 through the merger of Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi’s securities subsidiaries and affiliate, KOKUSAI Securities Co., Ltd., Tokyo-Mitsubishi Securities Co., Ltd. and Tokyo-Mitsubishi Personal Securities Co., Ltd., and Mitsubishi Trust Bank’s securities affiliate, Issei Securities Co., Ltd. In July 2005, MTFG made Mitsubishi Securities a directly-held subsidiary by acquiring all of the shares of Mitsubishi Securities common stock held by Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi and Mitsubishi Trust Bank.

Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities Co., Ltd.

Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities is our core securities and investment banking subsidiary. Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities was created in May 2010 as one of the two Japanese joint venture securities companies between Morgan Stanley and us as part of our global strategic alliance. Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities succeeded to the investment banking operations conducted in Japan by a subsidiary of Morgan Stanley and the wholesale and retail securities businesses conducted in Japan by MUS. MUFG, through

Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings, holds 60% voting and economic interests in Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities. Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities' registered head office is located at 5-2 Marunouchi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, 100-0005 Japan, and its telephone number is 81-3-6213-8500. Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities is a joint stock company (*kabushiki kaisha*) incorporated in Japan under the Companies Act. For more information on our joint venture securities companies, see “—B. Business Overview—Global Strategic Alliance with Morgan Stanley.”

In April 2019, Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities and Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley PB Securities Co., Ltd., a subsidiary of Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities, agreed on a merger whereby Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities will be the surviving company. The merger is currently expected to be completed on August 1, 2020. For more information, see “Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Recent Developments.”

Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS Co., Ltd.

Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS is a major credit card company in Japan that issues credit cards, including those issued under the MUFG, NICOS and DC brands, and provides a broad range of credit card and other related services for its card members in Japan. Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS is a consolidated subsidiary of MUFG. Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS's registered head office is located at 33-5, Hongo 3-chome, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8411, Japan, and its telephone number is 81-3-3811-3111. Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS is a joint stock company (*kabushiki kaisha*) incorporated in Japan under the Companies Act.

On August 1, 2008, Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS became a wholly-owned subsidiary of MUFG through a share exchange transaction. On the same day, we entered into a share transfer agreement with Norinchukin Bank under which we sold some of our shares of Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS common stock to Norinchukin Bank. In March 2011, we and Norinchukin Bank made additional equity investments in Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS in proportion to our and Norinchukin Bank's respective beneficial ownership of approximately 85% and 15%, respectively. On October 1, 2017, MUFG acquired all of Norinchukin Bank's ownership interest in Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS and, as a result, Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS is currently a wholly-owned subsidiary of MUFG.

Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS was formed through the merger, on April 1, 2007, of UFJ NICOS Co., Ltd. and DC Card Co., Ltd. As the surviving entity, UFJ NICOS Co., Ltd. was renamed “Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS Co., Ltd.”

UFJ NICOS was formed through the merger, on October 1, 2005, of Nippon Shinpan Co., Ltd. and UFJ Card Co., Ltd. Originally founded in 1951 and listed on the Tokyo Stock Exchange in 1961, Nippon Shinpan was a leading company in the consumer credit business in Japan. Nippon Shinpan became a subsidiary of MUFG at the time of the merger with UFJ Card.

Prior to the merger between MTFG and UFJ Holdings in October 2005, DC Card was a subsidiary of MTFG while UFJ Card was a subsidiary of UFJ Holdings.

B. Business Overview

We are one of the world's largest and most diversified financial groups with total assets of ¥331.75 trillion as of March 31, 2020. The Group is comprised of MUFG Bank, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking, Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities (through Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings), Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS and other subsidiaries and affiliates, for which we are the holding company. As a bank holding company, we are regulated under the Banking Law of Japan. Our services include commercial banking, trust banking, securities, credit cards, consumer finance, asset management, leasing and many more fields of financial services. In Japan, we operate through approximately 600 business locations as of March 31, 2020. In addition, as of the same date, the Group had the largest overseas network among Japanese banks, consisting of approximately 2,100 business locations in more than 50 countries, including MUFG Union Bank in the United States, Krungsri in Thailand and Bank Danamon in Indonesia.

MUFG's role as the holding company is to strategically manage and coordinate the activities of our business groups. Group-wide strategies are determined by the holding company and executed by our subsidiaries.

In May 2017, we announced "MUFG Re-Imaging Initiative" which was designed to achieve sustainable growth and enhance our corporate value through various measures, including an integrated group-based management approach and digitization and other technological enhancements. The measures also included realignment of the functions of our subsidiaries in an effort to increase effectiveness in accumulating and applying the expertise within the Group and to enhance efficiency in offering and providing a diverse array of sophisticated financial products and services to customers through collaboration among our subsidiaries. In May 2017, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking acquired MUFG Bank's equity interest in Mitsubishi UFJ Investor Services & Banking (Luxembourg) S.A to make the Luxembourg company its wholly owned subsidiary. In April 2018, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking acquired MUFG Bank's equity interest and Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings' equity interest in Mitsubishi UFJ Kokusai Asset Management Co., Ltd. to make the asset management company its wholly owned subsidiary. As a result, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking operates as the Group's primary asset management and administration subsidiary. In April 2018, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking transferred its corporate loan-related businesses to MUFG Bank as part of an initiative to focus the corporate loan-related businesses within the Group at MUFG Bank. In addition, in April 2019, Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities and Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley PB Securities Co., Ltd. agreed on a merger, whereby Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities will be the surviving company, with an aim to strengthen our wealth management business.

In May 2018, we announced our new medium-term business plan for the three-year period ending March 31, 2021, which is discussed below in this Item 4.B. As part of our new medium-term business plan, we have reorganized our business groups in an effort to further integrate the expertise and capabilities of our subsidiaries to respond to the needs of our customers more effectively and efficiently.

Medium-Term Business Plan

Basic Company Policy

Under the current medium-term business plan for the three-year period ending March 31, 2021, we aim to deliver optimal value to all of our stakeholders through simple, speedy and transparent group-integrated operations.

We are seeking to improve our group management approach by shifting from our previous group collaboration and group-driven management approach to a new integrated group-based management approach. Specifically, in an effort to respond to constantly changing customer needs in an appropriate manner, we have reorganized our business groups into new customer-based business groups as discussed below. At the same time, we are seeking to clarify the roles of group companies through functional realignment, product and service quality enhancement as well as solutions capability improvement.

The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic is rippling through the real economy. COVID-19 is expected to have a long-term impact and irreversible effects on the structure of society, including global values and the behavior patterns of customers. Prior to the pandemic, we worked to identify the changes that had manifested in the social environment before the pandemic. To address the changes, we adopted the new integrated group-based management approach, leveraged digital strategies to promote the core framework of structural reforms, completed transactions designed to benefit from future overseas growth and implemented other strategies. Going forward, we believe it will be necessary to focus on trends such as the digital shift in society, the rising awareness of solving social issues and social contributions, the changes and diversification of work styles and values, and the creation of new supply chains, in addition to the changes to the social environment we identified and began to address prior to the pandemic. In particular, we believe the digital shift in society presents significant opportunities for redefining and refining our vision on MUFG's role in society. We will work to implement corporate operation reforms, including customer contact points and employee work styles. Furthermore, we aim to contribute to sustainable growth of the society by providing solutions to social issues. By integrating our social issue solutions and management strategies, we will strive to achieve sustainable growth for MUFG. Based on these new measures, we will promote the digitalization of transaction contact points and work styles, seek to achieve sound business performance (with a focus on robustness and resilience in our operations), and promote an engagement-focused management approach that is attractive to employees and fosters greater motivation for employee participation.

MUFG's Vision ~ Our Corporate Vision Beyond "Re-Imagining" Initiative

We aim to deliver the best value to all stakeholders through simple, speedy and transparent* group-integrated operations. Also, we will contribute to the realization of sustainable growth and a better society by promoting solution-oriented business.

- (1) Engage in the needs and issues of customers and society, and provide optimized solutions.
- (2) Redefine ideal legal entities and the group, and develop a sustainable business model unique to MUFG.
- (3) Provide a workplace where each employee can realize his or her talent development.
- (4) Based on the results achieved above, respond to shareholders' expectations and enhance a reliable relationship with them.

* Transparent: universal, barrier-free open personnel communications between legal entities, and between company branches and the Head Office, regardless of title and position. It also implies an understanding of MUFG corporate vision.

Group Business Strategy

Under our current medium-term business plan, we are implementing "Eleven Transformation Initiatives"—specific strategic initiatives designed to enable us to cope with adverse changes in the domestic or overseas business environment and to achieve sustainable growth. Each initiative constitutes a pillar for our strategy involving business operations that (1) have large growth potential, (2) allow us to expand on our group capabilities to the fullest extent, and, (3) are expected to grow as a core business of the group or a foundation for such a business. Our group operating companies, business groups and corporate center functions will collaborate on the implementation of these initiatives with an aim to improve our profitability.

Additionally, we have established a new business group focused on retail and small and medium-sized enterprise banking businesses outside of Japan with the goal to effectively capture the market growth in the

United States and Southeast Asia. Under our previous medium-term business plan, we took strategic steps towards building a business platform in South East Asia through the expansion of Krungsri's business in Thailand and our strategic investments in Security Bank in the Philippines and Bank Danamon in Indonesia. We seek to enhance the enterprise value of each of MUFG Union Bank in the United States and our other major local commercial banking subsidiaries and affiliates that are our partner banks, including VietinBank in Vietnam and other banks in South East Asia, as well as our Japanese banking subsidiaries through synergies expected to be achieved by sharing and deploying across these banks their respective strengths and expertise.

Taking the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic into consideration, we will implement core strategies based on our enhanced management policy. These core strategies include (1) digitalization of our domestic retail business, taking the digital shift in society as an opportunity, (2) restructuring of our global strategy through identification of the growth potentials and strengths of each region, optimal allocation of management resources, and collaboration with Grab, which provides various digital financial and non-financial services in Southeast Asia and into which we agreed to invest up to \$706 million, or ¥78.3 billion, to take on the challenges of next-generation financial services, (3) implementation of further operational foundation and process reforms, including streamlining of administrative work by switching to paperless methods, and (4) creation of a work environment and operational infrastructure that reflect and support the diverse values of employees and work style reforms. Although the progress on some of our measures may be delayed due to the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic and further developments relating to the pandemic, we will carefully assess the extent of the impact on such measures. See "Item 3.D. Key Information—Risk Factors—Risks Related to Our Business Environment."

Eleven Transformation Initiatives

(1) Digitalization Strategy

Enhanced use of digital technologies is a critical part of our overall transformation strategy, and we intend to develop and implement a wide range of measures to enhance our digital technology use to improve top-line performance and operational efficiency. We seek to improve our marketing and consulting capabilities through the use of big data, to increase the efficiency of the front-office operations at branches through an overhaul of our online banking system for corporate customers, and to enhance our productivity through migration to digital channels for the housing loan business and expanded use of robotics and artificial intelligence.

(2) Channel Strategy and Business Process Re-engineering (BPR)

We strive to enhance customer user interface, or the usability of our systems for customers, and user experience, or the experience of service recipients, while improving our productivity. We aim to achieve both of these goals through full utilization of digital technologies and business process re-engineering, or an overhaul of business operations through review and analysis of all existing business activities and work processes. We seek to advance our overall user channels combining Internet-based and physical branch channels by improving the usability of our Internet-based channels for transactions so as to increase customer use while establishing specialty bank branches called "MUFG NEXT," streamlining our branch network and converting branches into integrated branches that offer services of MUFG Bank, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking, and Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities at a single location.

(3) Wealth Management Strategy

We are pursuing a business structure focused on fee-based businesses to achieve stable profits by servicing the rising customer needs for asset management and administration services and inheritance services in Japan's aging society with a declining birthrate through a collaboration between the corporate and retail units and through a group-based integrated approach. We seek to establish a business model where teams of professionals from MUFG Bank, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking, and Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities will take the lead in providing various solutions at a one-stop location.

(4) Enhancement of Relationship Manager & Product Office (RM-PO) Model for Corporate Marketing

We seek to provide solutions optimized to meet customer needs by integrating the corporate lending operations of MUFG Bank and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking through functional realignment where relationship managers are expected to work on understanding the business management issues faced by customers as “RMs from MUFG” and the product office, a unit that is responsible for planning, developing and providing products and services, is expected to deepen its expertise.

(5) Real Estate Value Chain Strategy

We aim to provide solutions to meet various customer needs arising in the real estate value chain, or the business cycle for real estate assets including sale, purchase, development, tenant leasing and asset management, on a continuous basis through a group-based integrated approach. We endeavor to provide additional value through efforts made at our branches to gain knowledge on real estate needs and to use it to obtain brokerage and asset management businesses. In the asset management business, we seek to strengthen our real asset management capabilities.

(6) Asset Management Business

We seek to provide group-wide integrated asset management services to our customers. We aim to develop competitive products, expand our product line-up, and enhance our human resource portfolio necessary for such development and expansion. In addition, in an effort to become a globally recognized asset management institution, we endeavor to strengthen our asset management business by enhancing our human resources, products and solutions.

(7) Institutional Investors Business

We aim to provide a wide range of services to satisfy diverse professional needs for asset management and administration services through a group-based integrated approach, while seeking to expand across the group the customer relationships maintained with institutional investors by each of MUFG Bank, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking, Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities, and our business groups.

(8) Global Corporate & Investment Banking (GCIB) Business Model Reform

We seek to achieve sustainable growth for our global corporate and investment banking business, where we provide sophisticated financial services combining corporate banking services, including deposits and loans, and investment banking services, including capital markets financing and mergers and acquisitions. We aim to meet the needs of non-Japanese corporate customers conducting business globally and to improve the overall return on our portfolio by constantly adjusting loan and other assets. In addition, we intend to shift the focus of our management approach from quantity to quality through origination and distribution business operations under the integrated platform between MUFG Bank and Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities.

(9) Enhancement of Overseas Operations

We plan to shift our management approach from the previous approach based on geographical regions and operating entities to a new approach based on customers and businesses and seek to strengthen our business-driven management approach across the group. In addition, in an effort to establish a business structure that enables us to flexibly adapt to changes in the business environment, we seek to reduce expenses, enhance our overseas branch and office network, and centralize and standardize our procedures and systems.

(10) Human Resources Strategy

We seek to manage our human resources globally in a group-based integrated manner through acceleration of personnel allocation and transfers across the group in line with our business strategy and establishment of a human resources division responsible for overseeing our domestic and overseas human resource management.

(11) Enhancement of Corporate Center Operations

We plan to shift our management of the corporate center operations from the previous approach of integrated management by MUFG and MUFG Bank to a new approach of integrated management by MUFG, MUFG Bank, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking, and Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities in an effort to optimize the use of our management resources on a group-based integrated basis and achieve low cost operations.

Business Groups

Under the current medium-term business plan, our business groups are reorganized as follows in an effort to further integrate the expertise and capabilities of our subsidiaries to respond to the needs of our customers more effectively and efficiently.

Retail & Commercial Banking Business Group

The Retail & Commercial Banking Business Group integrates the domestic retail and commercial banking businesses of MUFG Bank, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking, Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings, Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS and other group companies of MUFG. This business group offers retail and small and medium-sized enterprise customers in Japan an extensive array of commercial banking, trust banking and securities products and services.

Business Environment and Strategy

In the domestic market in which we operate, unfavorable conditions remain such as the negative impact of the Bank of Japan's negative interest rate policy on the financial market and intensified competition. In addition, demographic changes, including Japan's aging population with a declining birthrate, and technological developments, including artificial intelligence and digitalization, can change the way banking and other financial services are used in Japan. With a goal of becoming "the top financial group in the retail and commercial banking business segment in Japan, achieving sustainable growth along with customers and society," we seek to enhance and integrate the capabilities of our group companies to deliver value that exceeds customer expectations and improve customer satisfaction.

In the wealth management business, which is one of our key strategic focus areas, we are implementing measures to improve our group company structure for offering wealth management solutions, including asset management, asset and business succession transfer, and real estate services. For example, with an aim to strengthen our wealth management business, we plan to merge Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities and Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley PB Securities.

For customers affected by the COVID-19 pandemic, the Group seeks to provide flexible and prompt financing support through a dedicated lending program and Biz Lending, a non-face-to-face financing service. The Group has created a dedicated help desk.

Responding to the Needs of Retail Customers

For retail customers, we provide a wide range of products and services, such as bank deposits, loans, asset management and administration services, investment products and settlement services. We describe some of our products and services below.

- **Housing Loans.** MUFG Bank offers housing loans with various loan terms and interest rates. MUFG Bank also offers "Loans with Supplemental Health Insurance for Seven Major Illnesses" through a third party insurance company to help with loan payments in case of unexpected major illnesses such as cancer or heart attacks. As part of our group-wide collaboration initiative, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking began to offer "Mitsubishi UFJ Net Home Loan" (a housing loan product of MUFG Bank available only online and exclusively to customers of Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking) as an agent of MUFG Bank in April 2018.

- *Consumer Loans.* MUFG Bank offers “Card Loans” (consumer loans the proceeds of which are disbursed to approved borrowers with a bank-issued card through an automated machine) and “Purpose-Specific Term Loans,” depending on customers’ needs.
- *Investment Products.* In order to promote a shift in customer preference from savings to asset building, we seek to offer products that effectively serve the asset building and asset management needs of customers at various stages of their life. As part of this effort, MUFG Bank started to offer fund wrap products as an agent of Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking in November 2017. In addition, in January 2018, MUFG Bank started to offer investment products that qualify for “Tsumitate NISA” tax exemption on capital gains and dividend income for the investment up to 0.4 million yen per year for up to 20 years under Japanese tax law. The original NISA, or Nippon Individual Savings Account, program was introduced in 2014, providing for tax exemption on capital gains and dividend income for the investment up to 1.2 million yen per year for up to 5 years, which is expected to be raised in 2024 to 1.22 million yen per year consisting of a 0.2 million yen cap on investments similar to those qualifying for “Tsumitate NISA” and a 1.02 million yen cap on broader types of investment. We offer investment products that qualify for tax exemption under the original NISA program as well.
- *Products and Services for Payments.* Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS offers a variety of credit cards. In addition, debit cards are available to MUFG Bank account holders.
- *Insurance Products.* MUFG Bank acts as a sales channel for a variety of insurance products, including annuity insurance, single premium whole life insurance, flat-rate premium whole life insurance, medical insurance, cancer insurance and nursing-care insurance, of insurance companies in Japan.
- *Services Relating to Inheritance, Gift and Real Estate.* Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking offers testamentary trust, inheritance planning, inheritance procedure support, and other related services. MUFG Bank and Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities also offer inheritance-related products and services, serving as sales agents of Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking. Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking and Mitsubishi UFJ Real Estate Services offer real estate brokerage services for investment, business and residential properties.

We provide those services through an extensive network of branches in Japan, mostly in the greater Tokyo, Nagoya and Osaka areas. MUFG Bank and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking had a total of 738 branches in Japan as of March 31, 2020. MUFG Bank and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking also have a nationwide ATM network consisting of MUFG Bank’s and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking’s own ATMs located at their branches and other locations and third-party ATMs located at convenience stores and other locations. As part of our branch network streamlining plan, by the end of the fiscal year ending March 31, 2024, we intend to reduce MUFG Bank’s branches by 40% compared to the number of branches as of March 31, 2018.

We also offer direct banking channels. MUFG Bank and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking provide internet banking services which enable customers to perform a range of banking activities, such as checking account balances, making time deposits, transferring money and purchasing invest products, through the banks’ respective websites using personal computers and mobile devices. In addition, Jibun Bank, a direct bank which was founded by MUFG Bank in collaboration with KDDI Corporation in June 2008, offers bank deposits, housing loans, settlement services and other products and services through the internet and phone.

Responding to the Needs of Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises

For small and medium-sized enterprises, we provide various financial solutions, such as bank deposits, loans, and fund management, remittance and foreign exchange services. We also help our customers develop business strategies, such as overseas expansions, inheritance-related business transfers and stock listings.

In addition, we provide asset and business succession solutions to small and medium-sized enterprise owners. Based on our view that smooth succession of the businesses of small and medium-sized enterprises

owned by aging owners is critical to the sustainability and development of Japanese industry, we offer solutions for successions of businesses to unrelated persons, including through mergers and acquisitions and initial public offerings, and for successions of businesses to related persons. We also offer solutions designed to assist business owners with successions of assets using testamentary trusts, real estate transactions and other means. Through further integration of the retail and commercial banking capabilities of MUFG Bank, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking, MUFG Securities Holdings and other group companies, we strive to provide seamless solutions on a group-wide basis.

Japanese Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group

The Corporate Banking Business Group covers the large Japanese corporate businesses of MUFG Bank, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking and Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings, including the transaction banking, investment banking, trust banking and securities businesses. We offer large Japanese corporations advanced financial solutions designed to respond to their diversified and globalized needs and to contribute to their business and financial strategies. We provide those solutions through our global network of MUFG Group companies. For customers affected by the COVID-19 pandemic, we intend to provide flexible and prompt financing support through a dedicated lending program.

With our goal to “Be the First Call Business Partner for Large Japanese Corporate Clients,” we strive to strengthen our solutions capabilities through an approach designed to provide effective solutions using our specialized industry-specific expertise and knowledge and through further integration and more effective collaboration among the MUFG Group companies on a global basis.

Transaction Banking

We provide cash management, payment, trade finance and other commercial banking products and services for corporate business transactions. Through these products and services, we seek to provide sophisticated financial solutions that enable efficient execution of transactions to meet the strategic needs of our customers.

Investment Banking

We provide mergers and acquisitions advisory, equity and bond underwriting, and other investment banking services to our Japanese corporate customers. A large part of our investment banking business in Japan is conducted by Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities, which was formed in May 2010 through the integration of the domestic wholesale and retail securities businesses previously conducted by Mitsubishi UFJ Securities and the investment banking business previously conducted by Morgan Stanley Japan. See “—Global Strategic Alliance with Morgan Stanley” below.

Trust Banking

We provide real estate brokerage, registrar and transfer agency, and other trust banking services to our Japanese corporate customers. Our solutions also include securitization of real estate, receivables and other assets. Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking’s experience and know-how in real estate brokerage and appraisal services, corporate real estate strategy consulting, shareholder registry management services, shareholder and investor relations consulting, and consulting services relating to executive stock compensation programs using trust schemes enable us to offer solutions tailored to the financial strategies of each customer.

Asset Management & Investor Services Business Group

The Asset Management & Investor Services Business Group covers the asset management and asset administration businesses of Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking and MUFG Bank. By integrating the trust banking expertise of Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking and the global strengths of MUFG Bank, the business

group offers a full range of asset management and administration services for corporations and pension funds, including pension fund management and administration, advice on pension structures, and payments to beneficiaries, and also offers investment trusts for retail customers.

We aim to expand our asset management and asset administration services business by enhancing the quality of our products and services, effectively utilizing the broad customer base of the MUFG Group, and improving our operational efficiency through IT technology.

Asset Management

Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking, Mitsubishi UFJ Kokusai Asset Management, and MU Investments Co., Ltd provide institutional investors with a wide range of investment options such as equities, bonds and alternative products. In addition, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking and Mitsubishi UFJ Kokusai Asset Management provide retail investors with investment trust products through our group companies and business partners outside of the MUFG Group, such as securities companies and regional banks.

We are adopting an inorganic expansion strategy especially for our non-Japanese investment capability in an effort to be a global top-level asset manager with competitive products and solutions capabilities. Our expansion strategy include not only our existing strategic alliances through minority investments, including our alliances with AMP Capital Holdings Limited in Australia and SWS MU FUND MANAGEMENT in China, but also First Sentier Investors, which was newly added to our Group through the acquisition of 100% of the shares in nine subsidiaries of Colonial First State Group Limited, an Australian asset management firm, in August 2019.

Asset Administration

Under the brand of “MUFG Investor Services,” Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking, MUFG Bank, Mitsubishi UFJ Investor Services & Banking (Luxembourg), MUFG Investor Services Holdings Limited, MUFG Capital Analytics, MUFG Investor Services(US), LLC and MUFG Investor Services FinTech Limited offer a full suite of global asset administration services, including fund administration, custody, securities lending, financing and foreign exchange services as a one-stop shop.

Global Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group

The Global Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group covers the corporate, investment and transaction banking businesses of MUFG Bank and Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings. Through a global network of offices and branches, we provide non-Japanese large corporate and financial institution customers with a comprehensive set of solutions that meet their increasingly diverse and sophisticated financing needs.

Through the new integrated operations management structure between the Global Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group and the Global Markets Business Group, we aim to offer financing and investment opportunities based on our understanding of institutional investor needs.

The expansion of the global corporate and investment banking business has been an important pillar of the MUFG Group's growth strategy. We continue to work to strengthen the strategic alignment and collaboration among our group companies and across global geographies in order to best deploy our comprehensive expertise to provide our customers with value-added solutions and services.

In November 2019, MUFG Bank completed its acquisition from DVB Bank SE in Germany its aviation finance lending portfolio, employees and the related operating infrastructure, based on an agreement entered into among the two banks and BOT Lease Co., Ltd., a consolidated subsidiary of MUFG Bank, in March 2019. In connection with this agreement, MUFG Bank and BOT Lease agreed to purchase from DVB Bank its aviation investment management and asset management businesses. This transaction is expected to be closed

during the first half of fiscal year ending March 31, 2021, subject to regulatory approvals and certain other conditions. Aviation finance is a key growth pillar for us and, through the acquisition, we aim to enhance our Global Corporate & Investment Banking Business platform in terms of higher returns, portfolio diversification and solution offering to our clients.

Corporate Banking

Through our global network of offices and branches, we provide a full range of corporate banking solutions, such as project finance, export credit agency finance, and financing through asset-backed commercial paper. Our primary customers include large corporations, financial institutions, sovereign and multinational organizations, and institutional investors that are headquartered outside of Japan.

Investment Banking

We provide investment banking services such as debt and equity issuance and M&A-related services, to help our customers develop their financial strategies and realize their business goals. In order to meet customers' various financing needs, we have established a customer-oriented coverage model through which our product experts coordinate with one another to offer innovative financing services globally. We have further integrated the management of the operations of our commercial banking and securities subsidiaries to enhance collaboration. We are one of the world's top providers of project finance, one of the core businesses of the Global Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group. We provide sophisticated professional services in arranging limited-recourse finance and offering financial advice in various sectors, including natural resources, power, and infrastructure, backed by our experience, expertise, knowledge, and global network.

Transaction Banking

We provide commercial banking products and services for large corporations and financial institutions in managing and processing domestic and cross-border payments, mitigating risks in international trade, and providing working capital optimization. We have established a Transaction Banking Unit, which oversees the entire transaction banking operations globally, in order to enhance governance, management and quality of services in these operations. The Transaction Banking Unit provides customers with support for their domestic, regional and global trade finance and cash management programs through our extensive global network.

Global Commercial Banking Business Group

The Global Commercial Banking Business Group provides a comprehensive array of financial products and services such as loans, deposits, fund transfers, investments and asset management services for local retail, small and medium-sized enterprise, and corporate customers across the Asia-Pacific region through our major local commercial banking subsidiaries and affiliates outside of Japan referred to as "Partner Banks." Our Partner Banks include MUFG Union Bank in the United States, Krungsri in Thailand, Bank Danamon in Indonesia, VietinBank in Vietnam and Security Bank in the Philippines.

The network among the Partner Banks covers a vast market, consisting of five countries with population totaling approximately 840 million. The market is expected to expand further as the GDP growth rates are relatively high in these countries and financial needs are expected to increase as average income rise in the ASEAN countries.

We believe that our network, which combines the global reach of the MUFG Group companies with strong regional presence of the Partner Banks each carrying an established brand, provides us with unique competitive advantages. Through sharing and integration of the expertise and capabilities of the Partner Banks, we seek to achieve synergy effects and capture the business opportunities arising from the economic growth of the region.

MUFG Union Bank, N.A.

MUFG Union Bank is the primary subsidiary of MUFG Americas Holdings, which is our wholly owned subsidiary and which is our intermediate holding company in the United States. MUFG Union Bank is the primary operating entity of MUFG Bank in the United States. MUFG Union Bank provides a comprehensive range of banking, consumer finance, investment, asset management, and other financial products and services to individual consumers, small and medium-sized enterprises, and large corporations primarily in California, Oregon, Washington, and Texas as well as nationally and internationally through 349 branches.

In July 2014, MUFG Bank's operations in the Americas region were integrated with MUFG Americas Holdings' operations. As a result, MUFG Americas Holdings oversees MUFG Bank's operations in the Americas region.

In July 2016, MUFG Americas Holdings was designated as MUFG's U.S. intermediate holding company, or IHC; to comply with the FRB's enhanced prudential standards. See "Item 3.D. Key Information—Risk Factors—Risks Related to Our Major Investees—Any adverse changes in the business of MUFG Americas Holdings Corporation, Krungsri or Bank Danamon could significantly affect our results of operations."

Bank of Ayudhya Public Company Limited (Krungsri)

Krungsri is a strategic subsidiary of MUFG Bank in Thailand. Krungsri provides a comprehensive range of banking, consumer finance, investment, asset management, and other financial products and services to retail consumers, small and medium-sized enterprises, and large corporations mainly in Thailand through 690 branches (consisting 648 banking branches, 40 automobile finance business branches and two overseas branches) and other service outlets nationwide. In addition, Krungsri's consolidated subsidiaries include the largest credit card issuer in Thailand with a total of 9.3 million credit card, sales finance and personal loan accounts in its portfolio, a major auto finance provider, a fast growing asset management company, and a leading microfinance service provider in Thailand.

MUFG owns a 76.88% ownership interest in Krungsri through MUFG Bank as of March 31, 2020. By combining Krungsri's local franchise with competitive presence in the retail and small and medium-sized enterprise banking markets in Thailand with MUFG Bank's global financial expertise, we seek to offer a wider range of high-value financial products and services to a more diverse and larger customer base.

In March 2017, Krungsri established a subsidiary, Krungsri Finnovate Company Limited, with a key mission to support and promote FinTech startups in Thailand and Southeast Asian countries in the forms of accelerator and academic collaboration, startup project management and corporate venture capital.

In September 2017, Krungsri was designated as a Domestic Systemically Important Bank by the Bank of Thailand based on the central bank's assessment of Krungsri based on its asset size and its contribution to the country's economy and financial system.

In August 2019, Krungsri announced a plan to acquire 50% of shares of SB Finance Company Inc. (SBF) in the Philippines.

See "Item 3.D. Key Information—Risk Factors—Risks Related to Our Major Investees Risks Related to Our Business—Any adverse changes in the business of MUFG Americas Holdings Corporation, Krungsri or Bank Danamon could significantly affect our results of operations."

PT Bank Danamon Indonesia, Tbk. ("Bank Danamon")

Bank Danamon is a strategic subsidiary of MUFG Bank in Indonesia. Bank Danamon provides a comprehensive range of banking and other financial products and services to retail consumers, small and

medium-sized enterprises, and large corporations in Indonesia. It operates an extensive distribution network spread out from Aceh to Papua, with more than 900 branches and service outlets. In addition, Bank Danamon provides financing for automotive and consumer goods through PT Adira Dinamika Multi Finance Tbk, a subsidiary of Bank Danamon.

In December 2017, MUFG Bank acquired 19.9% of the outstanding shares of Bank Danamon. Subsequently, MUFG Bank increased its shareholding in Bank Danamon to 40.0% in August 2018 and further to 94.0% in April 2019. In addition, in April 2019, MUFG Bank acquired shares of common stock of PT Bank Nusantara Parahyangan Tbk, or Bank BNP, an Indonesia bank, increasing its shareholding in the bank from 7.9% to 99.9%. In May 2019, Bank BNP was merged into Bank Danamon. As a result of MUFG Bank's acquisition of additional shares in Bank Danamon in April 2019, Bank Danamon became our consolidated subsidiary, effective as of April 29, 2019.

Our investment in Bank Danamon represents another milestone for our growth strategy in Southeast Asia with the goal of realizing our unique and unparalleled business model based on the established local networks of our Partner Banks, and MUFG's global network to provide holistic financial services to a wider range of customers. Through this investment, we aim to diversify and expand our local retail and small and medium-sized enterprise business portfolio by seizing opportunities expected to arise from Indonesia's current economic growth and long-term economic growth prospects.

See "Item 3.D. Key Information—Risk Factors—Risks Related to Our Major Investees Risks Related to Our Business—Any adverse changes in the business of MUFG Americas Holdings Corporation, Krungsri or Bank Danamon could significantly affect our results of operations." and "Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Recent Developments."

Grab Holdings Inc.

In February 2020, we entered into a strategic alliance agreement with Grab Holdings Inc., or Grab, which is headquartered in Singapore and provides on-demand transport services, food and package delivery services, digital payments and financial services in Southeast Asia. Under the agreement, we will invest up to \$706 million, or ¥78.3 billion, in Grab to jointly develop next generation custom-made financial services in Southeast Asia to promote financial inclusion in the region. While Grab is not expected to become our subsidiary or equity method investee with our investment in Grab in the agreed-upon maximum amount, Grab will confer "First Choice Bank" status on us and our Southeast Asian partner banks, such as Bank Danamon and Krungsri. We and Grab plan to co-develop innovative financial products and services based on our combined customer insight to better cater to the financial needs of Grab's users, driver-partners and merchant-partners as well as our customers. We aim to provide next-generation financial services by combining our strengths in financial knowledge and know-how, including our financial product development capability, creditworthiness and risk management expertise, with Grab's advanced technologies and data management expertise. Through the alliance, we will also leverage our initiatives and experience in Southeast Asia with Grab to accelerate both the evolution of our business model and the realization of our next-generation digital financial services in Japan and other markets.

Other Activities in Southeast Asia

We have been expanding our operations in Southeast Asia with an effort to further develop our businesses abroad. In addition to MUFG Union Bank, Krungsri and Bank Danamon, we have strategic business and capital alliances with other banks in Southeast Asia, including VietinBank in Vietnam and Security Bank in the Philippines, as our Partner Banks.

VietinBank provides a wide range of financial services to consumers, small businesses, middle-market and large companies through its branch network predominantly in Vietnam. We own a 19.7% equity interest in VietinBank.

Security Bank provides a wide range of financial services to consumers, small businesses, middle-market and large companies through its branch network in the Philippines. We own a 20% equity interest in Security Bank.

See “Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Recent Developments” and “Item 3.D. Key Information—Risk Factors—Risks Related to Our Strategies—Our strategy to expand the range of our financial products and services and the geographic scope of our business globally may fail if we are unable to anticipate or manage new or expanded risks that entail such expansion.”

Global Markets Business Group

The Global Markets Business Group covers the customer business and the treasury operations of MUFG Bank, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking and Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings. The customer business includes sales and trading in fixed income instruments, currencies and equities as well as other investment products, and origination and distribution of financial products. The treasury operations include asset and liability management as well as global investments for the MUFG Group.

Customer Business

- *Sales and Trading in Fixed Income Instruments, Currencies and Equities.* We provide financing, hedging, and investment solutions to our retail, corporate, institutional, and governmental customers through sales and trading in financial market products such as fixed income instruments, currencies, and equities. Our innovative financial products and services are designed to respond to increasingly sophisticated requirements of our diverse customers and are provided through our global network of offices and branches.
- *Investment Products for Non-Institutional Customers in Japan.* We provide investment products such as mutual funds, and structured bonds, notes and deposits to non-institutional customers in Japan. We offer solutions using these investment products to help customers better manage their assets and liabilities. This business is conducted through the integrated operations management structure among the Global Markets Business Group, the Asset Management & Investor Service Business Group, the Retail & Commercial Banking Business Group, and the Japanese Corporate and Investment Banking Business Group. To enhance our products and sales support capability, the Global Markets Business Group collaborates with the Retail & Commercial Banking Business Group in the retail and small and medium-sized enterprise client businesses.
- *Origination and Distribution.* We provide financing solutions to institutional customers through origination and distribution of financial products such as syndicated loans and securities issuances. This business is conducted through the integrated operations management structure between the Global Markets Business Group and the Global Corporate and Investment Banking Business Group.

Treasury Operations

- *Asset and Liability Management.* Through our treasury operations, we seek to manage interest rate and liquidity risks residing in our balance sheets through, among other things, transactions designed to manage the profit and loss impact attributable to market movements based on our balance sheet analyses and forecasts. Such transactions include investments in high quality liquid securities such as Japanese government bonds and U.S. Treasury bonds and trading in other financial products such as interest rate swaps and cross currency swaps.
- *Global Investment.* Through our treasury operations, we also seek to enhance our profitability by diversifying our portfolio and strategically investing in financial products including corporate bonds and funds.

Strategy under the Current Medium-Term Business Plan

Under the current medium-term business plan, for the three-year period ending March 31, 2021, the Global Markets Business Group intends to undertake the following four initiatives designed to promote the MUFG Group's structural reforms.

- The Global Markets Business Group plans to improve its business portfolio by adjusting its focus to growth areas and new areas, including the sales and trading business targeting institutional customers as well as the origination and distribution business under the integrated operations management structure with the Global Corporate and Investment Banking Business Group. In addition, with a view toward sustainable growth of the investment products business targeting non-institutional investors in Japan, the Global Markets Business Group has built an integrated operations management structure with the Asset Management & Investor Service Business Group, the Retail & Commercial Banking Business Group and the Japanese Corporate and Investment Banking Business Group.
- The Global Markets Business Group aims to reform the sales and trading business targeting corporate customers through enhancement of our financial solutions capabilities by more effectively coordinating capital markets transactions and global markets transactions and through reduction in transactions dependent on our balance sheets.
- The Global Markets Business Group strives to enhance the framework for collaboration and cooperation between MUFG's treasury operations unit and its counterparts at MUFG's major subsidiaries to support the MUFG Group's sustainable growth by integrating the expertise in market risk management on a group-wide basis and applying a unified approach to liquidity risk management.
- The Global Markets Business Group strives to establish business frameworks and infrastructure designed to optimize and enhance integration and flexibility of the booking functions for global markets transactions among MUFG's major subsidiaries and to accelerate digitalization.

Global Strategic Alliance with Morgan Stanley

As of March 31, 2020, we held approximately 377 million shares of Morgan Stanley's common stock representing approximately 23.9% of the voting rights in Morgan Stanley and Series C Preferred Stock with a face value of approximately \$521.4 million and 10% dividend. As of the same date, we had two representatives appointed to Morgan Stanley's board of directors. We adopted the equity method of accounting for our investment in Morgan Stanley beginning with the fiscal year ended March 31, 2012.

In conjunction with Morgan Stanley, we formed two securities joint venture companies in May 2010 to integrate our respective Japanese securities companies. We converted the wholesale and retail securities businesses conducted in Japan by Mitsubishi UFJ Securities into Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities. Morgan Stanley contributed the investment banking operations conducted in Japan by its former wholly-owned subsidiary, Morgan Stanley Japan, to Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities, and converted the sales and trading and capital markets businesses conducted in Japan by Morgan Stanley Japan into an entity called Morgan Stanley MUFG Securities, Co., Ltd. We hold a 60% economic interest in Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities and Morgan Stanley MUFG Securities, and Morgan Stanley holds a 40% economic interest in Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities and Morgan Stanley MUFG Securities. We hold a 60% voting interest and Morgan Stanley holds a 40% voting interest in Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities, and we hold a 49% voting interest and Morgan Stanley holds a 51% voting interest in Morgan Stanley MUFG Securities. Morgan Stanley's and our economic and voting interests in the securities joint venture companies are held through intermediate holding companies. We have retained control of Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities and we account for our interest in Morgan Stanley MUFG Securities under the equity method due to our significant influence over Morgan Stanley MUFG Securities. The board of directors of Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities has fifteen members, nine of whom are designated by us and six of whom are designated by Morgan Stanley. The board of directors of Morgan Stanley MUFG Securities has ten members, six of whom are

designated by Morgan Stanley and four of whom are designated by us. The CEO of Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities is designated by us and the CEO of Morgan Stanley MUFG Securities is designated by Morgan Stanley.

We have also expanded the scope of our global strategic alliance with Morgan Stanley into other geographies and businesses, including (1) a loan marketing joint venture that provides clients in the United States with access to the world-class lending and capital markets services from both companies, (2) business referral arrangements in Asia, Europe, the Middle East and Africa, covering capital markets, loans, fixed income sales and other businesses, (3) global commodities referral arrangements whereby MUFG Bank and its affiliates refer clients in need of commodities-related hedging solutions to certain affiliates of Morgan Stanley, and (4) an employee secondment program to share best practices and expertise in a wide range of business areas.

In April 2019, Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities and Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley PB Securities Co., Ltd., a subsidiary of Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities, agreed on a merger whereby Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities will be the surviving company. The merger is currently scheduled to be completed on August 1, 2020, subject to changes in the circumstances including the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic. Through the merger, we aim to further strengthen our wealth management business by leveraging our broad customer base, utilizing Morgan Stanley's global and high sophisticated expertise, and further collaborating with our and Morgan Stanley's other group companies.

See "Item 3.D. Key Information—Risk Factors—Risks Related to Our Major Investees—If our strategic alliance with Morgan Stanley fails, we could suffer financial or reputational loss."

Competition

We face strong competition in all of our principal areas of operation. The structural reforms in financial industry regulations and recent developments in financial markets have resulted in some significant changes in the Japanese financial system and prompted banks to merge or reorganize their operations. In addition, development of new technologies such as artificial intelligence and blockchain has also allowed non-financial institutions to enter the financial services industry with alternative services, thus changing the nature of competition from other financial institutions as well as from other types of businesses. See "Item 3.D. Key Information—Risk Factors—Risks Related to Our Strategies—Our business may be adversely affected by competitive pressures, which have partly increased due to regulatory changes and recent market changes in the financial industry domestically and globally."

Japan

Since their formation in 2000 and 2001, the so-called Japanese "mega bank" groups, including us, the Mizuho Financial Group and the Sumitomo Mitsui Financial Group, have continued to expand their businesses and take measures designed to enhance their financial group capabilities. For example, in July 2013, Mizuho Bank, Ltd. and Mizuho Corporate Bank, Ltd. merged, and the merged entity presently operates under the corporate name of "Mizuho Bank, Ltd." In November 2015, SMBC Trust Bank, Ltd., a subsidiary of Sumitomo Mitsui Financial Group, acquired the retail banking business of Citibank Japan, Ltd.

Competition among the mega bank groups are expected to continue in various financial sectors as they have recently announced plans to expand, or have expanded, their respective businesses. For example, in the securities sector, in May 2010, in conjunction with Morgan Stanley, we created two securities joint venture companies in Japan, Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities and Morgan Stanley MUFG Securities, by integrating the operations of Mitsubishi UFJ Securities and Morgan Stanley Japan. In January 2013, Mizuho Securities and Mizuho Investors Securities Co., Ltd. merged. For a discussion of the two securities joint venture companies created by us and Morgan Stanley, see "—B. Business Overview—Global Strategic Alliance with Morgan Stanley."

In the retail business sector, customers often have needs for a broad range of financial products and services, such as investment trusts and insurance products. Recently, competition has increased due to the development of new products and distribution channels. For example, Japanese banks compete with one another by developing innovative proprietary computer technologies that allow them to deliver basic banking services in a more efficient manner and to create sophisticated new products in response to customer demand. Competition has also increased since the introduction in January 2014 of the Japanese individual savings account system, generally referred to as the NISA program, which currently offers tax exemptions on capital gains and dividend income for investments up to ¥1.2 million a year for a maximum of five years and which is expected to be amended in 2024. In addition, in December 2015, Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Bank, Ltd. acquired Citi Cards Japan, Inc., which previously operated the credit card business of Citigroup Inc. in Japan.

In the private banking sector, competition among the mega bank groups has intensified as a result of recent corporate actions designed to strengthen their operations. We made Mitsubishi UFJ Merrill Lynch PB Securities Co., Ltd. a wholly owned subsidiary in December 2012 to enhance our private banking services for high net-worth customers, and changed its name to Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley PB Securities, Ltd. in March 2014. In April 2019, we announced a plan to merge Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley PB Securities into Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities. Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation acquired the former Société Générale Private Banking Japan, Ltd. from Société Générale S.A. and changed its name to SMBC Trust Bank, Ltd. in October 2013.

In the consumer finance sector, recent regulatory reforms and legal developments have negatively impacted the business environment, resulting in failures of several consumer finance companies and intensified competition among consumer finance companies that have remained in business, particularly among those affiliated with the mega banks. In April 2012, Promise Co., Ltd. became a wholly owned subsidiary of the Sumitomo Mitsui Financial Group, and changed its name as SMBC Consumer Finance Co., Ltd. in July 2012. See “Item 3.D. Key Information—Risk Factors—Operational Risk—Because of our loans to consumers and our shareholdings in companies engaged in consumer lending, changes in the business or regulatory environment for consumer finance companies in Japan may further adversely affect our financial results.”

The trust assets business is an area that is becoming increasingly competitive because of regulatory changes in the industry that have expanded the products and services that can be offered since the mid-2000s. In addition, there is growing corporate demand for changes in the trust regulatory environment, such as reforms of the pension system and related accounting regulations under Japanese GAAP. Competition may increase in the future as changes are made to respond to such corporate demand and regulatory barriers to entry are lowered. Competition is also expected to intensify as a result of recent integrations and entrants in the industry. For example, in August 2015, JP Asset Management Co., Ltd. was established as a joint venture with the Japan Post Group, Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Bank and Nomura Holdings, Inc. holding 50%, 30% and 20% equity interests, respectively, in the joint venture. In October 2016, the Mizuho Financial Group integrated Mizuho Asset Management Co., Ltd., Shinko Asset Management Co., Ltd. and the asset management business of Mizuho Trust & Banking Co., Ltd., all of which were asset management subsidiaries of the Mizuho Financial Group in Japan, and DIAM Co., Ltd., which was an asset management joint venture between the Mizuho Financial Group and Dai-ichi Life Insurance Company in Japan, creating Asset Management One Co., Ltd. In July 2016, the Sumitomo Mitsui Financial Group made Sumitomo Mitsui Asset Management Co., Ltd. a consolidated subsidiary through the acquisition of additional equity interest in the asset management company. In March 2017, the Mizuho Financial Group announced plans to integrate Trust and Custody Services Bank, Ltd., its trust bank subsidiary specialized in the asset administration business, with Japan Trustee Services Bank, Ltd., which is a trust bank joint venture between Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Holdings and Resona Bank, Ltd. specialized in the asset administration business. In May 2018, the Sumitomo Mitsui Financial Group announced a planned merger between Sumitomo Mitsui Asset Management and Daiwa SB Investments Ltd.

In recent years, the Japanese government has identified several governmental financial institutions as candidates to privatize. Under the current postal privatization law, Japan Post Bank and Japan Post Insurance may enter into new business areas upon obtaining government approvals, and if Japan Post Holdings' equity

holdings decrease to 50% or below, the two companies will be allowed to enter into new business areas upon submission of a notice to the government. In such case, the Japan Post Group companies may seek to enter into new financial businesses and increasingly compete with us. Japan Post Holdings currently holds approximately 89% of the shares of Japan Post Bank. Japan Post Holdings' equity holding in Japan Post Insurance decreased from around 89% to around 64% through a public offering of shares in April 2019. In addition, Japan Post Bank is one of the world's largest holders of deposits, which provide a cost-effective source of funding for the bank. In April 2019, the cap imposed by law on the amount of deposits that Japan Post Bank may accept from each customer was raised from an aggregate of ¥13 million to ¥13 million in ordinary deposits plus ¥13 million in time deposits. See “—B. Business Overview—The Japanese Financial System—Government Financial Institutions.”

The mega bank groups face significant competition with other financial groups as well as companies that have traditionally not been engaged in banking services. For example, the Nomura Group has been a major player in the securities market in Japan. In addition, various Japanese non-bank financial institutions and non-financial companies have entered into the Japanese banking sector. For example, Orix Corporation, a non-bank financial institution, as well as the Seven & i Holdings Co., Ltd., Sony Corporation and Aeon Co., Ltd., which were non-financial companies, offer various banking services, often through non-traditional distribution channels. Further, development of new technologies such as artificial intelligence, or AI, and blockchain has also allowed non-financial institutions to enter financial services industry with alternative services such as electronic settlement services, and these new entrants could become substantial competition to us. In June 2020, the Diet passed an amendment to the Payment Services Act, under which funds transfer services providers that provide only funds transfer services but do not provide deposit or loan services may be authorized by the FSA to provide funds transfer services exceeding one million yen, which is the current upper limit for their funds transfer services.

Foreign

In the United States, we face substantial competition in all aspects of our business. We face competition from other large U.S. and non-U.S. money-center banks, as well as from similar institutions that provide financial services. Through MUFG Union Bank, we currently compete principally with U.S. and non-U.S. money-center and regional banks, thrift institutions, asset management companies, investment advisory companies, consumer finance companies, credit unions and other financial institutions.

In other international markets, we face competition from commercial banks and similar financial institutions, particularly major international banks and the leading domestic banks in the local financial markets in which we conduct business. For example, Japanese mega banks, including us, and other major international banks have been expanding their operations in the Asian market, where leading local banks also have been growing and increasing their presence recently. Furthermore, we are aiming to expand our retail and small and medium-sized enterprise businesses along with our corporate banking business in Southeast Asia through our acquisition of Krungsri in Thailand and Bank Danamon in Indonesia as well as our strategic investments in VietinBank in Vietnam and Security Bank in the Philippines, and to compete with leading local banks in such businesses. See “Item 3.D. Key Information—Risk Factors—Risks Related to Our Strategies—Our strategy to expand the range of our financial products and services and the geographic scope of our business globally may fail if we are unable to anticipate or manage new or expanded risks that entail such expansion.” For a discussion of recent developments, see “Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Recent Developments.”

In addition, we may face further competition as a result of recent investments, mergers and other business tie-ups among global financial institutions, including, for example, our recent acquisitions of, and business and capital alliances with, asset management, administration and custody services companies.

The Japanese Financial System

Japanese financial institutions may be categorized into three types:

- the central bank, namely the Bank of Japan;

- private banking institutions; and
- government financial institutions.

The Bank of Japan

The Bank of Japan's role is to maintain price stability and the stability of the financial system to ensure a solid foundation for sound economic development.

Private Banking Institutions

Private banking institutions in Japan are commonly classified into two categories (the following numbers are based on information published by the FSA available as of February 10, 2020):

- ordinary banks (122 ordinary banks and 55 foreign commercial banks with ordinary banking operations); and
- trust banks (14 trust banks, including two Japanese subsidiaries of foreign financial institutions).

Ordinary banks in turn are classified as city banks, of which there are four, including MUFG Bank, and regional banks, of which there are 103 and other banks, of which there are 15. In general, the operations of ordinary banks correspond to commercial banking operations in the United States. City banks and regional banks are distinguished based on head office location as well as the size and scope of their operations.

The city banks are generally considered to constitute the largest and most influential group of banks in Japan. Generally, these banks are based in large cities, such as Tokyo and Osaka, and operate nationally through networks of branch offices. The city banks provide a wide variety of banking and other financial products and services to large corporate customers, including the major industrial companies in Japan, as well as small and medium-sized companies and retail customers.

With some exceptions, the regional banks tend to be much smaller in terms of total assets than the city banks. Historically, each of the regional banks has been based in one of the Japanese prefectures and has extended its operations into neighboring prefectures. Their customers are mostly regional enterprises and local public utilities. The regional banks also lend to large corporations. In line with the recent trend among financial institutions toward mergers or business tie-ups, various regional banks have announced or are currently negotiating or pursuing integration transactions. The Japanese government currently promotes mergers between regional banks to ensure the stable availability of financial services in regional areas and, in May 2020, enacted special legislation to exempt such mergers from the application of certain anti-trust restrictions for 10 years.

Trust banks, including Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking, provide various trust services relating to money trusts, pension trusts and investment trusts and offer other services relating to real estate, stock transfer agency and testamentary services, as well as banking services.

In recent years, almost all of the city banks have consolidated with other city banks and, in some cases, integrated with trust banks. Consolidation or integration among these banks was achieved, in most cases, through the use of a bank holding company.

In addition to ordinary banks and trust banks, other private financial institutions in Japan, including banks operated by non-financial companies, shinkin banks, or credit associations, and credit cooperatives, are engaged primarily in making loans to small businesses and individuals.

Government Financial Institutions

There are a number of government financial institutions in Japan, which are corporations wholly owned or majority-owned by the government and operate under the government's supervision. Their funds are provided

mainly from government sources. Certain types of operations undertaken by these institutions have been or are planned to be assumed by, or integrated with the operations of, private corporations through privatizations and other measures.

Among them are the following:

- The Development Bank of Japan, which was established for the purpose of contributing to the economic development of Japan by extending long-term loans, mainly to primary and secondary sector industries, and which was reorganized as a joint stock company in October 2008 as part of its ongoing privatization process, with the government being required by law to continue to hold 50% or more of the shares in the bank until the completion of certain specified investment operations, which the bank is required to endeavor to achieve by March 2026, and more than one-third for an unspecified period thereafter;
- Japan Finance Corporation, which was formed in October 2008, through the merger of the international financial operations of the former Japan Bank for International Cooperation, National Life Finance Corporation, Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries Finance Corporation, and Japan Finance Corporation for Small and Medium Enterprise, for the primary purposes of supplementing and encouraging the private financing of exports, imports, overseas investments and overseas economic cooperation, and supplementing private financing to the general public, small and medium-sized enterprises and those engaged in agriculture, forestry and fishery. In April 2012, Japan Finance Corporation spun off its international operations to create Japan Bank for International Cooperation as a separate government-owned entity;
- Japan Housing Finance Agency, which was originally established in June 1950 as the Government Housing Loan Corporation for the purpose of providing housing loans to the general public, and which was reorganized as an incorporated administrative agency and started to specialize in securitization of housing loans in April 2007; and
- The Japan Post Group companies, a group of joint stock companies including Japan Post Bank, which were formed in October 2007 as part of the Japanese government's privatization plan for the former Japan Post, a government-run public services corporation, which had been the Postal Service Agency until March 2003. In November 2015, approximately 11% of the outstanding shares of each of Japan Post Bank, Japan Post Insurance and Japan Post Holdings were sold to the public, and these companies are currently listed on the Tokyo Stock Exchange. In September 2017, an additional 22% of the outstanding shares of Japan Post Holdings were sold to the public.

Supervision and Regulation

Japan

Supervision. The FSA is responsible for supervising and overseeing financial institutions, making policy for the overall Japanese financial system and conducting insolvency proceedings with respect to financial institutions. The Bank of Japan, as the central bank for financial institutions, also has supervisory authority over banks in Japan, based primarily on its contractual agreements and transactions with the banks.

The Banking Law. Among the various laws that regulate financial institutions, the Banking Law and its subordinated orders and ordinances are regarded as the fundamental law for ordinary banks and other private financial institutions. The Banking Law addresses capital adequacy, inspections and reporting of banks and bank holding companies, as well as the scope of business activities, disclosure, accounting, limitation on granting credit and standards for arm's length transactions for them. Bank holding companies, banks and other financial institutions are required to establish an appropriate system to better cope with conflicts of interest that may arise from their business operations.

The Banking Law and various other financial regulation related laws have recently been amended, including certain deregulations on restrictions for shareholdings by banks. For example, although a bank is generally

prohibited from holding more than 5% of the outstanding shares of another company (other than certain financial businesses) under the Banking Law, an amendment to the Banking Law which took effect in April 2017 allows banks and bank holding companies to acquire and hold more than 5% of the voting rights in certain financial technology companies if approved by the FSA. An additional amendment to the Banking Law which took effect in June 2018 introduced a framework for affiliation and cooperation between financial institutions and financial technology companies while adding measures designed to ensure customer protection. A further amendment to the Banking Law which took effect in May 2020 allows banks to engage in certain information provision services relating to customer and other information.

Bank holding company regulations. A bank holding company is prohibited from carrying out any business other than the management of its subsidiaries and other incidental businesses. A bank holding company may have any of the following as a subsidiary: a bank, a securities company, an insurance company, a foreign subsidiary that is engaged in the banking, securities or insurance business and any company that is engaged in a finance-related business, such as a credit card company, a leasing company, investment advisory company, or financial technology company as permitted by the April 1, 2017 amendments to the Banking Law. Certain companies that are designated by a ministerial ordinance as those that cultivate new business fields may also become the subsidiaries of a bank holding company.

In addition, under the April 1, 2017 amendments to the Banking Law, a bank holding company (i) is required to perform certain specified functions as a bank holding company to ensure effective management of its subsidiaries and (ii) is allowed to engage in certain specified common operations of its subsidiaries so as to improve the efficiency of the operations of its group companies.

Capital adequacy. The capital adequacy guidelines adopted by the FSA that are applicable to Japanese bank holding companies and banks with international operations closely follow the risk-weighted approach introduced by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision of the Bank for International Settlements.

Basel II, as adopted by the FSA, has been applied to Japanese banks since March 31, 2007. Basel III, as adopted by the FSA, has been applied to Japanese banking institutions with international operations conducted through their foreign offices since March 31, 2013. Basel III is built on “three pillars”: (1) minimum capital requirements, (2) the self-regulation of financial institutions based on supervisory review process, and (3) market discipline through the disclosure of information.

The Group of Central Bank Governors and Heads of Supervision reached an agreement on the new global regulatory framework, which has been referred to as “Basel III,” in July and September 2010. In December 2010, the Basel Committee agreed on the details of the Basel III rules. The agreement on Basel III includes the following: (1) raising the quality of capital to ensure banks are able to better absorb losses both on a going concern basis and on a gone concern basis, (2) increasing the risk coverage of the capital framework, in particular for trading activities, securitizations, exposures to off-balance sheet vehicles and counterparty credit exposures arising from derivatives, (3) raising the level of minimum capital requirements, including an increase in the minimum common equity requirement from 2% to 4.5%, which was phased in between January 1, 2013 and the end of the calendar year 2014, and a capital conservation buffer of 2.5%, which was phased in between January 1, 2016 and the end of the calendar year 2018, bringing the total common equity requirement to 7%, (4) introducing an internationally harmonized leverage ratio to serve as a backstop to the risk-based capital measure and to contain the build-up of excessive leverage in the system, (5) raising standards for the supervisory review process (Pillar 2) and public disclosures (Pillar 3), together with additional guidance in the areas of valuation practices, stress testing, liquidity risk management, corporate governance and compensation, (6) introducing minimum global liquidity standards consisting of both a short term liquidity coverage ratio and a longer term structural net stable funding ratio, and (7) promoting the build-up of capital buffers that can be drawn down in periods of stress, including both a capital conservation buffer and a countercyclical buffer to protect the banking sector from periods of excess credit growth.

Under Basel III, Common Equity Tier 1, Tier 1 and total capital ratios are used to assess capital adequacy, which ratios are determined by dividing applicable capital components by risk-weighted assets. Total capital is defined as the sum of Tier 1 and Tier 2 capital.

Under Basel III, Tier 1 capital is defined to include Common Equity Tier 1 and Additional Tier 1 capital. Common Equity Tier 1 capital is a new category of capital primarily consisting of:

- common stock,
- capital surplus,
- retained earnings, and
- accumulated other comprehensive income.

Regulatory adjustments including certain intangible fixed assets, such as goodwill, and defined benefit pension fund net assets (prepaid pension costs) will be deducted from Common Equity Tier 1 capital.

Additional Tier 1 capital generally consists of Basel III compliant preferred securities and, during the transition period, other capital that meets Tier I requirements under the former Basel II standards, net of regulatory adjustments.

Tier 2 capital generally consists of:

- Basel III compliant subordinated obligations,
- during the transition period, capital that meets Tier II requirements under the former Basel II standards,
- allowances for credit losses, and
- non-controlling interests in subsidiaries' Tier 2 capital instruments.

In order to qualify as Tier 1 or Tier 2 capital under Basel III, applicable instruments such as preferred shares and subordinated debt must have a clause in their terms and conditions that requires them to be written-off or forced to be converted into common stock upon the occurrence of certain trigger events.

Risk-weighted assets are the sum of risk-weighted assets compiled for credit risk purposes, quotient of dividing the amount equivalent to market risk by 8%, and quotient of dividing the amount equivalent to operational risk by 8%, and also include any amount to be added due to transitional measures as well as floor adjustments, if necessary. Risk-weighted assets include the capital charge of the credit valuation adjustment, or CVA, the credit risk related to asset value correlation multiplier for large financial institutions, the 250% risk-weighted threshold items not deducted from Common Equity Tier 1 capital, and certain Basel II capital deductions that were converted to risk-weighted assets under Basel III, such as securitizations and significant investments in commercial entities. Certain Basel III provisions were adopted by the FSA with transitional measures and became effective March 31, 2013.

The capital ratio standards applicable to us are as follows:

- a minimum total capital ratio of 8.0%,
- a minimum Tier 1 capital ratio of 6.0%, and
- a minimum Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio of 4.5%.

These minimum capital ratios are applicable to MUFG on a consolidated basis and to MUFG Bank and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking on a consolidated as well as stand-alone basis.

We have been granted an approval by the FSA to exclude the majority of our investment in Morgan Stanley from being subject to double gearing adjustments. The approval was granted for a 10-year period, but the approval amount will be phased out by 20% each year starting from March 31, 2019. As of March 31, 2020, a full application of double gearing adjustments with respect to our investment in Morgan Stanley would have reduced our Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio by approximately 0.7 percentage points.

The Financial Stability Board identified us as a global systematically important bank, or G-SIB, in its most recent annual report published in November 2019, and is expected to update the list of G-SIB annually. In December 2015, the FSA also designated us as a G-SIB as well as a domestic systemically important bank generally referred to as a “D-SIB.”

Effective March 31, 2016, the FSA’s capital conservation buffer, countercyclical buffer and G-SIB surcharge requirements became applicable to Japanese banking institutions with international operations conducted through foreign offices, including us. The requirements as of March 31, 2020 consist of a capital conservation buffer of 2.5%, a G-SIB surcharge of 1.5% and a countercyclical buffer of 0.01% in addition to the 4.50% minimum Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio.

In December 2017, the Group of Central Bank Governors and Heads of Supervision released final Basel III reforms. The reforms are designed, among other things, to help reduce excessive variability in risk-weighted assets among banks and improve the comparability and transparency of banks’ risk-based capital ratios. The reforms endorsed by the Group of Central Bank Governors and Heads of Supervision include the following elements:

- a revised standardized approach for credit risk, which is designed to improve the robustness and risk sensitivity of the existing approach;
- revisions to the internal ratings-based approach for credit risk, where the use of the most advanced internally modelled approaches for low-default portfolios will be limited;
- revisions to CVA framework, including the removal of the internally modelled approach and the introduction of a revised standardized approach;
- a revised standardized approach for operational risk, which will replace the existing standardized approaches and the advanced measurement approaches;
- revisions to the measurement of the leverage ratio and a leverage ratio buffer for G-SIBs, which will take the form of a Tier 1 capital buffer set at 50% of a G-SIB’s risk-weighted capital buffer; and
- an aggregate output floor, which is designed to ensure that banks’ risk-weighted assets generated by internal models are no lower than 72.5% of risk-weighted assets as calculated by the Basel III framework’s standardized approaches. Banks will also be required to disclose their risk-weighted assets based on these standardized approaches.

Most of the reforms was scheduled to become effective on January 1, 2022, subject to implementation through legislation and regulation in each of the relevant jurisdictions, including Japan. In March 2020, the implementation date was deferred by one year to January 1, 2023 in light of the COVID-19 pandemic.

In January 2019, the Group of Central Bank Governors and Heads of Supervision approved the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision’s finalized market risk capital framework. The approved market risk framework was scheduled to become effective on January 1, 2022, subject to implementation through legislation and regulation in each of the relevant jurisdictions, including Japan. In March 2020, the implementation date was deferred by one year to January 1, 2023 in light of the COVID-19 pandemic.

For a discussion on our capital ratios, see “Item 5.B. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Capital Adequacy.”

Leverage ratio. Japanese banks and bank holding companies with international operations are required to disclose their leverage ratios calculated in accordance with the methodology prescribed in the FSA guidance that has been adopted to implement the relevant Basel III standard. The leverage ratio is designed for monitoring and preventing the build-up of excessive leverage in the banking sector and is expressed as the ratio of Tier 1 capital to total balance sheet assets adjusted in accordance with the FSA guidance. In December 2017, the Group of Central Bank Governors and Heads of Supervision announced final Basel III reforms. The announced reforms include the revisions to the measurement of the leverage ratio and a 3.00% minimum leverage ratio requirement, plus a G-SIB leverage ratio buffer equal to 50% of the applicable G-SIB capital surcharge. The announcement sets forth implementation dates of January 1, 2018 for the minimum leverage ratio requirement and January 1, 2022 for the G-SIB leverage ratio buffer requirement. In Japan, the FSA adopted the minimum leverage ratio requirement effective March 31, 2019, and the minimum leverage ratio requirement as of March 31, 2020 is 3.00%. In March 2020, the implementation date for the G-SIB leverage ratio buffer requirement was deferred by one year to January 1, 2023 in light of the COVID-19 pandemic. On June 30, 2020, in coordination with the Bank of Japan in implementing its monetary policy in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, the FSA published amendments to the leverage ratio regulations, effective June 30, 2020. Under the amendments, deposits with the Bank of Japan are excluded from the calculation of the leverage ratio during the period from June 30, 2020 to March 31, 2021.

Total loss-absorbing capacity. In November 2015, the Financial Stability Board issued the final Total Loss-Absorbing Capacity, or TLAC, standard for G-SIBs, including us. The Financial Stability Board's TLAC standard is designed to ensure that if a G-SIB fails, it has sufficient loss-absorbing and recapitalization capacity available in resolution to implement an orderly resolution that minimizes impacts on financial stability, ensures the continuity of critical functions, and avoids exposing public funds to loss. The Financial Stability Board's TLAC standard defines a minimum requirement for the instruments and liabilities that should be readily available to absorb losses in resolution.

The TLAC standard which was set forth in the regulatory notices and related materials for the implementation of the TLAC requirements in Japan published by the FSA in March 2019 and which became applicable to G-SIBs in Japan on March 31, 2019, or the Japanese TLAC Standard, and the Financial Stability Board's TLAC standard require entities designated as Domestic Resolution Entities for Covered SIBs to meet certain minimum external total loss-absorbing capacity, or External TLAC, requirements and to cause any of their material subsidiaries in Japan deemed systemically important by the FSA or their foreign subsidiaries subject to TLAC or similar requirements in the relevant jurisdictions to maintain certain minimum level of capital and debt having internal total loss-absorbing and recapitalization capacity, or Internal TLAC.

In the Japanese TLAC Standard, the FSA has designated the relevant ultimate holding companies in Japan as Domestic Resolution Entities for the Covered SIBs and, in our case, MUFG as the Domestic Resolution Entity for our Group, making MUFG subject to the External TLAC requirements in Japan. The FSA has also designated MUFG Bank, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking and Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities as MUFG's material subsidiaries in Japan, which are subject to the Internal TLAC requirements applicable to MUFG.

External TLAC debt generally consists of Basel III compliant regulatory capital, including, during the transition period, capital that meets the applicable regulatory capital requirements under the former Basel II standards, and the Japanese TLAC Standard compliant obligations, net of regulatory adjustments. Internal TLAC debt generally consists of Basel III compliant regulatory capital, including, during the transition period, capital that meets the applicable regulatory capital requirements under the former Basel II standards, and the Japanese TLAC Standard compliant subordinated obligations, net of regulatory adjustments. The Japanese TLAC Standard does not require that, in order for unsecured senior debt issued by the Domestic Resolution Entity of a Japanese G-SIB to qualify as External TLAC debt, such debt be subject to any contractual write-down, write-off or conversion provisions or to any subordination provisions so long as its creditors are recognized as structurally subordinated to the creditors of its subsidiaries and affiliates by the FSA on the ground that the amount of excluded liabilities of such Domestic Resolution Entity ranking *pari passu* with, or junior to, its unsecured senior

liabilities does not, in principle, exceed 5% of the aggregate amount of its External TLAC. In contrast, Internal TLAC debt incurred by a material subsidiary of a Japanese G-SIB is required to be subject to contractual loss absorption provisions and to be subordinated to such subsidiary's excluded liabilities.

The Financial Stability Board's TLAC standard requires a G-SIB to issue and maintain TLAC in an amount not less than 16% of its risk-weighted assets and 6% of the applicable Basel III leverage ratio denominator by January 1, 2019, and not less than 18% of its risk-weighted assets and 6.75% of the applicable Basel III leverage ratio denominator by January 1, 2022.

The Japanese TLAC Standard requires a Japanese G-SIB, including us, to issue and maintain TLAC debt in an amount not less than 16% of its risk-weighted assets and 6% of the applicable Basel III leverage ratio denominator on and after March 31, 2019, and not less than 18% of its risk-weighted assets and 6.75% of the applicable Basel III leverage ratio denominator on and after March 31, 2022. Under the FSA TLAC Standard, Japanese G-SIBs are or will be allowed to count the Japanese Deposit Insurance Fund Reserves in an amount equivalent to 2.5% of their consolidated risk-weighted assets from 2019 and 3.5% of their consolidated risk-weighted assets from 2022 as external TLAC.

On June 30, 2020, in coordination with the Bank of Japan in implementing its monetary policy in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, the FSA published amendments to the Japanese TLAC Standard, together with amendments to the leverage ratio regulations, effective June 30, 2020. Under the amendments, deposits with the Bank of Japan are excluded from the calculation of External TLAC ratio and Internal TLAC amounts on a total exposure basis as well as the leverage ratio during the period from June 30, 2020 to March 31, 2021.

The Domestic Resolution Entity may also be subject to a capital distribution constraints plan if the capital buffers are used and reduced below the required level to make up for its required External TLAC on a risk-weighted assets basis.

See "Item 3.D Key Information—Risk Factors—Risks Related to Our Ability to Meet Regulatory Capital Requirements—We may not be able to maintain our capital ratios and other regulatory ratios above minimum required levels, which could result in various regulatory actions, including the suspension of some or all of our operations."

Prompt corrective action system. Under the prompt corrective action system, the FSA may take corrective action, if a bank or a bank holding company fails to meet the minimum capital adequacy ratio or leverage ratio. These actions include requiring such bank or bank holding company to formulate and implement capital improvement measures, requiring it to reduce assets or the bank's business operations or take other specific actions, and issuing an order to dispose of shares of its subsidiaries or suspend all or part of the bank's business operations.

Capital distribution constraints system. Under the capital distribution constraints system, the FSA may order a bank or a bank holding company to submit and carry out a capital distribution constraints plan, if the bank or the bank holding company fails to maintain Common Equity Tier 1 capital required as applicable capital buffers. A capital distribution plan must be determined to be reasonably designed to restore the required capital buffers by restricting capital distributions, such as dividends, share buybacks and bonus payments, up to a certain amount depending on the level of the deficit in the required capital buffers of the bank or the bank holding company.

Prompt warning system. Under the prompt warning system, the FSA may take precautionary measures to maintain and promote the sound operations of financial institutions, even before those financial institutions become subject to prompt corrective actions. These measures require a financial institution to enhance profitability, credit risk management, stability and cash flows.

Deposit insurance system and government measures for troubled financial institutions. The Deposit Insurance Act is intended to protect depositors if a financial institution fails to meet its obligations. The Deposit Insurance Corporation was established in accordance with the Deposit Insurance Act.

City banks, including MUFG Bank, regional banks, trust banks, including Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking, and various other credit institutions participate in the deposit insurance system on a compulsory basis.

Under the Deposit Insurance Act, the maximum amount of protection is ¥10 million per customer within one bank. The ¥10 million maximum applies to all deposits except for non-interest bearing deposits, which are non-interest bearing deposits redeemable on demand and maintained by depositors primarily in settlement accounts for payment and settlement purposes. Deposits in settlement accounts are fully protected without a maximum amount limitation. Certain types of deposits are not covered by the deposit insurance system, such as foreign currency deposits and negotiable certificates of deposit. As of April 1, 2020, the Deposit Insurance Corporation charged an insurance premium equal to 0.045% per year on the deposits in the settlement accounts, and a premium equal to 0.031% per year on the deposits in other accounts.

Under the Deposit Insurance Act, a Financial Reorganization Administrator can be appointed by the Prime Minister if a bank's liabilities exceed its obligations or has suspended, or is likely to suspend, repayment of deposits. The Financial Reorganization Administrator will take control of the assets of the troubled bank, dispose of the assets and search for another institution willing to take over the troubled bank's business. The troubled bank's business may also be transferred to a "bridge bank" established by the Deposit Insurance Corporation to enable the troubled bank's operations to be maintained and continue temporarily, and the bridge bank will seek to transfer the troubled bank's assets to another financial institution or dissolve the troubled bank. The Deposit Insurance Corporation protects deposits, as described above, either by providing financial aid for costs incurred by the financial institution succeeding the insolvent bank or by paying insurance money directly to depositors. The financial aid provided by the Deposit Insurance Corporation may take the form of a monetary grant, loan or deposit of funds, purchase of assets, guarantee or assumption of debt, subscription for preferred stock, or loss sharing.

The Deposit Insurance Act also provides for exceptional measures to cope with systemic risk in the financial industry. Where the Prime Minister recognizes that the failure of a bank which falls into any of (i) through (iii) below may cause an extremely grave problem to the maintenance of the financial order in Japan or the region where such bank is operating, or systemic risk, if none of the measures described in (i) through (iii) below is implemented, the Prime Minister may, following deliberation by the Financial Crisis Response Council, confirm (*nintei*) the need to take any of the following measures: (i) if the bank does not fall into either of the categories described in (ii) or (iii) below, the Deposit Insurance Corporation may subscribe for shares or subordinated bonds of, or extend subordinated loans to the bank, or subscribe for shares of the bank holding company of the bank, in order to enhance the bank's regulatory capital ("Item 1 measures" (*dai ichigo sochi*)); (ii) if the bank has suspended, or is likely to suspend, repayment of deposits, or its liabilities exceed its assets, financial aid exceeding the pay-off cost may be made available to such bank ("Item 2 measures" (*dai nigo sochi*)); and (iii) if the bank has suspended, or is likely to suspend, repayment of deposits, and its liabilities exceed its assets, and the systemic risk cannot be avoided by the measures mentioned in (ii) above, the Deposit Insurance Corporation may acquire all of the bank's shares ("Item 3 measures" (*dai sango sochi*)). The expenses for the implementation of the above measures will be borne by the banking industry, with an exception under which the Japanese government may provide partial subsidies for such expenses.

Under the new orderly resolution regime established by amendments to the Deposit Insurance Act that were promulgated in June 2013 and became effective on March 6, 2014, financial institutions, including banks, insurance companies and securities companies and their holding companies, are subject to the regime. Further, where the Prime Minister recognizes that the failure of a financial institution which falls into either of (a) or (b) below may cause a significant disruption to the Japanese financial market or system in Japan if measures described in (a) or measures described in (b) are not taken, the Prime Minister may, following deliberation by the

Financial Response Crisis Council, confirm (*nintei*) that any of the following measures need to be applied to the financial institution:

- (a) if the financial institution is not a financial institution whose liabilities exceed its assets, the financial institution shall be placed under the special supervision by the Deposit Insurance Corporation over the financial institution's business operations and management and the disposal of the financial institution's assets, and the Deposit Insurance Corporation may provide the financial institution with loans or guarantees necessary to avoid the risk of significant disruption to the financial system in Japan, or subscribe for shares or subordinated bonds of, or extend subordinated loans to, the financial institution, taking into consideration the financial condition of the financial institution ("Specified Item 1 measures" (*tokutei dai ichigo sochi*) under Article 126-2, Paragraph 1, Item 1 of the Deposit Insurance Act); or
- (b) if the financial institution is a financial institution whose liabilities exceed, or are likely to exceed, its assets or which has suspended, or is likely to suspend, payments on its obligations, the financial institution shall be placed under the special supervision by the Deposit Insurance Corporation over the financial institution's business operations and management and the disposal of the financial institution's assets, and the Deposit Insurance Corporation may provide financial aid necessary to assist a merger, business transfer, corporate split or other reorganization in respect of such failed financial institution ("Specified Item 2 measures" (*tokutei dai nigo sochi*) under Article 126-2, Paragraph 1, Item 2 of the Deposit Insurance Act).

If the Prime Minister confirms that any of the measures set out in (b) above needs to be applied to a failed financial institution, the Prime Minister may order that the failed financial institution's business operations and management and the disposal of the failed financial institution's assets be placed under the special control of the Deposit Insurance Corporation under Article 126-5 of the Deposit Insurance Act. The business or liabilities of the financial institution subject to the special supervision or the special control of the Deposit Insurance Corporation as set forth above may also be transferred to a "bridge financial institution" established by the Deposit Insurance Corporation to enable the financial institution's operations to be maintained and continue temporarily, or the financial institution's liabilities to be repaid, and the bridge financial institution will seek to transfer the financial institution's business or liabilities to another financial institution or dissolve the financial institution. The financial aid provided by the Deposit Insurance Corporation to assist a merger, business transfer, corporate split or other reorganization in respect of the failed financial institution set out in (b) above may take the form of a monetary grant, loan or deposit of funds, purchase of assets, guarantee or assumption of debts, subscription for preferred stock or subordinated bonds, subordinated loan, or loss sharing. If the Deposit Insurance Corporation has provided such financial assistance, the Prime Minister may designate the movable assets and claims of the failed financial institution as not subject to attachment under Article 126-16 of the Deposit Insurance Act, and such merger, business transfer, corporate split or other reorganization may be conducted outside of the court-administrated insolvency proceedings. If the financial institution subject to the special supervision or the special control by the Deposit Insurance Corporation as set forth above has liabilities that exceed, or are likely to exceed, its assets, or has suspended, or is likely to suspend, payments on its obligations, the financial institution may transfer all or a material portion of its business or all or a material portion of shares of its subsidiaries or implement corporate split or certain other corporate actions with court permission in lieu of any shareholder resolutions under Article 126-13 of the Deposit Insurance Act. In addition, the Deposit Insurance Corporation must request other financial institution creditors of the failed financial institution to refrain from exercising their rights against the failed financial institution until measures necessary to avoid the risk of significant disruption to the financial system in Japan have been taken, if it is recognized that such exercise of their rights is likely to make the orderly resolution of the failed financial institution difficult.

The expenses for implementation of the measures under this regime will be borne by the financial industry, with an exception under which the Japanese government may provide partial subsidies for such expenses within the limit to be specified in the government budget in cases where it is likely to cause extremely serious hindrance

to the maintenance of the credit system in Japan or significant turmoil in the Japanese financial market or system if such expenses are to be borne only by the financial industry.

According to the announcement made by the FSA in March 2014, (i) Additional Tier 1 instruments and Tier 2 instruments under Basel III issued by a bank must be written down or converted into common shares when the Prime Minister confirms (*nintei*) that Item 2 measures (*dai nigo sochi*), Item 3 measures (*dai sango sochi*), or Specified Item 2 measures (*tokutei dai nigo sochi*) need to be applied to the bank and (ii) Additional Tier 1 instruments and Tier 2 instruments under Basel III issued by a bank holding company must be written down or converted into common shares when the Prime Minister confirms (*nintei*) that Specified Item 2 measures (*tokutei dai nigo sochi*) need to be applied to the bank holding company.

Further, in an explanatory paper outlining the FSA's approach for the introduction of the TLAC framework in Japan published by the FSA in April 2016 and revisions to the paper published by the FSA in April 2018, collectively the FSA TLAC Approach, as well as in the Japanese TLAC Standard, the FSA expressed its view that single point of entry, or SPE, resolution, in which a single national resolution authority applies its resolution tools to the ultimate holding company in Japan of a financial group, would be the preferred strategy for resolution of Japanese G-SIBs and a domestic systemically important bank, or D-SIBs, deemed to be in particular need for a cross-border resolution arrangement and of particular systemic significance to the Japanese financial system if it fails (such G-SIBs and D-SIBs, collectively, "Covered SIBs"). However, it is uncertain which measure is to be taken in a given case, including whether or not the SPE resolution strategy will actually be elected and implemented in a given case, and the actual measures to be taken will be determined on a case-by-case basis considering the actual condition of the relevant Japanese G-SIB in distress. Under a possible model of resolution of a Japanese G-SIB based on the SPE resolution strategy as described in the Japanese TLAC Standard, if the FSA determines that a material subsidiary in Japan of a financial institution that is a Japanese G-SIB is non-viable due to material deterioration in its financial condition and issues an order concerning restoration of financial soundness, including recapitalization and restoration of liquidity of such material subsidiary, to the ultimate holding company in Japan designated by the FSA as Domestic Resolution Entity for the financial institution under Article 52-33, Paragraph 1 of the Banking Act of Japan (Act No. 59 of 1981), the material subsidiary's Internal TLAC instruments will be written off or, if applicable, converted into equity in accordance with the applicable contractual loss absorption provisions of such Internal TLAC instruments. Following the write-off or conversion of Internal TLAC instruments, if the Prime Minister recognizes that the financial institution's liabilities exceed, or are likely to exceed, its assets, or that it has suspended, or is likely to suspend, payments on its obligations, as a result of the financial institution's loans to, or other investment in, the material subsidiary becoming subject to loss absorption or otherwise, and further recognizes that the failure of such financial institution is likely to cause a significant disruption to the Japanese financial market or system, the Prime Minister may, following deliberation by the Financial Crisis Response Council, confirm that measures set forth in Article 126-2, Paragraph 1, Item 2 of the Deposit Insurance Act, generally referred to as Specified Item 2 Measures (*tokutei dai nigo sochi*), need to be applied to the financial institution for its orderly resolution. Any such confirmation by the Prime Minister also triggers the point of non-viability clauses of Additional Tier 1 and Tier 2 instruments issued by the financial institution, causing such instruments to be written off or, if applicable, converted into equity, as described above.

Upon the application of Specified Item 2 Measures (*tokutei dai nigo sochi*), a financial institution will be placed under the special supervision by, or if the Prime Minister so orders, under the special control of, the Deposit Insurance Corporation. In an orderly resolution, the Deposit Insurance Corporation would control the operation and management of a financial institution's business, assets and liabilities, including the potential transfer to a bridge financial institution established by the Deposit Insurance Corporation as its subsidiary, or such other financial institution as the Deposit Insurance Corporation may determine, of the financial institution's systemically important assets and liabilities, which we expect in the case of MUFG would include the shares of our material subsidiaries based on the Japanese TLAC Standard. The Prime Minister may prohibit creditors of the financial institution from attaching any of our assets and claims which are to be transferred to a bridge financial institution or another financial institution pursuant to Article 126-16 of the Deposit Insurance Act.

Based on the Japanese TLAC Standard, it is currently expected that the External TLAC eligible senior notes issued by the financial institution will not be transferred to a bridge financial institution or other transferee in the orderly resolution process but will remain as such financial institution's liabilities subject to court-administered insolvency proceedings. On the other hand, in an orderly resolution process, the shares of material subsidiaries of such financial institution may be transferred to a bridge financial institution or other transferee, and such financial institution would only be entitled to receive consideration representing the fair value of such shares, which could be significantly less than the book value of such shares. Following such business transfer, the recoverable value of such financial institution's residual assets in court-administered insolvency proceedings may not be sufficient to fully satisfy any payment obligations that such financial institution may have under its liabilities, including the External TLAC eligible senior notes.

Recovery and resolution plan. In November 2019, the Financial Stability Board published the latest list of G-SIBs, which includes us. The list is annually updated by the Financial Stability Board. A recovery and resolution plan must be put in place for each G-SIB, and the plans must be regularly reviewed and updated. In Japan, under the Banking Law and the Comprehensive Guidelines for Supervision of Major Banks, etc., financial institutions identified as G-SIBs must, as part of their crisis management, prepare and submit a recovery plan, including triggers for the recovery plan and an analysis of recovery options, to the FSA. The Comprehensive Guidelines also provide that resolution plans for such financial institutions are prepared by the FSA. We submitted our recovery plan to the FSA in December 2019.

Liquidity Coverage Ratio. Japanese banks and bank holding companies with international operations are required to disclose their LCRs calculated in accordance with the methodology prescribed in the FSA guidance that has been adopted to implement the relevant Basel III standard. The LCR is a measure to determine whether a bank has a sufficient amount of high-quality liquid assets, which are assets that can be converted easily and immediately into cash in private markets in order to meet the bank's liquidity needs, to survive in a 30-day financial stress scenario, including sizable deposit outflows, inability to issue new bonds or access the interbank market, stoppage of the collateralized funding market, need for additional collateral in connection with derivative transactions, and significant outflows of cash under commitment lines to customers. Once a bank or bank holding company fails to meet the minimum LCR of 100%, it is required to immediately report such failure to the FSA. If the FSA deems the financial condition of the bank or bank holding company to be serious, the FSA may issue a business improvement order. A minimum LCR of 100% is currently required. In light of the COVID-19 pandemic, the FSA issued a statement on March 17, 2020 that the FSA will tolerate LCR under 100% in the case of stressed conditions. In addition, in cases where LCR declines under 100%, the FSA has stated that they will respond in a flexible manner with respect to applicable reporting requirements established by the FSA.

Net Stable Funding Ratio. The NSFR is a measure to determine whether a bank has sustainable and long-term liabilities and capital for its assets and activities. The Basel Committee on Banking Supervision issued the final standard of NSFR in October 2014. In Japan, details of the NSFR requirements are currently under discussion and the FSA confirmed in March 2020 that it would not implement the NSFR requirements prior to April 2021.

Inspection and reporting. The FSA has the authority to order reporting from, and inspect, banks and banking holding companies in Japan. Based on its "Principles and Approaches of Inspection and Supervision," the FSA seeks to evaluate the effectiveness of the operations and functions of financial institutions, supervise financial institutions based on proactive and forward-looking analyses, facilitate best practices among financial institutions, focus monitoring on high-priority issues, and integrate on- and off-site monitoring. The FSA abolished the Financial Institutions Inspection Manual which had traditionally been understood to set forth the minimum standard for the operations of financial institutions in Japan in December 2019.

Furthermore, the Securities and Exchange Surveillance Commission of Japan, or SESC, inspects banks in connection with their securities business as well as financial instruments business operators, such as securities firms. The Bank of Japan also conducts inspections of banks. The Bank of Japan Law provides that the Bank of Japan and financial institutions may agree as to the form of inspection to be conducted by the Bank of Japan.

Laws limiting shareholdings of banks. The provisions of the Antimonopoly Act that generally prohibit a bank from holding more than 5% of another company's voting rights do not apply to a bank holding company.

However, the Banking Law prohibits a bank holding company and its subsidiaries from holding, on an aggregated basis, more than 15% of the voting rights of companies other than those which can legally become subsidiaries of bank holding companies. There have recently been amendments to various financial regulation related laws, including the Banking Law, which includes certain deregulations on restrictions for shareholdings by banks, as described above.

In addition, a bank is prohibited from holding shares in other companies exceeding the aggregate of its Common Equity Tier 1 capital amount and Additional Tier 1 capital amount.

Restrictions on exposures to single large counterparties. The Banking Law prohibits banks and bank holding companies (on a consolidated basis with their subsidiaries and affiliates) from having large exposure exceeding 25% of their Tier 1 capital to a single counterparty and also prohibits a G-SIB's exposure to another G-SIB exceeding 15% of its Tier 1 capital.

Financial Instruments and Exchange Act. The Financial Instruments and Exchange Act provides protection for investors and also regulates sales of a wide range of financial instruments and services, requiring financial institutions to improve their sales rules and strengthen compliance frameworks and procedures. Among the instruments that the Japanese banks deal in, derivatives, foreign currency-denominated deposits, and variable insurance and annuity products are subject to regulations covered by the sales-related rules of conduct under the law.

Article 33 of the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act generally prohibits banks from engaging in securities transactions. However, bank holding companies and banks may, through a domestic or overseas securities subsidiary, conduct all types of securities businesses, with appropriate approval from the FSA. Similarly, registered banks are permitted to provide securities intermediation services and engage in certain other similar types of securities related transactions, including retail sales of investment funds and government and municipal bonds.

Subsidiaries of bank holding companies engaging in the securities business are subject to the supervision of the FSA as financial instruments business operators. The Prime Minister has the authority to regulate the securities industry and securities companies, which authority is delegated to the Commissioner of the FSA under the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act. In addition, the SESC, an external agency of the FSA, is independent from the FSA's other bureaus and is vested with the authority to conduct day-to-day monitoring of the securities markets and to investigate irregular activities that hinder fair trading of securities, including inspections of securities companies as well as banks in connection with their securities business. Furthermore, the Commissioner of the FSA delegates certain authority to the Director General of the Local Finance Bureau to inspect local securities companies and their branches. A violation of applicable laws and ordinances may result in various administrative sanctions, including revocation of registration, suspension of business, administrative monetary penalty or an order to discharge any director or executive officer who has failed to comply with applicable laws and ordinances. Securities companies are also subject to the rules and regulations of the Japanese stock exchanges and the Japan Securities Dealers Association, a self-regulatory organization of securities companies.

Act on Sales, etc. of Financial Instruments. The Act on Sales, etc. of Financial Instruments was enacted to protect customers from incurring unexpected losses as a result of purchasing financial instruments. Under this act, sellers of financial instruments have a duty to their potential customers to explain important matters such as the nature and magnitude of risks involved regarding the financial instruments that they intend to sell. If a seller fails to comply with the duty, there is a rebuttable presumption that the loss suffered by the customer due to the seller's failure to explain is equal to the amount of decrease in the value of the purchased financial instruments.

Anti-money laundering laws. Under the Act on Prevention of Transfer of Criminal Proceeds, specified business operators, including financial institutions, are required to verify customer identification data, preserve transaction records, and file Suspicious Transaction Reports with the FSA or other regulatory authorities in cases where any asset received through their business operations is suspected of being criminal proceeds.

In February 2018, the FSA issued “Guidelines on Anti-Money Laundering and Terrorist Financing” to require financial institutions to further strengthen their management of anti-money laundering and terrorist financing functions and their risk-based approach used in such functions.

Further, recent amendments to the Enforcement Ordinance of the Act introduced requirements relating to online KYC processes in November 2018 and strengthened the requirements for KYC processes for customers residing in remote areas in April 2020.

Acts concerning trust business conducted by financial institutions. Under the Trust Business Act, joint stock companies that are licensed by the Prime Minister as trust companies, including non-financial companies, are allowed to conduct trust business. In addition, under the Act on Provision, etc. of Trust Business by Financial Institutions, banks and other financial institutions, as permitted by the Prime Minister, are able to conduct trust business. The Trust Business Act provides for a separate type of registration for trustees who conduct only administration type trust business. The Trust Business Act also provides for various duties imposed on the trustee in accordance with and in addition to the Trust Act.

Act on the Protection of Personal Information. With regard to protection of personal information, the Act on the Protection of Personal Information requires, among other things, Japanese banking institutions to limit the use of personal information to the stated purposes and to properly manage the personal information in their possession, and forbids them from providing personal information to third parties without consent. If a bank violates certain provisions of the act, the Personal Information Protection Commission of Japan may advise or order the bank to take proper action. In addition, the Banking Law and the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act contain certain provisions with respect to appropriate handling of customer information.

Act on the Use of Personal Identification Numbers in the Administration of Government Affairs. Pursuant to the Act on the Use of Personal Identification Numbers in the Administration of Government Affairs, which became effective in October 2015, the Japanese government has adopted a Social Security and Tax Number System, which is designed to (1) improve social security services, (2) enhance public convenience in obtaining government services, and (3) increase the efficiency of the administration of government affairs. Under this system, a 12-digit unique number is assigned to each resident of Japan to identify and manage information relating to the resident for government service and tax purposes. Financial institutions are required to implement measures to ensure that such customer information will be protected from inappropriate disclosure and other unauthorized use.

Act Concerning Protection of Depositors from Illegal Withdrawals Made by Counterfeit or Stolen Cards. The Act on Protection, etc. of Depositors and Postal Saving Holders from Unauthorized Automated Withdrawal, etc. Using Counterfeit Cards, etc. and Stolen Cards, etc. requires financial institutions to establish internal systems to prevent illegal withdrawals of deposits made using counterfeit or stolen bank cards. The act also requires a financial institution to compensate depositors for any amount illegally withdrawn using stolen bank cards except in certain cases, including those where the financial institution can verify that it acted in good faith without negligence and there was gross negligence on the part of the relevant depositor. In addition, the act provides that illegal withdrawals with counterfeit bank cards are invalid unless the financial institution acted in good faith without negligence and there was gross negligence on the part of the relevant account holder.

Government reforms to restrict maximum interest rates on consumer lending business. In December 2006, the Diet passed legislation to reform the regulations relating to the consumer lending business, including amendments to the Act Regulating the Receipt of Contributions, Receipt of Deposits and Interest Rates which,

effective June 18, 2010, reduced the maximum permissible interest rate from 29.2% per annum to 20% per annum. The regulatory reforms also included amendments to the Law Concerning Lending Business which, effective June 18, 2010, abolished the so-called “gray-zone interest.” Gray-zone interest refers to interest rates exceeding the limits stipulated by the Interest Rate Restriction Act (between 15% per annum to 20% per annum depending on the amount of principal). Prior to June 18, 2010, gray-zone interests were permitted under certain conditions set forth in the Law Concerning Lending Business. As a result of the regulatory reforms, all interest rates are now subject to the lower limits imposed by the Interest Rate Restriction Act, compelling lending institutions, including our consumer finance subsidiaries and equity method investees, to lower the interest rates they charge borrowers. Furthermore, the new regulations, which became effective on June 18, 2010, require, among other things, consumer finance companies to limit their lending to a single customer to a maximum of one third of the customer’s annual income regardless of the customer’s repayment capability.

In addition, as a result of decisions made by the Supreme Court of Japan prior to June 18, 2010, imposing stringent requirements for charging such gray-zone interest rates, consumer finance companies have been responding to borrowers’ claims for reimbursement of previously collected interest payments in excess of the limits stipulated by the Interest Rate Restriction Act. See “Item 3.D. Key Information—Risk Factors—Operational Risk—Because of our loans to consumers and our shareholdings in companies engaged in consumer lending, changes in the business or regulatory environment for consumer finance companies in Japan may further adversely affect our financial results.”

Act on Special Provisions of the Income Tax Act, the Corporation Tax Act and the Local Tax Act Incidental to Enforcement of Tax Treaties. Pursuant to the Amendments to the Act on Special Provisions of the Income Tax Act, the Corporation Tax Act and the Local Tax Act Incidental to Enforcement of Tax Treaties, which became effective in January 2017, financial institutions are required to collect certain information from their accountholders, including jurisdictions of tax residence, and report such information to the National Tax Agency in accordance with the Common Reporting Standard as developed by the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development.

United States

As a result of our operations in the United States, we are subject to extensive U.S. federal and state supervision and regulation.

Overall supervision and regulation. We are subject to supervision, regulation and examination with respect to our U.S. operations by the FRB pursuant to the U.S. Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended, or the BHCA, and the International Banking Act of 1978, as amended, or the IBA, because we and MUFG Bank are bank holding companies and foreign banking organizations, as defined pursuant to those statutes. The FRB functions as our “umbrella” supervisor under amendments to the BHCA effected by the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999, which among other things:

- prohibited further expansion of the types of activities in which bank holding companies, acting directly or through non-bank subsidiaries, may engage;
- authorized qualifying bank holding companies to opt to become “financial holding companies,” and thereby acquire the authority to engage in an expanded list of activities; and
- modified the role of the FRB by specifying new relationships between the FRB and the functional regulators of non-bank subsidiaries of both bank holding companies and financial holding companies.

The BHCA generally prohibits each of a bank holding company and a foreign banking organization that maintains branches or agencies in the United States from, directly or indirectly, acquiring more than 5% of the voting shares of any company engaged in non-banking activities in the United States unless the bank holding

company or foreign banking organization has elected to become a financial holding company, as discussed above, or the FRB has determined, by order or regulation, that such activities are so closely related to banking as to be a proper incident thereto and has granted its approval to the bank holding company or foreign banking organization for such an acquisition. The BHCA also requires a bank holding company or foreign banking organization that maintains branches or agencies in the United States to obtain the prior approval of an appropriate federal banking authority before acquiring, directly or indirectly, the ownership of more than 5% of the voting shares or control of any U.S. bank or bank holding company. In addition, under the BHCA, a U.S. bank or a U.S. branch or agency of a foreign bank is prohibited from engaging in various tying arrangements involving it or its affiliates in connection with any extension of credit, sale or lease of any property or provision of any services.

In October 2008, we, MUFG Bank, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking and MUFG Americas Holdings initially attained financial holding company status. In August 2016, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking relinquished its financial holding company status. Financial holding company status is subject to periodic regulatory review. A financial holding company is authorized to engage in an expanded list of activities deemed to be financial in nature or incidental to such financial activity as well as certain specified non-banking activities deemed to be closely related to banking. In order to maintain the status as a financial holding company, a bank holding company must continue to meet certain standards established by the FRB. Those standards require that a financial holding company exceed the minimum standards applicable to bank holding companies that have not elected to become financial holding companies. These higher standards include meeting the “well capitalized” and “well managed” standards for financial holding companies as defined in the regulations of the FRB. Failure to meet these standards, due to inadequate capital management or shortcomings in operations, results in restrictions on the ability to engage in expanded activities as a financial holding company. In addition, a financial holding company must ensure that its U.S. banking subsidiaries meet certain minimum standards under the Community Reinvestment Act of 1977.

U.S. branches and agencies of subsidiary Japanese banks. Under the authority of the IBA, our banking subsidiaries, MUFG Bank and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking, operate five branches, two agencies and seven representative offices in the United States. MUFG Bank operates branches in Los Angeles, California; Chicago, Illinois; and two branches in New York, New York; agencies in Houston and Dallas, Texas; and representative offices in Washington, D.C.; San Francisco, California; Seattle, Washington; Atlanta, Georgia; Minnetonka, Minnesota; Jersey City, New Jersey; and Florence, Kentucky. Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking operates a branch in New York, New York.

The IBA provides, among other things, that the FRB may examine U.S. branches and agencies of foreign banks, and each branch and agency shall be subject to on-site examination by the appropriate federal or state bank supervisor as frequently as would a U.S. bank. The IBA also provides that if the FRB determines that a foreign bank is not subject to comprehensive supervision or regulation on a consolidated basis by the appropriate authorities in its home country, or if there is reasonable cause to believe that the foreign bank or its affiliate has committed a violation of law or engaged in an unsafe or unsound banking practice in the United States, the FRB may order the foreign bank to terminate activities conducted at a branch or agency in the United States.

U.S. branches and agencies of foreign banks must be licensed, and are also supervised and regulated, by a state or by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, or the OCC, the federal regulator of U.S. national banks. The OCC is an independent bureau of the U.S. Department of the Treasury. Effective November 7, 2017, all of the branches and agencies of MUFG Bank and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking in the United States converted from state-licensed branches and agencies to federally-licensed branches and agencies supervised and regulated by the OCC.

When opening a federal branch or agency, a foreign bank must establish and maintain a deposit account with an FRB member bank of at least (1) the amount of capital that would be required of a national bank being organized at the same location or (2) five percent of the total liabilities of the federal branch or agency, including

acceptances but excluding (i) accrued expenses and (ii) amounts due and other liabilities to offices, branches, and subsidiaries of the foreign bank, whichever is greater. Federally-licensed branches and agencies must also submit written reports concerning their assets and liabilities and other matters, to the extent required by the OCC or the FRB, and are examined at periodic intervals by the OCC and the FRB.

U.S. banking subsidiaries. We indirectly own and control one U.S. bank, MUFG Union Bank, N.A. (known prior to July 1, 2014 as Union Bank, N.A.), through MUFG Bank and its subsidiary, MUFG Americas Holdings, a registered bank holding company.

MUFG Union Bank is a national bank subject to the supervision, examination and regulatory authority of the OCC pursuant to the National Bank Act.

In regulating national banks such as MUFG Union Bank, the OCC has the power to examine those banks; approve or deny applications for new charters, branches, capital, or other changes in corporate or banking structure; take supervisory actions against national banks that do not comply with laws and regulations or that otherwise engage in unsound practices; remove officers and directors, negotiate agreements to change banking practices, and issue cease and desist orders as well as civil money penalties; and issue rules and regulations, legal interpretations, and corporate decisions governing investments, lending, and other practices. The OCC's staff of bank examiners conducts on-site reviews and provides sustained supervision of national banks. Examiners analyze loan and investment portfolios, funds management, capital, earnings, liquidity, and sensitivity to market risk for national banks. Examiners also review internal controls, internal and external audit, and compliance with law, and evaluate management's ability to identify and control risk.

In addition, the FDIC insures the deposits of MUFG Union Bank up to legally specified maximum amounts. In the event of a failure of an FDIC-insured bank, the FDIC is virtually certain to be appointed as receiver, and would resolve the failure under provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act. In the liquidation or other resolution of a failed FDIC-insured depository institution, deposits in its U.S. offices and other claims for administrative expenses and employee compensation are afforded priority over other general unsecured claims, including deposits in offices outside the United States, non-deposit claims in all offices and claims of a parent company. Moreover, under longstanding FRB policy, a bank holding company is expected to act as a source of financial strength for its banking subsidiaries and to commit resources to support such banks.

Bank capital requirements and capital distributions. MUFG Union Bank is subject to applicable risk-based and leverage capital guidelines issued by U.S. regulators for banks and bank holding companies. In addition, MUFG Bank and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking, as foreign banking organizations that have U.S. branches and agencies and that are controlled by us, are subject to the FRB's requirements that they be "well-capitalized" based on Japan's risk based capital standards. MUFG Union Bank, MUFG Bank, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking, and MUFG Americas Holdings are all "well capitalized" as defined under, and otherwise comply with, all U.S. regulatory capital requirements applicable to them. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991, or FDICIA, provides, among other things, for expanded regulation of insured depository institutions, including banks, and their parent holding companies. As required by FDICIA, the federal banking agencies have established five capital tiers ranging from "well capitalized" to "critically undercapitalized" for insured depository institutions. As an institution's capital position deteriorates, the federal banking regulators may take progressively stronger actions, such as further restricting affiliate transactions, activities, asset growth or interest payments. In addition, FDICIA generally prohibits an insured depository institution from making capital distributions, including the payment of dividends, or the payment of any management fee to its holding company, if the insured depository institution would be undercapitalized after making such distribution or paying such dividend or fee.

The availability of dividends from insured depository institutions in the United States is limited by various other statutes and regulations. The National Bank Act and other federal laws prohibit the payment of dividends by a national bank under various circumstances and limit the amount a national bank can pay without the prior

approval of the OCC. In addition, state-chartered banking institutions are subject to dividend limitations imposed by applicable federal and state laws.

Other regulated U.S. subsidiaries. Our non-bank subsidiaries that engage in securities-related activities in the United States are regulated by appropriate functional regulators, such as the SEC, any self-regulatory organizations of which they are members, and the appropriate state regulatory agencies. These non-bank subsidiaries are required to meet separate minimum capital standards as imposed by those regulatory authorities.

Anti-Money Laundering Initiatives, the Bank Secrecy Act and the USA PATRIOT Act. A major focus of U.S. governmental policy relating to financial institutions in recent years has been, and continues to be, aimed at preventing money laundering and terrorist financing. The USA PATRIOT Act of 2001, as incorporated into the Bank Secrecy Act, substantially broadened the scope of U.S. anti-money laundering laws and regulations by imposing significant new compliance and due diligence obligations, creating new crimes and penalties and expanding the extra-territorial jurisdiction of the United States. The U.S. Department of the Treasury has issued a number of regulations that impose obligations on financial institutions to maintain appropriate policies, procedures and controls to detect, prevent and report potential money laundering and terrorist financing, including the collection of beneficial ownership information. The bank regulatory agencies carefully scrutinize the adequacy of an institution's compliance with these regulations and, as a result, there have been an increased number of regulatory enforcement actions. A financial institution's failure to maintain and implement adequate policies, procedures and controls to prevent and detect money laundering and terrorist financing could have serious legal and reputational consequences for the institution, including the incurrence of expenses to enhance the relevant programs, the imposition of limitations on the scope of its operations and the imposition of fines and other monetary penalties. See "Item 3.D. Key Information—Risk Factors—Operational Risk—We may become subject to regulatory actions or other legal proceedings relating to our transactions or other aspects of our operations, which could result in significant financial losses, restrictions on our operations and damage to our reputation."

Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. In recent years, U.S. regulatory and enforcement agencies including the SEC and the U.S. Department of Justice have significantly increased their enforcement efforts of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, or the FCPA. The FCPA prohibits U.S. securities issuers, U.S. domestic entities, and parties doing substantial business within the United States (including their shareholders, directors, agents, officers, and employees) from giving, offering, or promising anything of value to foreign public officials in order to obtain or retain any business advantage. The FCPA also requires U.S. securities issuers to maintain adequate books and records in such a way that they fairly reflect all transactions and dispositions of assets. Enforcement efforts have targeted a wide range of U.S. and foreign-based entities and have been based on a broad variety of alleged fact patterns, and in a number of cases have resulted in the imposition of substantial criminal and civil penalties or in agreed payments in settlement of alleged violations. Failure to maintain adequate anti-bribery policies, procedures, internal controls, and books and records globally could have serious legal and reputational consequences for the institution, including the incurrence of expenses to enhance the relevant programs, as well as the imposition of civil and criminal penalties.

Regulatory Reform Legislation. In response to the global financial crisis and the perception that lax supervision of the financial industry in the United States may have been a contributing cause, legislation designed to reform the system for supervision and regulation of financial firms doing business in the United States, the so-called Dodd-Frank Act, was signed into law on July 21, 2010. The Dodd-Frank Act is complex and extensive in its coverage and contains a wide range of provisions that affect financial institutions operating in the United States, including our U.S. operations. Included among these provisions are sweeping reforms designed to reduce systemic risk presented by very large financial firms, promote enhanced supervision, regulation, and prudential standards for financial firms, establish comprehensive supervision of financial markets, impose new limitations on permissible financial institution activities and investments, expand regulation of the derivatives markets, protect consumers and investors from financial abuse, and provide the government with the tools needed to manage a financial crisis. Key provisions that impact our operations are summarized

below. However, certain regulatory rules under the Dodd-Frank Act are not yet finalized, require further interpretive guidance by the relevant supervisory agencies, or do not yet require us to fully implement compliance procedures. Accordingly, while the legislation has an impact on our operations, including the imposition of significant compliance costs, we are unable to assess with certainty the full degree of impact of the Dodd-Frank Act on our operations at this time.

Among the components of the Dodd-Frank Act that have impacted or may impact our operations are the provisions relating to enhanced prudential standards, including capital, liquidity and structural requirements, the “Volcker Rule,” derivatives regulation, credit reporting, resolution plans, incentive-based compensation, the establishment of the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, and debit interchange fees. Although certain of the regulatory rules regarding the foregoing components are still pending, as noted above, based on information currently available to us, other than the Volcker Rule and derivatives regulations as discussed below, the impact of these components is expected to be mainly limited to our U.S. operations and not to be material to us on a consolidated basis. We intend to continue to monitor developments relating to the Dodd-Frank Act and the potential impact on our activities inside and outside of the United States.

With respect to the Dodd-Frank Act provisions related to enhanced prudential standards, in February 2014 the FRB issued final rules that established enhanced prudential standards for the U.S. operations of foreign banking organizations such as MUFG. These rules required us to organize by July 1, 2016 all of our U.S. bank and non-bank subsidiaries, with certain limited exceptions, under a U.S. IHC that is subject to U.S. capital requirements and enhanced prudential standards comparable to those applicable to top-tier U.S. bank holding companies of the same size. Under these rules, we were required to change the structure of our U.S. operations, including the manner in which we oversee and manage those operations, and may be required to inject additional capital into our U.S. operations. We have designated MUFG Americas Holdings as our IHC.

MUFG Americas Holdings is subject to various U.S. prudential requirements and has become subject to others with the designation of MUFG Americas Holdings as our IHC as of July 1, 2016. MUFG Americas Holdings was previously subject to risk-based and leverage capital requirements, liquidity requirements, and other enhanced prudential standards applicable to large U.S. bank holding companies. MUFG Americas Holdings was also subject to capital planning and stress testing requirements. MUFG Americas Holdings is now subject to the capital planning and stress testing requirements and certain enhanced prudential standards applicable to IHCs. On June 22, 2017, the FRB released the results of the 2017 Dodd-Frank Act stress tests. It found that, even in the severely adverse economic stress test scenario, MUFG Americas Holdings would maintain capital ratios well above the required minimum levels. On June 28, 2017, the FRB announced that it had no objections to the capital plan submitted by MUFG Americas Holdings as part of the 2017 Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review, or CCAR. The FRB announced early in 2017 that MUFG Americas Holdings would not be subject to the qualitative portion of the CCAR.

The FRB has the authority to examine an IHC and any of its subsidiaries. U.S. leverage requirements applicable to the IHC took effect beginning in January 2018. MUFG Americas Holdings is subject to a requirement to maintain an LCR equal to at least 100% based on total projected net cash outflows over a 30-calendar day period, effectively using net cash outflow assumptions equal to 70% of the outflow assumptions prescribed for internationally active banking organizations. Our combined U.S. operations, including MUFG Bank’s and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking’s branches, are also subject to certain requirements related to liquidity and risk management.

On June 25, 2020, the FRB will release the results of the 2020 Dodd-Frank Act and Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review (CCAR) stress tests which will include results for MUFG Americas Holdings. The FRB announced early in 2017 that MUFG Americas Holdings would not be subject to the qualitative portion of the CCAR; further, beginning with CCAR 2019, the FRB limited its ability to object to firms’ capital plans on qualitative grounds to firms recently subject to CCAR that continue to exhibit material deficiencies in capital planning.

The Volcker Rule was issued in final form by the Federal Reserve originally in December 2013, and substantive portions were subsequently amended in November 2019. Under the Volcker Rule, we are required to cease conducting certain proprietary trading activities, which means trading in securities and financial instruments for our own account, subject to certain exceptions, including market-making, hedging, and underwriting activities if such activities are conducted within a rigorous compliance framework. We are also restricted from engaging in certain activities regarding hedge funds and private equity funds, or covered funds. While the Volcker Rule excludes restrictions on such activities conducted solely outside of the United States, the regulatory definition of such exempted activities is narrow and complex and in some cases requires further clarification. Our proprietary trading and covered funds activities are generally executed outside of the United States, but certain activities within the United States are within the scope of the Rule, and, therefore, we have undertaken steps that we believe are appropriate to bring our activities and investments into compliance with the Rule. Although we are continuing to consider the effect of the recent changes and implement corresponding changes to our compliance program, given the limited amount of restricted activities in which we engage within the United States, we do not expect any final changes to the Volcker Rule to have a material negative impact on our operations.

U.S. regulators continue to issue final regulations and regulatory determinations governing swaps and derivatives markets as contemplated by the Dodd-Frank Act. To date, MUFG Bank and Mitsubishi UFJ Securities International, plc, have registered as swap dealers with the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission, or CFTC. Depending on the finalization of regulations and regulatory determinations governing swaps and derivatives markets under the Dodd-Frank Act, as well as the activities of our other subsidiaries located inside and outside of the United States, our other subsidiaries may have to register as swap dealers with, or be subject to the regulations of, the CFTC and/or SEC. Regulation of swap dealers by the CFTC and SEC imposes numerous corporate governance, business conduct, capital, margin, reporting, clearing, execution, and other regulatory requirements on our operations, which may adversely impact our derivatives businesses and make us less competitive than those competitors that are not subject to the same regulations. Although many regulations applicable to swap dealers are already in effect, it is difficult to assess the full impact of these requirements because some of the most important regulatory determinations have not yet been implemented or finalized. For example, U.S. regulators have previously adopted guidance and rules on the application of U.S. regulations to activities of registered swap dealers outside of the United States. The extraterritorial application of swap dealer regulatory requirements imposes significant operational and compliance burdens on our swaps activities outside of the United States. On December 18, 2019, the CFTC proposed rules that would modify and codify the cross-border application of certain of its Title VII swap rules to both U.S. and non-U.S. registered swap dealers. Similarly, in December 2019, the SEC adopted a package of rule amendments, guidance, and a related order designed to expand and clarify the framework for regulating cross-border security-based swaps, including single-name credit default swaps. We are continuing to consider the effects of these proposed and final regulatory changes.

On June 14, 2018, the FRB approved a final rule regarding single counterparty credit limits, or SCCL, for large banking organizations. The SCCL final rule is considered the last major piece of regulatory action needed to implement Section 165(e) of the Dodd-Frank Act. Section 165(e) was a response to the concern that failure or financial distress of one large, interconnected financial institution could cascade through the U.S. financial system and impair the financial condition of that firm's counterparties, including other large, interconnected firms. Section 165(e) generally, and the SCCL final rule specifically, seek to mitigate this risk by limiting the aggregate exposure among such financial institutions and their counterparties.

The final rule establishes separate SCCLs, one applicable to the combined U.S. operations, or CUSO, of MUFG and another to MUAH, as MUFG's U.S. intermediate holding company, or IHC. Originally, MUFG CUSO was required to comply with its SCCL beginning on January 1, 2020, while IHC compliance was scheduled to begin on July 1, 2020, unless that time is extended by the FRB in writing. The SCCL final rule allows FBOs to satisfy its CUSO-level requirements by certifying as to home-country compliance with Basel Committee standards in lieu of complying with the final U.S. SCCL rule. Earlier this year, the FRB extended by

18 months the initial compliance dates of its SCCL rule as it applies to the CUSO of FBOs, in order to provide additional time for foreign jurisdictions' implementation of the Basel standard to become effective. The compliance date is now July 1, 2021 for MUFG.

Separately, based on the preliminary categorization of MUAH as a Category IV entity under the final rules tailoring prudential standards for large banking organization ("Tailoring Final Rules"), SCCL will no longer apply to MUAH. The following paragraphs discuss the Tailoring Final Rules in greater detail.

On October 10, 2019, the FRB issued two final rules that (1) tailor the framework for application of enhanced prudential standards to U.S. and foreign banking organizations and (2) modify the application of capital and liquidity requirements to the operations of U.S. banking organizations and the U.S. operations of foreign banking organizations. The final rules apply the same framework as to the U.S. and foreign BHCs but use a differing calibration for foreign BHCs. The final rules became effective on December 31, 2019.

The final rule, promulgated solely by the FRB, determined the applicability of certain enhanced prudential standards requirements, including liquidity stress testing and management, capital planning and stress testing, risk management, single counterparty credit limits requirements, and related regulatory reporting by categorizing all foreign banking organizations with \$100 billion or more in combined U.S. assets into three categories. The second final rule was issued jointly by the FRB, the OCC, and the FDIC, and similarly categorized foreign banking organizations and tailored the application of the agencies' regulatory capital and standardized liquidity requirements on that basis. Under the framework of the final rules, MUFG's U.S. operations are subject to a split category treatment: (i) the combined U.S. operations are classified as Category II, subject to the most stringent requirements other than those applicable to U.S. G-SIBs; and (ii) MUAH, the U.S. BHC and IHC is classified as Category IV, a classification that provides certain capital and liquidity relief from prior requirements, taking into account the size and other risk characteristics of MUFG's U.S. subsidiary operations.

On October 10, 2019, the FRB jointly issued with the FDIC final rule amendments revising their joint resolution planning requirements of Section 165(d) of the Dodd-Frank Act. Resolution plans, also known as living wills, describe a firm's strategy for orderly resolution under bankruptcy in the event of material financial distress or failure of the firm. The final rule tailors the rule's requirements for firms that do not pose the same systemic risk as the largest institutions, requiring resolution plans to be submitted on a three-year cycle. MUFG is classified as a triennial full filer as applicable to large foreign and domestic banks classified within Category II and III, and MUFG is subject to alternating between submitting full and targeted resolution plans every three years. On May 6, 2020, the agencies, in recognition of immediate challenges of the COVID-19 pandemic, extended the next submission date by 90 days, to September 29, 2021, for the next required targeted resolution plan submission.

On January 30, 2020 the Federal Reserve adopted a final rule revising the "controlling influence" prong of its "control" rules under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended. The final rule largely adopts the proposed rule issued by the FRB in April 2019, reaffirms the Federal Reserve's conceptual framework for analyzing "controlling influence," and rejects a number of banking industry recommendations for liberalization of the "control" rules. The issue of "control" is a central concept under the Bank Holding Company Act. Among other things, control determines whether an investor in a banking organization is subject to the requirements and restrictions of the Bank Holding Company Act, whether a bank holding company's investment in a company is permissible and/or subjects the investee company to the requirements and restrictions of the Bank Holding Company Act, and whether an investor in any depository organization is subject to the Volcker Rule. As a result, a determination of whether or not an investment constitutes "control" is often determinative of whether an investment can be made (or, at least, must be restructured to avoid control). Originally effective on April 1, 2020, the FRB on March 31, 2020 announced that it would delay the effective date of the final rule to September 30, 2020.

Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act. The Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act was enacted in March 2010 and contains provisions commonly referred to as the Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act, or

FATCA. The U.S. Treasury, acting through the Internal Revenue Service, or the IRS, issued final FATCA regulations in January 2013. FATCA created a new reporting and withholding regime for U.S. and foreign financial institutions, or FFIs, and certain non-financial foreign entities, or NFFEs.

In addition, the FATCA framework has been expanded with the introduction of Intergovernmental Agreements between the U.S. Treasury and foreign governments, which pursue a framework for intergovernmental cooperation to facilitate the implementation of FATCA. The United States has entered into various Intergovernmental Agreements with non-U.S. jurisdictions including Japan. FATCA and the Intergovernmental Agreements became effective from July 1, 2014.

In connection with FATCA, we have assessed and determined if our group entities are U.S. withholding agents, FFIs, or NFFEs. Each identified U.S. withholding agent and FFI has also evaluated pre-existing and new entity accounts to the extent required to determine their respective FATCA classifications. We have continuously developed internal procedures and processes that we believe address the regulatory requirements under FATCA.

However, FATCA compliance has required us to develop extensive systems capabilities and internal processes to identify and report U.S. account holders who are subject to FATCA requirements, which has been a complex and costly process requiring significant internal resources. If our procedures and processes are determined not to be adequate to meet the requirements of FATCA, we could potentially be subject to serious legal and reputational consequences, including the imposition of withholding taxes on certain amounts payable to us from U.S. sources, and could be required to expend additional resources to enhance our systems, procedures and processes and take other measures in response to such consequences.

Capital Adequacy. MUFG Americas Holdings and MUFG Union Bank are required to maintain minimum capital ratios in accordance with rules issued by the U.S. Federal banking agencies. In July 2013, the U.S. Federal banking agencies issued final rules to implement the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision's capital guidance for U.S. banking organizations, or U.S. Basel III. These rules establish more restrictive capital definitions, create additional categories and higher risk weightings for certain asset classes and off-balance sheet exposures, higher minimum capital and leverage ratios and capital conservation buffers that will be added to the minimum capital requirements. These rules supersede the U.S. federal banking agencies' general risk-based capital rules generally referred to as Basel I, the advanced approaches rules generally referred to as Basel II, which are applicable to certain large banking organizations, and leverage rules, and are subject to certain transition provisions. MUFG Americas Holdings became subject to the U.S. Basel III capital rules in January 2015, with certain provisions subject to a phase-in period, while MUFG Union Bank continues to be subject to the U.S. Basel III capital rules which became effective for advanced approaches institutions on January 1, 2014. The U.S. Basel III capital rules were substantially phased in by January 1, 2019.

Both MUFG Americas Holdings and MUFG Union Bank are subject to the following regulatory minimum risk-based capital ratios: (1) 4.5% Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio, (2) 6.0% Tier 1 capital ratio and (3) 8.0% total capital ratio. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can result in certain mandatory, and possibly additional discretionary, actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a material effect on MUFG Americas Holdings' consolidated financial statements.

In addition to these regulatory minimum ratio requirements, MUFG Americas Holdings and MUFG Union Bank are subject to a fully phased-in capital conservation buffer requirement of 2.5%. MUFG Americas Holdings and MUFG Union Bank are also subject to a Tier 1 leverage ratio regulatory minimum requirement of 4% and a well-capitalized prompt corrective action standard of 5%.

In October 2015, the FRB proposed long-term debt and TLAC requirements for U.S. globally systemically important bank holding companies and U.S. IHCs of non-U.S. globally systemically important banks, including MUFG Americas Holdings. In December 2016, the FRB finalized rules imposing such requirements. Under the final rules, a covered IHC such as MUFG Americas Holdings is required to maintain a minimum amount of

eligible long-term debt issued to a non-U.S. parent entity that could be cancelled or converted to equity in order to absorb losses and recapitalize the IHC's operating subsidiaries at or near the point of resolution. A covered IHC is also required to maintain a minimum level of eligible TLAC issued to a non-U.S. parent entity consisting of regulatory capital and eligible long-term debt and maintain related buffers consisting of Common Equity Tier 1 capital. In addition, an IHC is restricted from issuing short-term debt and certain other types of liabilities that are structurally senior to eligible long-term debt. MUFG Americas Holdings became subject to these rules on January 1, 2019. Pursuant to 12 CFR § 252.164(a), we have certified to the FRB that we plan to follow an SPE resolution strategy, and that MUFG Americas Holdings would therefore be considered a "non-resolution covered IHC."

For more information, see "Item 5.B. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Capital Adequacy" and Note 21 to our audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Disclosure pursuant to Section 13(r) of the US Securities Exchange Act of 1934


We are disclosing the following information pursuant to Section 13(r) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act), which requires an issuer to disclose whether it or any of its affiliates knowingly engaged in certain activities, transactions or dealings relating to Iran or with natural persons or entities designated by the U.S. government under specified Executive Orders. The scope of activities that must be reported includes activities not prohibited by U.S. law and conducted outside the United States in compliance with applicable local law.

During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, our non-U.S. subsidiary, MUFG Bank, engaged in certain limited business activities with entities in, or affiliated with, Iran, including counterparties owned or controlled by the Iranian government. Specifically, our non-U.S. banking subsidiary, MUFG Bank, issued letters of credit and guarantees and provided remittance and other settlement services mainly in connection with customer transactions related to the purchase and exportation of Iranian crude oil to Japan prior to the expiration of the Significant Reduction Exception granted to Japan, and in some cases, in connection with other petroleum-related transactions with Iran by its customers that were exempt from applicable Iran-related sanctions or otherwise permitted by OFAC. These transactions did not involve U.S. dollars or clearing services of U.S. banks for the settlement of payments. For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, the aggregate fee income relating to these transactions was less than ¥50 million, representing less than 0.001 percent of our total fee income. In addition, some Iranian financial institutions and other entities in, or affiliated with, Iran maintained non-U.S. dollar correspondent accounts and other similar settlement accounts with MUFG Bank outside the United States. In addition to such accounts, MUFG Bank received deposits in Japan from, and provided settlement services in Japan to, fewer than 10 Iranian government-related entities and fewer than 100 Iranian government-related individuals such as Iranian diplomats, and maintains settlement accounts outside the United States for certain other financial institutions specified in Executive Order 13382, which settlement accounts were frozen in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, the average aggregate balance of deposits held in these accounts represented less than 0.1 percent of the average balance of our total deposits. The fee income from the transactions attributable to these account holders was less than ¥5 million, representing less than 0.001 percent of our total fee income.

MUFG Bank recognizes that following the withdrawal in May 2018 by the United States from the Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action, the United States has imposed secondary sanctions against non-U.S. persons who engage in or facilitate a broad range of transactions and activities involving Iran. MUFG Bank has taken the recent sanctions related developments into account and will continue to monitor transactions relating to Iran in order to comply with applicable U.S. and Japanese regulations as well as U.S., Japanese and other international sanctions.

C. Organizational Structure

The following chart presents our corporate structure summary as of March 31, 2020:



Note: Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities Co., Ltd. and Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley PB Securities Co., Ltd. are scheduled to merge on August 1, 2020.

Set forth below is a list of our principal consolidated subsidiaries as of March 31, 2020:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Country of Incorporation</u>	<u>Proportion of Ownership Interest (%)</u>	<u>Proportion of Voting Interest (%)</u>
MUFG Bank, Ltd.	Japan	100.00%	100.00%
Mitsubishi UFJ Information Technology, Ltd.	Japan	100.00%	100.00%
MU Business Service Co., Ltd.	Japan	100.00%	100.00%
MU Center Service Tokyo Co., Ltd.	Japan	100.00%	100.00%
Mitsubishi UFJ Loan Business Co., Ltd.	Japan	100.00%	100.00%
MU Center Service Osaka Co., Ltd.	Japan	100.00%	100.00%
MU Center Service Nagoya Co., Ltd.	Japan	100.00%	100.00%
MU Loan Administration Support Co., Ltd.	Japan	100.00%	100.00%
MU Property Research Company Limited	Japan	100.00%	100.00%
MU Business Partner Co., Ltd.	Japan	100.00%	100.00%
Mitsubishi UFJ Jinji Service Co., Ltd.	Japan	100.00%	100.00%
Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking Corporation	Japan	100.00%	100.00%
Mitsubishi UFJ Real Estate Services Co., Ltd.	Japan	100.00%	100.00%
Japan Shareholder Services Ltd.	Japan	100.00%	100.00%
The Master Trust Bank of Japan, Ltd.	Japan	46.50%	46.50%
MU Investments Co., Ltd.	Japan	100.00%	100.00%
Mitsubishi UFJ Kokusai Asset Management Co., Ltd.	Japan	100.00%	100.00%
Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings Co., Ltd.	Japan	100.00%	100.00%
Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities Co., Ltd.	Japan	60.00%	60.00%
Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley PB Securities Co., Ltd.	Japan	100.00%	100.00%
au Kabucom Securities Co., Ltd.	Japan	51.00%	51.00%
MUS Information Systems Co., Ltd.	Japan	100.00%	100.00%
Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS Co., Ltd.	Japan	100.00%	100.00%
Japan Digital Design, Inc.	Japan	86.11%	86.11%
MUMEC Visionary Design, Ltd.	Japan	60.00%	60.00%
Global Open Network, Inc.	Japan	80.00%	80.00%
MUFG Innovation Partners Co., Ltd.	Japan	100.00%	100.00%
MUFG Americas Holdings Corporation	USA	100.00%	100.00%
Bank of Ayudhya Public Company Limited	Thailand	76.88%	76.88%
PT Bank Danamon Indonesia, Tbk.	Indonesia	94.10%	94.10%
MUFG Bank (China), Ltd.	China	100.00%	100.00%
MUFG Bank (Malaysia) Berhad	Malaysia	100.00%	100.00%
MUFG Bank (Europe) N.V.	Netherlands	100.00%	100.00%
AO MUFG Bank (Eurasia)	Russia	100.00%	100.00%
MUFG Bank Turkey Anonim Sirketi	Turkey	100.00%	100.00%
MUFG Bank Mexico, S.A.	Mexico	100.00%	100.00%
Mitsubishi UFJ Baillie Gifford Asset Management Limited	UK	51.00%	51.00%
Mitsubishi UFJ Investor Services & Banking (Luxembourg) S.A. ...	Luxembourg	100.00%	100.00%
MUFG Lux Management Company S.A.	Luxembourg	100.00%	100.00%
Mitsubishi UFJ Asset Management (UK) Ltd.	UK	100.00%	100.00%
MUFG Investor Services Holdings Limited	Bermuda	100.00%	100.00%
First Sentier Investors Holdings Pty Ltd.	Australia	100.00%	100.00%
Mitsubishi UFJ Trust International Limited	UK	100.00%	100.00%
MUFG Securities EMEA plc.	UK	100.00%	100.00%
MUFG Securities Asia Limited	China	100.00%	100.00%
MUFG Securities Asia (Singapore) Limited	Singapore	100.00%	100.00%
MUFG Securities (Canada), Ltd.	Canada	100.00%	100.00%

D. Property, Plant and Equipment

Premises and equipment as of March 31, 2019 and 2020 consisted of the following:

	As of March 31,	
	2019	2020
	(in millions)	
Land	¥ 362,742	¥ 380,477
Buildings	829,606	782,367
Equipment and furniture	648,598	623,676
Leasehold improvements	305,281	310,957
Construction in progress	34,002	35,594
Total	2,180,229	2,133,071
Less accumulated depreciation	1,206,629	1,203,542
Premises and equipment—net	¥ 973,600	¥ 929,529

Our registered address is 7-1, Marunouchi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8330, Japan. As of March 31, 2020, we and our subsidiaries conducted our operations either in premises we owned or in properties we leased.

The following table presents the book values of our material offices and other properties as of March 31, 2020:

	Book Value
	(in millions)
Owned land	¥380,477
Owned buildings	248,669

The buildings and land we own are primarily used by us and our subsidiaries as offices and branches. Most of the buildings and land we own are free from material encumbrances.

During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, we invested approximately ¥123,804 million in premises and equipment, primarily for office renovations and relocation.

Item 4A. Unresolved Staff Comments.

None.

Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects.

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with “Item 3.A. Key Information—Selected Financial Data,” “Selected Statistical Data” and our consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

	<u>Page</u>
Business Environment	74
Principal Sources of Income and Expenses	77
Recent Developments	82
Critical Accounting Estimates	85
Accounting Changes and Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements	88
A. Operating Results	89
Results of Operations	89
Business Segment Analysis	99
Geographic Segment Analysis	107
Effect of Change in Exchange Rates on Foreign Currency Translation	108
B. Liquidity and Capital Resources	108
Financial Condition	108
Capital Adequacy	131
Non-exchange Traded Contracts Accounted for at Fair Value	136
C. Research and Development, Patents and Licenses, etc.	137
D. Trend Information	137
E. Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements	138
F. Tabular Disclosure of Contractual Obligations	139
G. Safe Harbor	139

Business Environment

Through our subsidiaries and affiliated companies, we engage in a broad range of financial businesses and services, including commercial banking, investment banking, trust assets and asset management services, securities businesses and credit card businesses, and provide related services to individuals primarily in Japan, the United States, Thailand and Indonesia and to corporate customers around the world. Our results of operations and financial condition are exposed to changes in various external economic factors, including:

- general economic conditions,
- interest rates,
- foreign currency exchange rates, and
- stock and real estate prices.

Recent Developments and Prospects

Our results of operations for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 were adversely affected by the COVID-19 pandemic. Among the negative consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic described elsewhere in this Annual Report, we provided for additional allowance for credit losses in light of the deteriorating credit quality of our borrowers.

The COVID-19 pandemic is expected to have a further adverse impact on our business and results of operations as economic and corporate activity deteriorates in Japan and around the world and financial markets remain volatile. More specifically, we currently expect that the COVID-19 pandemic will have a further adverse impact on, among other things,

- net interest income, particularly foreign currency net interest income, primarily due to interest rate reductions by central banks or declines in long-term interest rates in various markets,
- fees and commissions income mainly due to decreases in the value of assets under custody or management, declines in customer investments or other transactions, or changes in consumer spending trends, as any of these negative factors may be exacerbated by, among other things, weakened appetite for investments or other transaction under uncertain or volatile market conditions or governmental restrictions on business activities,
- net investment securities gains (losses) primarily due to losses on sales of securities or a decline in the value of our securities portfolio,
- net equity in earnings of equity method affiliates if the financial performance of our equity method affiliates deteriorates,
- other income and expenses, including impairment losses on goodwill or other intangible assets, due to weaker business prospects and other factors causing deterioration in the business environment, and
- regulatory capital ratios due to, among other factors, an increase in risk-weighted assets such as loans and a decrease in the value of our equity securities portfolio.

In addition, there is a risk that we will have to recognize credit losses if there is a further deterioration in the credit quality of our borrowers such as those in the air transportation and other industries that may be adversely impacted by weakened demand for, or governmental or other restrictions on, travel, retail operations, or other economic or recreational activities. For more information, see “—B. Liquidity and Capital Resources—Financial Condition—Loan Portfolio.”

At present, the timing of containment of COVID-19 cannot be forecasted, and the full extent of the impact of the pandemic on the real economy remains uncertain. Under these highly uncertain circumstances, our current expectations may be significantly different from actual results. We intend to continue to closely monitor, and endeavor to effectively deal with, further developments relating to the pandemic. For more information, see “Item 3.D. Risk Factors.” See also “Forward-Looking Statements.”

General Economic Conditions

The global economy was generally on a downward trend due to negative effects of the U.S.-China trade conflict and other factors in the first half of the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, with some signs of bottoming out and recovering at least in some industry sectors, including the semiconductor and other manufacturing sectors, around the beginning of the second half of the fiscal year. However, in and after the fourth quarter, the outbreak and global spread of the COVID-19 pandemic brought much of global economic activity to a halt. Public health measures of unprecedented scale have been implemented in various countries and regions in an effort to contain the pandemic. However, the full extent and severity of the impact of the pandemic on the global economy are yet to be known.

Japan's economic growth continued at a moderate pace during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, while showing a mixture of positive and negative trends, with the quarter-on-quarter real gross domestic product, or GDP, growth rate being 0.5% for the quarter ended June 30, 2019, 0.0% for the quarter ended September 30, 2019, and negative 1.9% for the quarter ended December 31, 2019, and negative 0.6% for the quarter ended March 31, 2020. The year-over-year real GDP growth rate was 0.9% for the quarter ended June 30, 2019, 1.7% for the quarter ended September 30, 2019, negative 0.7% for the quarter ended December 31, 2019 and negative 1.7% for the quarter ended March 31, 2020. Japan's Consumer Price Index, or CPI, fluctuated between negative 0.2% and positive 0.3% on a month-on-month basis and between positive 0.2% and 0.9% on a year-over-year basis during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020. During the same period, the unemployment rate in Japan remained low, fluctuating between 2.1% and 2.6%. According to Teikoku Databank, a Japanese research institution, the number of companies that filed for legal bankruptcy in Japan during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 was 8,480, a 5.3% increase from the previous fiscal year. The total liabilities of companies that filed for legal bankruptcy during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 were ¥1,218 billion, a 21% decrease from the previous fiscal year. The Japanese economy remains subject to the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, continuing deflationary pressure, increasing public debt, intensifying trade conflicts and global competition, declining domestic population, stagnant private consumption, and various other factors that could adversely affect economic conditions in Japan.

The U.S. economy expanded during the three quarters ended December 31, 2019, with the quarter-on-quarter annualized real GDP growth rate being 2.0% for the quarter ended June 30, 2019, 2.1% for the quarter ended September 30, 2019, and 2.1% for the quarter ended December 31, 2019, but turning to negative 5.0% for the quarter ended March 31, 2020. The year-over-year real GDP growth rate was 2.3% for the quarter ended June 30, 2019, 2.1% for the quarter ended September 30, 2019, 2.3% for the quarter ended December 31, 2019 and 0.2% for the quarter ended March 31, 2020. The U.S. economic growth was supported by the improvement in the labor market, higher wages and increased corporate production activities through the end of the quarter ended December 31, 2019, but the U.S. economy declined in the quarter ended March 31, 2020 due to the impact of COVID-19 pandemic. During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, the unemployment rate in the U.S. fluctuated between 3.8% and 4.4%. However, the long-term prospects of the U.S. economy remains uncertain in light of the economic impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, changes in the government's economic, monetary, trade and foreign relations policies, the November 2020 presidential election, and various other factors.

The Eurozone's economic growth continued at a slow rate during the three quarters ended December 31, 2019, with the quarter-on-quarter real GDP growth rate being 0.1% for the quarter ended June 30, 2019, 0.3% for the quarter ended September 30, 2019, and 0.1% for the quarter ended December 31, 2019, but turning to negative 3.6% for the quarter ended March 31, 2020. The year-over-year real GDP growth rate was 1.2% for the quarter ended June 30, 2019, 1.3% for the quarter ended September 30, 2019, 1.0% for the quarter ended December 31, 2019 and negative 3.1% for the quarter ended March 31, 2020. During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, the unemployment rate in the Eurozone declined to 7.1% for March 2020. There are still uncertainties in the Eurozone economy, including the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, the process and ramifications of the United Kingdom's withdrawal from the European Union and the large accumulation of non-performing loans in some European peripheral countries.

In Asia excluding Japan, economic conditions in ASEAN (Association of Southeast Asian Nations) and NIEs (Newly Industrializing Economies) generally improved but the economic growth remained relatively modest during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020. In China, economic conditions continued to improve at a moderate pace until the quarter ended December 31, 2019 but declined in the quarter ended March 31, 2020 due to the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic. China's quarter-on-quarter real GDP growth rate was 1.5% for the quarter ended June 30, 2019, 1.3% for the quarter ended September 30, 2019, 1.5% for the quarter ended December 31, 2019 and negative 9.8% for the quarter ended March 31, 2020. China's year-over-year real GDP growth rate was 6.2% for the quarter ended June 30, 2019, 6.0% for the quarter ended September 30, 2019, 6.0% for the quarter ended December 31, 2019 and negative 6.8% for the quarter ended March 31, 2020. The Thai economy was on a gradually stabilizing trend until the quarter ended December 31, 2019 but declined in the quarter ended March 31, 2020 due to the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic. Thailand's quarter-on-quarter real GDP growth rate was 0.3% for the quarter ended June 30, 2019, 0.3% for the quarter ended September 30, 2019, negative 0.2% for the quarter ended December 31, 2019 and negative 2.2% for the quarter ended March 31, 2020. Thailand's year-over-year real GDP growth rate was 2.4% for the quarter ended June 30, 2019, 2.6% for the quarter ended September 30, 2019, 1.5% for the quarter ended December 31, 2019 and negative 1.8% for the quarter ended March 31, 2020. Although there are some signs of further economic growth in ASEAN and NIEs, such as growth in exports to developed countries and larger investments in infrastructure projects in the region, uncertainties still remain in light of, among other things, the negative impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the global economy, including importing developed countries, intensifying trade conflicts and potential geopolitical issues.

Interest Rates

Interest rates remained at historical low levels in Japan under the Bank of Japan's monetary policy. The yield on 10-year Japanese government bonds fluctuated between negative 0.286% and negative 0.009% during the six months ended September 30, 2019, but subsequently rose to around 0% towards December 31, 2019, declined again to negative 0.153% in February 2020, and rose back to around 0% towards March 31, 2020. The Bank of Japan adopted its "quantitative and qualitative monetary easing" policy in April 2014 and commenced its "quantitative and qualitative monetary easing with negative interest rates" policy in January 2016. Under this policy, aiming to achieve the price stability target of 2.0%, the Bank of Japan applied a negative interest rate of minus 0.1% to the "Policy-Rate Balances," which are a part of current account amounts held by financial institutions at the Bank of Japan, while increasing the Bank of Japan's aggregate holding of Japanese government bonds by approximately ¥80 trillion each year. In September 2016, the Bank of Japan announced a new "quantitative and qualitative monetary easing with yield curve control" policy, adding to its monetary policy a Japanese government bond purchase program with an aim to keep the yield of 10-year Japanese government bonds around zero percent. In July 2018, the Bank of Japan slightly modified its monetary policy by adopting forward guidance on interest rates and adding language in its policy statement that long-term interest rates may fluctuate depending on economic and price developments. In October 2019, the Bank of Japan decided to maintain its monetary policy, while slightly modifying its forward guidance to clarify that it expects short- and long-term interest rates to remain at their present or lower levels without specifying the duration of its guidance. In March 2020, the Bank of Japan introduced a package of COVID-19 emergency measures, including those designed to facilitate corporate financing through lending up to ¥8.0 trillion yen against corporate debt collateral at a 0% interest rate with maturities up to one year and increasing the limit of purchases on commercial paper and corporate bonds to ¥2.0 trillion yen, and has since expanded its emergency measures, including elimination of the limit on purchases of Japanese government bonds. The yield on 10-year Japanese government bonds was negative 0.081% on March 29, 2019 and positive 0.022% on March 31, 2020. The yield currently fluctuates around negative 0.006%.

In the United States, the FRB reduced the target range for the federal funds rate to between 2.00% and 2.25% in July 2019, to between 1.75% and 2.00% in September 2019, and further to between 1.50% and 1.75% in December 2019. Subsequently, the FRB reduced the target range for the federal funds rate twice in March 2020 to between 1.00% and 1.25% and further to 0.00% and 0.25%. Following the Federal Open Market

Committee meeting in June 2020, most of the FRB members indicated their willingness to maintain the rate at the current level until the end of the calendar year 2022 in light of increasing uncertainty in the economic outlook. The 10-year U.S. Treasury bond yield decreased from 2.406% at the end of March 2019 to 0.670% at the end of March 2020, while fluctuating between 0.543% and 2.595% during the period. The yield currently fluctuates around 0.717%.

The yield on 10-year German Bunds decreased from negative 0.070% at the end of March 2019 to negative 0.471% as of March 31, 2020, while fluctuating between negative 0.856% and positive 0.080% during the period. The yield currently fluctuates around negative 0.439%. The yield on 10-year French Obligations Assimilables du Trésor decreased from 0.318% at the end of March 2019 to negative 0.015% as of March 31, 2020, while fluctuating between negative 0.438% and positive 0.424% during the period. The yield currently fluctuates around negative 0.118%.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rates

The Japanese yen appreciated against the U.S. dollar from ¥110.86 to the U.S. dollar as of March 29, 2019 to ¥107.54 to the U.S. dollar as of March 31, 2020, while fluctuating between ¥102.36 to the U.S. dollar and ¥112.19 to the U.S. dollar during the period. The Japanese yen has since been fluctuating around ¥106.95 to the U.S. dollar.

The Japanese yen was on a generally appreciating trend against the euro during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, with the exchange rate being ¥118.64 to the euro as of March 31, 2020 compared to ¥124.35 to the euro as of March 29, 2019. The Japanese yen has been fluctuating around ¥120.55 to the euro since April 2020.

The Japanese yen was on a generally appreciating trend against the Thai baht during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, with the exchange rate being ¥3.2829 to the Thai baht as of March 31, 2020 compared to ¥3.4929 to the Thai baht as of March 29, 2019. The Japanese yen has been fluctuating around ¥3.4549 to the Thai baht since April 2020.

Stock and Real Estate Prices

The closing price of the Nikkei Stock Average, which is the average of 225 blue chip stocks listed on the Tokyo Stock Exchange, decreased from ¥21,205.81 on March 29, 2019 to ¥18,917.01 on March 31, 2020. The closing price of the Nikkei Stock Average reached ¥24,270.62, the highest closing price since November 1991, on October 2, 2018, and declined to ¥16,552.83 on March 19, 2020. The closing price of the Nikkei Stock Average has since risen and has been fluctuating around ¥22,654.76.

According to the latest land price survey conducted by the Japanese government, between January 1, 2019 and January 1, 2020, the average residential land price in Japan increased 0.8%, and the average commercial land price in Japan increased 3.1%. In the three major metropolitan areas of Tokyo, Osaka and Nagoya, between January 1, 2019 and January 1, 2020, the average residential land price increased 1.1% and the average commercial land price increased 5.4%. In the local regions of Japan, which consist of regions other than the three major metropolitan areas, between January 1, 2019 and January 1, 2020, the average residential land price increased 0.5% and the average commercial land price increased 1.5%.

Principal Sources of Income and Expenses

Net Interest Income

Net interest income is a function of:

- the amount of interest-earning assets,
- the amount of interest-bearing liabilities,

- the general level of interest rates,
- the so-called “spread,” or the difference between the rate of interest earned on interest-earning assets and the rate of interest paid on interest-bearing liabilities, and
- the proportion of interest-earning assets financed by non-interest-bearing liabilities and equity.

Provision for (Reversal of) Credit Losses

Provision for (reversal of) credit losses is charged to operations to maintain the allowance for credit losses at a level deemed appropriate by management. For more information on our provision for (reversal of) credit losses and a description of the approach and methodology used to establish the allowance for credit losses, see “—B. Liquidity and Capital Resources—Financial Condition—Loan Portfolio—Allowance policy.”

Non-Interest Income

Non-interest income consists of the following:

Fees and commissions income

Fees and commissions income consist of the following:

- *Fees and commissions on deposits* consist of fees and commissions charged for ATM transactions and other deposit and withdrawal services.
- *Fees and commissions on remittances and transfers* consist of fees and commissions charged for settlement services such as domestic fund remittances, including those made through electronic banking.
- *Fees and commissions on foreign trading business* consist of fees and commissions charged for fund collection and financing services related to foreign trading business activities.
- *Fees and commissions on credit card business* consist of fees and commissions related to the credit card business such as interchange income, annual fees, royalty and other service charges from franchisees.
- *Fees and commissions on security-related services* primarily consist of fees and commissions for sales and transfers of securities, including investment funds, underwriting, brokerage and advisory services, securitization arrangement services, and agency services for the calculation and payment of dividends.
- *Fees and commissions on administration and management services for investment funds* primarily consist of fees and commissions earned on managing investment funds on behalf of clients.
- *Trust fees* consist primarily of fees earned on fiduciary asset management and administration services for corporate pension plans and investment funds.
- *Guarantee fees* consist of fees related to the guarantee business, including those charged for providing guarantees on residential mortgage loans and other loans.
- *Insurance commissions* consist of commissions earned by acting as an agent for insurance companies for the sale of insurance products.
- *Fees and commissions on real estate business* primarily consist of fees from real estate agent services.
- *Other fees and commissions* include various fees and commissions, such as arrangement fees and agent fees, other than the fees mentioned above.

Net foreign exchange gains (losses)

Net foreign exchange gains (losses) consist of the following:

- *Net foreign exchange gains (losses) on derivative contracts* are net gains (losses) primarily on currency derivative instruments entered into for trading purposes. For more information on our derivative

contracts, see Note 23 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

- *Net foreign exchange gains (losses) on other than derivative contracts* include foreign exchange trading gains (losses) as well as transaction gains (losses) on the translation into Japanese yen of monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies. The transaction gains (losses) on the translation into Japanese yen fluctuate from period to period depending upon the spot rates at the end of each fiscal year. In principle, all transaction gains (losses) on translation of monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are included in current earnings.
- *Net foreign exchange gains (losses) related to the fair value option* include transaction gains (losses) on the translation into Japanese yen of securities under the fair value option. For more information on the fair value option, see Note 31 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Net trading account profits (losses)

Trading account assets and liabilities are carried at fair value and changes in the value of trading account assets and liabilities are recorded in net trading account profits (losses). Activities reported in our net trading account profits (losses) can generally be classified into two categories:

- trading purpose activities, which are conducted mainly for the purpose of generating profits either through transaction fees or arbitrage gains and involve frequent and short-term selling and buying of securities, commodities or others; and
- trading account assets relating to the application of certain accounting rules, which are generally not related to trading purpose activities, but simply classified as trading accounts due to the application of certain accounting rules.

Of the two categories, trading account assets relating to the application of certain accounting rules represent a larger portion of our trading account profits for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020.

We generally do not separate, for financial reporting purposes, customer originated trading activities from non-customer related, proprietary trading activities. When an order for a financial product is placed by a customer, a dealer offers a price which includes certain transaction fees, often referred to as the “margin” to the market price. The margin is determined by considering factors such as administrative costs, transaction amount and liquidity of the applicable financial product. Once the customer agrees to the offered price, the deal is completed and the position is recorded in our ledger as a single entry without any separation of components. To manage the risk relating to the customer side position, we often enter into an offsetting transaction with the market. Unrealized gains and losses as of the period-end for both the customer side position and the market side position are recorded within the same trading account profits and losses.

Net trading account profits (losses) consist of net profits (losses) on interest rate and other derivative contracts and net profits (losses) on trading account securities, excluding derivatives.

Net profits (losses) on interest rate and other derivative contracts are reported for net profits (losses) on derivative instruments which primarily relate to trading purpose activities and include:

- *Interest rate contracts*: Interest rate contracts are mainly utilized to manage interest rate risks which could arise from mismatches between assets and liabilities resulting from customer originated trading activities;
- *Equity contracts*: Equity contracts are mainly utilized to manage the risk that would arise from price fluctuations of stocks held in connection with customer transactions;

- *Commodity contracts*: Commodity contracts are mainly utilized to meet customers' demand for hedging the risks relating to their transactions, and to diversify our portfolio; and
- *Credit derivatives*: Credit derivatives are mainly utilized as a part of our credit portfolio risk management.

Derivative instruments for trading purposes also include those used as hedges of net exposures rather than for specifically identified assets or liabilities, which do not meet the specific criteria for hedge accounting.

Net profits (losses) on trading account securities, excluding derivatives, consist of:

- *Net profits (losses) on trading account securities*, which primarily consist of gains and losses on trading and valuation of trading securities which relate to trading purpose activities. Net profits (losses) on investment securities held by certain consolidated variable interest entities, or VIEs, are included in accordance with the applicable accounting rules.
- *Net profits (losses) on trading account securities under the fair value option*, which are classified into trading accounts profits (losses) in accordance with certain accounting rules. For more information on the fair value option, see Note 31 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Net investment securities gains (losses)

Net investment securities gains (losses) include net gains (losses) on sales of available-for-sale debt securities and net gains (losses) from marketable equity securities. Impairment losses on available-for-sale debt securities are recognized when management concludes that declines in the fair value of such debt securities are other than temporary, and offset net gains on sales of available-for-sale debt securities. Net gains (losses) from marketable equity securities include net gains (losses) on sales of marketable equity securities. In addition, as a result of our adoption of new guidance on recognition and measurement of financial assets and financial liabilities on April 1, 2018, unrealized gains (losses), or holding gains (losses), on equity investments are reflected in net gains (losses) from marketable equity securities. This new guidance is not applied retrospectively to the fiscal years ended March 31, 2017 and 2018. Prior to adoption, such unrealized gains and losses were reflected in other comprehensive income. For more information, see Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Net equity in earnings (losses) of equity method investees

Net equity in earnings (losses) of equity method investees includes our equity interest in the earnings of our equity method investees and impairment losses on our investments in equity method investees.

Non-Interest Expense

Non-interest expense consists of:

- *salaries and employee benefits*, which include the amount of money paid as salaries and bonuses as well as the cost of fringe-benefits,
- *occupancy expenses—net*, which include the amount of money paid as rents for offices and other facilities,
- *fees and commissions expenses*, which include the amount of money paid as fees and commissions on services received,
- *outsourcing expenses, including data processing*, which include the amount of money paid for the outsourcing services, including IT-related services,

- *depreciation of premises and equipment*, which includes the depreciation of the value of buildings, equipment and furniture through the passage of time,
- *amortization of intangible assets*, which includes the amount of deductions of the cost of investments in software and other intangible assets over their estimated useful lives,
- *impairment of intangible assets*, which includes the amount of reductions in the carrying amounts of intangible assets with indefinite useful lives in excess of their fair values,
- *insurance premiums, including deposits insurance*, which include the amount of money paid as the insurance premiums including the deposit insurance premiums paid to the Deposit Insurance Corporation of Japan
- *communications*, which include the amount of money paid for communications such as postal services and telecommunications,
- *taxes and public charges*, which include the amount of tax payments and other public charges,
- *impairment of goodwill*, which includes the amount of reductions in the carrying amount of goodwill recorded in connection with the acquisition of companies in excess of their fair values,
- *provision for (reversal of) off-balance sheet credit instruments*, which includes the amount of money reserved for the estimated amount of losses on off-balance sheet credit instruments or reversal of any portion of such amount, and
- *other non-interest expenses*.

Recent Developments

During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, we continued to pursue a strategy to improve our operational efficiency and financial performance and achieve sustainable growth. We sought to strengthen our management structure, while selectively reviewing and considering growth opportunities that would enhance our global competitiveness. We also continued to monitor regulatory developments and pursue prudent transactions that would create a strong capital structure to enable us to contribute to the real economy, both domestically and globally, as a provider of a stable source of funds and high-quality financial services. In addition, in order to respond to the increasingly complex market and legal risks, we continued to endeavor to enhance our compliance and internal control frameworks. Under our current medium-term business plan, for the three fiscal years ending March 31, 2022, we aim to integrate the expertise and capabilities of our subsidiaries to build a foundation for future growth.

The COVID-19 pandemic has impacted, and is expected to further impact, our business and financial performance as economic and corporate activity deteriorates and the financial market remains volatile. At present, the timing of containment of COVID-19 cannot be forecasted, and the impact of the pandemic on the real economy remains uncertain. We will continue to closely monitor and seek to flexibly and effectively deal with developments relating to the pandemic. However, our efforts to deal with the impact of the pandemic may prove insufficient, in which case our results of operations and financial condition may be materially and negatively affected. See “Item 3.D. Key Information—Risk Factors—Risks Related to Our Business Environment” and “—Business Environment—Recent Developments and Prospects.”

Implementation of Share Repurchase Program and Cancellation of Purchased Shares

During November 2019 and December 2019, we repurchased 85,775,400 shares of our common stock for ¥49,999,996,482 under a share repurchase program that was adopted in November 2019 and completed in December 2019. Under the program, we were authorized by the Board of Directors to repurchase up to the lesser of an aggregate of 100,000,000 shares of our common stock and an aggregate of ¥50.0 billion between November 14, 2019 and December 31, 2019 and to cancel the repurchased shares. We cancelled all of the repurchased shares on January 20, 2020.

The purposes of the above share repurchase program were to enhance shareholder value, to improve our capital efficiency and to allow the implementation of flexible capital policies in response to changes in the business environment.

Issuances and Repurchases of TLAC Eligible Senior Debt Securities

During and after the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, we issued \$10.3 billion, or ¥1,125.3 billion, €1.5 billion, or ¥180.6 billion, HK\$0.2 billion, or ¥3.3 billion, and AU\$0.5 billion, or ¥33.0 billion, aggregate principal amount of external TLAC eligible senior debt securities.

In March 2020, we repurchased through tender offers \$1,624.4 million aggregate principal amount of our outstanding external TALC eligible senior notes. All of the repurchased senior notes were cancelled.

As of March 31, 2020, our external TLAC ratios were 18.62% on a risk-weighted assets basis and 7.38% on a leverage exposure basis. We are required to maintain external TLAC ratios of 16% on a risk-weighted assets basis and 6% on a leverage exposure basis as of March 31, 2020 and 18% on a risk-weighted assets basis and 6.75% on a leverage exposure basis from March 31, 2022. See “Capital Adequacy” below and “Item 4.B. Information on the Company—Business Overview—Supervision and Regulation—Japan—Total loss-absorbing capacity.”

Redemption of Preferred Securities Issued by Special Purpose Company

On January 27, 2020, we redeemed in full ¥240.0 billion of Japanese yen-denominated non-cumulative preferred securities issued by an overseas special purpose company in the Cayman Islands called MUFG Capital Finance 9 Limited.

In July 2019, we redeemed in full ¥90.0 billion of Japanese yen-denominated non-cumulative preferred securities issued by an overseas special purpose company in the Cayman Islands called MUFG Capital Finance 8 Limited.

Issuances of Basel III-Compliant Domestic Subordinated Bonds

In October 2019, we issued, in a public offering in Japan, ¥273.0 billion aggregate principal amount of unsecured perpetual subordinated Additional Tier 1 notes. These notes are subject to our discretion to cease interest payments and a write-down of the principal upon the occurrence of certain events, including when our Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio declines below 5.125%, when we are deemed to be at risk of becoming non-viable or when we become subject to bankruptcy proceedings, but, following any write-down, the principal may be reinstated to the extent permitted by the Japanese banking regulator. See “Item 4.B. Information on the Company—Business Overview—Supervision and Regulation—Japan.”

Strategic Investment in Bank Danamon in Indonesia

In December 2017, MUFG Bank acquired an initial 19.9% equity interest in PT Bank Danamon Indonesia, Tbk, or Bank Danamon, for an aggregate purchase price of IDR 15.875 trillion, or ¥133.4 billion, based on a price of IDR 8,323, or ¥70, per share. In August 2018, MUFG Bank acquired an additional 20.1% equity interest for an aggregate purchase price of IDR 17.187 trillion, or ¥132.3 billion, based on a price of IDR 8,921, or ¥69, per share. As a result, MUFG Bank’s equity interest in Bank Danamon increased to 40%, and MUFG Bank started to apply the equity method of accounting to its investment in Bank Danamon during the six months ended September 30, 2018.

In April 2019, MUFG Bank further increased its shareholding in Bank Danamon from 40.0% to 94.0% by acquiring additional shares for an aggregate purchase price of IDR 49,620 billion, or ¥397.0 billion, based on a price of IDR 9,590, or ¥77, per share. In addition, in April 2019, MUFG Bank increased its shareholding in PT Bank Nusantara Parahyangan Tbk., or Bank BNP, in Indonesia from 7.9% to 99.9% by acquiring shares from ACOM Co., Ltd., our equity method affiliate, and other shareholders for an aggregate purchase price of IDR 3,011 billion, or ¥24.1 billion, based on a price of IDR 4,088, or ¥33, per share. As a result, Bank Danamon and Bank BNP became our consolidated subsidiaries. In May 2019, Bank BNP was merged into Bank Danamon through a share exchange transaction, resulting in our shareholding in Bank Danamon being 94.1%.

As of the acquisition date, total assets acquired amounted to ¥1,728.5 billion, including loans of ¥1,086.6 billion and intangible assets of ¥146.9 billion, and total liabilities assumed amounted to ¥1,242.1 billion, including deposits of ¥915.1 billion. We also recorded goodwill of ¥254.3 billion in connection with our acquisition of Bank Danamon. For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, we recognized an impairment loss on the goodwill as further discussed in “Results of Operations—Non-interest Expenses—Impairment of goodwill” below and Notes 2 and 6 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Our investment in Bank Danamon is part of our strategic plan to expand our presence in Asia and Oceania and contribute to the economic growth in the region. The investment is expected to enable us to leverage our financial strength, relationships with Japan’s leading companies, and global network as well as our product and sectorial expertise to further enhance our growth strategy. In our capacity as a long-term shareholder, we aim to build on Bank Danamon’s established and respected brand franchise to foster synergies and enhance Bank Danamon’s position as a leading and prominent Indonesian bank that remains committed to delivering high quality services to its customers.

Bank Danamon, which was established in 1956, provides banking and financial products and services to consumer, small and medium enterprise, and corporate customers, with a network of approximately 900 offices in Indonesia.

Acquisition of Aviation Finance Division from DVB Bank

In November 2019, MUFG Bank completed its acquisition from DVB Bank SE in Germany of DVB Bank's aviation finance lending portfolio of approximately €4.0 billion, or ¥480.0 billion, employees and related operating infrastructure, based on an agreement entered into among the two banks and BOT Lease Co., Ltd., a consolidated subsidiary of MUFG Bank, in March 2019. In connection with this agreement, MUFG Bank and BOT Lease agreed to purchase from DVB Bank its aviation investment management and asset management businesses. This transaction is expected to be closed in the first half of the fiscal year ending March 31 2021, subject to regulatory approvals and certain other conditions. Aviation finance is a key growth pillar for us and, through the acquisition, we aim to enhance our Global Corporate & Investment Banking Business platform in terms of higher returns, portfolio diversification and solution offering to our clients. See Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Acquisition of Shares in Colonial First State Group Limited Subsidiaries and Rebranding of Acquired Business as First Sentier Investors

In August 2019, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking completed its acquisition of 100% of the shares in each of nine subsidiaries of Colonial First State Group Limited which collectively represent a global asset management business known as Colonial First State Global Asset Management, or CFSGAM, from Australian financial group Commonwealth Bank of Australia and its wholly owned subsidiary Colonial First State Group Limited for an aggregate purchase price of approximately AU\$4.2 billion, or ¥312 billion, in cash. As a result of the acquisition, the nine subsidiaries became our consolidated subsidiaries. Total assets acquired amounted to ¥197.9 billion, including cash and bank deposits of ¥42.0 billion and intangible assets of ¥106.0 billion, and total liabilities assumed amounted to ¥68.5 billion, including accrued bonuses of ¥18.8 billion. We also recorded goodwill of ¥177.1 billion in connection with the acquisition. The purpose of this transaction is to enhance Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking's asset management capabilities and product competitiveness in the global asset management market. In September 2019, CFSGAM was rebranded as First Sentier Investors.

Agreement concerning Capital Transfer and Merger between Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities and Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley PB Securities

In April 2019, Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities and one of its subsidiaries, Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley PB Securities Co., Ltd., or PB Securities, agreed on a merger whereby Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities will be the surviving company. In connection with the planned merger, in October 2019, MUFG Bank transferred the shares it held representing 25% of the equity interest in PB Securities to Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities, resulting in Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities holding 100% of the equity interest in PB Securities. The merger has been postponed since the previous target completion date of June 1, 2020, and is currently scheduled to be completed on August 1, 2020, subject to changes in the circumstances including the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic. Through the planned merger, Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities aims to enhance its wealth management business.

Strategic Investment in Grab Holdings Inc. in Singapore

In February 2020, we entered into a strategic alliance agreement with Grab Holdings Inc., or Grab, which is headquartered in Singapore and provides on-demand transport services, food and package delivery services, digital payments and financial services in Southeast Asia. Under the agreement, we will invest up to \$706 million, or ¥78.3 billion, in Grab to jointly develop next generation custom-made financial services in Southeast Asia to promote financial inclusion in the region. While Grab is not expected to become our subsidiary

or equity method investee with our investment in Grab in the agreed-upon maximum amount, Grab will confer “First Choice Bank” status on us and our Southeast Asian partner banks, such as Bank Danamon and Krungsri. We and Grab plan to co-develop innovative financial products and services based on our combined customer insight to better cater to the financial needs of Grab’s users, driver-partners and merchant-partners as well as our customers.

Critical Accounting Estimates

Our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report are prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Certain accounting policies require management to make difficult, complex or subjective judgments regarding the valuation of assets and liabilities. The accounting policies are fundamental to understanding our operating and financial review and prospects. The notes to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report provide a summary of our significant accounting policies. The following is a summary of the critical accounting estimates:

Allowance for Credit Losses

The allowance for credit losses represents management’s best estimate of probable losses in our loan portfolio. The evaluation process, including credit-ratings and self-assessments, involves a number of estimates and judgments. The allowance is based on two principles of accounting guidance: (1) the guidance on contingencies requires that losses be accrued when they are probable of occurring and can be estimated, and (2) the guidance on accounting by creditors for impairment of a loan requires that losses be accrued based on the difference between the loan balance, on the one hand, and the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan’s original effective interest rate, the fair value of collateral or the loan’s observable market value, on the other hand. We divide our loan portfolio into the following segments—Commercial, Residential, Card, MUFG Americas Holdings, Krungsri, and Other—based on the segments used to determine the allowance for credit losses.

At March 31, 2020, we had ¥86,622.8 billion of loans in the Commercial segment and recorded an allowance for credit losses against these loans of ¥482.3 billion. We divide the Commercial segment into classes based on initial measurement attributes, risk characteristics, and our approach to monitoring and assessing credit risk. We determine the appropriate level of the allowance for credit losses for the Commercial segment by evaluating various factors and assumptions, such as the borrower’s internal credit rating and historical loss experience as well as adjustments to reflect existing economic conditions. We update these factors and assumptions on a regular basis and upon the occurrence of unexpected changes in the economic environment. Of the various factors and assumptions, the determination of the allowance for credit losses for the Commercial segment requires management to make significant judgments, due to the subjectivity and uncertainty associated with the determination of borrowers’ internal credit ratings which are highly dependent on the estimation of the borrowers’ performance and business sustainability in case the borrowers experience financial problems. Key elements relating to the policies and discipline used in determining the allowance for credit losses for the Commercial segment are our credit classification and the related borrower categorization process. Each of these components is determined based on estimates subject to change when actual events occur. The categorization is based on conditions that may affect the ability of borrowers to service their debt, taking into consideration current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, analyses of relevant industry segments and current trends. In determining the appropriate level of allowance, we evaluate the probable loss by category of the loan based on its type and characteristics.

In addition, we recognized a qualitative reserve for loan losses, specific to the Commercial segment, as a result of the estimated impact that the COVID-19 had on borrowers’ credit risk which has been incurred but did not appear on the individual borrower’s financials. The estimate included assumptions regarding which borrowers (certain industries and regions) have suffered significant impacts on their performance as a result of the COVID-19. Assumptions about the severity and duration of the COVID-19 were also used to estimate the impact of the COVID-19 on the borrowers.

Determining the adequacy of the allowance for credit losses requires the exercise of considerable judgment and the use of estimates, such as those discussed above. Our actual losses could be more or less than the estimates. To the extent that actual losses differ from management's estimates, additional provisions for credit losses may be required that would adversely impact our operating results and financial condition in future periods. For further information regarding our methodologies used in establishing the allowance for credit losses by portfolio segments and allowance for credit losses policies, see Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report and "—B. Liquidity and Capital Resources—Financial Condition—Loan Portfolio." For more information on our credit and borrower ratings, see "Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Credit, Market and Other Risk—Credit Risk Management."

On April 1, 2020, we adopted Accounting Standards Update 2016-13, Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments. The new guidance replaces the incurred loss impairment methodology in current U.S. GAAP with the current expected credit loss model that reflects expected credit losses and requires consideration of a broader range of reasonable and supportable information to estimate credit losses. For more information on this guidance, see Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Allowance for Repayment of Excess Interest

We maintain an allowance for repayment of excess interest based on our estimate of the potential liability exposure. Our estimate of the potential liability exposure represents the estimated amount of claims for repayment of excess interest to be received in the future. We expect that any such claim will be made on the basis of a 2006 ruling of the Japanese Supreme Court, or the Ruling. Under the Ruling, lenders are generally required to reimburse borrowers for interest payments made in excess of the limits stipulated by the Interest Rate Restriction Act upon receiving claims for reimbursement, despite the then-effective provisions of the Law Concerning Lending Business that exempted a lender from this requirement if the lender provided required notices to the borrower and met other specified requirements, and the borrower voluntarily made the interest payment.

While we have not entered into any consumer loan agreement after April 2007 that imposes an interest rate exceeding the limits stipulated by the Interest Rate Restriction Act, we need to estimate the number of possible claims for reimbursement of excess interest payments. To determine the allowance for repayment of excess interest, we analyze the historical number of repayment claims we have received, the amount of such claims, borrowers' profiles, the actual amount of reimbursements we have made, management's future forecasts, and other events that are expected to possibly affect the repayment claim trends in order to arrive at our best estimate of the potential liability. We believe that the provision for repayment of excess interest is adequate and the allowance is at the appropriate amount to absorb probable losses, so that the impact of future claims for reimbursement of excess interest will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position and results of operations. The allowance is recorded as a liability in Other liabilities.

For further information, see Note 26 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report and "Item 3.D. Key Information—Risk Factors—Operational Risk—Because of our loans to consumers and our shareholdings in companies engaged in consumer lending, changes in the business or regulatory environment for consumer finance companies in Japan may further adversely affect our financial results."

Business Developments

As part of our global strategies, we have executed multiple large-scale acquisitions, investments and capital alliances. We completed the acquisition of PT Bank Danamon Indonesia, Tbk. ("Danamon") on April 29, 2019 and the acquisition of nine subsidiaries of Colonial First State Group Limited, which collectively, including their subsidiaries represent the global asset management business of Colonial First State Global Asset Management, on August 2, 2019, which were renamed First Sentier Investors ("FSI") after the acquisition. We accounted for

these acquisitions under the acquisition method of accounting for business combinations. Accordingly, the purchase price was allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their respective fair values, including Danamon's relationships with agents of ¥79.6 billion and core deposit intangibles of ¥44.1 billion and FSI's customer relationships of ¥100.9 billion, respectively. Management estimated the fair values of these intangible assets using the multi-period excess earnings method, which is a specific discounted cash flow method.

The estimation of the fair values of these intangible assets requires management to make significant judgements related to significant assumptions. For the Danamon's relationships with agents, the significant assumptions included the growth rate of loan origination amounts reflecting market growth forecast, the attrition rate of the existing agents based on historical actual results, and the discount rate in which the risk that the future cash flow differ from the estimate is taken into consideration. The fair value of Danamon's intangible assets of relationships with agents was determined, discounting expected cash flows based on excess earnings through the period for which relationships with existing agents was expected to continue. These cash flows were calculated using the growth rate of loan origination amounts reflecting forecast of motorcycle sales market in Indonesia and the attrition rate of existing agents based on historical actual results. The discount rate was determined based on cost of shareholders' equity, considering risks of changes in relationship continuity, transaction size and profitability, relating to intangible assets, and risks involved in business scale. In addition, the fair value of Danamon's core deposit intangibles was determined, discounting expected cash flows based on the effect of reduced funding cost through the period for which the deposit balance was expected to be kept. These cash flows were calculated using the assumptions, such as the attrition rate of depositors. For the FSI's customer relationships, the significant assumptions included the growth rate of asset under management reflecting market growth forecast, the attrition rate of the existing customers based on historical actual results, and the discount rate in which the risk that the future cash flows differ from the estimate is taken into consideration. The fair value of FSI's customer relationships was determined, discounting expected cash flows based on excess earnings through the period for which relationship with customers was expected to continue. These cash flows were calculated using the growth rate of assets under management reflecting expected market growth of investment objectives such as equity securities, debt securities, and infrastructures, and the attrition rate of existing customers based on historical actual results. The discount rate was determined based on cost of shareholders' equity, considering business risks relating to intangible assets. Changes in these significant assumptions could have a significant impact on the fair value of these intangible assets.

Impairment on Goodwill

As part of our global strategies, we have executed multiple large-scale acquisitions, investments and capital alliances, and recorded goodwill resulting from these business combinations. U.S. GAAP requires us to test goodwill for impairment at least annually, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that goodwill may be impaired, using a process that compares the carrying amount of a reporting unit with its fair value. An impairment loss is recognized to the extent that the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, but not exceeding the total amount of goodwill allocated to that reporting unit. A reporting unit is an operating segment or component of an operating segment that constitutes a business for which discrete financial information is available and is regularly reviewed by management. The fair value of a reporting unit is defined as the amount at which the unit as a whole could be bought or sold in a current transaction between willing parties. Our consolidated goodwill balance was ¥517.6 billion at March 31, 2020, which was allocated to our reporting units. The measurement of the fair value of the Danamon reporting unit was primarily based on a market approach, and was also corroborated by multiple valuation techniques. We determined that the carrying value of Danamon exceeded the fair value as of the measurement date, resulting in the impairment loss on goodwill of ¥241.4 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020. In addition, we determined the fair values of the MUFG Americas Holdings Corporation ("MUAH") reporting units within the Global Commercial Banking Business Group segment and Global Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group segment ("MUAH reporting units") using a combination of the income and the market approaches. The income approach determined the fair value of the reporting units by discounting management's projections of each reporting unit's cash flows,

including a terminal value to estimate the fair value of cash flows beyond the final year of projected results, using a discount rate derived from the Capital Asset Pricing Model. The market approach incorporates comparable public company price to tangible book value and price to earnings multiples. The principal factors used in the discounted cash flow analysis requiring judgment are the projected future operating cash flows based on forecasted future income. We determined that the carrying values of MUAH reporting units exceeded the fair values as of the measurement date, resulting in the impairment loss on goodwill of ¥80.3 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020.

The determination of the fair value of these reporting units requires management to make significant judgments related to significant assumptions due to the subjectively and uncertainty associated with the assumptions. The significant assumptions included the market capitalization to estimate the fair value of the Danamon reporting units, and the control premium considered in relation to market capitalization, for Danamon reporting unit and projected future operating cash flows based on forecasted future income in the income approach, for MUAH reporting units.

Valuation of Financial Instruments

We measure certain financial assets and liabilities at fair value. The majority of such assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a recurring basis, including trading securities, trading derivatives and investment securities. In addition, certain other assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis, including held for sale loans which are carried at the lower of cost or fair value, collateral dependent loans and nonmarketable equity securities subject to impairment.

We have elected the fair value option for certain foreign securities classified as available-for-sale debt securities, whose unrealized gains and losses are reported in income, and marketable equity securities.

The guidance on the measurement of fair value defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. We have an established and documented process for determining fair value in accordance with the guidance. To determine the fair value, we use quoted prices which include those provided from pricing vendors, where available. We generally obtain one price or quote per instrument and do not adjust it to determine the fair value of the instrument. We perform internal price verification procedures to ensure that the prices and quotes provided from the independent pricing vendors are reasonable. Such verification procedures include a comparison of pricing sources and analysis of variances among pricing sources. These verification procedures are periodically performed by independent risk management departments. For collateralized loan obligations, or CLOs, backed by general corporate loans, the fair value is determined by weighting the internal model valuation and the non-binding broker-dealer quotes. If quoted prices are not available to determine the fair value of derivatives, the fair value is based upon valuation techniques that use, where possible, current market-based or independently sourced parameters, such as interest rates, yield curves, foreign exchange rates, volatilities and credit curves. The fair values of trading liabilities are determined by discounting future cash flows at a rate which incorporates our own creditworthiness. In addition, valuation adjustments may be made to ensure that the financial instruments are recorded at fair value. These adjustments include, but are not limited to, amounts that reflect counterparty credit quality, funding cost, liquidity risk, and model risk. Our financial models are validated and periodically reviewed by risk management departments independent of divisions that created the models.

For a further discussion of the valuation techniques applied to the material assets or liabilities, see Note 31 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Accounting Changes and Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

See “Accounting Changes” and “Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements” in Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

A. Operating Results

The following discussion relates to our operating results for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2019 and 2020. For the discussion on our operating results for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018, including certain comparative discussion on our operating results for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018 and 2019, please refer to “Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospectus—5.A. Operating Results” in our annual report on Form 20-F for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019, filed with the SEC on July 10, 2019.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth a summary of our results of operations for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2019 and 2020:

	Fiscal years ended March 31,	
	2019	2020
	(in billions)	
Interest income	¥3,813.4	¥3,927.1
Interest expense	1,518.0	1,684.3
Net interest income	2,295.4	2,242.8
Provision for (reversal of) credit losses	34.3	321.7
Non-interest income	1,595.2	1,875.8
Non-interest expense	2,985.5	3,363.6
Income before income tax expense	870.8	433.3
Income tax expense	133.2	114.6
Net income before attribution of noncontrolling interests	¥ 737.6	¥ 318.7
Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	19.0	12.7
Net income attributable to Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group	¥ 718.6	¥ 306.0

Major components of our net income attributable to Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2019 and 2020 are discussed in further detail below.

Net Interest Income

The following table is a summary of our interest rate spread, including the average balances of, and interest and average interest rates on, our assets and liabilities, for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2019 and 2020. Average balances are generally based on a daily average while a month-end average is used for certain average balances when it is not practicable to obtain applicable daily averages.

	Fiscal years ended March 31,					
	2019			2020		
	Average balance	Interest income (expense)	Average rate	Average balance	Interest income (expense)	Average rate
	(in billions, except percentages)					
Interest-earning assets:						
Domestic	¥145,279.1	¥ 1,048.6	0.72%	¥145,258.9	¥ 998.4	0.69%
Foreign	96,128.3	2,764.8	2.88	101,024.8	2,928.7	2.90
Total	¥241,407.4	¥ 3,813.4	1.58%	¥246,283.7	¥ 3,927.1	1.59%
Financed by:						
Interest-bearing liabilities:						
Domestic	¥173,199.6	¥ (504.2)	0.29%	¥172,878.9	¥ (473.4)	0.27%
Foreign	61,443.6	(1,013.8)	1.65	65,982.7	(1,210.9)	1.84
Total	234,643.2	(1,518.0)	0.65	238,861.6	(1,684.3)	0.71
Non-interest-bearing liabilities	6,764.2	—	—	7,422.1	—	—
Total	¥241,407.4		0.63%	¥246,283.7		0.68%
Net interest income and interest rate spread		¥ 2,295.4	0.93%		¥ 2,242.8	0.88%
Net interest income as a percentage of total interest-earning assets			0.95%			0.91%

The following table shows changes in our net interest income by changes in volume and by changes in rates for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 compared to the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019:

	Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2019 versus Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2020		
	Increase (decrease) due to changes in		
	Volume ⁽¹⁾	Rate ⁽¹⁾	Net change
	(in millions)		
Domestic	¥(3,478)	¥(15,969)	¥(19,447)
Foreign	35,026	(68,178)	(33,152)
Total	¥31,548	¥(84,147)	¥(52,599)

Note:

(1) Volume/rate variance is allocated based on the percentage relationship of changes in volume and changes in rate to the total "net change."

Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2020 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2019

Net interest income decreased ¥52.6 billion to ¥2,242.8 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 from ¥2,295.4 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019. This decrease was due to higher foreign interest expense, mainly reflecting higher average interest rates on, and higher average balance of, foreign deposits. This decrease was also attributable to lower domestic interest income, mainly reflecting lower interest rates on domestic loans. These negative impacts on net interest income were partially offset by an increase in foreign interest income, primarily reflecting higher interest rates on foreign loans. See "—Business Environment—Recent Developments and Prospects."

Interest income increased ¥113.7 billion to ¥3,927.1 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 from ¥3,813.4 billion for the previous fiscal year. Domestic interest income decreased ¥50.2 billion, mainly due to lower interest rates on domestic loans, reflecting the competitive downward pressure in the continued low interest rate environment in Japan. Foreign interest income increased ¥163.9 billion primarily due to higher interest rates on foreign loans and higher volumes of, and higher interest rates on, foreign money market transactions.

Interest expense increased ¥166.3 billion to ¥1,684.3 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 from ¥1,518.0 billion for the previous fiscal year. Domestic interest expense decreased ¥30.8 billion mainly due to lower interest rates on call money, funds purchased, and payables under repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions, reflecting lower U.S dollar funding rates in Japan. Foreign interest expense increased ¥197.1 billion. The higher foreign interest expense was mainly due to higher interest rates on deposits and higher money market interest rates in the United States.

Our average interest rate spread (which is the average interest rate on interest-earning assets less the average interest rate on interest-bearing liabilities) decreased five basis point to 0.88% for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 from 0.93% for the previous fiscal year. Between the same periods, the average interest rate spread on domestic activities decreased one basis point to 0.42% from 0.43%, and the average interest rate spread on foreign activities decreased 17 basis points to 1.06% from 1.23%. The decrease in the average interest rate spread on domestic activities mainly reflected lower interest rates on loans, reflecting the competitive downward pressure in the continued low interest rate environment in Japan. The decrease in the average interest rate spread on foreign activities mainly reflected the declining gap between long-term interest rates and short-term interest rates.

The yield on 10-year Japanese government bonds fluctuated between negative 0.286% and negative 0.009% during the six months ended September 30, 2019, but subsequently rose to around 0% towards December 31, 2019, declined again to negative 0.153% in February 2020, and rose back to around 0% towards March 31, 2020. During the previous fiscal year, the yield on such bonds fluctuated between negative 0.10% and positive 0.15%. In October 2019, the Bank of Japan decided to maintain its monetary policy, while slightly modifying its forward guidance to clarify that it expects short- and long-term interest rates to remain at their present or lower levels without specifying the duration of its guidance. As a result, long-term interest rates may fluctuate at lower levels or to greater degrees for an extended period, increasing uncertainty in the long-term interest rate market in Japan. In the United States, the FRB reduced the target range for the federal funds rate from between 2.25% and 2.50% to between 2.00% and 2.25% in July 2019, and to between 1.75% and 2.00% in September 2019, and further to between 1.50% and 1.75% in October 2019, and, in light of the COVID-19 pandemic risks, further to between 0% to 0.25% in March 2020. See “—Business Environment.”

The average balance of interest-earning assets increased ¥4,876.3 billion to ¥246,283.7 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 from ¥241,407.4 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019. The average balance of domestic interest-earning assets decreased ¥20.2 billion to ¥145,258.9 billion mainly due to a decrease in the average balance of our interest-earning deposits in other banks and loans, largely offset by increases in the average balance of our call loans, funds sold, and receivables under resale agreements and securities borrowing transactions as well as trading account assets. The average balance of foreign interest-earning assets increased ¥4,896.5 billion primarily due to increases in call loans, funds sold, and receivables under resale agreements and securities borrowing transactions and trading account assets.

The average balance of interest-bearing liabilities increased ¥4,218.4 billion to ¥238,861.6 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 from ¥234,643.2 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019. The average balance of domestic interest-bearing liabilities decreased ¥320.7 billion mainly due to a decrease in domestic deposits. The average balance of foreign interest-bearing liabilities increased ¥4,539.1 billion mainly due to an increase in foreign deposits and call money, funds purchased, and payables under repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions.

Our net interest income for each of the fiscal years ended March 31, 2020 was not materially affected by gains or losses resulting from interest rate and other derivative contracts. We use such derivative instruments to manage the risks affecting the values of our financial assets and liabilities. Although these contracts are generally entered into for risk management purposes, a majority of them do not meet the specific conditions to qualify for hedge accounting under U.S. GAAP and thus are accounted for as trading assets or liabilities. Any gains or losses resulting from such derivative instruments are recorded as part of Trading account profits—net. For a detailed discussion of our risk management activities, see “—A. Operating Results—Results of Operations—Non-Interest Income” and “Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Credit, Market and Other Risk.”

Provision for (reversal of) credit losses

Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2020 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2019

We recorded ¥321.7 billion of provision for credit losses for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, compared to ¥34.3 billion of provision for credit losses for the previous fiscal year. By segment, for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, ¥153.8 billion, ¥26.5 billion, ¥30.9 billion, ¥70.0 billion and ¥41.5 billion of provision for credit losses were recorded in the Commercial, Card, MUFG Americas Holdings, Krungsri and Other segments, respectively, while ¥1.0 billion of reversal of credit losses was recorded in the Residential segment. For the previous fiscal year, ¥43.9 billion and ¥4.5 billion of reversal of credit losses were recorded in the Commercial and Residential segments, respectively, while ¥23.9 billion, ¥9.3 billion and ¥49.5 billion of provision for credit losses were recorded in the Card, MUFG Americas Holdings and Krungsri segments, respectively.

The provision for credit losses recorded in the Commercial segment for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 mainly reflected, the financing provided to a domestic borrower in the services sector to facilitate the borrower’s restructuring efforts, and the deterioration in the business and financial performance of some large domestic borrowers in the manufacturing sector and some large foreign borrowers in the oil and gas sector and the electric power sector. The provision for credit losses for the Commercial segment also included ¥46.4 billion of qualitative reserves in light of the estimated impact that the COVID-19 pandemic had on the credit risk of our borrowers. See Note 4 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

The larger provision for credit losses in the Card segment for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 mainly reflected an increase in default borrowers who filed for bankruptcy as part of their debt workout efforts. The increase in provision for credit losses in the MUFG Americas Holdings segment for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 reflected the deteriorated in the financial condition of large borrowers in the electric power sector and the real estate rental sector as well as the growth in the unsecured consumer loan portfolio. The larger provision for credit losses in the Krungsri segment mainly reflected the expansion of the retail and consumer loan portfolio, particularly automobile loans.

The reversal of credit losses in the Residential segment for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 reflected our assessment that the credit quality of the portfolio remained substantially unchanged as the stable corporate environment in recent periods continued to have a positive impact on the financial condition of residential borrowers.

We recorded ¥90.4 billion of provision for credit losses for our domestic loan portfolio for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, compared to reversal of credit losses of ¥40.2 billion for the previous fiscal year. This reflected, the financing provided to the domestic borrower in the services sector to facilitate the borrower’s restructuring efforts, and the deteriorated business and financial condition of large domestic borrowers in the manufacturing sector in the Commercial segment. We recorded ¥231.3 billion of provision for credit losses for our foreign portfolio for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, compared to ¥74.5 billion of provision for credit losses for the previous fiscal year. The larger provision reflected, the deteriorated financial condition of some

large foreign borrowers that belong to the foreign oil and gas sector and the foreign electric power sector in the Commercial and MUFG Americas Holdings segments, the expansion of the retail and consumer loan portfolio in the Krungsri segment, and newly extended loans to automobile purchasers and small and medium-sized enterprises as well as unsecured consumer loans in Bank Danamon, which became our consolidated subsidiary in April 2019, in the Other segment.

For more information, see “—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Financial Condition—Loan Portfolio.” See also “—Business Environment—Recent Developments and Prospects.”

Non-Interest Income

The following table is a summary of our non-interest income for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2019 and 2020:

	Fiscal years ended March 31,	
	2019	2020
	(in billions)	
Fees and commissions income:		
Fees and commissions on deposits	¥ 52.6	¥ 53.7
Fees and commissions on remittances and transfers	168.7	169.4
Fees and commissions on foreign trading business	73.2	66.0
Fees and commissions on credit card business	225.9	238.1
Fees and commissions on security-related services	233.4	221.5
Fees and commissions on administration and management services for investment funds	147.6	184.6
Trust fees	115.0	119.9
Guarantee fees	45.0	46.3
Insurance commissions	46.9	44.4
Fees and commissions on real estate business	45.2	49.8
Other fees and commissions	285.1	308.4
Total	1,438.6	1,502.1
Foreign exchange losses—net	(96.0)	(281.8)
Trading account profits (losses)—net:		
Net losses on interest rate and other derivative contracts	(24.0)	(159.0)
Net profits on trading account securities, excluding derivatives	192.9	924.4
Total	168.9	765.4
Investment securities gains (losses)—net:		
Net gains on sales of available-for-sale debt securities	28.7	106.4
Impairment losses on available-for-sale debt securities	(0.6)	(1.6)
Net losses from marketable equity securities	(355.8)	(646.0)
Other	75.4	9.0
Total	(252.3)	(532.2)
Equity in earnings of equity method investees—net	209.7	282.7
Gains on sales of loans	22.7	10.0
Gain on remeasurement of previously held equity method investment ⁽¹⁾	—	41.2
Other non-interest income	103.6	88.4
Total non-interest income	¥1,595.2	¥1,875.8

Note:

(1) Represents profits recognized in connection with our acquisition of Bank Danamon. See Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Report.

Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2020 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2019

Non-interest income increased ¥280.6 billion to ¥1,875.8 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 from ¥1,595.2 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019. This increase was mainly attributable to an increase in trading account profits, partially offset by net investment securities losses and net foreign exchange losses. See “—Business Environment—Recent Developments and Prospects.”

Fees and commissions income

Fees and commissions income increased ¥63.5 billion to ¥1,502.1 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 from ¥1,438.6 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019. This increase was primarily due to an increase in fees and commissions on administration and management services for investment funds mainly due to higher fees from asset management services, reflecting the consolidation of First Sentier Investors as well as an increase in the volume of investment products held by domestic corporate investors. The increase was also attributable to an increase in other fees and commissions, reflecting the consolidation of Bank Danamon, as well as an increase in fees and commissions on credit card business, reflecting an increase in payment processing fees and an increase in credit card issuance fees as credit card use grew in Japan. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in fees and commissions on securities-related services mainly due to lower volumes of selling and buying transactions by retail customers.

Net foreign exchange gains (losses)

The following table sets forth the details of our foreign exchange gains and losses for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2019 and 2020:

	Fiscal years ended March 31,	
	2019	2020
	(in billions)	
Foreign exchange losses—net:		
Net foreign exchange losses on derivative contracts	¥(354.4)	¥(434.1)
Net foreign exchange gains on other than derivative contracts	71.8	748.3
Net foreign exchange gains (losses) related to the fair value option	186.6	(596.0)
Total	<u>¥ (96.0)</u>	<u>¥(281.8)</u>

Net foreign exchange losses for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 were ¥281.8 billion, compared to net losses of ¥96.0 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019. This was mainly due to larger net foreign exchange losses on foreign currency-denominated trading account securities, such as U.S. Treasury bonds, under the fair value option as the Japanese yen appreciated against the U.S. dollar from ¥110.99 to the U.S. dollar as of March 31, 2019 to ¥108.83 to the U.S. dollar as of March 31, 2020, while the Japanese yen depreciated against the U.S. dollar during the previous fiscal year resulting in net foreign exchange gains on U.S. dollar-denominated trading account securities under the fair value option. The larger total net foreign exchange losses also resulted from larger net foreign exchange losses on derivative contracts mainly due to the lower mark to market valuation on currency swaps entered into in connection with our U.S. dollar funding. These losses were largely offset by an increase in net foreign exchange gains on other than derivative contracts, reflecting the positive impact of fluctuations in the foreign currency exchange rates on the Japanese yen translated amounts of monetary liabilities of our commercial banking subsidiaries as the Japanese yen appreciated against other major currencies on a spot rate basis between March 31, 2019 and March 31, 2020.

Net trading account profits (losses)

The following table sets forth the details of our trading account profits and losses for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2019 and 2020:

	Fiscal years ended March 31,	
	2019	2020
	(in billions)	
Trading account profits (losses)—net:		
Net profits (losses) on interest rate and other derivative contracts		
Interest rate contracts	¥ 5.6	¥(173.2)
Equity contracts	80.1	29.8
Commodity contracts	0.3	—
Credit derivatives	(39.8)	14.9
Other	(70.2)	(30.5)
Total	<u>¥(24.0)</u>	<u>¥(159.0)</u>
Net profits (losses) on trading account securities, excluding derivatives		
Trading account securities	¥(16.0)	¥ 86.6
Trading account securities under the fair value option	208.9	837.8
Total	<u>¥192.9</u>	<u>¥ 924.4</u>
Total	<u>¥168.9</u>	<u>¥ 765.4</u>

Net trading account profits were ¥765.4 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, compared to net profits of ¥168.9 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019. This improvement was mainly due to an increase in net profits on trading account securities, excluding derivatives, resulting mainly from an increase in net profits on trading account securities under the fair value option, particularly U.S. Treasury bonds, as long-term interest rates declined in the United States during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020. The yield on 10-year U.S. Treasury bonds declined to around 0.6% as of March 31, 2020 from around 2.4% as of March 31, 2019.

The improvement in net profits on trading account securities, excluding derivatives, was partially offset by larger net losses on interest rate and other derivative contracts, which primarily reflected ¥173.2 billion of net losses on interest rate contracts for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, compared to ¥5.6 billion of net profits on such contracts for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019 mainly due to fluctuations in interest rates particularly in the United States towards March 31, 2020.

Net investment securities gains (losses)

Net investment securities losses were ¥532.2 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, compared to net losses of ¥252.3 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019. This was mainly due to ¥646.0 billion of net losses from marketable equity securities, reflecting a decline in stock prices towards March 31, 2020 in Japan.

Net equity in earnings of equity method investees

Net equity in earnings of equity method investees for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 was ¥282.7 billion, compared to ¥209.7 billion for the previous fiscal year, reflecting higher earnings of our equity method investees, including Morgan Stanley.

Non-Interest Expense

The following table shows a summary of our non-interest expense for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2019 and 2020:

	Fiscal years ended March 31,	
	2019	2020
	(in billions)	
Salaries and employee benefits	¥1,175.4	¥1,242.6
Occupancy expenses—net	179.8	182.9
Fees and commissions expenses	313.7	332.0
Outsourcing expenses, including data processing	275.1	303.6
Depreciation of premises and equipment	98.9	113.5
Amortization of intangible assets	235.1	237.3
Impairment of intangible assets	118.1	3.7
Insurance premiums, including deposit insurance	93.8	98.4
Communications	59.2	60.0
Taxes and public charges	95.4	100.2
Impairment of goodwill	—	383.8
Provision for (reversal of) off-balance sheet credit instruments	38.5	(62.3)
Other non-interest expenses	302.5	367.9
Total non-interest expense	<u>¥2,985.5</u>	<u>¥3,363.6</u>

Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2020 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2019

Non-interest expense increased ¥378.1 billion to ¥3,363.6 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 from ¥2,985.5 billion for the previous fiscal year. Major factors affecting this increase are discussed below. See “—Business Environment—Recent Developments and Prospects.”

Salaries and employee benefits

Salaries and employee benefits increased ¥67.2 billion to ¥1,242.6 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020. The increase was due to an increase in overseas salaries and employee benefits, mainly reflecting the impact of the consolidation of Bank Danamon.

Impairment of intangible assets

Impairment of intangible assets decreased ¥114.4 billion to ¥3.7 billion. This was primarily attributable to ¥116.1 billion of impairment losses on system integration-related assets of Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS recorded in the previous fiscal year, as we fundamentally revised our system integration plan, comprehensively taking into account the scale of, the complexity of, and the degree of difficulty in, developing the system and responding to rapid changes in payments market in an appropriate manner.

Impairment of goodwill

We recognized ¥383.8 billion of impairment loss on goodwill for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020. Of this amount, the impairment loss recorded in connection with our investment in Bank Danamon was ¥241.4 billion. In light of Bank Danamon’s publicly traded stock price in comparison to the per-share purchase price for Bank Danamon and considering other factors, we performed a quantitative goodwill impairment test, as a result of which we concluded that the fair value of Bank Danamon as a reporting unit had fallen below its carrying amount. The measurement of the fair value of the reporting unit was primarily based on a market approach and was corroborated by multiple valuation techniques. The amount of the recognized impairment loss represented all of the goodwill recorded in connection with our acquisition of Bank Danamon. See Notes 2 and 6 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

We also recognized ¥80.3 billion of impairment loss relating to MUFG Americas Holdings for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020. Due to the decline in interest rates and weaker business prospects than previously forecasted, our previous cash flow projections for certain reporting units relating to MUFG Americas Holdings were revised downward. As a result of our quantitative goodwill impairment test, we concluded that the fair values of these reporting units, measured on December 31, 2019, were below the carrying amounts of such reporting units. We measured the fair values of the reporting units using a combination of the income and market approaches.

We consolidate MUFG Americas Holdings based on financial information for the fiscal year ended December 31 as this date and our fiscal year which ended on March 31 have been treated as coterminous. For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, the effect of recording a goodwill impairment as an intervening event primarily due to the economic environment triggered by COVID-19 pandemic for the three-month period ended March 31, 2020 would have been approximately ¥80 billion to ¥110 billion. This intervening event occurring during the three-month period ended March 31, 2020, if recorded, would not have had a substantial and permanent effects on consolidated total assets, net income or total equity as of March 31, 2020, and therefore, the intervening event was not recognized for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020. See Note 6 to our audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

We recognized ¥62.2 billion of impairment loss relating to Krungsri for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020. As Thailand's economy slowed down, Krungsri's stock price declined. As a result our quantitative goodwill impairment test, we concluded that the fair value of Krungsri as a reporting unit, measured on December 31, 2019, had fallen below its carrying amount. We measured the fair value of the reporting unit primarily based on the market approach as corroborated by multiple valuation techniques.

Provision for (reversal of) off-balance sheet credit instruments

We recognized ¥62.3 billion of reversal of off-balance sheet credit instruments for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, compared to ¥38.5 billion of provision for off-balance sheet credit instruments for the previous fiscal year, mainly due to reversal reported in our commercial banking subsidiaries.

We consolidate certain foreign subsidiaries based on financial information for the fiscal year ended December 31 as this date and our fiscal year which ended on March 31 have been treated as coterminous. For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, the effect of recording a provision for credit losses and a provision for off-balance sheet credit instruments, including commitments to extend credit, financial guarantees and standby letters of credit as an intervening event primarily due to the economic environment triggered by COVID-19 pandemic for the three-month period ended March 31 2020 would have been approximately ¥84 billion and would have resulted in a decrease of ¥58 billion to net income attributable to Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group. This intervening event occurring during the three-month period ended March 31, 2020, if recorded, would not have had a substantial and permanent effects on consolidated total assets, net income or total equity as of March 31, 2020, and therefore, the intervening event was not recognized for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020. See Note 4 to our audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

On April 1, 2020, we adopted Accounting Standards Update 2016-13, Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments. The new guidance replaces the incurred loss impairment methodology in current U.S. GAAP with the current expected credit loss model that reflects expected credit losses and requires consideration of a broader range of reasonable and supportable information to estimate credit losses. As a result, considering the COVID-19 pandemic and macroeconomic variables under the circumstances, our allowance for credit losses and our allowance for off-balance sheet credit instruments increased an aggregate of approximately ¥380 billion to ¥450 billion as of the opening balance sheet date. For more information on this guidance, see Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Income Tax Expense

The following table shows a summary of our income tax expense for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2019 and 2020:

	Fiscal years ended March 31,	
	2019	2020
	(in billions, except percentages)	
Income before income tax expense	¥870.8	¥433.2
Income tax expense	133.2	114.5
Effective income tax rate	15.3%	26.4%
Combined normal effective statutory tax rate	30.6%	30.6%

Reconciling items between the combined normal effective statutory tax rates and the effective income tax rates for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2019 and 2020 are summarized as follows:

	Fiscal years ended March 31,	
	2019	2020
Combined normal effective statutory tax rate	30.6%	30.6%
Increase (decrease) in taxes resulting from:		
Nondeductible expenses	0.5	1.2
Impairment of goodwill	—	26.1
Foreign tax credit and payments	(3.3)	(9.2)
Lower tax rates applicable to income of subsidiaries	(2.5)	(3.2)
Change in valuation allowance	(1.4)	7.9
Realization of previously unrecognized tax effects of subsidiaries	—	(19.8)
Nontaxable dividends received	(9.1)	(15.6)
Undistributed earnings of subsidiaries	0.6	3.6
Tax and interest expense for uncertainty in income taxes	0.6	0.0
Noncontrolling interest income (loss)	0.2	(0.1)
Effect of changes in tax laws	0.0	—
Expiration of loss carryforward	0.1	1.9
Other—net	(1.0)	3.0
Effective income tax rate	15.3%	26.4%

Income taxes applicable to us in Japan are imposed by the national, prefectural and municipal governments, and the aggregate of these taxes resulted in a combined normal effective statutory tax rate of 30.6% for each of the fiscal years ended March 31, 2019 and 2020. Foreign subsidiaries are subject to income taxes of the jurisdictions in which they operate. These taxes are reflected in the effective income tax rate.

Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2020

The effective income tax rate for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 was 26.4%, which was 4.2 percentage points lower than the combined normal effective statutory rate of 30.6%. This was primarily due to the sale of a wholly owned subsidiary, as a result of which we recognized tax benefits from temporary differences not previously recognized as part of deferred tax assets, decreasing our income tax expense by ¥85.6 billion and our effective income tax rate by 19.8 percentage points for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020. Another factor contributing to the lower effective income tax rate was our receipt of nontaxable dividends, which resulted in a decrease of ¥67.6 billion in income tax expense and a decrease of 15.6 percentage points in the effective income tax rate for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020. Under Japanese tax law, a certain percentage of dividends received is considered nontaxable and excluded from gross revenue in computing taxable income. This creates a permanent difference between our taxable income for Japanese tax purposes and

our income before income tax expense reported under U.S. GAAP. These impact were partially offset by an increase of 26.1 percentage points in the effective income tax rate resulting from recognition of the goodwill impairment loss discussed above, which had the effect of decreasing our income before income tax expense under U.S. GAAP by ¥113.1 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, since such loss was not deductible in computing our taxable income under Japanese tax law.

Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2019

The effective income tax rate for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019 was 15.3%, which was 15.3 percentage points lower than the combined normal effective statutory rate of 30.6%. This primarily reflected our receipt of nontaxable dividends, which resulted in a decrease of ¥79.6 billion in income tax expense and a decrease of 9.1 percentage points in the effective income tax rate for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019. Under Japanese tax law, a certain percentage of dividends received is considered nontaxable and excluded from gross revenue in computing taxable income. This creates a permanent difference between our taxable income for Japanese tax purposes and our income before income tax expense reported under U.S. GAAP. Another factor contributing to the lower effective income tax rate was foreign tax credit, which resulted in a decrease of ¥28.5 billion in income tax expense and a decrease of 3.3 percentage points in the effective income tax rate for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019.

Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests

Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2020 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2019

We recorded ¥12.7 billion of net income attributable to noncontrolling interests for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, compared to ¥19.0 billion of net income attributable to noncontrolling interests for the previous fiscal year. This mainly reflected decrease in net income of Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities.

Business Segment Analysis

We measure the performance of each of our business segments primarily in terms of “operating profit.” Operating profit and other segment information in this Annual Report are based on the financial information prepared in accordance with Japanese GAAP as adjusted in accordance with internal management accounting rules and practices. Accordingly, the format and information are not consistent with our consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. For example, operating profit does not reflect items such as a component of the provision for (reversal of) credit losses (primarily equivalent to the formula allowance under U.S. GAAP), foreign exchange gains (losses) and investment securities gains (losses). For a reconciliation of operating profit under the internal management reporting system to income before income tax expense shown on the consolidated statements of income, see Note 29 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report. We do not use information on the segments’ total assets to allocate our resources and assess performance. Accordingly, business segment information on total assets is not presented.

The following is a brief explanation of our business segments for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020:

Retail & Commercial Banking Business Group—Covers the domestic retail and commercial banking businesses of MUFG Bank, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking, Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings, Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS and other group companies of MUFG. This business group offers retail and small and medium-sized enterprise customers in Japan an extensive array of commercial banking, trust banking and securities products and services.

Japanese Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group—Covers the large Japanese corporate businesses of MUFG Bank, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking and Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings, including the transaction banking, investment banking, trust banking and securities businesses. This business

group offers large Japanese corporations advanced financial solutions designed to respond to their diversified and globalized needs and to contribute to their business and financial strategies through the global network of our group companies.

Global Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group—Covers the corporate, investment and transaction banking businesses of MUFG Bank and Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings. Through a global network of offices and branches, this business group provides non-Japanese large corporate and financial institution customers outside Japan with a comprehensive set of solutions that meet their increasingly diverse and sophisticated financing needs.

Global Commercial Banking Business Group—Covers the retail and commercial banking businesses of MUFG Union Bank and Krungsri. Starting in the current fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, this business group also covers Bank Danamon. This business group offers a comprehensive array of financial products and services such as loans, deposits, fund transfers, investments and asset management services for local retail, small and medium-sized enterprise, and corporate customers across the Asia-Pacific region.

Asset Management & Investor Services Business Group—Covers the asset management and asset administration businesses of Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking and MUFG Bank. Starting in the current fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, this business group also covers First Sentier Investors. By integrating the trust banking expertise of Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking and the global strengths of MUFG Bank, the business group offers a full range of asset management and administration services for corporations and pension funds, including pension fund management and administration, advice on pension structures, and payments to beneficiaries, and also offers investment trusts for retail customers.

Global Markets Business Group—Covers the customer business and the treasury operations of MUFG Bank, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking and Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings. The customer business includes sales and trading in fixed income instruments, currencies, equities and other investment products as well as origination and distribution of financial products. The treasury operations include asset and liability management as well as global investments for the MUFG Group.

Other—Consists mainly of the corporate centers of MUFG, MUFG Bank, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking and Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities. The elimination of duplicated amounts of net revenues among business segments was also reflected in Other.

We made modifications to our internal management accounting rules and practices to clarify the responsibility for profits of each business segment, effective April 1, 2019. Major rule changes are (i) reallocation of certain overseas Japanese corporates business in MUFG Americas Holdings previously included in the Global Commercial Banking Business Group into the Japanese Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group due to refinement of the business segment definition, (ii) reallocation of operating expenses among the Business Groups based on cost drivers due to refinement of the business segment definition, and (iii) allocation of adjustments related to the derivative counterparty risk previously included in Other to the Customer Business Groups and the Global Markets Business Group that held the derivative assets. These modifications had the following impact for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018 and 2019:

- increasing the operating profits of the Global Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group by ¥1.8 billion, the Global Markets Business Group by ¥6.3 billion, and Other by ¥29.7 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018,
- reducing the operating profits of the Retail & Commercial Banking Business Group by ¥0.5 billion, the Japanese Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group by ¥18.6 billion, and the Global Commercial Banking Business Group by ¥18.7 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018,
- increasing the operating profits of the Retail & Commercial Banking Business Group by ¥1.9 billion, the Global Markets Business Group by ¥5.1 billion, and Other by ¥30.6 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019, and

- reducing the operating profits of the Global Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group by ¥10.4 billion, the Japanese Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group by ¥13.8 billion, and the Global Commercial Banking Business Group by ¥13.4 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019.

Prior period business segment information has been restated to enable comparison between the relevant amounts for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020.

For further information, see Note 29 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

The following tables set forth our business segment information for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020:

Fiscal year ended March 31, 2018	Customer Business					Total	Global Markets Business Group	Other	Total
	Retail & Commercial Banking Business Group	Japanese Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group	Global Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group	Global Commercial Banking Business Group	Asset Management & Investor Services Business Group				
					(in billions)				
Net revenue	¥1,582.5	¥523.2	¥364.3	¥652.8	¥190.4	¥3,313.2	¥574.5	¥ 30.4	¥3,918.1
BK and TB ⁽¹⁾	781.6	433.0	236.9	(3.3)	83.8	1,532.0	369.3	128.6	2,029.9
Net interest income	463.4	148.9	92.1	(3.3)	—	701.1	235.1	183.5	1,119.7
Net fees	246.4	225.1	146.8	—	83.8	702.1	(12.0)	(22.9)	667.2
Other	71.8	59.0	(2.0)	—	—	128.8	146.2	(32.0)	243.0
Other than BK and TB	800.9	90.2	127.4	656.1	106.6	1,781.2	205.2	(98.2)	1,888.2
Operating expenses	1,226.3	314.8	226.7	468.8	119.4	2,356.0	228.7	132.8	2,717.5
Operating profit (loss)	¥ 356.2	¥208.4	¥137.6	¥184.0	¥ 71.0	¥ 957.2	¥345.8	¥(102.4)	¥1,200.6

Note:

(1) "BK and TB" is a sum of MUFG Bank on a stand-alone basis and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking on a stand-alone basis.

Fiscal year ended March 31, 2019	Customer Business					Total	Global Markets Business Group	Other	Total
	Retail & Commercial Banking Business Group	Japanese Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group	Global Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group	Global Commercial Banking Business Group	Asset Management & Investor Services Business Group				
					(in billions)				
Net revenue	¥1,522.0	¥545.2	¥383.8	¥690.5	¥203.0	¥3,344.5	¥479.8	¥ (11.9)	¥3,812.4
BK and TB ⁽¹⁾	737.1	415.0	254.0	(1.3)	93.2	1,498.0	303.9	59.1	1,861.0
Net interest income	457.2	150.2	110.9	(1.3)	—	717.0	227.8	200.4	1,145.2
Net fees	243.8	210.3	142.4	—	93.2	689.7	(13.9)	(54.9)	620.9
Other	36.1	54.5	0.7	—	—	91.3	90.0	(86.4)	94.9
Other than BK and TB	784.9	130.2	129.8	691.8	109.8	1,846.5	175.9	(71.0)	1,951.4
Operating expenses	1,221.3	309.3	241.5	483.5	124.6	2,380.2	223.5	136.4	2,740.1
Operating profit (loss)	¥ 300.7	¥235.9	¥142.3	¥207.0	¥ 78.4	¥ 964.3	¥256.3	¥(148.3)	¥1,072.3

Note:

(1) "BK and TB" is a sum of MUFG Bank on a stand-alone basis and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking on a stand-alone basis.

Fiscal year ended March 31, 2020	Customer Business					Total	Global Markets Business Group	Other	Total
	Retail & Commercial Banking Business Group	Japanese Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group	Global Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group	Global Commercial Banking Business Group	Asset Management & Investor Services Business Group				
					(in billions)				
Net revenue	¥1,501.6	¥551.1	¥376.8	¥804.6	¥243.0	¥3,477.1	¥575.2	¥ 15.7	¥4,068.0
BK and TB ⁽¹⁾	721.1	420.7	270.5	0.1	94.6	1,507.0	358.4	12.7	1,878.1
Net interest income	439.0	164.2	115.2	0.9	2.5	721.8	123.1	85.4	930.3
Net fees	248.0	205.0	137.6	—	92.2	682.8	(12.6)	(64.8)	605.4
Other	34.1	51.5	17.7	(0.8)	(0.1)	102.4	247.9	(7.9)	342.4
Other than BK and TB	780.5	130.4	106.3	804.5	148.4	1,970.1	216.8	3.0	2,189.9
Operating expenses	1,203.0	315.8	247.8	571.8	171.7	2,510.1	232.2	150.7	2,893.0
Operating profit (loss)	¥ 298.6	¥235.3	¥129.0	¥232.8	¥ 71.3	¥ 967.0	¥343.0	¥(135.0)	¥1,175.0

Note:

(1) "BK and TB" is a sum of MUFG Bank on a stand-alone basis and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking on a stand-alone basis.

Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2020 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2019

Retail & Commercial Banking Business Group

Net revenue of the Retail & Commercial Banking Business Group decreased ¥20.4 billion to ¥1,501.6 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 from ¥1,522.0 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019. The Retail & Commercial Banking Business Group's net revenue mainly consists of interest income from lending and deposit-taking operations and fees relating to credit card settlement, consumer financing, real estate and stock transfer services for Japanese domestic individual and small to medium-sized corporate customers. The decrease in net revenue was mainly due to a decrease in net interest income due to lower interest rate spreads on domestic loans due to competitive downward pressure on interest rates on such loans in the continued low interest rate environment in Japan. The decrease in net revenue was also attributable to a decrease in investment products sales reflecting weaker retail customer demand for investment products under unfavorable market conditions. These decreases were partially offset by higher payment processing fees from the credit card business and higher fees from the consumer finance business as credit card use and consumer lending grew in Japan.

Operating expenses of the Retail & Commercial Banking Business Group decreased ¥18.3 billion to ¥1,203.0 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 from ¥1,221.3 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019. The decrease primarily resulted from our cost reduction measures, partially offset by higher expenses relating to the "Channel Strategy and Business Process Re-engineering" project pursuant to our current medium-term business plan and the system integration for our consumer finance business.

As a result, operating profit of the Retail & Commercial Banking Business Group decreased ¥2.1 billion to ¥298.6 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 from ¥300.7 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019.

Japanese Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group

Net revenue of the Japanese Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group increased ¥5.9 billion to ¥551.1 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 from ¥545.2 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019. The Japanese Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group's net revenue mainly consists of interest income from lending and deposit-taking operations and fees relating to financing, investment banking, real estate and stock transfer services for large Japanese corporate customers. The increase in net revenue was mainly due to

higher net interest income from both Japanese yen-denominated loans and foreign currency-denominated loans to large Japanese corporate customers, reflecting higher interest rate spreads on such loans.

Operating expenses of the Japanese Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group increased ¥6.5 billion to ¥315.8 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 from ¥309.3 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019. The increase mainly reflected higher expenses for financial regulatory compliance purposes in Europe and our investment to enhance the information system platform in the Asia and Oceania region.

As a result, operating profit of the Japanese Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group decreased ¥0.6 billion to ¥235.3 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 from ¥235.9 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019.

Global Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group

Net revenue of the Global Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group decreased ¥7.0 billion to ¥376.8 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 from ¥383.8 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019. The Global Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group's net revenue mainly consists of interest income from lending and deposit-taking operations and fees and commissions from investment banking services and foreign exchange and derivatives transactions for large non-Japanese corporate and institutional customers outside Japan. The decrease in net revenue was mainly attributable to a decrease in the translated Japanese yen value of foreign currency-denominated revenues reflecting the negative impact of the appreciation of the Japanese yen against other major currencies on a spot rate basis between March 31, 2019 and 2020 as well as losses on sales of high yield bonds in our securities business. These negative impacts more than offset the positive impact of the closings of several large financing deals in the Americas and the Asia and Oceania region as well as higher net interest income from foreign loans reflecting higher interest rate spreads on such loans due in part to our efforts to reduce assets that were not generating sufficient profits.

Operating expenses of the Global Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group increased ¥6.3 billion to ¥247.8 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 from ¥241.5 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019. The increase was mainly attributable to the one-time cost for our acquisition from DVB Bank SE in Germany of DVB Bank's aviation finance lending portfolio.

As a result, operating profit of the Global Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group decreased ¥13.3 billion to ¥129.0 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 from ¥142.3 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019.

Global Commercial Banking Business Group

Net revenue of the Global Commercial Banking Business Group increased ¥114.1 billion to ¥804.6 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 from ¥690.5 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019. The Global Commercial Banking Business Group's net revenue mainly consists of interest income from lending and deposit-taking operations and fees from remittances and transfers, consumer finance and wealth-related services for individual and small to medium-sized corporate customers of MUFG Union Bank, Krungsri and Bank Danamon. The increase in net revenue was mainly due to the consolidation of Bank Danamon and higher net interest income reflecting an increase in Krungsri's loan portfolio. The increase in net revenue was partially offset by lower net interest income in MUFG Union Bank mainly reflecting declines in interest rates in the United States.

Operating expenses of the Global Commercial Banking Business Group increased ¥88.3 billion to ¥571.8 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 from ¥483.5 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019. The increase was mainly attributable to the consolidation of Bank Danamon and an increase in expenses in Krungsri primarily reflecting larger volumes of business. The increase in operating expenses was also attributable to an increase in expenses for IT system development projects in the United States.

As a result, operating profit of the Global Commercial Banking Business Group increased ¥25.8 billion to ¥232.8 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 from ¥207.0 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019.

Asset Management & Investor Services Business Group

Net revenue of the Asset Management & Investor Services Business Group increased ¥40.0 billion to ¥243.0 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 from ¥203.0 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019. The Asset Management & Investor Services Business Group's net revenue mainly consists of fees from asset management and administration services for products, such as pension trusts and mutual funds. The increase in net revenue was primarily attributable to higher fees from asset management services reflecting the consolidation of First Sentier Investors as well as an increase in investment products sales to domestic corporate investors. The increase in net revenue was also attributable to higher fees from investor services both in and outside Japan mainly because we expanded our offerings of bundled services, including fund financing, to global fund customers.

Operating expenses of the Asset Management & Investor Services Business Group increased ¥47.1 billion to ¥171.7 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 from ¥124.6 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019. The increase was mainly attributable to the one-time cost for our acquisition of First Sentier Investors as well as higher cost commensurate with business volume growth.

As a result, operating profit of the Asset Management & Investor Services Business Group decreased ¥7.1 billion to ¥71.3 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 from ¥78.4 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019.

Global Markets Business Group

Net revenue of the Global Markets Business Group increased ¥95.4 billion to ¥575.2 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 from ¥479.8 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019. This was mainly due to higher net revenue from our overseas sales and trading business as we continued to work to improve our business model to better capture customer business opportunities abroad to increase volume as well as higher net revenue from our asset and liability management operations particularly reflecting our effort to deal with the declining interest rate environment. These increases in net revenue were partially offset by a decrease in net interest income reflecting tighter interest rate spreads between long-term and short-term interest rates in the United States.

Operating expenses of the Global Markets Business Group increased ¥8.7 billion to ¥232.2 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 from ¥223.5 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019. This increase primarily reflected the amortization cost for IT system development projects and the cost for our business model improvement measures.

As a result, operating profit of the Global Markets Business Group increased ¥86.7 billion to ¥343.0 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 from ¥256.3 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019.

Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2019 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2018

Retail & Commercial Banking Business Group

Net revenue of the Retail & Commercial Banking Business Group decreased ¥60.5 billion to ¥1,522.0 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019 from ¥1,582.5 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018. The Retail & Commercial Banking Business Group's net revenue mainly consists of interest income from lending and deposit-taking operations and fees relating to credit card settlement, consumer

financing, real estate and stock transfer services for Japanese domestic individual and small to medium-sized corporate customers. The decrease in net revenue was mainly due to a decrease in investment products sales reflecting weaker retail customer demand for investment products under unfavorable market conditions. The decrease was partially offset by higher payment processing fees from the credit card business and higher fees from the consumer finance business as credit card use and consumer lending grew in Japan.

Operating expenses of the Retail & Commercial Banking Business Group decreased ¥5.0 billion to ¥1,221.3 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019 from ¥1,226.3 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018. The decrease primarily resulted from our cost reduction measures, partially offset by higher expenses relating to the “Channel Strategy and Business Process Re-engineering” project pursuant to our current medium-term business plan and the system integration for our consumer finance business.

As a result, operating profit of the Retail & Commercial Banking Business Group decreased ¥55.5 billion to ¥300.7 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019 from ¥356.2 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018.

Japanese Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group

Net revenue of the Japanese Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group increased ¥23.0 billion to ¥545.2 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019 from ¥523.2 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018. The Japanese Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group’s net revenue mainly consists of interest income from lending and deposit-taking operations and fees relating to financing, investment banking, real estate and stock transfer services for large Japanese corporate customers. The increase in net revenue was mainly due to higher net interest income from foreign currency-denominated loans and deposits reflecting higher interest rate spreads on such loans and deposits and larger balances of such deposits as well as higher fees and commissions from the investment banking business relating to M&A and IPO transactions.

Operating expenses of the Japanese Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group decreased ¥5.5 billion to ¥309.3 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019 from ¥314.8 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018. The decrease mainly reflected the results of our cost reduction measures.

As a result, operating profit of the Japanese Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group increased ¥27.5 billion to ¥235.9 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019 from ¥208.4 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018.

Global Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group

Net revenue of the Global Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group increased ¥19.5 billion to ¥383.8 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019 from ¥364.3 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018. The Global Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group’s net revenue mainly consists of interest income from lending and deposit-taking operations and fees and commissions from investment banking services and foreign exchange and derivatives transactions for large non-Japanese corporate and institutional customers outside Japan. The increase in net revenue was mainly attributable to larger balances of loans and a decline in foreign currency-denominated mid- to long term funding costs as well as the closings of several large event-driven financing deals in the Americas and the Asia and Oceania region.

Operating expenses of the Global Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group increased ¥14.8 billion to ¥241.5 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019 from ¥226.7 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018. The increase was mainly attributable to higher expenses for system development and global financial regulatory compliance purposes.

As a result, operating profit of the Global Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group increased ¥4.7 billion to ¥142.3 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019 from ¥137.6 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018.

Global Commercial Banking Business Group

Net revenue of the Global Commercial Banking Business Group increased ¥37.7 billion to ¥690.5 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019 from ¥652.8 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018. The Global Commercial Banking Business Group's net revenue mainly consists of interest income from lending and deposit-taking operations and fees from remittances and transfers, consumer finance and wealth-related services for individual and small to medium-sized corporate customers of MUFG Union Bank and Krungsri. The increase in net revenue was mainly due to higher net interest income reflecting an increase in Krungsri's automobile loan portfolio. The increase in net revenue was also attributable to higher net interest income in MUFG Union Bank mainly reflecting an increase in its retail loan portfolio.

Operating expenses of the Global Commercial Banking Business Group increased ¥14.7 billion to ¥483.5 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019 from ¥468.8 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018. The increase was mainly attributable to an increase in expenses in Krungsri primarily reflecting larger volumes of business. The increase in operating expenses was also attributable to an increase in expenses for IT system development projects in the United States.

As a result, operating profit of the Global Commercial Banking Business Group increased ¥23.0 billion to ¥207.0 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019 from ¥184.0 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018.

Asset Management & Investor Services Business Group

Net revenue of the Asset Management & Investor Services Business Group increased ¥12.6 billion to ¥203.0 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019 from ¥190.4 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018. The Asset Management & Investor Services Business Group's net revenue mainly consists of fees from asset management and administration services for products, such as pension trusts and mutual funds. The increase in net revenue was primarily attributable to higher fees from investor services both in and outside Japan, reflecting a larger balance of assets under management as we expanded our services to global fund customers and started to offer services for new domestic investment products. The increase in net revenue was also attributable to an increase in investment products sales to domestic corporate investors.

Operating expenses of the Asset Management & Investor Services Business Group increased ¥5.2 billion to ¥124.6 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019 from ¥119.4 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018. The increase was mainly attributable to larger volumes of business.

As a result, operating profit of the Asset Management & Investor Services Business Group increased ¥7.4 billion to ¥78.4 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019 from ¥71.0 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018.

Global Markets Business Group

Net revenue of the Global Markets Business Group decreased ¥94.7 billion to ¥479.8 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019 from ¥574.5 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018. This was mainly due to lower net revenue from the asset and liability management operations, primarily reflecting tighter spreads between long-term and short-term interest rates in the United States as well as lower realized gains on sales of Japanese government bonds. In the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018, we recorded relatively higher realized gains on sales of Japanese government bonds executed in larger volumes in anticipation of greater fluctuations in interest rates in Japan. The decrease in net revenue was also attributable to a decline in customer business reflecting lower volatility in the bond and equity markets.

Operating expenses of the Global Markets Business Group decreased ¥5.2 billion to ¥223.5 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019 from ¥228.7 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018. This decrease

primarily reflected the results of our cost reduction measures, partially offset by an increase in expenses for financial regulatory compliance purposes.

As a result, operating profit of the Global Markets Business Group decreased ¥89.5 billion to ¥256.3 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019 from ¥345.8 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018.

Geographic Segment Analysis

The table below sets forth our total revenue, income (loss) before income tax expense (benefit) and net income (loss) attributable to Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group on a geographic basis for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2019 and 2020. Assets, income and expenses attributable to foreign operations are allocated to geographical areas based on the domicile of the debtors and customers. In general, we have allocated all direct expenses and a proportionate share of general and administrative expenses to income derived from foreign loans and other transactions by our foreign operations to the relevant foreign geographical areas. Certain charges, such as most impairment charges on goodwill, are recognized as domestic expenses. For further information, see Note 29 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

	Fiscal years ended March 31,	
	2019	2020
	(in billions)	
Total revenue (interest income and non-interest income):		
Domestic	¥1,886.4	¥ 1,596.8
Foreign:		
United States of America	1,637.6	2,224.0
Europe	222.3	89.4
Asia/Oceania excluding Japan	1,157.9	1,326.4
Other areas ⁽¹⁾	504.4	566.2
Total foreign	3,522.2	4,206.0
Total	¥5,408.6	¥ 5,802.8
Income (loss) before income tax expense (benefit):		
Domestic	¥ (317.7)	¥ (969.1)
Foreign:		
United States of America	624.6	1,172.8
Europe	48.3	(129.2)
Asia/Oceania excluding Japan	265.2	152.2
Other areas ⁽¹⁾	250.4	206.6
Total foreign	1,188.5	1,402.4
Total	¥ 870.8	¥ 433.3
Net income (loss) attributable to Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group		
Domestic	¥ (345.1)	¥(1,001.5)
Foreign:		
United States of America	573.7	1,114.0
Europe	50.9	(140.4)
Asia/Oceania excluding Japan	214.5	142.6
Other areas ⁽¹⁾	224.6	191.3
Total foreign	1,063.7	1,307.5
Total	¥ 718.6	¥ 306.0

Note:

(1) Other areas primarily include Canada, Latin America, the Caribbean and the Middle East.

Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2020 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2019

Domestic net loss attributable to Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 was ¥1,001.5 billion, compared to net loss of ¥345.1 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019. This was mainly attributable to larger investment securities losses and the impairment losses on goodwill relating to Bank Danamon, MUFG Americas Holdings and Krungsri.

Foreign net income attributable to Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group increased ¥243.8 billion to ¥1,307.5 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 from ¥1,063.7 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019. The increase in foreign net income was mainly due to higher trading account profits, reflecting net profits from trading account securities under the fair value option in the United States.

Effect of Change in Exchange Rates on Foreign Currency Translation

Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2020 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2019

The average exchange rate for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 was ¥108.74 per U.S.\$1.00, compared to the average exchange rate of ¥110.91 per U.S.\$1.00 for the previous fiscal year. The average exchange rate for the conversion of the U.S. dollar financial statements of some of our foreign subsidiaries for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2019 was ¥109.05 per U.S.\$1.00, compared to the average exchange rate for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018 of ¥110.43 per U.S.\$1.00.

The change in the average exchange rate of the Japanese yen against the U.S. dollar and other foreign currencies had the effect of decreasing total revenue by ¥91.0 billion, net interest income by ¥29.0 billion and income before income tax expense by ¥31.0 billion, respectively, for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020.

B. Liquidity and Capital Resources

Financial Condition

Total Assets

Our total assets as of March 31, 2020 were ¥331,753.3 billion, an increase of ¥26,524.4 billion from ¥305,228.9 billion as of March 31, 2019. The increase in total assets mainly reflected an increase in receivables under resale agreements of ¥13,021.2 billion and an increase in trading account assets of ¥6,927.4, which were partially offset by a decrease in equity securities of ¥1,592.3 billion.

The following table shows our total assets as of March 31, 2019 and 2020 by geographic region based principally on the domicile of the obligors:

	As of March 31,	
	2019	2020
	(in billions)	
Japan	¥194,070.5	¥207,532.3
Foreign:		
United States	49,987.4	60,587.9
Europe	21,535.3	19,099.4
Asia/Oceania excluding Japan	27,993.0	30,845.9
Other areas ⁽¹⁾	11,642.7	13,687.8
Total foreign	111,158.4	124,221.0
Total	<u>¥305,228.9</u>	<u>¥331,753.3</u>

Note:

(1) Other areas primarily include Canada, Latin America, the Caribbean and the Middle East.

We have allocated a substantial portion of our assets to international activities. As a result, reported amounts are affected by changes in the exchange rates of the Japanese yen against the U.S. dollar and other foreign currencies. Foreign assets are denominated primarily in the U.S. dollar. The Japanese yen amount of foreign currency-denominated assets decreases as major foreign currencies depreciates against the Japanese yen. For example, as of March 31, 2020, the exchange rate was ¥108.83 per U.S.\$1.00, as compared with ¥110.99 as of March 31, 2019. This appreciation of the Japanese yen against the U.S. dollar and other foreign currencies between March 31, 2019 and March 31, 2020 resulted in a ¥2,671.5 billion decrease in the Japanese yen amount of our total assets as of March 31, 2020.

Loan Portfolio

The following table sets forth our loans outstanding, before deduction of allowance for credit losses, as of March 31, 2019 and 2020, based on the industry segment loan classifications as defined by the Bank of Japan for regulatory reporting purposes, which is not necessarily based on the use of proceeds:

	As of March 31,	
	2019	2020
	(in billions)	
Domestic:		
Manufacturing	¥ 11,154.0	¥ 11,448.8
Construction	717.7	733.2
Real estate	11,706.4	12,054.7
Services	2,653.2	2,585.1
Wholesale and retail	7,643.4	7,504.6
Banks and other financial institutions ⁽¹⁾	5,213.0	5,161.1
Communication and information services	1,510.6	1,572.3
Other industries	8,756.5	8,673.9
Consumer	15,802.0	15,319.7
Total domestic	65,156.8	65,053.4
Foreign:		
Governments and official institutions	841.7	726.3
Banks and other financial institutions ⁽¹⁾	11,641.4	11,788.2
Commercial and industrial	31,951.1	32,565.0
Other	7,597.5	8,404.1
Total foreign	52,031.7	53,483.6
Unearned income, unamortized premium—net and deferred loan fees—net	(304.6)	(350.3)
Total ⁽²⁾	¥116,883.9	¥118,186.7

Notes:

(1) Loans to so-called “non-bank finance companies” are generally included in the “Banks and other financial institutions” category.

Non-bank finance companies are primarily engaged in consumer lending, factoring and credit card businesses.

(2) The above table includes loans held for sale of ¥291.8 billion and ¥344.8 billion as of March 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively, which are carried at the lower of cost or fair value.

Loans are one of our main uses of funds. For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, the average balance of loans was ¥116,448.5 billion, accounting for 47.3% of the average total interest-earning assets, compared to ¥118,102.2 billion, representing 48.9% of the average total interest-earning assets, for the previous fiscal year. As of March 31, 2020, our total loans were ¥118,186.7 billion, accounting for 35.6% of total assets, compared to ¥116,883.9 billion, accounting for 38.3% of total assets as of March 31, 2019. As a percentage of total loans before unearned income, net unamortized premiums and net deferred loan fees, between March 31, 2019 and March 31, 2020, domestic loans decreased from 55.6% to 54.9%, while foreign loans increased from 44.4% to 45.1%.

Our domestic loan balance decreased ¥103.4 billion, or 0.2%, between March 31, 2019 and March 31, 2020. This was mainly due to a decrease in residential loans, which are included in the consumer category in the above table, as repayments exceeded newly extended loans.

Our foreign loan balance increased ¥1,451.9 billion, or 2.8%, between March 31, 2019 and March 31, 2020. This was primarily due to our acquisition of Bank Danamon. In addition, larger volumes of retail, consumer and residential loans in MUFG Union Bank and automobile loans in Krungsri contributed to the increase in the foreign loan balance. Particularly, the growth in the foreign loan balance was attributable to larger volumes of retail, consumer and residential loans in MUFG Americas Holdings and automobile loans in Krungsri.

Credit quality indicator

The following table sets forth credit quality indicators of loans by class as of March 31, 2019 and 2020:

As of March 31, 2019:	Normal	Close Watch	Likely to become Bankrupt or Legally/Virtually Bankrupt	Total ⁽¹⁾		
	(in billions)					
Commercial						
Domestic	¥49,392.0	¥1,242.1	¥217.7	¥50,851.8		
Manufacturing	10,819.6	279.9	47.9	11,147.4		
Construction	672.1	37.2	7.9	717.2		
Real estate	11,403.6	222.8	22.5	11,648.9		
Services	2,436.4	174.8	20.0	2,631.2		
Wholesale and retail	7,240.8	329.3	68.7	7,638.8		
Banks and other financial institutions	5,199.9	7.6	0.9	5,208.4		
Communication and information services	1,465.7	34.5	10.2	1,510.4		
Other industries	8,610.5	119.6	24.9	8,755.0		
Consumer	1,543.4	36.4	14.7	1,594.5		
Foreign ⁽²⁾	35,418.2	562.9	112.1	36,093.2		
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	11.7	10.8	3.8	26.3		
Total	¥84,821.9	¥1,815.8	¥333.6	¥86,971.3		
			Accrual	Nonaccrual	Total ⁽¹⁾	
			(in billions)			
Residential			¥13,661.8	¥66.3	¥13,728.1	
Card			¥ 516.9	¥61.6	¥ 578.5	
	Credit Quality Based on the Number of Delinquencies		Credit Quality Based on Internal Credit Ratings			
	Accrual	Nonaccrual	Pass	Special Mention	Classified	Total ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾
	(in billions)					
MUFG Americas Holdings	¥4,752.1	¥15.5	¥4,699.7	¥51.9	¥88.4	¥9,607.6
		Normal	Special Mention	Substandard or Doubtful or Doubtful of Loss		Total ⁽¹⁾
		(in billions)				
Krungsri		¥5,682.2	¥199.1	¥129.2		¥6,010.5

As of March 31, 2020:	Normal	Close Watch	Likely to become Bankrupt or Legally/Virtually Bankrupt	Total ⁽¹⁾			
	(in billions)						
Commercial							
Domestic	¥49,695.9	¥1,186.1	¥220.2	¥51,102.2			
Manufacturing	10,997.3	317.0	58.6	11,372.9			
Construction	696.5	28.4	6.9	731.8			
Real estate	11,790.4	191.0	20.1	12,001.5			
Services	2,390.2	158.9	21.8	2,570.9			
Wholesale and retail	7,124.1	301.9	71.8	7,497.8			
Banks and other financial institutions	5,146.4	13.2	0.9	5,160.5			
Communication and information services	1,530.8	32.4	8.9	1,572.1			
Other industries	8,540.1	112.8	19.3	8,672.2			
Consumer	1,480.1	30.5	11.9	1,522.5			
Foreign ⁽²⁾	34,719.1	636.5	128.1	35,483.7			
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	8.2	9.7	19.0	36.9			
Total	¥84,423.2	¥1,832.3	¥367.3	¥86,622.8			
			Accrual	Nonaccrual	Total ⁽¹⁾		
			(in billions)				
Residential			¥13,256.8	¥61.7	¥13,318.5		
Card			¥ 504.3	¥61.3	¥ 565.6		
		Credit Quality Based on the Number of Delinquencies	Credit Quality Based on Internal Credit Ratings				
		Accrual	Nonaccrual	Pass	Special Mention	Classified	Total ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾
		(in billions)					
MUFG Americas Holdings	¥4,590.8	¥15.1	¥4,877.9	¥87.6	¥84.0	¥9,655.4	
		Normal	Special Mention	Substandard or Doubtful or Doubtful of Loss		Total ⁽¹⁾	
		(in billions)					
Krungsri	¥6,513.7	¥246.3	¥151.6		¥6,911.6		
			Accrual	Nonaccrual	Total ⁽¹⁾		
			(in billions)				
Other			¥1,086.5	¥31.4	¥1,117.9		

Notes:

- (1) Total loans in the above table do not include loans held for sale, and represent balances without adjustments in relation to unearned income, unamortized premiums and deferred loan fees.
- (2) Excludes the loans held by MUFG Americas Holdings, Krungsri and Other.
- (3) Total loans of MUFG Americas Holdings do not include FDIC covered loans and small business loans which are not individually rated totaling ¥0.7 billion and ¥0.4 billion as of March 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively. We will be reimbursed for a substantial portion of any future losses on FDIC covered loans under the terms of the FDIC loss share agreements.

We classify loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt, including, but not limited to, historical and current financial information, historical and current payment experience, credit documentation, public and non-public information about borrowers and current economic trends as deemed appropriate to each segment.

The primary credit quality indicator for loans within all classes of the Commercial segment is the internal credit rating assigned to each borrower based on our internal borrower ratings of 1 through 15 with the rating of 1 assigned to a borrower with the highest quality of credit. When assigning a credit rating to a borrower, we evaluate the borrower's expected debt-service capability based on various information, including financial and operating information of the borrower as well as information on the industry in which the borrower operates, and the borrower's business profile, management and compliance system. In evaluating a borrower's debt-service capability, we also conduct an assessment of the level of earnings and an analysis of the borrower's net worth. Based on the internal borrower rating, loans within the Commercial segment are categorized as Normal (internal borrower ratings of 1 through 9), Close Watch (internal borrower ratings of 10 through 12), and Likely to become Bankrupt or Legally/Virtually Bankrupt (internal borrower ratings of 13 through 15).

Loans to borrowers categorized as Normal represent those that are not deemed to have collectability issues. Loans to borrowers categorized as Close Watch represent those that require close monitoring as the borrower has begun to exhibit elements of potential concern with respect to its business performance and financial condition, the borrower has begun to exhibit elements of serious concern with respect to its business performance and financial condition, including business problems requiring long-term solutions, or the borrower's loans are TDRs or loans contractually past due 90 days or more for special reasons. Loans to borrowers categorized as Likely to become Bankrupt or Legally/Virtually Bankrupt represent those that have a higher probability of default than those categorized as Close Watch due to serious debt repayment problems with poor progress in achieving restructuring plans, the borrower being considered virtually bankrupt with no prospects for an improvement in business operations, or the borrower being legally bankrupt with no prospects for continued business operations because of non-payment, suspension of business, voluntary liquidation or filing for legal liquidation.

For more information on our credit and borrower ratings, see "Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Credit, Market and Other Risk—Credit Risk Management."

The accrual status is a primary credit quality indicator for loans within the Residential segment and the Card segment as well as consumer loans within the MUFG Americas Holdings segment. The accrual status of these loans is determined based on the number of delinquent payments.

Commercial loans within the MUFG Americas Holdings segment are categorized as either pass or criticized based on the internal credit rating assigned to each borrower. Criticized credits are those that are internally risk graded as Special Mention, Substandard or Doubtful. Special Mention credits are potentially weak, as the borrower has begun to exhibit deteriorating trends, which, if not corrected, may jeopardize repayment of the loan and result in a further downgrade. Classified credits are those that are internally risk graded as Substandard or Doubtful. Substandard credits have well-defined weaknesses, which, if not corrected, could jeopardize the full satisfaction of the debt. A credit classified as Doubtful has critical weaknesses that make full collection improbable on the basis of currently existing facts and conditions.

Loans within the Krungsri segment are categorized as Normal, Special Mention, and Substandard, which is further divided into Substandard, Doubtful and Doubtful of Loss, primarily based on their delinquency status. Loans categorized as Special Mention generally represent those that have overdue principal repayments or interest payments for a cumulative period exceeding one month commencing from the contractual due date. Loans categorized as Substandard, Doubtful or Doubtful of Loss generally represent those that have overdue principal repayments or interest payments for a cumulative period exceeding three months, commencing from the contractual due date.

For the Commercial, Residential and Card segments, credit quality indicators are based on information as of March 31. For the MUFG Americas Holdings, Krungsri and Other segments, credit quality indicators are generally based on information as of December 31.

Allowance for credit losses

The following table shows a summary of the changes in the allowance for credit losses by portfolio segment for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2019 and 2020:

<u>Fiscal year ended March 31, 2019:</u>	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>Residential</u>	<u>Card</u>	<u>MUFG Americas Holdings</u>	<u>Krungsri</u>	<u>Total</u>
			(in billions)			
Allowance for credit losses:						
Balance at beginning of fiscal year	¥491.1	¥42.5	¥32.1	¥53.8	¥144.6	¥764.1
Provision for (reversal of) credit losses	(43.9)	(4.5)	23.9	9.3	49.5	34.3
Charge-offs	76.6	0.3	24.3	13.2	59.6	174.0
Recoveries	17.5	0.9	0.9	3.7	21.1	44.1
Net charge-offs	59.1	(0.6)	23.4	9.5	38.5	129.9
Other ⁽¹⁾	1.5	—	—	(1.0)	(10.8)	(10.3)
Balance at end of fiscal year	<u>¥389.6</u>	<u>¥38.6</u>	<u>¥32.6</u>	<u>¥52.6</u>	<u>¥144.8</u>	<u>¥658.2</u>

<u>Fiscal year ended March 31, 2020:</u>	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>Residential</u>	<u>Card</u>	<u>MUFG Americas Holdings</u>	<u>Krungsri</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Total</u>
			(in billions)				
Allowance for credit losses:							
Balance at beginning of fiscal year	¥389.6	¥38.6	¥32.6	¥52.6	¥144.8	¥ —	¥658.2
Provision for (reversal of) credit losses	153.8	(1.0)	26.5	30.9	70.0	41.5	321.7
Charge-offs	85.3	3.3	25.1	27.9	77.9	23.6	243.1
Recoveries	26.4	0.4	1.2	4.1	23.2	8.5	63.8
Net charge-offs	58.9	2.9	23.9	23.8	54.7	15.1	179.3
Other ⁽¹⁾	(2.2)	—	—	(0.7)	9.5	2.3	8.9
Balance at end of fiscal year	<u>¥482.3</u>	<u>¥34.7</u>	<u>¥35.2</u>	<u>¥59.0</u>	<u>¥169.6</u>	<u>¥28.7</u>	<u>¥809.5</u>

Note:

(1) Other is principally comprised of gains or losses from foreign exchange translation.

Allowance for credit losses and recorded investment in loans by portfolio segment as of March 31, 2019 and 2020 are shown below:

<u>As of March 31, 2019:</u>	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>Residential</u>	<u>Card</u>	<u>MUFG Americas Holdings</u>	<u>Krungsri</u>	<u>Total</u>
			(in billions)			
Allowance for credit losses:						
Individually evaluated for impairment	¥ 313.0	¥ 14.1	¥ 21.8	¥ 8.3	¥ 28.3	¥ 385.5
Collectively evaluated for impairment	63.3	23.4	10.8	44.3	116.5	258.3
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality ⁽¹⁾	13.3	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.4
Total	<u>¥ 389.6</u>	<u>¥ 38.6</u>	<u>¥ 32.6</u>	<u>¥ 52.6</u>	<u>¥ 144.8</u>	<u>¥ 658.2</u>
Loans:						
Individually evaluated for impairment	¥ 880.0	¥ 102.9	¥ 64.8	¥ 69.8	¥ 83.2	¥ 1,200.7
Collectively evaluated for impairment	86,065.0	13,617.8	510.4	9,527.3	5,921.4	115,641.9
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality ⁽¹⁾	26.3	7.4	3.3	11.2	5.9	54.1
Total ⁽²⁾	<u>¥86,971.3</u>	<u>¥13,728.1</u>	<u>¥578.5</u>	<u>¥9,608.3</u>	<u>¥6,010.5</u>	<u>¥116,896.7</u>

As of March 31, 2020:	Commercial	Residential	Card	MUFG Americas Holdings (in billions)	Krungsri	Other	Total
Allowance for credit losses:							
Individually evaluated for impairment	¥ 364.1	¥ 12.6	¥ 19.8	¥ 6.0	¥ 30.2	¥ 5.0	437.7
Collectively evaluated for impairment	108.1	21.1	15.4	53.0	139.4	22.6	359.6
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality ⁽¹⁾	10.1	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	12.2
Total	<u>¥ 482.3</u>	<u>¥ 34.7</u>	<u>¥ 35.2</u>	<u>¥ 59.0</u>	<u>¥ 169.6</u>	<u>¥ 28.7</u>	<u>809.5</u>
Loans:							
Individually evaluated for impairment	¥ 945.0	¥ 92.4	¥ 65.3	¥ 67.7	¥ 99.0	¥ 17.5	1,286.9
Collectively evaluated for impairment	85,640.9	13,219.7	497.4	9,581.1	6,806.5	1,092.5	116,838.1
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality ⁽¹⁾	36.9	6.4	2.9	7.0	6.1	7.9	67.2
Total ⁽²⁾	<u>¥86,622.8</u>	<u>¥13,318.5</u>	<u>¥565.6</u>	<u>¥9,655.8</u>	<u>¥6,911.6</u>	<u>¥1,117.9</u>	<u>¥118,192.2</u>

Notes:

- (1) Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality in the above table include acquired impaired loans which are individually evaluated for impairment.
- (2) Total loans in the above table do not include loans held for sale, and represent balances without adjustments in relation to unearned income, unamortized premiums and deferred loan fees.

We recorded ¥321.7 billion of provision for credit losses for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, compared to ¥34.3 billion of provision for credit losses for the previous fiscal year. Our total allowance for credit losses as of March 31, 2020 was ¥809.5 billion, an increase of ¥151.3 billion from ¥658.2 billion as of March 31, 2019. The total allowance for credit losses represented 0.68% of the total loan balance as of March 31, 2020, compared to 0.56% as of March 31, 2019. Significant trends in each portfolio segment are discussed below. See “—Business Environment—Recent Developments and Prospects.”

Commercial segment—We recorded ¥153.8 billion of provision for credit losses for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, compared to ¥43.9 billion of reversal of credit losses for the previous fiscal year. The provision for credit losses for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 reflected the financing provided to a domestic borrower in the services sector to facilitate the borrower’s restructuring efforts and the deteriorated business and financial condition of some large domestic borrowers in the manufacturing sector. The provision for credit losses also reflected the deteriorated business and financial performance of some large foreign borrowers in the oil and gas sector and the electric power sector. The provision for credit losses also included ¥46.4 billion of qualitative reserves in light of the estimated impact that the COVID-19 pandemic had on the credit risk of our borrowers. See Note 4 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report. In addition, our recoveries increased as a result of collection from some large domestic borrowers in the Legally/Virtually Bankrupt category during the year ended March 31, 2020. As a result, the ratio of loans classified as Close Watch to total loans increased to 2.12% as of March 31, 2020 from 2.09% as of March 31, 2019, and the ratio of loans classified as Likely to become Bankrupt and Legally/Virtually Bankrupt to total loans increased to 0.42% as of March 31, 2020 from 0.38% as of March 31, 2019. The total allowance for credit losses for this segment represented 0.56% of the segment’s total loan balance as of March 31, 2020, compared to 0.45% as of March 31, 2019.

Residential segment—We recorded ¥1.0 billion of reversal of credit losses for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, compared to ¥4.5 billion of reversal of credit losses for the previous fiscal year. The credit

quality of the portfolio remained substantially unchanged as the stable corporate environment in recent periods continued to have a positive impact on the financial condition of residential borrowers. The ratio of loans classified as Nonaccrual to total loans in the segment decreased to 0.46% as of March 31, 2020 from 0.48% as of March 31, 2019. The ratio of total allowance for credit losses to the total loan balance in this segment decreased to 0.26% as of March 31, 2020 from 0.28% as of March 31, 2019.

Card segment—We recorded ¥26.5 billion of provision for credit losses for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, compared to ¥23.9 billion of provision for credit losses for the previous fiscal year. The larger provision for credit losses primarily reflected an increase in default borrowers who filed for bankruptcy as part of their debt workout efforts. The increase in default borrowers led to an increase in the amount of nonaccrual loans that were charged off during the reporting period, which amount is reflected in each of charge-offs and provision for credit losses in the above table. Although the stable corporate environment in recent periods positively affected some of our individual borrowers, the positive trends did not meaningfully affect our consumer loan borrowers and, in some cases, the corporate efficiencies negatively affected some of our consumer loan borrowers. As a result, the ratio of loans classified as Nonaccrual to the total loans in the segment increased to 10.83% as of March 31, 2020, from 10.65% as of March 31, 2019. The ratio of total allowance for credit losses to the total loan balance in this segment increased to 6.22% as of March 31, 2020 from 5.63% as of March 31, 2019.

MUFG Americas Holdings segment—We recorded ¥30.9 billion of provision for credit losses for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, compared to ¥9.3 billion of provision for credit losses for the previous fiscal year. The larger provision for credit losses mainly reflected the deteriorated financial condition of large borrowers in the electric power sector and the real estate rental sector. The larger provision was also attributable to the growth in the unsecured consumer loan portfolio in line with MUFG Union Bank's expansion strategy. As a result, the ratio of loans classified as Special Mention or below and Nonaccrual to total loans in the segment increased to 1.93% as of March 31, 2020 from 1.62% as of March 31, 2019. The ratio of total allowance for credit losses to the total loan balance in this segment increased to 0.61% as of March 31, 2020 from 0.55% as of March 31, 2019.

Krungsri segment—We recorded ¥70.0 billion of provision for credit losses for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, compared to ¥49.5 billion of provision for credit losses for the previous fiscal year. The larger provision for credit losses mainly reflected the expansion of the retail and consumer loan portfolio, particularly automobile loans. In addition, some borrowers of mortgage and automobile loans experienced deterioration in their financial condition. As a result, the ratio of loans classified as Special Mention or below to total loans in the segment increased to 5.76% as of March 31, 2020 from 5.46% as of March 31, 2019. The ratio of total allowance for credit losses to the total loan balance in this segment increased to 2.45% as of March 31, 2020 from 2.41% as of March 31, 2019.

Other segment—We recorded ¥41.5 billion of provision for credit losses for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020. The provision for credit losses mainly reflected newly extended loans to automobile purchasers and small and medium-sized enterprises as well as unsecured consumer loans in Bank Danamon, which became our consolidated subsidiary in April 2019. The ratio of loans classified as nonaccrual to total loans in the segment was 2.81% as of March 31, 2020. The ratio of total allowance for credit losses to the total loan balance in this segment was 2.57% as of March 31, 2020.

When there is an improvement in asset quality, reversal of credit losses is recorded in our consolidated statements of income to maintain the allowance for credit losses at a level management deems appropriate. Although we reversed allowance for credit losses for certain loan portfolio segments in recent periods, we have historically provided for credit losses, and in future periods we may need to recognize a provision for credit losses. See "Item 3.D. Key Information—Risk Factors—Credit Risk—We may suffer additional credit-related losses in the future if our borrowers are unable to repay their loans as expected or if the measures we take in reaction to, or in anticipation of, our borrowers' deteriorating repayment abilities prove inappropriate or insufficient."

Allowance policy

We maintain an allowance for credit losses to absorb probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio. We have divided our allowance for credit losses into six portfolio segments—Commercial, Residential, Card, MUFG Americas Holdings, Krungsri and Other. Our allowance policy for the major portfolio segments—Commercial, Residential, Card, MUFG Americas Holdings and Krungsri—is summarized below.

For all portfolio segments, key elements relating to the policies and discipline used in determining the allowance for credit losses are our credit classification and related borrower categorization process, which are closely linked to the risk grading standards set by the Japanese regulatory authorities for asset evaluation and assessment, and are used as a basis for establishing the allowance for credit losses and charge-offs. The categorization is based on conditions that may affect the ability of borrowers to service their debt, such as current financial condition and results of operations, historical payment experience, credit documentation, other public information and current trends.

For more information on our credit and borrower ratings, see “Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Credit, Market and Other Risk—Credit Risk Management.”

For the Commercial, MUFG Americas Holdings and Krungsri segments, our allowance for credit losses primarily consists of allocated allowances. The allocated allowances consist of (1) an allowance for loans individually evaluated for impairment, (2) an allowance for large groups of smaller-balance homogeneous loans, and (3) a formula allowance. The allocated allowance within the Commercial segment also includes an allowance for country risk exposure. The allowance for credit losses within the MUFG Americas Holdings segment also includes an unallocated allowance which captures losses that are attributable to economic events in various industry or geographic sectors whose impact on our loan portfolios in these segments have occurred but have yet to be recognized in the allocated allowance. For the Residential and Card segments, the loans are smaller-balance homogeneous loans that are pooled by the risk ratings based on the number of delinquencies.

For more information on our methodologies used to estimate the allowance for each portfolio segment, see “Summary of Significant Accounting Policies” in Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report and “—Critical Accounting Estimates—Allowance for Credit Losses” above.

On April 1, 2020, we adopted Accounting Standards Update 2016-13, Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments. The new guidance replaces the incurred loss impairment methodology in current U.S. GAAP with the current expected credit loss model that reflects expected credit losses and requires consideration of a broader range of reasonable and supportable information to estimate credit losses. As a result, considering the COVID-19 pandemic and macroeconomic variables under the circumstances, we estimate that our allowance for credit losses and allowance for off-balance sheet credit instruments increased an aggregate of approximately ¥380 billion to ¥450 billion as of the opening balance sheet date. For more information on this guidance, see Note 1 to our audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Allowance for off-balance sheet credit instruments

We maintain an allowance for credit losses on off-balance sheet credit instruments, including commitments to extend credit, guarantees, standby letters of credit and other financial instruments. The allowance is included in other liabilities. We have adopted for such instruments the same methodology as that which is used in determining the allowance for credit losses on loans.

The allowance for credit losses on off-balance sheet credit instruments was ¥57.0 billion as of March 31, 2020, a decrease of ¥62.3 billion from ¥119.3 billion as of March 31, 2019. This decrease was primarily because a large domestic borrower in the services industry, in whose favor we have provided guarantees in substantial amounts, had improved the credit capability by the restructuring efforts.

Intervening Event

We consolidate certain foreign subsidiaries based on financial information for the fiscal year ended December 31 as this date and our fiscal year which ended on March 31 have been treated as coterminous. For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, the effect of recording a provision for credit losses and a provision for off-balance sheet credit instruments, including commitments to extend credit, guarantees and standby letters of credit, as an intervening event primarily due to the economic environment triggered by COVID-19 pandemic for the three-month period ended March 31 2020 would have been approximately ¥84 billion and would have resulted in a decrease of ¥58 billion to net income attributable to Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group. This intervening event occurring during the three-month period ended March 31, 2020, if recorded, would not have had a substantial and permanent effects on consolidated total assets, net income or total equity as of March 31, 2020, and therefore, the intervening event was not recognized for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020.

Nonaccrual loans and troubled debt restructurings

We consider a loan to be a nonaccrual loan when substantial doubt exists as to the full and timely payment of interest on, or repayment of, the principal of the loan, which is a borrower condition that generally corresponds to borrowers in categories 13 and below in our internal rating system (which corresponds to “Likely to become Bankrupt,” “Virtually Bankrupt” and “Bankrupt or de facto Bankrupt” status under Japanese banking regulations). Substantially all nonaccrual loans are also impaired loans. Loans are also placed in nonaccrual status when principal or interest is contractually past due one month or more with respect to loans within all classes of the Commercial segment, three months or more with respect to loans within the Card, MUFG Americas Holdings and Krungsri segments, and six months or more with respect to loans within the Residential segment.

We modify certain loans in conjunction with our loss-mitigation activities. Through these modifications, concessions are granted to a borrower who is experiencing financial difficulty, generally in order to minimize economic loss, to avoid foreclosure or repossession of collateral, and to ultimately maximize payments received from the borrower. The concessions granted vary by portfolio segment, by program, and by borrower-specific characteristics, and may include interest rate reductions, term extensions, payment deferrals, and partial principal forgiveness. Loan modifications that represent concessions made to borrowers who are experiencing financial difficulties are identified as troubled debt restructurings, or TDRs. TDRs are also considered impaired loans, and an allowance for credit losses is separately established for each loan.

Generally, accruing loans that are modified in a TDR remain as accruing loans subsequent to the modification, and nonaccrual loans remain as nonaccrual. However, if a nonaccrual loan has been modified as a TDR and the borrower is not delinquent under the modified terms, and demonstrates that its financial condition has improved, we may reclassify the loan to accrual status. This determination is generally performed at least once a year through a detailed internal credit rating review process. Although we have not defined any minimum period to qualify for an upgrade, it is not common for a borrower to be able to demonstrate that its business problems have been resolved or can soon be resolved within a short period of time following a restructuring. If the borrower is upgraded to category 12 or higher in our internal rating system (which corresponds to “Normal” and “Close Watch” status under the Japanese banking regulations), a TDR would be reclassified to accrual status. Once a nonaccrual loan is deemed to be a TDR, we will continue to designate the loan as a TDR even if the loan is reclassified to accrual status.

A loan that has been modified into a TDR is considered to be impaired until it matures, is repaid, or is otherwise liquidated, regardless of whether the borrower performs under the modified terms.

For more information on our credit and borrower ratings, see “Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Credit, Market and Other Risk—Credit Risk Management.”

For more information on our TDRs, see Note 4 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Nonaccrual loans

The following table shows information about the nonaccrual status of loans by class as of March 31, 2019 and 2020:

	As of March 31,	
	2019	2020
	(in billions)	
Commercial		
Domestic	¥272.8	¥313.0
Manufacturing	65.9	93.8
Construction	9.8	8.5
Real estate	23.2	21.8
Services	26.2	51.2
Wholesale and retail	94.5	94.1
Banks and other financial institutions	0.9	1.0
Communication and information services	12.0	10.5
Other industries	25.4	20.2
Consumer	14.9	11.9
Foreign ⁽¹⁾	111.0	127.0
Residential	68.5	64.0
Card	61.4	61.2
MUFG Americas Holdings	46.5	35.8
Krungsri	127.5	149.7
Other	—	27.8
Total⁽²⁾	¥687.7	¥778.5

Notes:

(1) Excludes the loans held by MUFG Americas Holdings, Krungsri and Other.

(2) The above table does not include loans held for sale of ¥12.7 billion and ¥0.3 billion as of March 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively, and loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality of ¥6.3 billion and ¥25.4 billion as of March 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively.

Total nonaccrual loans increased ¥90.8 billion between March 31, 2019 and March 31, 2020. Significant trends in each portfolio segment are discussed below.

Commercial segment—Nonaccrual loans in the domestic commercial category increased ¥40.2 billion between March 31, 2019 and March 31, 2020. This increase mainly reflected the financing provided to the domestic borrower in the services category to facilitate the borrower's restructuring efforts and the deteriorated business and financial condition of large domestic borrowers in the manufacturing sector, as discussed in "—Allowance for credit losses" above. Nonaccrual loans in the foreign commercial category increased mainly due to our acquisition of DVB Bank's aviation finance portfolio.

Residential segment—Nonaccrual loans in the segment decreased ¥4.5 billion between March 31, 2019 and March 31, 2020 primarily due to the transfer from nonaccrual status to accrual status of loans to borrowers who became current with their interest payments as the stable corporate environment in recent periods has contributed to higher income for borrowers in the segment. In addition, our efforts to work with borrowers on their loan obligations contributed to the reduction in nonaccrual loans.

Card segment—Nonaccrual loans in the segment decreased ¥0.2 billion between March 31, 2019 and March 31, 2020. While the amount of consumer loans transferred from accrual status to nonaccrual status increased, the amount of such increase was more than offset by the amount of charge-offs of nonaccrual consumer loans to borrowers who filed for bankruptcy.

MUFG Americas Holdings segment—Nonaccrual loans in the segment decreased ¥10.7 billion between March 31, 2019 and March 31, 2020. This was mainly due to sale, and repayment from surplus deposits, of portions of the loans outstanding to a large borrower in the electric power industry.

Krungsri segment—Nonaccrual loans in the segment increased ¥22.2 billion between March 31, 2019 and March 31, 2020. The increase mainly reflected the continued expansion of the automobile loan portfolio. In addition, loans to some borrowers in mortgage and automobile loans became nonaccrual due to deterioration in their financial condition.

Troubled debt restructurings

The following table shows information about outstanding recorded investment balances of TDRs by class as of March 31, 2019 and 2020:

	As of March 31,	
	2019	2020
	(in billions)	
Commercial⁽¹⁾		
Domestic	¥445.4	¥433.8
Manufacturing	311.9	314.0
Construction	4.6	3.4
Real estate	27.7	27.9
Services	17.1	17.3
Wholesale and retail	69.4	58.2
Banks and other financial institutions	0.1	0.1
Communication and information services	3.8	3.2
Other industries	6.4	6.8
Consumer	4.4	2.9
Foreign ⁽²⁾	51.7	71.9
Residential⁽¹⁾	34.4	28.5
Card⁽³⁾	65.0	65.5
MUFG Americas Holdings⁽³⁾	48.1	62.2
Krungsri⁽³⁾	63.0	76.8
Other⁽³⁾	—	9.5
Total	¥707.6	¥748.2

Notes:

- (1) TDRs for the Commercial and Residential segments include accruing loans with concessions granted, and do not include nonaccrual loans with concessions granted.
- (2) Excludes the loans held by MUFG Americas Holdings, Krungsri and Other.
- (3) TDRs for the Card, MUFG Americas Holdings, Krungsri and Other segments include accrual and nonaccrual loans. Included in the outstanding recorded investment balances as of March 31, 2019 and 2020 are nonaccrual TDRs as follows: ¥38.8 billion and ¥40.0 billion—Card; ¥15.0 billion and ¥19.2 billion—MUFG Americas Holdings; ¥31.1 billion and ¥34.7 billion—Krungsri; and nil and ¥1.1 billion—Other, respectively.

Total TDRs increased ¥40.6 billion between March 31, 2019 and March 31, 2020. Significant trends in each portfolio segment are discussed below.

Commercial segment—TDRs in the domestic commercial category decreased ¥11.6 billion between March 31, 2019 and March 31, 2020. This was mainly due to repayments by small and medium-sized domestic borrowers in the wholesale and retail and consumer categories of loans classified as TDRs pursuant to their respective restructured terms. The continued gradual recovery of economic conditions in Japan positively affected the financial performance and repayment ability of such borrowers during the first three quarters of the

fiscal year ended March 31, 2020. TDRs in the foreign commercial category increased primarily as a result of the restructuring of the loans to the large foreign borrowers in the electric power sector.

Residential segment—TDRs in the segment decreased ¥5.9 billion between March 31, 2019 and March 31, 2020 primarily as a result of repayments of loans classified as TDRs pursuant to their respective restructured terms.

Card segment—TDRs in the segment increased ¥0.5 billion between March 31, 2019 and March 31, 2020. Although consumer loans newly classified as TDRs increased, the amount of such increase was substantially offset by the amount of charge-offs of nonaccrual consumer loans classified as TDRs outstanding to borrowers who filed for bankruptcy and by the amount of consumer loans classified as TDRs repaid pursuant to their respective restructured terms.

MUFG Americas Holdings segment—TDRs in the segment increased ¥14.1 billion between March 31, 2019 and March 31, 2020. The increase primarily reflected the deteriorated financial condition of a large borrower in the real estate rental sector.

Krungsri segment—TDRs in the segment increased ¥13.8 billion between March 31, 2019 and March 31, 2020. This increase primarily reflected the continued expansion of the automobile loan portfolio. In addition, loans to some borrowers of mortgage and automobile loans became nonaccrual due to deterioration in their financial condition.

In the above table, TDRs for the Commercial and Residential segments include accruing loans with concessions granted, and do not include nonaccrual loans with concessions granted, whereas TDRs for the Card, MUFG Americas Holdings, Krungsri and Other segments include accrual and nonaccrual loans. In the Commercial and Residential segments, once a loan is classified as a nonaccrual loan, a modification would have little likelihood of resulting in the recovery of the loan in view of the severity of the financial difficulty of the borrower. Therefore, even if a nonaccrual loan is modified, the loan continues to be classified as a nonaccrual loan. The vast majority of modifications to nonaccrual loans are temporary extensions of the maturity dates, typically for periods up to 90 days, and continually made as the borrower is unable to repay or refinance the loan at the extended maturity. Accordingly, the impact of such TDRs on the outstanding recorded investment is immaterial, and the vast majority of nonaccrual TDRs have subsequently defaulted.

For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, extensions of the stated maturity dates of loans were the primary concession type in the Commercial, Residential, MUFG Americas Holdings and Krungsri segments and reductions in the stated rates were the primary concession type in the Card and Other segments.

Impaired loans and impairment allowance

Impaired loans primarily include nonaccrual loans and TDRs. We consider a loan to be impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect all of the scheduled payments of interest on, and repayment of, the principal of the loan when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement.

The following tables show information about impaired loans by class as of March 31, 2019 and 2020:

	As of March 31, 2019				
	Recorded Loan Balance			Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance for Credit Losses
	Requiring an Allowance for Credit Losses	Not Requiring an Allowance for Credit Losses ⁽¹⁾	Total ⁽²⁾		
	(in billions)				
Commercial					
Domestic	¥560.5	¥157.5	¥ 718.0	¥ 759.4	¥227.0
Manufacturing	349.6	28.2	377.8	384.3	92.9
Construction	8.3	6.0	14.3	14.8	6.6
Real estate	20.8	30.0	50.8	55.9	5.7
Services	30.3	13.0	43.3	46.8	20.1
Wholesale and retail	118.3	45.6	163.9	175.7	84.5
Banks and other financial institutions	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.8
Communication and information services	8.8	6.9	15.7	16.6	6.8
Other industries	13.8	18.0	31.8	38.4	6.9
Consumer	9.6	9.8	19.4	25.9	2.7
Foreign ⁽³⁾	127.5	34.5	162.0	183.1	86.0
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	8.1	—	8.1	15.0	5.5
Residential⁽⁴⁾	97.2	6.5	103.7	120.6	14.3
Card⁽⁴⁾	64.6	0.4	65.0	72.2	21.8
MUFG Americas Holdings⁽⁴⁾	46.6	23.2	69.8	83.3	8.3
Krungsri⁽⁴⁾	57.1	26.1	83.2	90.4	28.3
Total⁽⁵⁾	¥961.6	¥248.2	¥1,209.8	¥1,324.0	¥391.2

As of March 31, 2020					
	Recorded Loan Balance			Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance for Credit Losses
	Requiring an Allowance for Credit Losses	Not Requiring an Allowance for Credit Losses ⁽¹⁾	Total ⁽²⁾		
	(in billions)				
Commercial					
Domestic	¥ 598.7	¥147.9	¥ 746.6	¥ 784.3	¥268.1
Manufacturing	375.7	32.1	407.8	414.1	119.1
Construction	6.6	5.3	11.9	12.0	5.0
Real estate	20.7	29.0	49.7	55.3	6.7
Services	55.3	13.1	68.4	72.7	41.0
Wholesale and retail	113.2	38.9	152.1	160.5	81.0
Banks and other financial institutions	1.1	0.0	1.1	1.3	0.9
Communication and information services	8.0	5.8	13.8	14.7	6.0
Other industries	10.6	16.4	27.0	33.2	6.4
Consumer	7.5	7.3	14.8	20.5	2.0
Foreign ⁽³⁾	141.9	56.5	198.4	220.3	96.0
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	12.9	—	12.9	19.9	4.7
Residential⁽⁴⁾	88.1	4.8	92.9	107.7	12.7
Card⁽⁴⁾	65.2	0.3	65.5	72.7	19.8
MUFG Americas Holdings⁽⁴⁾	33.9	33.8	67.7	84.7	6.0
Krungsri⁽⁴⁾	68.2	30.8	99.0	106.3	30.2
Other⁽⁴⁾	22.7	1.1	23.8	26.1	6.2
Total⁽⁵⁾	¥1,031.6	¥275.2	¥1,306.8	¥1,422.0	¥443.7

Notes:

- (1) These loans do not require an allowance for credit losses because the recorded loan balance equal, or do not exceed, the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loans' effective interest rate, loans' observable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is a collateral-dependent loan.
- (2) Included in impaired loans as of March 31, 2019 and 2020 are accrual TDRs as follows: ¥497.1 billion and ¥505.7 billion—Commercial; ¥34.4 billion and ¥28.5 billion—Residential; ¥26.2 billion and ¥25.5 billion—Card; ¥33.1 billion and ¥43.0 billion—MUFG Americas Holdings; ¥26.9 billion and ¥35.2 billion—Krungsri; and nil and ¥8.4 billion—Other, respectively.
- (3) Excludes the loans held by MUFG Americas Holdings, Krungsri and Other.
- (4) Impaired Loans for the Residential, Card, MUFG Americas Holdings, Krungsri and Other segments in the above table include loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality.
- (5) In addition to impaired loans presented in the above table, there were loans held for sale that were impaired of ¥12.7 billion and ¥0.3 billion as of March 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively.

The following table shows information regarding the average recorded loan balance and recognized interest income on impaired loans for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2019 and 2020:

	Fiscal years ended March 31,			
	2019		2020	
	Average Recorded Loan Balance	Recognized Interest Income	Average Recorded Loan Balance	Recognized Interest Income
	(in billions)			
Commercial				
Domestic	¥ 766.8	¥12.4	¥ 726.8	¥ 8.7
Manufacturing	387.7	6.1	387.5	4.1
Construction	15.7	0.3	13.1	0.2
Real estate	57.9	1.1	48.7	0.7
Services	48.9	1.0	58.7	0.8
Wholesale and retail	171.7	2.9	157.8	2.2
Banks and other financial institutions	1.3	0.0	1.1	0.0
Communication and information services	22.5	0.5	14.8	0.3
Other industries	39.2	0.2	28.3	0.2
Consumer	21.9	0.3	16.8	0.2
Foreign ⁽¹⁾	160.0	3.1	174.8	3.0
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	7.8	0.2	9.4	0.1
Residential	107.1	1.6	98.2	1.3
Card	66.2	1.6	65.3	1.2
MUFG Americas Holdings	71.2	2.3	82.8	2.8
Krungsri	83.2	5.0	91.6	5.3
Other	—	—	11.9	0.5
Total	<u>¥1,262.3</u>	<u>¥26.2</u>	<u>¥1,260.8</u>	<u>¥22.9</u>

Note:

(1) Excludes the loans held by MUFG Americas Holdings, Krungsri and Other.

Past due analysis

Aging of past due loans by class as of March 31, 2019 and 2020 are shown below:

As of March 31, 2019:	1-3 months Past Due	Greater Than 3 months	Total Past Due	Current	Total Loans ⁽¹⁾	Recorded Investment > 90 Days and Accruing
	(in billions)					
Commercial						
Domestic	¥ 11.6	¥ 30.6	¥ 42.2	¥ 50,809.6	¥ 50,851.8	¥ 6.9
Manufacturing	1.6	3.0	4.6	11,142.8	11,147.4	—
Construction	0.2	0.1	0.3	716.9	717.2	0.0
Real estate	2.1	4.2	6.3	11,642.6	11,648.9	2.5
Services	0.7	0.6	1.3	2,629.9	2,631.2	0.0
Wholesale and retail	2.8	2.4	5.2	7,633.6	7,638.8	0.1
Banks and other financial institutions	—	0.0	0.0	5,208.4	5,208.4	—
Communication and information services	0.4	0.8	1.2	1,509.2	1,510.4	—
Other industries	0.4	13.0	13.4	8,741.6	8,755.0	—
Consumer	3.4	6.5	9.9	1,584.6	1,594.5	4.3
Foreign ⁽²⁾	10.9	20.0	30.9	36,062.3	36,093.2	0.2
Residential	62.7	16.6	79.3	13,641.4	13,720.7	6.6
Card	17.2	30.6	47.8	527.4	575.2	—
MUFG Americas Holdings	28.6	10.9	39.5	9,557.6	9,597.1	2.3
Krungsri	126.3	106.8	233.1	5,771.5	6,004.6	—
Total	<u>¥257.3</u>	<u>¥215.5</u>	<u>¥472.8</u>	<u>¥116,369.8</u>	<u>¥116,842.6</u>	<u>¥16.0</u>
As of March 31, 2020:	1-3 months Past Due	Greater Than 3 months	Total Past Due	Current	Total Loans⁽¹⁾	Recorded Investment > 90 Days and Accruing
	(in billions)					
Commercial						
Domestic	¥ 9.2	¥ 27.0	¥ 36.2	¥ 51,066.0	¥ 51,102.2	¥ 4.7
Manufacturing	0.8	2.8	3.6	11,369.3	11,372.9	0.6
Construction	0.1	0.1	0.2	731.6	731.8	—
Real estate	0.9	3.3	4.2	11,997.3	12,001.5	1.2
Services	0.8	0.9	1.7	2,569.2	2,570.9	0.0
Wholesale and retail	2.6	2.6	5.2	7,492.6	7,497.8	0.0
Banks and other financial institutions	—	0.1	0.1	5,160.4	5,160.5	—
Communication and information services	0.3	0.1	0.4	1,571.7	1,572.1	—
Other industries	0.2	12.8	13.0	8,659.2	8,672.2	0.1
Consumer	3.5	4.3	7.8	1,514.7	1,522.5	2.8
Foreign ⁽²⁾	10.0	23.5	33.5	35,450.2	35,483.7	0.2
Residential	48.5	15.4	63.9	13,248.2	13,312.1	6.3
Card	14.7	30.0	44.7	518.0	562.7	—
MUFG Americas Holdings	31.1	14.4	45.5	9,603.3	9,648.8	2.1
Krungsri	160.2	129.2	289.4	6,616.1	6,905.5	—
Other	16.4	24.4	40.8	1,069.2	1,110.0	—
Total	<u>¥290.1</u>	<u>¥263.9</u>	<u>¥554.0</u>	<u>¥117,571.0</u>	<u>¥118,125.0</u>	<u>¥13.3</u>

Notes:

- (1) Total loans in the above table do not include loans held for sale or loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality and represent balances without adjustments in relation to unearned income, unamortized premiums and deferred loan fees.
 (2) Excludes the loans held by MUFG Americas Holdings, Krungsri and Other.

Sales of nonperforming loans

The following table presents comparative data relating to the principal amount of nonperforming loans sold and reversal of allowance for credit losses:

	Principal amount of loans ⁽¹⁾	Allowance for credit losses ⁽²⁾	Loans, net of allowance	Reversal of allowance for credit losses
	(in billions)			
For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019	¥ 8.2	¥ 0.5	¥ 7.7	¥(15.6)
For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020	¥104.4	¥29.9	¥74.5	¥(10.5)

Notes:

- (1) Represents principal amount after the deduction of charge-offs made before the sales of nonperforming loans.
 (2) Represents allowance for credit losses at the latest balance-sheet date.

While we originate various types of loans to corporate and individual borrowers in Japan and overseas in the normal course of business, we dispose of nonperforming loans in order to improve our loan quality. Most of these nonperforming loans are disposed of by sales to third parties without any continuing involvement.

Through the sale of nonperforming loans to third parties, gains or losses may arise from factors such as a change in the credit quality of the borrowers or the value of the underlying collateral subsequent to the prior reporting date, and the risk appetite and investment policy of the purchasers.

The principal amount of non-performing loans sold in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 increased compared to the previous fiscal year mainly due to increased sales of nonperforming loans outstanding to some large domestic and foreign borrowers in the Commercial segment.

In connection with the sale of loans, including performing loans, we recorded net gains of ¥20.7 billion and ¥6.5 billion for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively.

Investment Portfolio

Our investment securities primarily consist of Japanese government bonds and marketable equity securities. Japanese government bonds are mostly classified as available-for-sale debt securities. Our investment in Japanese government bonds is a part of our asset and liability management policy with respect to investing the amount of Japanese yen-denominated funds exceeding our net loans. The percentage of our holding of available-for-sale Japanese government bonds to the total investment securities was 53.6% as of March 31, 2020, remaining at a similar level to March 31, 2019. We also hold Japanese government bonds that are classified as held-to-maturity debt securities, which accounted for 2.5% of the total investment securities as of March 31, 2020.

Historically, we have held equity securities of some of our customers primarily for strategic purposes, in particular to maintain long-term relationships with these customers. We continue to focus on reducing our investment in equity securities for such purposes in order to reduce the price fluctuation risk in our equity portfolio from a risk management perspective and to respond to applicable regulatory requirements as well as increasing market expectations for us to reduce our equity portfolio. As of March 31, 2019 and 2020, the aggregate book value of our marketable equity securities under Japanese GAAP satisfied the requirements of the

legislation prohibiting banks from holding equity securities in excess of their Tier 1 capital. In November 2015, we announced that we would aim to reduce the balance of equity securities held for strategic purposes valued under Japanese GAAP to approximately 10% of our Tier 1 capital over a five-year period. During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, we sold down ¥139 billion of equity securities held in our strategic equity investment portfolio valued under Japanese GAAP. As of March 31, 2020, the balance of such securities valued under Japanese GAAP represented 12.8% of our Tier 1 capital. However, various factors, including market conditions and changes in our Tier 1 capital ratio, may affect the amount of equity securities we should sell and our ability to achieve the target as planned.

Investment securities decreased ¥1,186.8 billion to ¥43,751.2 billion as of March 31, 2020 from ¥44,938.0 billion as of March 31, 2019, primarily due to a decrease in equity securities, particularly domestic marketable equity securities.

The following table shows information regarding the amortized cost, net unrealized gains (losses), and fair value of our available-for-sale debt securities and held-to-maturity debt securities as of March 31, 2019 and 2020.

	As of March 31,					
	2019			2020		
	Amortized cost	Fair value	Net unrealized gains (losses) (in billions)	Amortized cost	Fair value	Net unrealized gains (losses)
Available-for-sale debt securities:						
Japanese government and Japanese government agency bonds	¥23,748.6	¥24,077.7	¥329.1	¥23,308.5	¥23,462.9	¥154.4
Japanese prefectural and municipal bonds	2,204.0	2,226.6	22.6	2,938.7	2,952.8	14.1
Foreign government and official institution bonds	2,648.9	2,641.4	(7.5)	2,936.1	3,037.5	101.4
Corporate bonds	1,117.3	1,130.7	13.4	1,261.6	1,272.8	11.2
Mortgage-backed securities	1,768.2	1,746.3	(21.9)	1,840.0	1,841.6	1.6
Asset-backed securities	1,494.6	1,502.9	8.3	1,461.1	1,469.5	8.4
Other debt securities	192.9	192.9	0.0	161.8	163.1	1.3
Total available-for-sale debt securities	<u>¥33,174.5</u>	<u>¥33,518.5</u>	<u>¥344.0</u>	<u>¥33,907.8</u>	<u>¥34,200.2</u>	<u>¥292.4</u>
Held-to-maturity debt securities ⁽¹⁾	<u>¥ 4,441.9</u>	<u>¥ 4,452.9</u>	<u>¥ 11.0</u>	<u>¥ 4,165.8</u>	<u>¥ 4,177.9</u>	<u>¥ 12.1</u>

Note:

(1) See Note 3 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report for more details.

Net unrealized gains on available-for-sale debt securities decreased ¥51.6 billion to ¥292.4 billion as of March 31, 2020 from ¥344.0 billion as of March 31, 2019. The decrease was primarily attributable to a ¥174.7 billion decrease in net unrealized gains on Japanese government and Japanese government agency bonds, reflecting generally rising trends in the yield on such bonds. The decrease was offset in part by an improvement in net unrealized losses on foreign government and official institutions bonds, reflecting declining trends in the yield on such bonds.

The amortized cost of available-for-sale debt securities increased ¥733.3 billion to ¥33,907.8 billion as of March 31, 2020 from ¥33,174.5 billion as of March 31, 2019. This increase was mainly attributable to a

¥734.7 billion increase in Japanese prefectural and municipal bonds and a ¥287.2 billion increase in foreign government and official institution bonds. These increases were partially offset by a ¥440.1 billion decrease in Japanese government and Japanese government agency bonds.

The amortized cost of held-to-maturity debt securities decreased ¥276.1 billion to ¥4,165.8 billion as of March 31, 2020 from ¥4,441.9 billion as of March 31, 2019. The decrease was mainly due to a decrease in mortgage-backed securities.

Losses resulting from impairment of investment securities, which reflect the decline in the value of available-for-sale debt securities considered to be other than temporary, were ¥1.6 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 compared to ¥0.6 billion for the previous fiscal year. These losses were reflected in net investment securities gains (losses) in our consolidated statements of income.

The following table shows information relating to our equity securities as of March 31, 2019 and 2020:

	As of March 31,	
	2019	2020
	(in billions)	
Equity securities:		
Marketable equity securities	¥6,358.5	¥4,768.3
Nonmarketable equity securities:		
Unlisted preferred securities ⁽¹⁾	393.3	350.0
Other ⁽²⁾	198.0	226.9
Investment securities held by investment companies and brokers and dealers ⁽³⁾	27.8	40.0
Total	<u>¥6,977.6</u>	<u>¥5,385.2</u>

Notes:

- (1) These securities are mainly issued by public companies, including preferred stocks issued by Morgan Stanley, preferred securities issued by our non-consolidated funding vehicles, and other unlisted preferred securities issued by several public companies. Those securities are primarily carried at cost.
- (2) These securities are equity securities issued by unlisted companies other than unlisted preferred securities. Those securities are primarily carried at cost.
- (3) These investment securities are held by certain subsidiaries subject to specialized industry accounting principles for investment companies and brokers and dealers, and are measured at fair value.

Equity securities decreased ¥1,592.4 billion to ¥5,385.2 billion as of March 31, 2020 from ¥6,977.6 billion as of March 31, 2019. The decrease was primarily attributable to a decrease in the fair value of marketable equity securities as the stock prices in Japan declined towards March 31, 2020.

Investment securities other than available-for-sale debt securities, held-to-maturity debt securities and marketable equity securities consist of nonmarketable equity securities, which are included in equity securities on our consolidated balance sheets. Nonmarketable equity securities were primarily carried at cost of ¥619.1 billion as of March 31, 2019 and ¥616.9 billion as of March 31, 2020, respectively, because their fair values were not readily determinable. The decrease was primarily attributable to a decrease in the holdings of unlisted preferred securities, reflecting the redemption of preferred securities issued by overseas special purpose companies.

Cash and Due from Banks, and Interest-earning Deposits in Other Banks

Cash and due from banks decreased ¥641.3 billion to ¥33,283.0 billion as of March 31, 2020 from ¥33,924.3 billion as of March 31, 2019. This decrease was primarily because of a decrease in deposit with the Bank of Japan.

Interest-earning deposits in other banks increased ¥4,619.8 billion to ¥45,266.7 billion as of March 31, 2020 from ¥40,646.9 billion as of March 31, 2019. This increase was mainly because of an increase in interest-bearing funds held at the Federal Reserve in the United States.

Receivables under Resale Agreements

Receivables under resale agreements increased ¥13,021.3 billion to ¥23,996.0 billion as of March 31, 2020 from ¥10,974.7 billion as of March 31, 2019. This was mainly in reaction to increases in payables under repurchase agreements, due to trust account and other short-term borrowings as we increased our funding capacity in anticipation of a significant increase in financing needs in the market following the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Receivables under Securities Borrowing Transactions

Receivables under securities borrowing transactions increased ¥685.4 billion to ¥3,444.0 billion as of March 31, 2020 from ¥2,758.6 billion as of March 31, 2019. This increase was mainly due to an increase in collateral deposited for funding in domestic banking and securities subsidiaries.

Trading Account Assets

Trading account assets increased ¥6,927.5 billion to ¥47,504.1 billion as of March 31, 2020 from ¥40,576.6 billion as of March 31, 2019. Trading account assets consist of trading account securities and trading derivative assets. Trading account securities increased ¥5,174.4 billion to ¥32,546.5 billion as of March 31, 2020 from ¥27,372.1 billion as of March 31, 2019. This increase was mainly due to the higher fair value of our trading residential mortgage-backed securities portfolio. Trading derivative assets increased ¥1,753.1 billion to ¥14,957.6 billion as of March 31, 2020 from ¥13,204.5 billion as of March 31, 2019. This increase was mainly attributable to the higher fair value of interest rate contracts.

Total Liabilities

As of March 31, 2020, total liabilities were ¥316,008.8 billion, an increase of ¥26,764.6 billion from ¥289,244.2 billion as of March 31, 2019. This was primarily due to an increase of ¥9,324.4 billion in other short-term borrowings, including borrowings from the Bank of Japan, and an increase of ¥6,625.3 billion in payables under repurchase agreements.

Deposits

Deposits are our primary source of funds. The balance of deposits increased ¥4,673.7 billion to ¥203,954.5 billion as of March 31, 2020 from ¥199,280.8 billion as of March 31, 2019. The increase was mainly attributable to an increase in domestic deposits, primarily in Japan.

The total average balance of interest-bearing deposits increased ¥2,903.7 billion to ¥169,511.2 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 from ¥166,607.5 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019, mainly due to an increase in domestic deposits.

Payables under Repurchase Agreements

Payables under repurchase agreements increased ¥6,625.3 billion to ¥31,849.9 billion as of March 31, 2020 from ¥25,224.6 billion as of March 31, 2019. This increase was mainly because we increased our funding capacity in anticipation of a significant increase in financing needs in the market following the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Other Short-Term Borrowings

Other short-term borrowings increased ¥9,324.4 billion to ¥16,055.5 billion as of March 31, 2020 from ¥6,731.1 billion as of March 31, 2019. This increase was mainly attributable to borrowings from the Bank of Japan in anticipation of a significant increase in financing needs in the market following the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Long-term Debt

Long-term debt decreased ¥63.7 billion to ¥27,926.8 billion as of March 31, 2020 from ¥27,990.5 billion as of March 31, 2019. This decrease was mainly due to redemption of long-term debt by MUFG Bank. The average balance of long-term debt for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 was ¥28,034.0 billion, a decrease of ¥633.5 billion from ¥28,667.5 billion for the previous fiscal year.

Sources of Funding and Liquidity

Our primary source of liquidity is from a large balance of deposits, mainly ordinary deposits, certificates of deposit and time deposits. Time deposits have historically shown a high rollover rate among our corporate customers and individual depositors. The average deposit balance increased to ¥199,791.8 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 from ¥196,393.3 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019. These deposits provide us with a sizable source of stable and low-cost funds. Our average deposits, combined with our average total equity of ¥15,417.9 billion, funded 66.1% of our average total assets of ¥325,500.4 billion during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020. Our deposits exceeded our loans before allowance for credit losses by ¥85,767.8 billion as of March 31, 2020 compared to ¥82,396.9 billion as of March 31, 2019. As part of our asset and liability management policy, a significant portion of the amount of Japanese yen-denominated funds exceeding our loans has been deposited with the Bank of Japan or invested in Japanese government bonds in recent periods.

The remaining funding was primarily provided by short-term borrowings and long-term senior and subordinated debt. Short-term borrowings consist of call money, funds purchased, payables under repurchase agreements, payables under securities lending transactions, due to trust account, and other short-term borrowings. From time to time, we have issued long-term instruments, including various fixed and floating interest rate senior and subordinated bonds with and without maturities. The balance of our short-term borrowings as of March 31, 2020 was ¥55,968.9 billion, and the average balance of short-term borrowings for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 was ¥37,630.9 billion. The balance of our long-term debt as of March 31, 2020 was ¥27,926.8 billion, and the average balance of long-term debt for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 was ¥28,034.1 billion. Liquidity may also be provided by the sale of financial assets, including available-for-sale debt securities, marketable equity securities, trading account securities and loans. Additional liquidity may be provided by the maturity of loans.

We manage liquidity separately at certain of our domestic and foreign banking and non-bank subsidiaries because they are subject to separate regulatory requirements, pursue different business models and have distinctive liquidity risk profiles. We manage our group-wide liquidity on a consolidated basis based on the tests and analyses conducted at the subsidiary level. Liquidity risk management measures at the subsidiary level include the following:

- *Domestic banking subsidiaries*—Our major domestic banking subsidiaries, MUFG Bank and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking, set liquidity and funding limits designed to maintain their respective requirements for funding from market sources below pre-determined levels for certain periods (e.g., one-day, two-week and one-month). The major domestic banking subsidiaries also monitor the balance of buffer assets they respectively hold, including Japanese government bonds and U.S. Treasury bonds, which can be used for cash funding even in periods of stress. In addition, the major domestic banking subsidiaries regularly perform liquidity stress testing designed to evaluate the impact of systemic market stress conditions and institution-specific stress events, including credit rating downgrades, on their liquidity positions;

- *Foreign banking subsidiaries*—Our major foreign banking subsidiaries, MUFG Americas Holdings and Krungsri, monitor various liquidity metrics, including total available liquidity, net non-core funding dependence ratio, and minimum liquidity assets, as a tool to maintain a sufficient amount of liquidity and diversity of funding sources to allow them to meet expected obligations in both stable and adverse conditions. They regularly conduct stress testing, which incorporates both bank-specific and systemic market scenarios that would adversely affect its liquidity position, to facilitate the identification of appropriate remedial measures to help ensure that it maintains adequate liquidity in adverse conditions. In addition, we are working with our other foreign banking subsidiaries to enhance their liquidity risk management measures;
- *Securities subsidiaries*—Our securities subsidiaries implement liquidity and funding limits designed to maintain their requirements for funding from market sources below pre-determined levels for specified periods. In addition, the securities subsidiaries regularly conduct analyses designed to assess the period for which they can continue to meet their respective liquidity requirements by selling or pledging assets they respectively hold under scenarios where they are unable to access any additional sources of financing in the market; and
- *Non-bank subsidiaries*—Our non-bank subsidiaries, including Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS, regularly conduct cash flow analyses designed to assess their ability to generate sufficient liquidity for specified periods, considering the cash and cash equivalents as well as deposits they respectively hold, and their respective operating income and expenses under scenarios where they are no longer able to obtain funding from markets through issuance of commercial paper, bonds or other instruments. The non-bank subsidiaries also conduct analyses to ensure sufficient liquidity and funding are available from our bank subsidiaries and other financial institutions outside of our group of companies.

We collect and evaluate the results of the stress tests individually performed by our major subsidiaries to ensure our ability to meet our liquidity requirements on a consolidated basis in stress scenarios.

We manage our funding sources by setting limits on, or targets for, our holdings of buffer assets, primarily Japanese government bonds. As of March 31, 2020, we held ¥23,462.9 billion of Japanese government bonds and government agency bonds as available-for-sale debt securities. We also regard deposits with the Bank of Japan as buffer assets. In addition, our commercial banking subsidiaries manage their funding sources through liquidity-supplying products such as commitment lines and through a liquidity gap, or the excess of cash inflows over cash outflows.

In April 2020, Fitch downgraded the long-term credit ratings of MUFG, MUFG Bank, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking by one-notch from A to A-. Any downgrade of the credit ratings assigned to us or our major subsidiaries could increase the cost, or decrease the availability, of our funding, particularly in U.S. dollars and other foreign currencies, adversely affect our liquidity position or net interest margin, trigger additional collateral or funding obligations, and result in losses of depositors, investors and counterparties willing or permitted to transact with us, thereby reducing our ability to generate income and weakening our financial position. See “Item 3.D. Key Information—Risk Factors—Funding Liquidity Risk—A downgrade of our credit ratings could adversely affect our ability to access and maintain liquidity.”

Liquidity Requirements for Banking Institutions in Japan

We are required to calculate and disclose our LCR calculated in accordance with the methodology prescribed in the FSA guidance that has been adopted to implement the relevant Basel III standard. Starting in the calendar year 2019, we are required to maintain a minimum LCR of 100%. See “Item 4.B. Information on the Company—Business Overview—Supervision and Regulation—Japan—Liquidity Coverage Ratio” and “—B. Liquidity and Capital Resources—Capital Adequacy—Liquidity Coverage Ratios of MUFG and Major Banking Subsidiaries in Japan.”

Total Equity

The following table presents a summary of our total equity as of March 31, 2019 and 2020:

	March 31, 2019	March 31, 2020
	(in billions, except percentages)	
Capital stock	¥ 2,090.3	¥ 2,090.3
Capital surplus	5,577.2	5,533.5
Retained earnings	8,333.6	8,319.1
Retained earnings appropriated for legal reserve	239.6	239.6
Unappropriated retained earnings	8,094.0	8,079.5
Accumulated other comprehensive losses, net of taxes	(284.3)	(420.4)
Treasury stock, at cost	(517.2)	(506.0)
Total Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group shareholders' equity	¥15,199.6	¥15,016.5
Noncontrolling interests	785.1	728.0
Total equity	¥15,984.7	¥15,744.5
Ratio of total equity to total assets	5.24%	4.75%

Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group shareholders' equity as of March 31, 2020 was ¥15,016.5 billion, a decrease of ¥183.1 billion from ¥15,199.6 billion as of March 31, 2019.

Capital surplus decreased ¥43.7 billion to ¥5,533.5 billion as of March 31, 2020 from ¥5,577.2 billion as of March 31, 2019. This decrease was mainly due to repurchases of shares of our common stock and cancellation of the repurchased shares.

Retained earnings decreased ¥14.5 billion to ¥8,319.1 billion as of March 31, 2020 from ¥8,333.6 billion as of March 31, 2019, primarily reflecting dividend payments partially offset by the net income of our banking subsidiaries for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020. We decided to pay our year-end dividend of ¥12.5 per share of our common stock for the six months ended March 31, 2020, resulting in an annual dividend of ¥25 per share of our common stock for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020.

As a result of our adoption on April 1, 2020 of Accounting Standards Update 2016-13, Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments, we estimate that our retained earnings decreased approximately ¥270 billion to ¥330 billion as of the opening balance sheet date. For more information on this guidance, see Note 1 to our audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Accumulated other comprehensive losses, net of taxes, as of March 31, 2020 was ¥420.4 billion, compared to ¥284.3 billion in losses as of March 31, 2019. This was mainly due to ¥129.6 billion of negative net change in the balance of pension liability adjustments because the investment returns on our pension plans decreased.

Total equity decreased ¥240.2 billion to ¥15,744.5 billion as of March 31, 2020 from ¥15,984.7 billion as of March 31, 2019. The ratio of total equity to total assets decreased 0.49 percentage points to 4.75% as of March 31, 2020 from 5.24% as of March 31, 2019.

Capital Adequacy

We are subject to various regulatory capital requirements promulgated by the regulatory authorities of the countries in which we operate. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can result in mandatory actions being taken by regulators that could have a direct material effect on our consolidated financial statements. Moreover, if our capital ratios are perceived to be low, our counterparties may avoid entering into transactions with us, which in turn could negatively affect our business and operations. For further information, see "Item 3.D. Key Information—Risk Factors—Risks Related to Our Ability to Meet Regulatory Capital

Requirements—We may not be able to maintain our capital ratios and other regulatory ratios above minimum required levels, which could result in various regulatory actions, including the suspension of some or all of our operations.”

We continually monitor our risk-adjusted capital ratios, leverage ratio and TLAC ratios closely, and manage our operations in consideration of the capital requirements. Factors that affect some or all of these ratios include fluctuations in the value of our assets, including our credit risk assets such as loans and equity securities, the risk weights of which depend on the borrowers’ or issuers’ internal ratings, and marketable securities, and fluctuations in the value of the Japanese yen against the U.S. dollar and other foreign currencies, as well as general price levels of Japanese equity securities.

Capital Requirements for Banking Institutions in Japan

Under Japanese regulatory capital requirements, our consolidated capital components, including Common Equity Tier 1, Tier 1, and Tier 2 capital and risk-weighted assets, are calculated based on our consolidated financial statements prepared under Japanese GAAP. Each of the consolidated and stand-alone capital components and risk-weighted assets of our banking subsidiaries in Japan is also calculated based on consolidated and non-consolidated financial statements prepared under Japanese GAAP.

As of March 31, 2020, we were required to maintain a capital conservation buffer of 2.5%, a G-SIB surcharge of 1.5% and a countercyclical buffer of 0.01% in addition to the 4.5% minimum Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio. See “Item 4.B. Information on the Company—Business Overview—Supervision and Regulation—Japan—Capital adequacy.”

We have been granted approval by the FSA to exclude the majority of our investment in Morgan Stanley from being subject to double gearing adjustments. The approval was granted for a 10-year period, but the approval amount will be phased out by 20% each year starting from March 31, 2019. As of March 31, 2020, a full application of double gearing adjustments with respect to our investment in Morgan Stanley would have reduced our Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio by approximately 0.7 percentage points.

Leverage Requirements for Banking Institutions in Japan

Our consolidated leverage ratio is calculated in accordance with the methodology prescribed in the FSA guidance that has been adopted to implement the relevant Basel III standard. The leverage ratio is designed for monitoring and preventing the build-up of excessive leverage in the banking sector and is expressed as the ratio of Tier 1 capital to total balance sheet assets adjusted in accordance with the FSA guidance. As of March 31, 2020, we were required to maintain a minimum leverage ratio of 3.00%. A G-SIB leverage ratio buffer equal to 50% of the applicable G-SIB capital surcharge is expected to be applied to us in 2023. See “Item 4.B. Information on the Company—Business Overview—Supervision and Regulation—Japan—Leverage Ratio.”

TLAC Requirements for Banking Institutions in Japan

Our external TLAC ratios are calculated in accordance with the methodology prescribed in the FSA guidance that has been adopted to implement the TLAC Principle published by the FSB in November 2015. External TLAC ratios are expressed as the ratio of external TLAC amount to risk-weighted assets or leverage exposure in accordance with the FSA guidance. We are required to maintain external TLAC ratios of 16% on a risk-weighted assets basis and 6% on a leverage exposure basis from March 31, 2019 and 18% on a risk-weighted assets basis and 6.75% on a leverage exposure basis from March 31, 2022. See “Item 4.B. Information on the Company—Business Overview—Supervision and Regulation—Japan—Total loss-absorbing capacity.” For information on the issuances of TLAC-qualified securities, see also “Recent Developments—Issuances of Senior Debt Securities for TLAC Purposes.”

Capital Ratios, Leverage Ratio and External TLAC Ratios of MUFG

The table below presents our consolidated total capital components, risk-weighted assets, risk-adjusted capital ratios, leverage ratio and external TLAC ratios in accordance with Basel III as of March 31, 2019 and 2020. Underlying figures are calculated in accordance with Japanese banking regulations based on information derived from our consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with Japanese GAAP, as required by the FSA. The figures in the table below are rounded down. For further information, see Note 21 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

	As of March 31, 2019	Minimum ratios required ⁽¹⁾ (in billions, except percentages)	As of March 31, 2020	Minimum ratios required ⁽¹⁾
Capital components:				
Common Equity Tier 1	¥ 14,322.4		¥ 13,708.3	
Additional Tier 1	1,953.8		1,914.9	
Tier 1 capital	16,276.3		15,623.3	
Tier 2 capital	2,493.4		2,656.2	
Total capital	¥ 18,769.7		¥ 18,279.5	
Risk-weighted assets	¥117,091.1		¥115,135.6	
Capital ratios:				
Common Equity Tier 1 capital	12.23%	8.54%	11.90%	8.51%
Tier 1 capital	13.90	10.04	13.56	10.01
Total capital	16.03	12.04	15.87	12.01
Leverage ratio	4.94	3.00	4.42	3.00
External TLAC ratios				
Risk-weighted assets basis ⁽²⁾	18.16	16.00	18.62	16.00
Leverage exposure basis	7.90	6.00	7.38	6.00

Notes:

- (1) The minimum capital ratios required as of March 31, 2019 include a capital conservation buffer of 2.5%, a G-SIB surcharge of 1.5% and a countercyclical buffer of 0.04%. The minimum capital ratios required as of March 31, 2020 include a capital conservation buffer of 2.5%, a G-SIB surcharge of 1.5% and a countercyclical buffer of 0.01%.
- (2) The TLAC ratio on a risk-weighted assets basis and the required minimum ratios as of March 31, 2019 do not include the regulatory capital buffers consisting of a capital conservation buffer of 2.5%, a G-SIB surcharge of 1.5% and a countercyclical buffer of 0.04%. The TLAC ratio on a risk-weighted assets basis and the required minimum ratios as of March 31, 2020 do not include the regulatory capital buffers consisting of a capital conservation buffer of 2.5%, a G-SIB surcharge of 1.5% and a countercyclical buffer of 0.01%.

Management believes that, as of March 31, 2020, we were in compliance with all capital adequacy requirements to which we were subject.

Our Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio as of March 31, 2020 was lower compared to the ratio as of March 31, 2019 due to a decrease in Common Equity Tier 1 capital, more than offsetting the impact of the decrease in risk-weighted assets. The decrease in Common Equity Tier 1 capital was mainly due to decreases in retained earnings and unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities. The decrease in risk-weighted assets mainly reflected a decrease in credit risks resulting from updates to parameters for the calculation of credit risks and the impact of the appreciation of the Japanese yen against other major currencies, lower stock values and changes in other financial markets.

Capital Ratios and Leverage Ratios of Major Banking Subsidiaries in Japan

The table below presents the risk-adjusted capital ratios and leverage ratios of MUFG Bank and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking in accordance with Basel III as of March 31, 2019 and 2020. Underlying figures are calculated in accordance with Japanese banking regulations based on information derived from each bank's consolidated and non-consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with Japanese GAAP, as required by the FSA. The figures in the table below are rounded down. For further information, see Note 21 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

	As of March 31, 2019	Minimum capital ratios required	As of March 31, 2020	Minimum capital ratios required
Consolidated:				
MUFG Bank				
Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio	10.83%	4.50%	10.70%	4.50%
Tier 1 capital ratio	12.46	6.00	12.29	6.00
Total capital ratio	14.42	8.00	14.43	8.00
Leverage ratio	4.63	3.00	4.21	3.00
Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking				
Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio	19.57	4.50	19.46	4.50
Tier 1 capital ratio	21.26	6.00	21.90	6.00
Total capital ratio	24.40	8.00	25.46	8.00
Leverage ratio	5.09	3.00	4.51	3.00
Stand-alone:				
MUFG Bank				
Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio	11.69	4.50	10.67	4.50
Tier 1 capital ratio	13.53	6.00	12.52	6.00
Total capital ratio	15.58	8.00	14.76	8.00
Leverage ratio	4.84	3.00	4.02	3.00
Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking				
Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio	19.42	4.50	20.11	4.50
Tier 1 capital ratio	21.12	6.00	22.27	6.00
Total capital ratio	24.25	8.00	25.42	8.00
Leverage ratio	5.55	3.00	5.87	3.00

Management believes that, as of March 31, 2020, our banking subsidiaries were in compliance with all capital adequacy requirements to which they were subject.

Liquidity Coverage Ratios of MUFG and Major Banking Subsidiaries in Japan

The following table presents the LCRs of MUFG, MUFG Bank and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking calculated in accordance with Basel III as adopted by the FSA for the periods indicated. The figures underlying the ratios were calculated in accordance with Japanese banking regulations. The percentages in the table below are rounded down. The minimum LCR required under Basel III as adopted by the FSA is 100% during the calendar year 2019 and 2020.

	Three months ended				
	March 31, 2019 ^{(1),(6)}	June 30, 2019 ^{(2),(6)}	September 30, 2019 ^{(3),(6)}	December 31, 2019 ^{(4),(6)}	March 31, 2020 ^{(5),(6)}
MUFG (consolidated)	141.2%	145.6%	147.8%	152.3%	154.6%
MUFG Bank (consolidated)	150.7	155.8	158.9	163.2	165.9
MUFG Bank (stand-alone)	159.0	166.3	172.2	177.3	179.2
Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking (consolidated)	115.2	119.4	115.9	116.4	114.1
Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking (stand-alone) . .	141.5	138.5	130.3	133.0	130.0

Notes:

- (1) Each of the ratios is calculated as the average balance of High-Quality Liquid Assets on the business days between January 4, 2019 and March 29 2019 divided by the average amount of net cash outflows for the same fifty-eight business days.
- (2) Each of the ratios is calculated as the average balance of High-Quality Liquid Assets on the business days between April 1, 2019 and June 28, 2019 divided by the average amount of net cash outflows for the same fifty-nine business days.
- (3) Each of the ratios is calculated as the average balance of High-Quality Liquid Assets on the business days between July 1, 2019 and September 30, 2019 divided by the average amount of net cash outflows for the same sixty-two business days.

- (4) Each of the ratios is calculated as the average balance of High-Quality Liquid Assets on the business days between October 1, 2019 and December 30, 2019 divided by the average amount of net cash outflows for the same sixty-two business days.
- (5) Each of the ratios is calculated as the average balance of High-Quality Liquid Assets on the business days between January 6, 2020 and March 31, 2020 divided by the average amount of net cash outflows for the same fifty-eight business days.
- (6) The LCR is to be calculated as an average based on daily values in accordance with the Japanese banking regulations.

See “—B. Liquidity and Capital Resources—Sources of Funding and Liquidity.”

Capital Requirements for Banking Institutions in the United States

In the United States, MUFG Americas Holdings and MUFG Union Bank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the U.S. Federal banking agencies. Failure to meet the applicable minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory, and possibly additional discretionary, actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a material effect on MUFG Americas Holdings’ consolidated financial statements.

For a more detailed discussion of the applicable capital requirements, see “Item 4.B. Information on the Company—Business Overview—Supervision and Regulation—United States.” See also Note 21 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

In addition, as foreign banking organizations that have U.S. branches and agencies and also as entities that are controlled by MUFG, MUFG Bank and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking are subject to the FRB’s requirements.

Capital Ratios of Banking Subsidiaries in the United States

The table below presents the risk-adjusted capital ratios of MUFG Americas Holdings and MUFG Union Bank, both subsidiaries of MUFG Bank, calculated in accordance with applicable U.S. banking regulations as of December 31, 2018 and 2019:

	As of December 31, 2018	Minimum capital ratios required as of December 31, 2018 ⁽¹⁾	As of December 31, 2019	Minimum capital ratios required as of December 31, 2019 ⁽²⁾	Ratio OCC requires to be “well capitalized” as of December 2019
MUFG Americas Holdings:					
Tier I capital (to risk-weighted assets)	13.96%	7.875%	14.10%	8.500%	—
Tier I capital (to quarterly average assets) ⁽³⁾	8.77	4.000	8.88	4.000	—
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets)	14.60	9.875	14.73	10.500	—
Common Equity Tier I Capital (to risk-weighted assets)	13.96	6.375	14.10	7.000	—
MUFG Union Bank:					
Tier I capital (to risk-weighted assets)	14.45%	7.875%	14.47%	8.500%	8.0%
Tier I capital (to quarterly average assets) ⁽³⁾	10.61	4.000	10.65	4.000	5.0
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets)	15.09	9.875	15.11	10.500	10.0
Common Equity Tier I Capital (to risk-weighted assets)	14.45	6.375	14.47	7.000	6.5

Notes:

- (1) Beginning January 1, 2018, the minimum capital requirement includes a capital conservation buffer of 1.875%.
- (2) Beginning January 1, 2019, the minimum capital requirement includes a capital conservation buffer of 2.500%.
- (3) Excludes certain deductions.

Management believes that, as of December 31, 2019, MUFG Americas Holdings and MUFG Union Bank were in compliance with all capital adequacy requirements to which they were subject.

As of December 31, 2018 and 2019, the OCC categorized MUFG Union Bank as “well-capitalized.” To be categorized as “well-capitalized,” MUFG Union Bank must maintain minimum ratios of Total capital, Tier I capital and Common Equity Tier I capital to risk-weighted assets and of Tier I capital to quarterly average assets (leverage ratio) as set forth in the table.

For further information, see Note 21 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Capital Requirements for Securities Firms in Japan and Overseas

We have securities subsidiaries in Japan and overseas, which are also subject to regulatory capital requirements. In Japan, the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act of Japan and related ordinances require financial instruments firms to maintain a minimum capital ratio of 120% calculated as a percentage of capital accounts less certain fixed assets, as determined in accordance with Japanese GAAP, against amounts equivalent to market, counterparty credit and operational risks. Specific guidelines are issued as a ministerial ordinance which details the definition of essential components of the capital ratios, including capital, deductible fixed asset items and risks, and related measures. Failure to maintain a minimum capital ratio will trigger mandatory regulatory actions. A capital ratio of less than 140% will call for additional regulatory reporting, a capital ratio of less than 120% may result in an order to change the method of business, and a capital ratio of less than 100% may lead to a suspension of all or part of the business for a period of time and cancellation of a registration. Overseas securities subsidiaries are subject to the relevant regulatory capital requirements of the countries or jurisdictions in which they operate.

Capital Ratio of Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities

As of March 31, 2020, Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities' capital accounts less certain fixed assets of ¥440.5 billion on a stand-alone basis represented 313.0% of the total amounts equivalent to market, counterparty credit and operational risks. As of the same date, Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities' capital accounts less certain fixed assets of ¥465.3 billion on a consolidated basis represented 316.2% of the total amounts equivalent to market, counterparty credit and operational risks. As of March 31, 2019, Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities' capital accounts less certain fixed assets of ¥446.6 billion on a stand-alone basis represented 331.6% of the total amounts equivalent to market, counterparty credit and operational risks. As of the same date, Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities' capital accounts less certain fixed assets of ¥469.3 billion on a consolidated basis represented 332.2% of the total amounts equivalent to market, counterparty credit and operational risks. These figures are calculated in accordance with Japanese GAAP, pursuant to the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act of Japan.

For further information, see Note 21 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Non-exchange Traded Contracts Accounted for at Fair Value

The use of non-exchange traded or over-the-counter contracts provides us with the ability to adapt to the varied requirements of a wide customer base while mitigating market risks. Non-exchange traded contracts are

accounted for at fair value, which is generally based on pricing models or quoted prices for instruments with similar characteristics. Gains or losses on non-exchange traded contracts are included in “Trading account profits (losses)—net” in our consolidated statements of income. The following table summarizes the changes in the fair value of non-exchange traded contracts for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2019 and 2020:

	Fiscal years ended March 31,	
	2019	2020
	(in millions)	
Net fair value of contracts outstanding at beginning of fiscal year	¥ 1,812	¥ 990
Changes attributable to contracts realized or otherwise settled during the fiscal year	(1,212)	(706)
Fair value of new contracts entered into during the fiscal year	356	719
Other changes in fair value, principally revaluation at end of fiscal year	34	(350)
Net fair value of contracts outstanding at end of fiscal year	<u>¥ 990</u>	<u>¥ 653</u>

The following table summarizes the maturities of non-exchange traded contracts as of March 31, 2020:

	Net fair value of contracts—unrealized gains	
	Prices provided by other external sources	Prices based on models and other valuation methods
	(in millions)	
Maturity less than 1 year	¥—	¥261
Maturity less than 3 years	—	458
Maturity less than 5 years	—	—
Maturity 5 years or more	—	(66)
Total fair value	<u>¥—</u>	<u>¥653</u>

C. Research and Development, Patents and Licenses, etc.

Not applicable.

D. Trend Information

See the discussions in “—Business Environment,” “—Recent Developments,” “—A. Operating Results” and “—B. Liquidity and Capital Resources.”

E. Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

In the normal course of business, we engage in several types of off-balance sheet arrangements to meet the financing needs of customers, including various types of guarantees, credit commitments and commercial letters of credit. The following table summarizes these commitments as of March 31, 2020:

	Amount of commitment by expiration period			
	1 year or less	1-5 years	Over 5 years	Total
	(in billions)			
Guarantees:				
Standby letters of credit and financial guarantees	¥ 3,109	¥ 763	¥ 226	¥ 4,098
Performance guarantees	2,160	738	160	3,058
Derivative instruments	36,021	14,543	8,272	58,836
Liabilities of trust accounts	6,752	451	5,939	13,142
Other	8	73	7	88
Total guarantees	<u>48,050</u>	<u>16,568</u>	<u>14,604</u>	<u>79,222</u>
Other off-balance sheet instruments:				
Commitments to extend credit	51,274	22,745	2,379	76,398
Commercial letters of credit	707	49	1	757
Commitments to make investments	9	95	143	247
Other	4	1	—	5
Total other off-balance sheet instruments	<u>¥51,994</u>	<u>¥22,890</u>	<u>¥ 2,523</u>	<u>¥77,407</u>

See Note 24 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report for a description of the nature of our guarantees and other off-balance sheet instruments.

The contractual amounts of these guarantees and other off-balance sheet instruments represent the amounts at risk if the contracts were to be fully drawn upon as a result of a subsequent default by our customer and a decline in the value of the underlying collateral. Since many of these commitments expire without being drawn upon, the total contractual or notional amounts of these commitments do not necessarily represent our future cash requirements. As of March 31, 2020, approximately 64% of these commitments have an expiration date within one year, 25% have an expiration date from one year to five years, and 11% have an expiration date after five years. Risks relating to off-balance sheet instruments are monitored and managed as a part of our risk management system as set forth in “Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Credit, Market and Other Risk.” We evaluate off-balance sheet arrangements in the manner described in Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

The fees generated specifically from off-balance sheet arrangements are not a dominant source of our overall fees and commissions.

Some of our off-balance sheet arrangements are related to activities of special purpose entities, most of which are VIEs. For further information, see Note 25 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

F. Tabular Disclosure of Contractual Obligations

The following table shows a summary of our contractual obligations outstanding as of March 31, 2020:

	Payments due by period				
	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	Over 5 years	Total
	(in billions)				
Contractual obligations:					
Time deposit obligations	¥55,230	¥ 7,951	¥1,332	¥ 832	¥65,345
Estimated interest expense on time deposit obligations ⁽¹⁾	227	22	3	1	253
Long-term debt obligations	10,117	6,426	3,490	7,884	27,917
Financing lease obligations	7	11	4	3	25
Operating lease obligations	107	137	77	196	517
Purchase obligations	102	108	111	42	363
Total ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	¥65,790	¥14,655	¥5,017	¥8,958	¥94,420

Notes:

- (1) Contractual obligations related to estimated interest expense on time deposit obligations are calculated by applying the March 31, 2020 weighted-average interest rate on outstanding time deposits.
- (2) The total amount of expected future pension payments is not included in the above table or the total amount of commitments outstanding as of March 31, 2020. We expect to contribute approximately ¥26.3 billion for pension and other benefits for our employees for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2021. For further information, see Note 13 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.
- (3) The above table does not include unrecognized tax benefits and interest and penalties related to income tax associated with the guidance on accounting for uncertainty in income taxes as we cannot estimate reasonably the timing of cash settlement of the liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits. The total amount of the liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits is ¥19.2 billion as of March 31, 2020. Among the liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits, it is reasonably possible that the unrecognized tax benefits will decrease by approximately ¥2.4 billion during the next twelve months. For further information, see Note 8 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Purchase obligations include any legally binding contractual obligations that require us to spend more than ¥100 million annually under the contract. Purchase obligations in the table primarily include commitments to make investments into corporate recovery or private equity investment funds.

G. Safe Harbor

See the discussion under “Forward-Looking Statements.”

Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees.

A. Directors and Senior Management

Members of the Board of Directors

The following table sets forth the members of our board of directors as of June 29, 2020, together with their respective dates of birth, positions and experience:

Name (Date of Birth)	Position in MUFG	Business Experience	
Mariko Fujii (March 9, 1955)	Member of the Board of Directors (Outside Director)	April 1977	Joined Ministry of Finance
		July 1997	Director, International Affairs and Research Division, Customs and Tariff Bureau
		April 1999	Associate Professor, Research Center for Advanced Science and Technology, The University of Tokyo
		March 2001	Professor, Research Center for Advanced Economic Engineering, The University of Tokyo
		April 2004	Professor, Research Center for Advanced Science and Technology, National University Corporation, The University of Tokyo
		June 2014	Outside director of Electric Power Development Co., Ltd.
		October 2015	Resigned from Professor of The University of Tokyo Resigned from an Outside director of Electric Power Development Co., Ltd. Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary of Japan to Latvia
		June 2016	Emeritus Professor of the University of Tokyo (incumbent)
		January 2019	Retired from Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary of Japan to Latvia
		June 2019	Outside director of NTT DATA CORPORATION (incumbent) Member of the Board of Directors (Outside director) of MUFG (incumbent)
Keiko Honda (September 27, 1961)	Member of the Board of Directors (Outside Director)	April 1984	Joined Bain & Company Japan, Incorporated
		May 1986	Joined Shearson Lehman Brothers Securities Co., Ltd.
		July 1989	Joined McKinsey & Company, Inc. Japan
		July 1999	Partner of McKinsey & Company, Inc. Japan
		July 2007	Director (Senior Partner) of McKinsey & Company, Inc. Japan
		July 2013	Executive Vice President & CEO of Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency (World Bank Group)
		October 2019	Retired from Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency (World Bank Group)

Name (Date of Birth)	Position in MUFG	Business Experience
Kaoru Kato (May 20, 1951)	Member of the Board of Directors (Outside Director)	June 2020 Joined Columbia University School of International and Public Affairs as Adjunct Professor and Adjunct Senior Research Scholar (incumbent)
		March 2020 Outside Director of AGC Inc. (incumbent)
		June 2020 Member of the Board of Directors (Outside director) of MUFG (incumbent)
		April 1977 Joined Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Public Corporation (NTT)
		July 1999 General Manager of Plant Department of NTT Kansai Mobile Communications Network, Inc.
		April 2000 General Manager of Plant Department of NTT DoCoMo Kansai, Inc.
		June 2002 General Manager of Corporate Strategy and Planning Department, Member of the Board of Directors of NTT DoCoMo Kansai, Inc.
		July 2005 Representative Director and Senior Corporate Executive Officer of Sumitomo Mitsui Card Co., Ltd.
		July 2007 Executive Vice President, General Manager of Corporate Strategy and Planning Department, Member of the Board of Directors of NTT DoCoMo Kansai, Inc.
		June 2008 Executive Vice President, General Manager of Corporate Strategy and Planning Department, Member of the Board of Directors of NTT DOCOMO, INC.
		June 2012 President and Chief Executive Officer, Member of the Board of Directors of NTT DOCOMO, INC.
		June 2016 Corporate Advisor, Member of the Board of Directors of NTT DOCOMO, INC.
		June 2018 Corporate Advisor of NTT DOCOMO, INC. (incumbent)
		June 2019 Member of the Board of Directors (Outside director) of MUFG (incumbent)
Haruka Matsuyama (August 22, 1967)	Member of the Board of Directors (Outside Director)	April 1995 Assistant Judge, Tokyo District Court.
		July 2000 Registered as an attorney at law, member of the Daini Tokyo Bar Association
		January 2002 Joined Hibiya Park Law Offices Partner of Hibiya Park Law Offices (incumbent)
		June 2012 Outside Corporate Auditor of Vitec Co., Ltd.
		June 2013 Outside director of T&D Holdings, Inc. (incumbent)
		June 2014 External Audit & Supervisory Board member of MITSUI & CO., LTD. (incumbent)

Name (Date of Birth)	Position in MUFG	Business Experience	
Toby S. Myerson (July 20, 1949)	Member of the Board of Directors (Outside Director)	June 2015	Member of the Board of Directors (Outside director) of MUFG (incumbent) Outside director of Vitec Co., Ltd. (current Restar Holdings Corporation) (incumbent)
		September 1977	Registered as an attorney at law, admitted in States of New York and California in the United States
		October 1981	Joined Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP
		June 1983	Partner of Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP
		April 1989	Managing Director of Wesserstein Perella & Co. Inc.
		November 1990	Partner of Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP
		June 2014	Outside director of BK(US) (incumbent)
		December 2016	Retired from Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP
		January 2017	Chairman & CEO of Longsight Strategic Advisors LLC (incumbent)
		February 2017	Outside director of MUAH (incumbent)
Hirofumi Nomoto (September 27, 1947)	Member of the Board of Directors (Outside Director)	June 2017	Member of the Board of Directors (Outside director) of MUFG (incumbent)
		April 1971	Joined TOKYU CORPORATION
		April 2003	Executive General Manager of Media Business Headquarters of TOKYU CORPORATION
		April 2004	President & Representative Director of its communications Inc.
		June 2007	Director of TOKYU CORPORATION Executive Officer of Real Estate Development Business Unit of TOKYU CORPORATION
		January 2008	Managing Director of TOKYU CORPORATION
		June 2008	Senior Managing Director of TOKYU CORPORATION
		April 2010	Executive Officer & Senior Executive General Manager of Urban Life Produce Business Unit of TOKYU CORPORATION
		June 2010	Senior Managing Director & Representative Director of TOKYU CORPORATION
		April 2011	President & Representative Director of TOKYU CORPORATION
		April 2018	Chairman & Representative Director of TOKYU CORPORATION (incumbent)
		June 2019	Member of the Board of Directors (Outside director) of MUFG (incumbent)

Name (Date of Birth)	Position in MUFG		Business Experience
Yasushi Shingai (January 11, 1956)	Member of the Board of Directors (Outside Director)	April 1980	Joined Japan Tobacco and Salt Public Corporation (current Japan Tobacco Inc.)
		July 2001	Vice President of Financial Planning Division of Japan Tobacco Inc.
		June 2004	Senior Vice President, Head of Finance Group of Japan Tobacco Inc.
		July 2004	Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer of Japan Tobacco Inc.
		June 2005	Member of the Board, Senior Vice President, and Chief Financial Officer of Japan Tobacco Inc.
		June 2006	Member of the Board of Japan Tobacco Inc., Executive Vice President and Deputy CEO of JT International S.A.
		June 2011	Representative Director and Executive Vice President of Japan Tobacco Inc.
		June 2014	External Board Director of Recruit Holdings Co., Ltd.
		January 2018	Member of the Board of Japan Tobacco Inc.
		March 2018	Outside Director of Asahi Group Holdings, Ltd. (incumbent)
		June 2018	Member of the Board of Directors (Outside director) of MUFG (incumbent)
Tarisa Watanagase (November 30, 1949)	Member of the Board of Directors (Outside Director)	June 1975	Joined the Bank of Thailand
		January 1988	Economist, International Monetary Fund (On the Secondment)
		October 2002	Deputy Governor of the Bank of Thailand
		November 2006	Governor of the Bank of Thailand
		September 2010	Retired from the Bank of Thailand
		March 2013	Outside director of the Siam Cement Public Company Limited (incumbent)
		June 2017	Member of the Board of Directors (Outside director) of MUFG (incumbent)
Akira Yamate (November 23, 1952)	Member of the Board of Directors (Outside Director)	November 1977	Joined Price, Waterhouse & Co. Japan
		March 1983	Registered as Certified Public Accountant in Japan
		July 1991	Representative Partner of Aoyama Audit Corporation
		April 2000	Partner of Price Waterhouse Representative Partner of Chuo Aoyama Audit Corporation
		September 2006	Partner of PricewaterhouseCoopers Representative Partner of PricewaterhouseCoopers Aarata
		June 2013	Resigned from PricewaterhouseCoopers Aarata

Name (Date of Birth)	Position in MUFG	Business Experience
		External Audit & Supervisory Board member of Nomura Real Estate Holdings, Inc.
		External Audit & Supervisory Board member of Nomura Real Estate Development, Co., Ltd.
	June 2015	Retired from External Audit & Supervisory Board member of Nomura Real Estate Development, Co., Ltd.
		Member of the Board of Directors (Outside director) of MUFG (incumbent)
		External director of Nomura Real Estate Holdings, Inc.
		External member of Board of Statutory Auditors, Prudential Holdings of Japan, Inc. (incumbent)
	June 2019	Retired from External director of Nomura Real Estate Holdings, Inc.
		External director of Nomura Real Estate Development, Co., Ltd.
	June 2020	Retired from External director of Nomura Real Estate Development, Co., Ltd.
Junichi Okamoto (November 9, 1957)	Member of the Board of Directors	April 1980
		Joined The Toyo Trust and Banking Company, Limited
		June 2008
		Executive Officer of TB
		June 2010
		Managing Executive Officer of TB
		Executive Officer of MUFG
		June 2012
		Senior Managing Executive Officer of TB
		June 2013
		Director, Deputy President and Executive Officer of TB
		Member of the Board of Directors of MUFG
		June 2015
		Senior Managing Corporate Executive of MUFG
		June 2017
		Director of TB
		Member of the Board of Directors of MUFG (incumbent)
Ritsuo Ogura (January 21, 1964)	Member of the Board of Directors	April 1986
		Joined The Sanwa Bank, Limited
		June 2012
		Executive Officer of BK
		Executive Officer of MUFG
		May 2016
		Managing Executive Officer of BK
		May 2017
		Managing Executive Officer of MUFG
		April 2019
		Managing Corporate Executive of MUFG
		April 2020
		Managing Executive Officer of the MUFG
		June 2020
		Member of the Board of Directors of MUFG (incumbent)

Name (Date of Birth)	Position in MUFG		Business Experience
Nobuyuki Hirano (October 23, 1951)	Member of the Board of Directors Chairman (Corporate Executive)	April 1974	Joined The Mitsubishi Bank, Limited
		June 2001	Executive Officer of Bank of Tokyo- Mitsubishi, Ltd. (BTM)
		July 2004	Executive Officer of Mitsubishi Tokyo Financial Group, Inc. (MTFG)
		May 2005	Managing Executive Officer of BTM
		June 2005	Member of the Board of Directors, Managing Executive Officer of BTM
			Member of the Board of Directors of MTFG
		January 2006	Member of the Board of Directors, Managing Executive Officer of BK
		October 2008	Member of the Board of Directors, Senior Managing Executive Officer of BK
		June 2009	Member of the Board of Directors, Deputy President of BK
			Managing Executive Officer of MUFG
		June 2010	Member of the Board of Directors of MUFG
		October 2010	Member of the Board of Directors, Deputy President of MUFG
		April 2012	President & CEO of BK
			Member of the Board of Directors of MUFG
		April 2013	President & CEO of MUFG
		June 2015	Member of the Board of Directors, President & Group CEO of MUFG
Kanetsugu Mike (November 4, 1956)	Member of the Board of Directors Deputy Chairman (Representative Corporate Executive)	November 2015	Director of Morgan Stanley
		April 2016	Chairman of BK
		April 2019	Member of the Board of Directors of BK
			Member of the Board of Directors, Chairman of MUFG (incumbent)
		April 1979	Joined The Mitsubishi Bank, Limited
		June 2005	Executive Officer of BTM
			Executive Officer of MTFG
		May 2009	Managing Executive Officer of BK
		May 2011	Managing Executive Officer of MUFG
		June 2011	Member of the Board of Directors, Managing Executive Officer of BK
		May 2013	Senior Managing Executive Officer of BK
		October 2015	Executive Chairman of MUAH Executive Chairman of BK(US)
		May 2016	Deputy President and Executive Officer of BK Senior Managing Corporate Executive of MUFG
		June 2016	Member of the Board of Directors, Deputy President of BK
		June 2017	President & CEO of BK (incumbent) Member of the Board of Directors, Deputy Chairman of MUFG
		April 2019	Member of the Board of Directors, President & Group CEO of MUFG

Name (Date of Birth)	Position in MUFG	Business Experience	
		April 2020	Member of the Board of Directors, Deputy Chairman of MUFG (incumbent)
Saburo Araki (August 6, 1957)	Member of the Board of Directors Deputy Chairman (Representative Corporate Executive)	April 1981	Joined The Mitsubishi Bank, Limited
		June 2007	Executive Officer of BK
		May 2009	Executive Officer of MUFG
		May 2011	Managing Executive Officer of BK
			Managing Executive Officer of MUFG
		June 2012	Member of the Board of Directors, Managing Executive Officer of BK
			Member of the Board of Directors of MUFG
		June 2014	Managing Executive Officer of MUFG
		May 2015	Member of the Board of Directors, Senior Managing Executive Officer of BK
		June 2015	Senior Managing Corporate Executive of MUFG
		May 2016	Member of the Board of Directors, Deputy President of BK
		April 2018	President & CEO of SCHD (incumbent) President & CEO of MUMSS (incumbent) Deputy Chairman of MUFG
		June 2018	Member of the Board of Directors, Deputy Chairman of MUFG (incumbent)
		June 2020	President & CEO of Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley PB Securities Co., Ltd. (incumbent)
Iwao Nagashima (March 15, 1963)	Member of the Board of Directors Deputy Chairman (Representative Corporate Executive)	April 1985	Joined The Mitsubishi Trust and Banking Corporation
		June 2011	Executive Officer of TB
		June 2013	Managing Executive Officer of TB Executive Officer of MUFG
		June 2015	Director and Managing Executive Officer of TB
			Managing Executive Officer of TB
		June 2016	Director and Senior Managing Executive Officer of TB
		April 2019	Director, Deputy President, and Executive Officer of TB Senior Managing Corporate Executive of MUFG
			President & CEO of MU Trust Apple Planning Company, Ltd.
		April 2020	President & CEO of TB (incumbent) Deputy Chairman of MUFG
		June 2020	Member of the Board of Directors, Deputy Chairman of MUFG (incumbent)

Name (Date of Birth)	Position in MUFG		Business Experience
Hironori Kamezawa (November 18, 1961)	Member of the Board of Directors	April 1986	Joined The Mitsubishi Bank, Limited
		June 2010	Executive Officer of BK
	President & Group CEO (Representative Corporate Executive)		Executive Officer of MUFG
		May 2014	Managing Executive Officer of BK
			Managing Executive Officer of MUFG
		July 2014	Deputy CEO of Americas at BK(US)
		May 2017	Managing Corporate Executive of MUFG
		June 2017	Member of the Board of Directors, Managing Executive Officer of BK
		May 2018	Member of the Board of Directors, Senior Managing Executive Officer of BK Senior Managing Corporate Executive of MUFG
		December 2018	CEO and Representative of the Board of Directors of Global Open Network, Inc.
		April 2019	Deputy President of MUFG Member of the Board of Directors, Deputy President of BK CEO and Representative of the Board of Directors of Global Open Network Japan, Inc.
		June 2019	Member of the Board of Directors, Deputy President of MUFG
		April 2020	Member of the Board of Directors of BK (incumbent) Member of the Board of Directors, President & Group CEO of MUFG (incumbent)

Note: The following abbreviations are used in the table above:

"BK" refers to MUFG Bank, Ltd. or its former name The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd.

"TB" refers to Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking Corporation.

"SCHD" refers to Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings Co., Ltd.

"BK(US)" refers to MUFG Union Bank, N.A.

"MUAH" refers to MUFG Americas Holdings Corporation.

Corporate Executives

The following table sets forth our corporate executives as of June 29, 2020, together with their respective dates of birth, positions and experience:

Name (Date of Birth)	Position in MUFG	Business Experience
Nobuyuki Hirano (October 23, 1951)	See "Members of the Board of Directors" under this Item 6.A.	See "Members of the Board of Directors" under this Item 6.A.
Kanetsugu Mike (November 4, 1956)	See "Members of the Board of Directors" under this Item 6.A.	See "Members of the Board of Directors" under this Item 6.A.
Saburo Araki (August 6, 1957)	See "Members of the Board of Directors" under this Item 6.A.	See "Members of the Board of Directors" under this Item 6.A.

Name (Date of Birth)	Position in MUFG	Business Experience	
Iwao Nagashima (March 15, 1963)	See "Members of the Board of Directors" under this Item 6.A.	See "Members of the Board of Directors" under this Item 6.A.	
Hironori Kamezawa (November 18, 1961)	See "Members of the Board of Directors" under this Item 6.A.	See "Members of the Board of Directors" under this Item 6.A.	
Masamichi Yasuda (August 22, 1960)	Senior Managing Corporate Executive (Group Head, Global Markets Business Group)	April 1983 June 2009 May 2011 May 2014 May 2015 June 2015 May 2017 June 2018 April 2019	Joined The Bank of Tokyo, Ltd Executive Officer of BK, seconded to Union Bank Executive Officer of MUFG Managing Executive Officer of BK Managing Executive Officer of MUFG Member of the Board of Directors, Managing Executive Officer of BK Member of the Board of Directors of SCHD Member of the Board of Directors, Managing Corporate Executive of MUFG Member of the Board of Directors, Senior Managing Executive Officer of BK Member of the Board of Directors, Senior Managing Corporate Executive of MUFG Senior Managing Corporate Executive of MUFG (incumbent) Senior Managing Executive Officer of SCHD (incumbent) Member of the Board of Directors, Deputy President of MUMSS (incumbent)
Kenji Yabuta (April 27, 1960)	Senior Managing Corporate Executive (Group Head, Japanese Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group Head of Research & Advisory Unit)	April 1983 June 2009 May 2013 May 2017 April 2018 May 2018 June 2018	Joined The Mitsubishi Bank, Limited Executive Officer of BK Executive Officer of MUFG Managing Executive Officer of BK Senior Managing Executive Officer of BK Senior Managing Corporate Executive of MUFG (incumbent) Deputy President of BK Member of the Board of Directors, Deputy President of BK (incumbent)
Naoki Hori (January 27, 1961)	Senior Managing Corporate Executive (Group Head, Retail & Commercial Banking Business Group)	April 1983 June 2010 May 2013 May 2016 June 2016	Joined The Sanwa Bank, Limited Executive Officer of BK Executive Officer of MUFG Managing Executive Officer of BK Managing Executive Officer of MUFG Member of the Board of Directors, Managing Executive Officer of BK

Name (Date of Birth)	Position in MUFG		Business Experience
		June 2017	Member of the Board of Directors, Senior Managing Executive Officer of BK
		May 2018	Senior Managing Corporate Executive of MUFG (incumbent)
		April 2019	Member of the Board of Directors, Deputy President of BK (incumbent)
Masato Miyachi (June 14, 1960)	Senior Managing Corporate Executive (Group Head, Global Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group)	April 1984	Joined The Bank of Tokyo, Ltd.
		June 2010	Executive Officer of BK
		May 2014	Managing Executive Officer of BK
		October 2014	Managing Executive Officer of MUFG
		May 2017	Chairman of MUAH
			Chairman of BK(US)
		May 2018	Senior Managing Executive Officer of BK
		June 2018	Member of the Board of Directors, Senior Managing Executive Officer of BK
		July 2018	Senior Managing Corporate Executive of MUFG (incumbent)
		April 2019	Member of the Board of Directors, Deputy President of BK (incumbent)
Sunao Yokokawa (December 10, 1963)	Senior Managing Corporate Executive (Group Head, Asset Management & Investor Services Business)	April 1986	Joined MTB
		June 2012	Executive Officer of TB
		May 2014	Executive Officer of MUFG
		June 2015	Managing Executive Officer of TB
		June 2017	Director and Managing Executive Officer of TB
			Managing Corporate Executive of MUFG
		April 2019	Director and Senior Managing Executive Officer of TB
			Senior Managing Corporate Executive of MUFG (incumbent)
		April 2020	Director, Deputy President, and Executive Officer of TB (incumbent)
Takayoshi Futae (January 16, 1961)	Senior Managing Corporate Executive (Group Head, Global Commercial Banking Business Group Chief Operational Officer, or Group COO-I)	April 1983	Joined The Sanwa Bank, Limited
		June 2010	Executive Officer of BK
		May 2014	Managing Executive Officer of BK
		May 2016	Managing Executive Officer of MUFG
		May 2017	Senior Managing Executive Officer of BK
		April 2019	Senior Managing Corporate Executive of MUFG
			Senior Managing Executive Officer of SCHD (incumbent)
		June 2019	Member of the Board of Directors, Senior Managing Executive Officer of BK (incumbent)
Masahiro Kuwahara (November 11, 1962)	Senior Managing Corporate Executive (Group Chief Risk Officer, or CRO)	April 1986	Joined The Mitsubishi Bank, Limited
		June 2012	Executive Officer of BK
		May 2016	Executive Officer of MUFG
			Managing Executive Officer of BK

Name (Date of Birth)	Position in MUFG		Business Experience
		May 2019	Managing Executive Officer of MUFG
		June 2019	Managing Corporate Executive of MUFG
			Member of the Board of Directors,
			Managing Executive Officer of BK
		April 2020	Member of the Board of Directors, Senior
			Managing Executive Officer of BK
			(incumbent)
			Senior Managing Corporate Executive of
			MUFG (incumbent)
Yoshitaka Shiba (July 25, 1961)	Senior Managing	April 1986	Joined The Tokai Bank, Ltd.
	Corporate Executive	June 2012	Executive Officer of BK
	(Group Chief Audit	July 2015	Executive Officer of MUFG
	Officer, or CAO)	May 2016	Managing Executive Officer of BK
	Managing Director,	April 2020	Senior Managing Corporate Executive of
	Head of Internal		MUFG (incumbent)
	Audit Division		
Tetsuya Yonehana (February 10, 1964)	Senior Managing	April 1986	Joined The Mitsubishi Trust and Banking
	Corporate Executive		Corporation
	(Group CFO)	June 2012	Executive Officer of TB
			Executive Officer of MUFG
		June 2015	Managing Executive Officer of TB
		June 2016	Director and Managing Executive Officer
			of TB
			Managing Executive Officer of MUFG
		April 2019	Director and Senior Managing Executive
			Officer of TB
		April 2020	Senior Managing Executive Officer of BK
			Senior Managing Corporate Executive of
			MUFG (incumbent)
		June 2020	Member of the Board of Directors, Senior
			Managing Executive Officer of BK
			(incumbent)
Naomi Hayashi (March 16, 1965)	Managing Corporate	April 1987	Joined The Mitsubishi Bank, Limited
	Executive	June 2013	Executive Officer of BK
	(Group CSO		Executive Officer of MUFG
	in charge of Corporate	January 2017	Managing Executive Officer of BK
	Planning Division	May 2018	Managing Corporate Executive of MUFG
	(excluding Budget &		(incumbent)
	Resources	June 2018	Member of the Board of Directors,
	Management and		Managing Executive Officer of BK
	Global Business),		(incumbent)
	Corporate		Member of the Board of Directors of
	Administration		SCHD (incumbent)
	Division)		

Name (Date of Birth)	Position in MUFG		Business Experience
Junichi Hanzawa (January 19, 1965)	Managing Corporate Executive (Group Chief Compliance Officer, or Group CCO)	April 1988	Joined The Mitsubishi Bank, Limited
		June 2014	Executive Officer of BK
			Executive Officer of MUFG
		May 2018	Managing Executive Officer of BK
		April 2019	Managing Corporate Executive of MUFG (incumbent)
Hiroki Kameda (May 17, 1965)	Managing Corporate Executive (Group Chief Information Officer, or CIO)	June 2019	Member of the Board of Directors, Managing Executive Officer of BK (incumbent)
		April 1988	Joined The Mitsubishi Bank, Limited
		June 2014	Executive Officer of BK
			Executive Officer of MUFG
Hiroshi Mori (February 21, 1965)	Managing Corporate Executive (Group Chief Legal Officer, or CLO)	June 2018	President & CEO of Mitsubishi UFJ Information Technology, Ltd. (incumbent)
		April 2019	Managing Executive Officer of BK Managing Corporate Executive of MUFG (incumbent)
		June 2019	Member of the Board of Directors, Managing Executive Officer of BK (incumbent)
Hiroshi Mori (February 21, 1965)	Managing Corporate Executive (Group Chief Legal Officer, or CLO)	April 1993	Seconded to Finance Bureau of Ministry of Home Affairs
		June 2003	Seconded to Tesac Corporation, a Company under reorganization Trustee representative, Manager of Corporate Planning Department
		October 2006	Registered as attorney at law Joined Nishimura & Asahi
		November 2010	Outside Director, USEN Corporation
		January 2012	Partner at Nishimura & Asahi
		June 2013	Substitute Auditor of KAGOME CO., LTD.
		March 2016	Outside Director, Audit & Supervisory Committee Member of KAGOME CO., LTD.
		June 2016	Outside Director, Audit & Supervisory Committee Member of SCHD
		June 2019	Member of the Board of Directors, Managing Executive Officer of BK(incumbent) Managing Corporate Executive of MUFG (incumbent)

Name (Date of Birth)	Position in MUFG		Business Experience
Tomohiro Kimura (June 7, 1967)	Managing Corporate Executive	April 1990	Joined The Mitsubishi Trust and Banking Corporation
	(Group Chief Human Resource Officer, or Group CHRO	June 2017	Executive Officer of TB
	Group Deputy Chief Digital Transformation Officer, or Group Deputy CDTO	April 2020	Executive Officer of MUFG
	in sub-charge of Corporate Administration Division)		Director and Managing Executive Officer of TB (incumbent)
Masakazu Osawa (June 20, 1968)	Managing Corporate Executive	April 1991	Managing Corporate Executive of MUFG (incumbent)
	(Group Chief Digital Transformation Officer, or Group CDTO	June 2017	President & CEO of MU Trust Apple Planning Company, Ltd. (incumbent)
	Managing Director, Head of Digital Transformation Division)	April 2020	President & CEO of M.U.Trust Sougou Kanri Co., Ltd. (incumbent)
		June 2020	Joined The Mitsubishi Bank, Limited
			Executive Officer of The Bank of BK
			Executive Officer of MUFG
			Managing Executive Officer of BK
			Managing Corporate Executive of MUFG (incumbent)
			CEO and Representative of the Board of Directors of Global Open Network, Inc. (incumbent)
			Chairman and Representative of the Board of Directors of Global Open Network Japan, Inc. (incumbent)
			Member of the Board of Directors, Managing Executive Officer of BK (incumbent)

Note: The following abbreviations are used in the table above:

"BK" refers to MUFG Bank, Ltd. or its former name The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd.

"TB" refers to Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking Corporation.

"SCHD" refers to Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings Co., Ltd.

"BK(US)" refers to MUFG Union Bank, N.A.

"MUAH" refers to MUFG Americas Holdings Corporation.

The board of directors and corporate executives may be contacted through our headquarters at Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc., 7-1, Marunouchi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8330, Japan.

No family relationship exists among any of our directors or corporate executives.

B. Compensation

The aggregate amount of compensation paid, including benefits in kind granted and any contingent and deferred compensation, by MUFG and its subsidiaries during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 to our directors (excluding outside directors), to corporate executives and to outside directors, was ¥133 million, ¥2,266 million and ¥224 million, respectively.

The compensation paid by MUFG and its subsidiaries during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 to our directors and corporate executives consisted of annual base salaries, performance-based stock compensation, cash bonuses and other benefits. MUFG's compensation committee determines the compensation paid to our directors and corporate executives.

The following table sets forth details of the aggregate compensation paid by MUFG and its subsidiaries during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 to our directors (excluding outside directors) and corporate executives:

Number of Directors and Corporate Executives ⁽¹⁾	Aggregate Compensation	Non-Adjustable Compensation		Adjustable Compensation			
		Annual Base Salary	Performance-based Stock Compensation	Cash Bonuses	Performance-based Stock Compensation	Retirement Allowances ⁽²⁾	Other
				(in millions)			
22	¥2,399	¥1,357	¥326	¥508	¥208	—	¥0

Notes:

- (1) Includes the current directors and corporate executives as well as those who retired during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 but excludes the outside directors.
- (2) Represents the aggregate amount of retirement allowances paid in cash during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, pursuant to a one-time shareholders' approval in June 2007 for the retirement allowances to be paid to the directors and corporate auditors who were elected prior to that date at the time of their retirement. A reserve in the total amount of such retirement allowances was set aside as of September 30, 2007. For more information, see "—Retirement Allowances" below.

The following table sets forth the details of individual compensation paid, including benefits in kind granted but excluding retirement allowances paid, by MUFG and its subsidiaries in an amount equal to or exceeding ¥100 million during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020:

Directors	Aggregate amount	Paid by	Compensation paid		
			Annual Base Salary	Performance- based Stock Compensation	Cash Bonuses
			(in millions)		
Nobuyuki Hirano	¥181	MUFG	¥58	¥39	¥47
		BK	17	14	6
Mikio Ikegaya	¥178	MUFG	¥34	¥21	¥29
		TB	34	27	33
Saburo Araki	¥137	MUFG	¥25	¥20	¥24
		SCHD	13	9	12
		MUMSS	13	9	12
Kanetsugu Mike	¥215	MUFG	¥50	¥30	¥44
		BK	33	28	30
Hironori Kamezawa	¥128	MUFG	¥43	¥15	¥14
		BK	26	20	10
Kenji Yabuta	¥127	MUFG	¥43	¥14	¥16
		BK	26	17	11
Naoki Hori	¥129	MUFG	¥40	¥14	¥14
		BK	23	22	10
		ACOM	6	—	—
Masato Miyachi	¥190	MUFG	¥92	¥—	¥18
		BK	69	—	11
Takayoshi Futae	¥101	MUFG	¥28	¥ 7	¥ 7
		BK	26	10	8
		SCHD	9	3	3
Iwao Nagashima	¥113	MUFG	¥36	¥11	¥13
		TB	21	23	9

Note: The following abbreviations are used in the table above:

"BK" refers to MUFG Bank, Ltd. (or its former name The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd.)

"TB" refers to Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking Corporation.

"SCHD" refers to Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings Co., Ltd.

"MUMSS" refers to Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities Co., Ltd.

"ACOM" refers to ACOM Co., Ltd.

Annual Base Salary

Annual base salaries were paid to our directors (including outside directors) and corporate executives in the form of monthly cash installment payments. The aggregate annual base salary paid to our directors (excluding outside directors) and corporate executives for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 was ¥1,357 million. The aggregate annual base salary paid to our outside directors for the same period was ¥224 million.

Performance-based Stock Compensation Plans

Under our performance-based stock compensation plans, qualified directors (excluding outside directors and directors serving as audit committee members), corporate executives and others of MUFG and its major domestic subsidiaries are assigned, on a monthly basis, (1) points based on their job responsibilities, or non-adjustable points, and (2) additional points based on their job responsibilities which are adjusted at the end of each fiscal year and at the end of each plan period to reflect the extent to which a financial performance target determined by the compensation committee is attained, or adjustable points. Each plan period corresponds to the period covered by the three-year medium-term business plan of MUFG. Each accumulated point represents a right to receive one share of MUFG common stock from a trust established in Japan to administer the plan grants as determined by the compensation committee.

The right to receive shares of MUFG common stock in exchange for non-adjustable points becomes vested and nonforfeitable, and the shares are delivered, upon the grantee's departure from his or her job responsibilities based on which the right was granted. The right to receive shares of MUFG common stock in exchange for adjustable points becomes vested and nonforfeitable, and the shares are delivered, at the end of each plan period. The vesting in either case is subject to conditions imposed by the compensation committee, including non-engagement in misconduct. A portion of the shares subject to a grantee's vested right may be delivered in cash.

The grantees are entitled to "dividend equivalent credits" on their granted but unvested rights under the plan when MUFG pays dividends to its shareholders. The credit is equal to the dividends that the grantees would have received on the shares had the shares been issued to the grantees in exchange for their granted but unvested rights under the plan, less expenses relating to the administration of the plan. Accumulated dividend equivalents are paid to grantees at the time of the delivery of the shares.

The shares to be delivered to grantees are purchased on the open market by the trustee of the trust pursuant to a trust agreement among MUFG, the trustee and the independent caretaker of the trust. Each plan is funded in cash up to a maximum aggregate amount determined by our compensation committee.

The initial performance-based stock compensation plan commenced on July 1, 2016. The grants under the plan were tied to MUFG's previous medium-term business plan for the three-year period ended March 31, 2018. The trust for the plan was funded with ¥9.8 billion in cash, and 18,785,400 shares of MUFG common stock were purchased by the trustee of the plan trust in May 2016. The plan was adopted after our compensation committee decided in May 2016 to cease to provide any additional stock acquisition rights under our previous stock-based compensation structure and to introduce the performance-based stock compensation plan.

The second performance-based stock compensation plan commenced on December 1, 2016. The trust for the plan was funded with 8.8 billion in cash, and an aggregate of 13,004,300 shares of MUFG common stock were purchased by the trustee of the plan trust in November 2016 and May 2017. The plan was adopted to replace the outstanding stock acquisition rights under our previous stock-based compensation structure. Upon the adoption of the plan, the stock acquisition rights that had been allotted to grantees but remained unexercised under the then-outstanding stock-based compensation plans were exchanged for points under the performance-based stock compensation plan, and the rights to receive shares of MUFG common stock represented by these points were vested. The outstanding stock acquisition rights of grantees who were on overseas assignments at the time of the adoption of the plan were exchanged for points under the performance-based stock compensation plan upon their return to Japan.

On May 15, 2018, the compensation committee approved new grants under the initial performance-based stock compensation plan, which was amended in connection with the launch of MUFG's current medium-term business plan for the three-year period ending March 31, 2021. The trust period of the plan trust was extended until August 31, 2021, and the maximum amount of funds to be contributed to the plan trust was reset at ¥26.3 billion. The formula for determining adjustable points under the plan was also revised. In May 2018, the plan trust was funded with ¥9.6 billion in cash, and 13,049,600 shares of MUFG common stock were purchased by the trustee of the plan trust.

For more information on the Performance-based Stock Compensation Plans, see "Item 16E. Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers."

Cash Bonuses

We from time to time pay cash bonuses to our directors and corporate executives to further motivate them to contribute to the improvement of our stock prices and profits if such bonuses are deemed appropriate based on a balanced scorecard approach taking into account the results of operations of the MUFG Group and each director's or corporate executive's individual performance of his or her duties as a director or corporate executive in light of both quantitative and qualitative criteria, including our medium-term strategy for improving our corporate value. None of the outside directors is eligible to receive a cash bonus. The compensation committee determines the cash bonus for each director and corporate executive based on our financial results and his or her job performance for the preceding fiscal year as well as his or her seniority and experience. The aggregate cash bonus paid to our directors and corporate executives for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 was ¥508 million.

Retirement Allowances

Prior to June 28, 2007, in accordance with customary Japanese practice, when a director or corporate auditor retired, a proposal to pay a retirement allowance was submitted at the annual ordinary general meeting of shareholders for approval. The retirement allowance consisted of a one-time payment of a portion of the allowance paid at the time of retirement and periodic payments of the remaining amount for a prescribed number of years. After the shareholders' approval was obtained, the retirement allowance for a director or corporate auditor was fixed by the board of directors or by consultation among the corporate auditors in accordance with our internal regulations and practice and generally reflected the position of the director or corporate auditor at the time of retirement, the length of his service as a director or corporate auditor and his contribution to our performance. Historically, MUFG did not set aside reserves for any retirement payments for directors and corporate auditors made under this practice.

Pursuant to a one-time shareholders' approval in June 2007, retirement allowances are paid in cash to the directors and corporate auditors who were elected prior to that date at the time of their retirement. A reserve in the total amount of such retirement allowances was set aside as of September 30, 2007. No retirement allowance was paid by MUFG and its subsidiaries pursuant to the one-time shareholder approval during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 to our directors (excluding outside directors), to corporate auditors (excluding outside corporate auditors) and to outside directors and corporate auditors, who have retired from their respective positions held at MUFG or, if such directors and corporate auditors concurrently held positions at MUFG's subsidiaries, who have retired from such positions.

Stock-based Compensation Plans

Prior to 2016, as part of our compensation structure, we issued stock acquisition rights to further motivate our directors (excluding outside directors) and certain of our corporate auditors and officers to contribute to the improvement of our stock prices and profits. As of March 31, 2020, an aggregate of 1,669 stock acquisition rights, each representing a right to purchase 100 shares of MUFG common stock at ¥1 per share of common stock, under these previous stock-based compensation plans remained unexercised. All of them were waived as

of April 1, 2020, and exchanged for points under the second performance-based stock compensation plan as of April 2, 2020.

MUFG Americas Holdings Corporation Stock Bonus Plan

Under the MUFG Americas Holdings Corporation Stock Bonus Plan, qualified key employees of MUFG Americas Holdings are granted Restricted Share Units, or RSUs, representing a right to receive American Depositary Receipts, or ADRs, evidencing ADSs, each exchangeable for one share of MUFG common stock, from an independent trust established to administer the plan grants, upon the satisfaction of vesting conditions, to be determined pursuant to the plan as well as a Restricted Share Unit Agreement between MUFG Americas Holdings and the grantees.

Unless otherwise provided in the relevant Restricted Share Unit Agreement, RSUs become vested and nonforfeitable as follows: one-third (33 1/3%) of a grantee's RSUs vests on each one year anniversary of the date of the grant such that all of the RSUs become fully vested after three years from the grant date so long as the grantee satisfies the specified continuous service requirements and any other conditions under the applicable plan documents, subject to certain clawback and notice period provisions.

Under the plan, the grantees are entitled to "dividend equivalent credits" on their granted but unvested RSUs when MUFG pays dividends to its shareholders. The credit is equal to the dividends that the grantees would have received on the shares had the shares been issued to the grantees in exchange for their granted but unvested RSUs. Accumulated dividend equivalents are paid to grantees in whole shares on an annual basis. Any fractional share will be paid to the participants in cash.

Grants made under the plan are not entitled to any dividend rights, voting rights, or other stockholder rights unless and until RSUs are vested and ADSs are delivered to grantees.

The ADSs to be delivered to grantees will be purchased on the open market by the trustee of the independent trust pursuant to a trust agreement between MUFG Americas Holdings and the trustee. As of June 30, 2020, 108,220,888 RSUs have been granted under the plan, of which 43,554,160 RSUs were outstanding as of June 30, 2020.

For more information on the plan, see "Item 16E. Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers."

Share Ownership

As of July 1, 2020, our directors and corporate executives held the following numbers of shares of our common stock:

Directors	Number of Shares Registered
Mariko Fujii	—
Keiko Honda	—
Kaoru Kato	—
Haruka Matsuyama	4,040
Toby S. Myerson	368*
Hirofumi Nomoto	25,000
Yasushi Shingai	—
Tarisa Watanagase	—
Akira Yamate	—
Junichi Okamoto	182,892
Ritsuo Ogura	88,534

<u>Corporate Executives</u>	<u>Number of Shares Registered</u>
Nobuyuki Hirano	82,141
Kanetsugu Mike	49,362
Saburo Araki	210,980
Iwao Nagashima	90,581
Hironori Kamezawa	25,539
Masamichi Yasuda	127,419
Kenji Yabuta	38,304
Naoki Hori	41,988
Masato Miyachi	7,259
Sunao Yokokawa	22,546
Takayoshi Futae	9,184
Masahiro Kuwahara	18,700
Yoshitaka Shiba	18,003
Tetsuya Yonehana	22,522
Naomi Hayashi	1,210
Junichi Hanzawa	39,900
Hiroki Kameda	45,283
Hiroshi Mori	1,485
Tomohiro Kimura	9,000
Masakazu Osawa	4,800

* Held in the form of ADRs.

None of the shares of our common stock held by our directors and corporate executives have voting rights that are different from shares of our common stock held by any other shareholder.

For information on the performance-based stock compensation for our directors and corporate executives, see “—Performance-based Stock Compensation Plans.”

C. Board Practices

Our articles of incorporation provide for a board of directors with statutorily mandated nominating and governance committee, audit committee and compensation committee, each consisting of members of the board of directors. We have also elected, though not statutorily mandated under the Companies Act of Japan, to establish a risk committee consisting of directors and external experts. In May 2016, we established a U.S. risk committee pursuant to the U.S. Enhanced Prudential Standards for foreign banking organizations. Our corporate executives are responsible for executing and managing our business operations based on a delegation of authority by the board of directors, and our directors set our key management policies and oversee the execution of duties by these corporate executives.

In June 2015, our shareholders approved an amendment to our articles of incorporation to adopt our current governance framework with a board of directors and board committees. We previously had a governance framework with a board of directors and a board of corporate auditors. The Companies Act permits three types of governance system for large companies such as MUFG: (1) a company with a nominating committee, an audit committee and a compensation committee, (2) a company with a board of corporate auditors, and (3) a company with an audit and supervisory committee. Our previous governance framework was based on the second system, and our newly adopted governance system is based on the first system.

With respect to companies adopting the first system, including MUFG, each of the nominating, audit and compensation committees must consist of members of the board of directors, and the majority of each committee must be outside directors as defined by the Companies Act. In addition, the board of directors must appoint corporate executives (*shikkoyaku*) to execute and manage the business operations of the company under the

authority delegated by the board of directors. Based on this system, our current governance framework is designed to facilitate more flexible and swifter decision-making and increase transparency in our management processes.

An “outside director” is defined by the Companies Act as a person who meets all of the following conditions:

- the person is not currently, and has not been in the ten years prior to his or her assumption of office as outside director, an executive director, who is a director concurrently performing an executive role (*gyomu shikko torishimariyaku*), a corporate executive, a manager (*shihainin*), or any other type of employee of the company or any of its subsidiaries;
- if the person has been a non-executive director, a corporate auditor, or an accounting adviser (*kaikai sanyo*) of the company or any of its subsidiaries within the ten years prior to his or her assumption of office as outside director, the person was not an executive director, a corporate executive, a manager or any other type of employee of the company or any of its subsidiary in the ten years prior to his or her assumption of office as such;
- the person is not a director, a corporate executive officer, a manager or any other type of employee of the company’s parent company, or a person who controls the company;
- the person is not an executive director, a corporate executive officer, a manager or any other type of employee of another subsidiary of the company’s parent company; and
- the person is not the spouse or a family member within the second degree of kinship of a director, a corporate executive, a manager, or any other type of important employee of the company or a person who controls the company.

Board of Directors

Our board of directors consists of directors who are elected at a general meeting of shareholders. Under our articles of incorporation, the number of directors may not exceed 20. We currently have 16 directors, nine of whom are outside directors and two of whom are internal non-executive directors.

The regular term of office of a director is one year from the date of election, and directors may serve their terms until the close of the annual general meeting of shareholders held for the following year after their election. Directors may serve any number of consecutive terms.

Under the Companies Act, the board of directors has the authority to determine our basic management policy, make decisions on the execution and management of our business operations, and oversee the execution by the corporate executives of their duties. The board of directors may delegate, to the extent permitted by the Companies Act, the authority to make decisions on the execution and management of our business operations. Our board of directors has delegated most of this authority to the corporate executives.

The board of directors elects the Chairman and the Deputy Chairman from among its members and appoints key management members based on recommendations submitted to it by the nominating committee.

Under the Companies Act, a resolution of the board of directors is required if any director wishes to engage in any business that is in competition with us or any transaction with us. Additionally, no director may vote on a proposal, arrangement or contract in which that director is deemed to be particularly interested.

Neither the Companies Act nor our articles of incorporation contain special provisions as to the borrowing power exercisable by a director, the retirement age of our directors, or a requirement of our directors to hold any shares of our capital stock.

Under the Companies Act and our articles of incorporation, we may exempt, by resolution of the board of directors, our directors from liabilities to MUFG arising in connection with their failure to execute their duties in good faith and without gross negligence within the limits stipulated by applicable laws and regulations. In addition, we have entered into a liability limitation agreement with each outside director and non-executive director which limits the maximum amount of their liability to MUFG arising in connection with a failure to execute their duties in good faith and without gross negligence to the greater of either ¥10 million or the aggregate sum of the amounts prescribed in Paragraph 1 of Article 425 of the Companies Act and Articles 113 and 114 of the Companies Act Enforcement Regulations.

None of our directors is party to a service contract with MUFG or any of its subsidiaries that provides for benefits upon end of their director term.

Nominating Committee

Our nominating committee, which we call the nominating and governance committee, determines the contents of proposals regarding the election and removal of director candidates to be submitted to general meetings of shareholders. The committee also considers and makes recommendations to the board of directors regarding the appointment and removal of the Chairman and the Deputy Chairman of the board of directors and the President & Group CEO of MUFG as well as the chairman and the deputy chairman of the board of directors, the president and others of each of our major subsidiaries. In addition, the committee discusses and makes recommendations to the board of directors on matters pertaining to our governance policy and framework.

Under the Companies Act, the nominating committee must consist of at least three directors, and the majority of its members must be outside directors. Our nominating and governance committee currently consists of five directors. The chairman of the committee is Hirofumi Nomoto, an outside director. The other members of this committee are Mariko Fujii, Kaoru Kato and Haruka Matsuyama, who are outside directors, and Hironori Kamezawa, Director, President & Group CEO. Between April 2019 and March 2020, the nominating and governance committee met 14 times.

Audit Committee

The audit committee determines the contents of proposals pertaining to the election, termination and non-appointment of our independent auditor to be submitted to general meetings of shareholders. The committee also monitors and audits the execution by the directors and the corporate executives of their duties and prepares audit reports to the board of directors. In order to effectively perform its duties, the committee reviews, inspects and investigates, as necessary, the management of the operations of MUFG and its subsidiaries, including financial reporting and internal controls. In addition, the committee has the power to consent to decisions on the compensation to be paid to our independent auditor.

Under the Companies Act, the audit committee must consist of at least three non-executive directors, and the majority of its members must be outside directors. Our committee currently has five members. The chairman of the committee is Akira Yamate, an outside director. The other members of the committee are Kaoru Kato and Yasushi Shingai, who are outside directors, and Junichi Okamoto and Ritsuo Ogura, who are non-executive directors. Between April 2019 and March 2020, the audit committee met 16 times.

Compensation Committee

The compensation committee establishes our policy regarding the determination of the compensation of MUFG's directors, corporate executives, executive officers (*shikko yakuin*) and others and also determines the details of individual compensation based on the policy. The committee discusses and makes recommendations to the board of directors regarding the establishment, revision and abolition of compensation systems for the chairman, the deputy chairman, the president and others of each of our major subsidiaries.

Under the Companies Act, the compensation committee must consist of at least three directors, and the majority of its members must be outside directors. Our compensation committee currently consist of five directors. The chairman of the committee is Haruka Matsuyama, an outside director. The other members of this committee are Mariko Fujii, Kaoru Kato and Hirofumi Nomoto, who are outside directors, and Hironori Kamezawa, Director, President & Group CEO. Between April 2019 and March 2020, the compensation committee met 8 times.

Risk Committee

In addition to the foregoing three committees, which are mandated by the Companies Act, we have a risk committee, which was initially established under our previous governance framework and which we continue to have under our current governance framework on a voluntary basis. The risk committee deliberates and makes recommendations to the board of directors on matters regarding group-wide risk management as well as top risk matters.

MUFG Corporate Governance Policies provide that the committee shall consist of directors and external experts. External experts are professionals with no prior employment relationship with any of the MUFG group companies. The committee currently has eight members. The chairperson of the committee is Mariko Fujii, an outside director. The other members of this committee are Toby S. Myerson, Yasushi Shingai and Tarisa Watanagase, who are outside directors, Naomi Hayashi, Managing Corporate Executive and Group CSO, and Shinichi Koide, Atsushi Miyanoya and Kazuhiko Ohashi, who are external experts. Between April 2019 and March 2020, the risk committee met four times.

U.S. Risk Committee

The U.S. risk committee oversees the risk management function for our combined U.S. operations. Its oversight role includes, but is not limited to, all roles and responsibilities required under the FRB's final rules for Enhanced Prudential Standards for foreign banking organizations. The committee monitors liquidity and all other types of risk exposures, reviews the risk management policies and procedures, and oversees compliance with such policies and procedures for our combined U.S. operations. The committee is a subcommittee of the board of directors of MUFG, and reports and makes recommendations to MUFG's board of directors and MUFG's risk committee.

The members of the U.S. risk committee are appointed by MUFG's board of directors after consideration of member candidates reviewed and recommended by MUFG's risk committee and nominating and governance committee. The committee shall consist of members of the MUFG Americas Holdings Risk Committee, delegates from MUFG, the Chairman of the MUFG Americas Holdings Board and MUFG Americas Holdings' CEO, with the chairperson of the committee being an outside director of MUFG Americas Holdings. The committee currently has eight members. The chairperson of the committee is Ann F. Jaedicke, an outside director of MUFG Americas Holdings. The other members of this committee are Dean A. Yoost, Suneel Kamlani, Toby Myerson and Roberta Bienfait, who are outside directors of MUFG Americas Holdings, Kazuo Koshi, the Chairman of the MUFG Americas Holdings Board, Masahiro Kuwahara, Senior Managing Corporate Executive and Group CRO of MUFG and Stephen Cummings, MUFG Americas Holdings' CEO.

Corporate Executives

Our corporate executives are responsible for executing and managing our business operations within the scope of the authority delegated to them by the board of directors.

Under the Companies Act, at least one corporate executive must be appointed by a resolution of the board of directors. We currently have 20 corporate executives. Under our articles of incorporation, the board of directors shall appoint a president and a deputy president, who, as representative corporate executives, may represent us

severally. The term of office of each corporate executive expires at the conclusion of the first meeting of the board of directors convened after the ordinary general meeting of shareholders for the last fiscal year that ends within one year following the corporate executive's assumption of office.

Under the Companies Act, a resolution of the board of directors is required if any corporate executive wishes to engage in any business that is in competition with us or any transaction with us.

Under the Companies Act and our articles of incorporation, we may exempt, by resolution of the board of directors, our corporate executives from liabilities to MUFG arising in connection with their failure to execute their duties in good faith and without gross negligence within the limits stipulated by applicable laws and regulations. We, however, currently have no such arrangements with any of our corporate executives.

D. Employees

As of March 31, 2020, we had approximately 133,200 employees, an increase of approximately 20,500 employees compared with the number of employees as of March 31, 2019 primarily due to Bank Danamon becoming a consolidated subsidiary in April 2019. As of March 31, 2020, we had approximately 35,200 part-time and temporary employees. The following tables show the percentages of our employees across our different business units and in different locations as of March 31, 2020:

Business unit

MUFG Bank:

Retail & Commercial Banking Business Unit	17%
Japanese Corporate & Investment Banking Business Unit	3
Global Corporate & Investment Banking Business Unit	2
Global Commercial Banking Business Unit	41
Global Markets Business Unit	1
Corporate Center/Corporate Staff	16

Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking:

Trust-Banking	3
Trust Assets	4
Real Estate	1
Global Markets	0
Administration and subsidiaries	2

Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings:

Retail & Commercial Banking Business Unit	3
Japanese Corporate & Investment Banking Business Unit	1
Global Corporate & Investment Banking Business Unit	0
Global Markets Business Unit	1
Corporate Center/Corporate Staff	1

Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS:

Business Marketing Division	1
Credit Risk Management & Risk Assets Administration Division	1
Operations Division	0
Systems & Systems Integration Division	0
Corporate Division	0
Others	0

Others	2
	100%

Location**MUFG Bank:**

Japan	25%
United States	10
Europe	1
Asia/Oceania excluding Japan	43
Other areas	1

Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking:

Japan	8
United States	1
Europe	1
Asia/Oceania excluding Japan	0

Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings:

Japan	5
United States	0
Europe	0
Asia/Oceania excluding Japan	0

Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS:

Japan	3
United States	0
Europe	0
Asia/Oceania excluding Japan	0

Others	2
	<u>100%</u>

Most of our employees are members of an employees' union, which negotiates on behalf of employees in relation to remuneration and working conditions. We believe our labor relations to be good.

E. Share Ownership

The information required by this item is set forth in "—B. Compensation."

Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions.**A. Major Shareholders****Common Stock**

As of March 31, 2020, we had 754,778 registered shareholders of our common stock. The ten largest holders of our common stock appearing on the register of shareholders as of March 31, 2020, and the number and the percentage of such shares held by each of them, were as follows:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Number of shares held</u>	<u>Percentage of total shares in issue⁽²⁾</u>
The Master Trust Bank of Japan, Ltd. (Trust account) ⁽¹⁾	882,084,400	6.49%
Japan Trustee Services Bank, Ltd. (Trust account) ⁽¹⁾	681,642,700	5.01%
SSBTC CLIENT OMNIBUS ACCOUNT	323,134,697	2.37%
Japan Trustee Services Bank, Ltd. (Trust account 5) ⁽¹⁾	274,801,900	2.02%
BNYM RE NORWEST/WELLS FARGO OMNIBUS	271,085,100	1.99%
Japan Trustee Services Bank, Ltd. (Trust account 9) ⁽¹⁾	253,343,500	1.86%
JP Morgan Chase Bank 385151	218,028,972	1.60%
Government of Norway	199,031,525	1.46%
Japan Trustee Services Bank, Ltd. (Trust account 7) ⁽¹⁾	187,972,500	1.38%
State Street Bank West Client-Treaty 505234	182,289,491	1.34%
Total	<u>3,473,414,785</u>	<u>25.57%</u>

Notes:

- (1) Includes the shares held in trust accounts, which do not disclose the names of beneficiaries.
- (2) Numbers are truncated after two decimal points.
- (3) According to a beneficial ownership report on Schedule 13G filed with the SEC by BlackRock Inc. on February 5, 2020, BlackRock and its consolidated subsidiaries beneficially owned an aggregate of 5.7% of the outstanding shares of our common stock as of December 31, 2019. Other than as described in the table above, we have not independently confirmed this beneficial ownership information.

As of March 31, 2020, 1,600,189 shares, representing approximately 0.01% of our outstanding common stock, were held by our directors and corporate executives.

As of March 31, 2020, 1,950,974,214 shares, representing 14.36% of our outstanding common stock, were owned by 388 U.S. shareholders of record who are resident in the United States, one of whom is the ADR depository's nominee holding 180,818,006 shares, or 1.33%, of our total issued shares of common stock.

Our major shareholders do not have different voting rights.

B. Related Party Transactions

As of March 31, 2020, we held approximately 23.9% of the voting rights in Morgan Stanley and Series C Preferred Stock with a face value of approximately \$521.4 million and 10% dividend. We also have two representatives appointed to Morgan Stanley's board of directors. We adopted the equity method of accounting for our investment in Morgan Stanley beginning with the fiscal year ended March 31, 2012. In April 2018, we entered into a sales plan with Morgan Stanley and Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC, pursuant to which we will sell portions of the shares of Morgan Stanley common stock that we hold to Morgan Stanley through Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC acting as agent for Morgan Stanley to the extent necessary to ensure that our beneficial ownership will remain below 24.9%.

We and Morgan Stanley have two securities joint venture companies, namely, Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities and Morgan Stanley MUFG Securities, in Japan. We hold a 60% economic interest in Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities and Morgan Stanley MUFG Securities, and Morgan Stanley holds a 40% economic interest in Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities and Morgan Stanley MUFG Securities. We hold a 60% voting interest and Morgan Stanley holds a 40% voting interest in Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities, and we hold a 49% voting interest and Morgan Stanley holds a 51% voting interest in Morgan Stanley MUFG Securities.

We and Morgan Stanley continue to pursue a variety of business opportunities in Japan and abroad in accordance with the global strategic alliance. For a detailed discussion of our global alliance with Morgan Stanley, see "Item 4.B. Information on the Company—Business Overview—Global Strategic Alliance with Morgan Stanley."

We and our banking subsidiaries had, and expect to have in the future, banking transactions and other transactions in the ordinary course of business with our related parties. Although for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, such transactions included, but were not limited to, call money, loans, electronic data processing, leases and management of properties, those transactions were immaterial and were made at prevailing market rates, terms and conditions and do not involve more than the normal risk of collectability or present other unfavorable features.

None of our directors or corporate executives, nor any of the close members of their respective families, has had any transactions or has any presently proposed transactions that are material or any transactions that are unusual in their nature or conditions, involving goods, services or tangible or intangible assets, to which we were, are or will be a party.

No loans have been made to our directors or corporate executives other than in the normal course of business, on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with other persons, involving no more than the normal risk of collectability and presenting no other unfavorable features. In addition, no loans have been made to our directors or corporate executives other than as permitted under Section 13(k) of the U.S. Securities Exchange Act and Rule 13k-1 promulgated thereunder.

No family relationship exists among any of our directors or corporate executives. No arrangement or understanding exists between any of our directors or corporate executives and any other person pursuant to which any director or corporate executive was elected to their position at MUFG.

As part of our compensation structure, we have granted performance-based stock compensation rights to our directors and corporate executives. For a detailed discussion of the stock acquisition rights, see “Item 6.B. Directors, Senior Management and Employees—Compensation.”

C. Interests of Experts and Counsel

Not applicable.

Item 8. Financial Information.

A. Consolidated Statements and Other Financial Information

The information required by this item is set forth in our consolidated financial statements starting on page F-1 of this Annual Report and in “Selected Statistical Data” starting on page A-1 of this Annual Report.

Pursuant to Rule 3-09 of Regulation S-X, the financial statements and supplementary data of Morgan Stanley, our equity method investee, as of December 31, 2018 and 2019 and for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2017, 2018 and 2019, are incorporated in this Annual Report as Exhibit 99(c) by reference to Morgan Stanley’s annual report on Form 10-K filed on February 27, 2020.

Legal Proceedings

From time to time, we are involved in various litigation matters and other legal proceedings, including regulatory actions. Although the final resolution of any such matters and proceedings could have a material effect on our consolidated operating results for a particular reporting period, based on our current knowledge and consultation with legal counsel, we believe the current litigation matters and other legal proceedings, when ultimately determined, will not materially affect our results of operations or financial position. For more information, see “Item 3.D. Key Information—Risk Factors—Operational Risk—We may become subject to regulatory actions or other legal proceedings relating to our transactions or other aspects of our operations, which could result in significant financial losses, restrictions on our operations and damage to our reputation.” and Note 26 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Distributions

Our board of directors submits a recommendation for a year-end dividend for our shareholders’ approval at the ordinary general meeting of shareholders customarily held in June of each year. The year-end dividend is usually distributed immediately following shareholders’ approval to holders of record at the end of the preceding fiscal year. In addition to year-end dividends, we may make cash distributions by way of interim dividends to shareholders of record as of September 30 of each year as distribution of surplus by resolution of our board of directors. Year-end dividends in the amount of ¥12.5 per share of our common stock (in addition to interim dividends of ¥12.5 per share of our common stock) for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 were approved by shareholders at the ordinary general meeting of shareholders held on June 29, 2020.

See Exhibit 2(c) to this Annual Report for additional information on our dividends policy.

Under the Japanese foreign exchange regulations currently in effect, dividends paid on shares held by non-residents of Japan may be converted into any foreign currency and repatriated abroad. Under the terms of the deposit agreement pursuant to which ADSs are issued, the depositary is required, to the extent that in its judgment it can convert Japanese yen on a reasonable basis into U.S. dollars and transfer the resulting U.S. dollars to the United States, to convert all cash dividends that it receives in respect of deposited shares into U.S. dollars and to distribute the amount received, after deduction of any applicable withholding taxes, to the holders of ADSs. See “Item 10.D. Additional Information—Exchange Controls” and Exhibit 2(c) to this Annual Report.

B. Significant Changes

Other than as described in this Annual Report, no significant changes have occurred since the date of our consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report.

Item 9. The Offer and Listing.

A. Offer and Listing Details

The principal market for our common stock is the Tokyo Stock Exchange in Japan. Our common stock is also listed on the Nagoya Stock Exchange in Japan. The listing code assigned to our common stock in Japan is 8306.

In the United States, ADSs, each representing one share of common stock, are quoted on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol, “MUFG.”

B. Plan of Distribution

Not applicable.

C. Markets

The information required by this item is set forth in “—A. Offer and Listing Details.”

D. Selling Shareholders

Not applicable.

E. Dilution

Not applicable.

F. Expenses of the Issue

Not applicable.

Item 10. Additional Information.

A. Share Capital

Not applicable.

B. Memorandum and Articles of Association

Our Corporate Purpose

Article 2 of our Articles of Incorporation provides that our corporate purpose is to carry on the following businesses:

- administration of management of banks, trust banks, specialized securities companies, insurance companies or other companies which we may own as our subsidiaries under the Banking Law;
- any businesses incidental to the foregoing businesses mentioned in the preceding item; and
- any other businesses in which bank holding companies are permitted to engage under the Banking Law in addition to the foregoing businesses mentioned in the preceding two items.

Board of Directors

For discussion of the provisions of our Articles of Incorporation as they apply to our directors, see “Item 6.C. Directors, Senior Management and Employees—Board Practices.”

Common Stock

As of March 31, 2020, a total of 13,581,995,120 shares of common stock (including 741,772,308 shares of common stock held by us and our consolidated subsidiaries as treasury stock) had been issued. Each of the shares issued and outstanding was fully paid and non-assessable.

For a description of our common stock, see Exhibit 2(c) to this Annual Report.

Preferred Stock

We currently have no shares of preferred stock issued.

For a description of preferred stock we are authorized to issue under our Articles of Incorporation, see Exhibit 2(c) to this Annual Report.

C. Material Contracts

Except as described elsewhere in this Annual Report, all material contracts entered into by us in the past two years preceding the filing of this Annual Report were entered into in the ordinary course of business.

D. Exchange Controls

Foreign Exchange and Foreign Trade Law

The Foreign Exchange and Foreign Trade Law of Japan and the cabinet orders and ministerial ordinances incidental thereto, collectively known as the Foreign Exchange Law, set forth, among other matters, regulations relating to the receipt by non-residents of Japan of payment with respect to shares to be issued by us and the acquisition and holding of shares by non-residents of Japan and foreign investors, both as defined below. It also applies in some cases to the acquisition and holding of ADSs representing such shares acquired and held by non-residents of Japan and foreign investors.

“Non-residents of Japan” are defined as individuals who are not resident in Japan and corporations whose principal offices are located outside Japan. Generally, the branches and offices of non-resident corporations which are located in Japan are regarded as residents of Japan while the branches and offices of Japanese corporations located outside Japan are regarded as non-residents of Japan.

“Foreign investors” are defined as:

- natural persons who are non-resident of Japan;
- corporations which are organized under the laws of foreign countries or whose principal offices are located outside Japan;
- corporations of which 50% or more of the shares are directly or indirectly held by individuals not resident of Japan and corporations which are organized under the laws of foreign countries or whose principal offices are located outside Japan;
- (A) partnerships 50% or more of whose contributions are made by (1) natural persons who are non-residents of Japan, (2) corporations which are organized under the laws of foreign countries or whose principal offices are located outside Japan, (3) corporations of which 50% or more of the shares are directly or indirectly held by individuals not resident of Japan and corporations which are organized under the laws of foreign countries or whose principal offices are located outside Japan, (4) corporations, a majority of the officers (or a majority of the officers having the power to represent the corporation) of which are non-resident individuals, or (5) other partnerships a majority of whose operating partners fall under any of (1) through (4), or (B) partnerships a majority of whose operating partners are (i) any of (1) through (5) above, (ii) other partnerships 50% or more of whose contributions are made by non-residents of Japan or partnerships that are any of (1) through (5) above, or (iii) certain limited liability partnerships under the Limited Liability Partnership Act; and
- corporations, a majority of officers (or a majority of officers having the power of representation) of which are non-resident individuals.

Dividends and Proceeds of Sales

Under the Foreign Exchange Law, dividends paid on, and the proceeds of sales in Japan of, shares held by non-residents of Japan may in general be converted into any foreign currency and repatriated abroad. The acquisition of our shares by non-residents of Japan by way of a stock split is not subject to any notification or reporting requirements.

Acquisition of Shares

In general, a non-resident of Japan who acquires shares from a resident of Japan is not subject to any prior filing requirement, although the Foreign Exchange Law empowers the Minister of Finance of Japan to require a prior approval for any such acquisition in certain limited circumstances.

If a foreign investor acquires our shares, and, together with parties who have a special relationship with that foreign investor, holds ten percent or more of our issued shares as a result of such acquisition, the foreign investor must file a report of such acquisition with the Minister of Finance and any other competent Minister within 45 days from such acquisition. Further, an amendment to the Foreign Exchange Law which took effect on May 8, 2020, introduced a similar reporting requirement where a foreign investor acquires the right to instruct voting on shares through acquisition of ADSs or otherwise and holds, together with parties who have a special relationship with that foreign investor, the right to instruct voting on shares representing ten percent or more of the voting rights in us. In certain limited circumstances, however, a prior notification of such acquisition must be filed with the Minister of Finance and any other competent Minister, who may modify or prohibit the proposed acquisition.

Deposit and Withdrawal under American Depositary Facility

The deposit of shares with us, in our capacity as custodian and agent for the depositary, in Tokyo, the issuance of ADSs by the depositary to a non-resident of Japan in respect of the deposit and the withdrawal of the

underlying shares upon the surrender of the ADSs are not subject to any of the formalities or restrictions referred to above. However, where as a result of a deposit or withdrawal the aggregate number of shares held by the depository, including shares deposited with us as custodian for the depository, or the holder surrendering ADSs, as the case may be, would be 10% or more of the total outstanding shares, a report will be required, and in specified circumstances, a prior notification may be required, as noted above.

Reporting of Substantial Shareholdings

The Financial Instruments and Exchange Act of Japan requires any person who has become, beneficially and solely or jointly, a holder of more than 5% of the total issued shares of capital stock of a company listed on any Japanese financial instruments exchange or whose shares are traded on the over-the-counter market in Japan to file with the director of a competent finance bureau within five business days a report concerning such shareholdings.

A similar report must also be filed in respect of any subsequent change of 1% or more in any such holding ratio or any change in material matters set out in reports previously filed, with certain exceptions. For this purpose, shares issuable to such person upon exchange of exchangeable securities, conversion of convertible securities or exercise of share subscription warrants or stock acquisition rights (including those incorporated in bonds with stock acquisition rights) are taken into account in determining both the number of shares held by such holder and the issuer's total issued shares of capital stock.

E. Taxation

Japanese Taxation

The following sets forth the material Japanese tax consequences to owners of shares of our common stock or ADSs who are non-resident individuals or non-Japanese corporations without a permanent establishment in Japan to which the relevant income is attributable, which we refer to as "non-resident holders" in this section. The statements regarding Japanese tax laws below are based on the laws in force and as interpreted by the Japanese taxation authorities as at the date of this Annual Report and are subject to changes in the applicable Japanese laws, double taxation treaties, conventions or agreements or interpretations thereof occurring after that date. This summary is not exhaustive of all possible tax considerations that may apply to a particular investor, and potential investors are advised to satisfy themselves as to the overall tax consequences of the acquisition, ownership and disposition of shares of our common stock or ADSs, including specifically the tax consequences under Japanese law, the laws of the jurisdiction of which they are resident and any tax treaty between Japan and their country of residence, by consulting their own tax advisers.

For the purpose of Japanese tax law and the Convention between the Government of the United States of America and Japan for the Avoidance of Double Taxation and the Prevention of Fiscal Evasion with Respect to Taxes on Income, or the Tax Convention, a U.S. holder of ADSs will be treated as the owner of the shares of our common stock underlying the ADSs evidenced by the ADRs.

Generally, a non-resident holder of shares of our common stock or ADSs is subject to Japanese withholding tax on dividends paid by us. In the absence of any applicable tax treaty, convention or agreement reducing the rate of withholding tax, the rate of Japanese withholding tax applicable to dividends paid by us to non-resident holders is (i) 15.315% for dividends to be paid on or before December 31, 2037 and (ii) 15% for dividends to be paid thereafter, except for dividends paid to any individual non-resident holder who holds 3% or more of our issued shares for which the applicable rate is (a) 20.42% for dividends to be paid on or before December 31, 2037 and (b) 20% for dividends to be paid thereafter, pursuant to Japanese tax law.

The Tax Convention establishes the maximum rate of Japanese withholding tax which may be imposed on dividends paid to a U.S. resident not having a permanent establishment in Japan. Under the Tax Convention, the

maximum withholding rate for U.S. holders (as defined below) is generally set at 10% of the gross amount distributed. However, the maximum rate is 5% of the gross amount distributed if the recipient is a corporation and owns directly or indirectly, on the date on which entitlement to the dividends is determined, at least 10% of the voting shares of the paying corporation. Furthermore, the amount distributed shall not be taxed if the recipient is (i) a pension fund which is a U.S. resident, provided that such dividends are not derived from the carrying on of a business, directly or indirectly, by such pension fund or (ii) a company with a 50% or more interest in the paying company and satisfies certain other requirements. U.S. holders (as defined below) are urged to consult their own tax advisors with respect to their eligibility for benefits under the Tax Convention.

Japanese tax law provides in general that if the Japanese statutory rate is lower than the maximum rate applicable under tax treaties, conventions or agreements, the Japanese statutory rate as stated above shall be applicable.

Non-resident holders of shares who are entitled to a reduced rate of Japanese withholding tax on payments of dividends on the shares of our common stock or ADSs by us are required to submit an Application Form for the Income Tax Convention regarding Relief from Japanese Income Tax on Dividends, or an Application Form for the Income Tax Convention, in advance through a paying handling agent to the relevant tax authority before the payment of dividends. A standing proxy for non-resident holders may provide this application service for the non-resident holders. In this regard, a certain simplified special filing procedure is available for non-resident holders to claim treaty benefits of exemption from or reduction of Japanese withholding tax with respect to dividends to be paid on or after January 1, 2014, by submitting a Special Application Form for Income Tax Convention regarding Relief from Japanese Income Tax and Special Income Tax for Reconstruction on Dividends of Listed Stocks (together with any other required forms and documents). With respect to ADSs, this reduced rate or exemption will be applicable to non-resident holders of ADSs if the depository or its agent submits two Application Forms (one before payment of dividends and the other within eight months after the record date concerning such payment of dividends), together with certain other documents. To claim this reduced rate or exemption, non-resident holders of ADSs will be required to file a proof of taxpayer status, residence and beneficial ownership, as applicable, and to provide other information or documents as may be required by the depository. Non-resident holders who are entitled, under any applicable tax treaty, to a reduced rate of Japanese withholding tax below the rate otherwise applicable under Japanese tax law, or exemption therefrom, as the case may be, but fail to submit the required application in advance may nevertheless be entitled to claim a refund from the relevant Japanese tax authority of withholding taxes withheld in excess of the rate under an applicable tax treaty (if such non-resident holders are entitled to a reduced treaty rate under the applicable tax treaty) or the full amount of tax withheld (if such non-resident holders are entitled to an exemption under the applicable tax treaty), as the case may be, by complying with a certain subsequent filing procedure. We do not assume any responsibility to ensure withholding at the reduced rate, or an exemption therefrom, for non-resident holders who would be so eligible under an applicable tax treaty but where the required procedures as stated above are not followed.

Gains derived from the sale or other disposition of shares of our common stock or ADSs by a non-resident holder are not, in general, subject to Japanese income or corporation taxes or other Japanese taxes.

Any deposits or withdrawals of shares of our common stock by a non-resident holder in exchange for ADSs are not subject to Japanese income or corporation tax.

Japanese inheritance and gift taxes, at progressive rates, may be payable by an individual who has acquired shares of our common stock or ADSs as legatee, heir or donee, even if none of the individual, the decedent or the donor is a Japanese resident.

U.S. Taxation

The following sets forth the material U.S. federal income tax consequences of the ownership of shares and ADSs by a U.S. holder, as defined below. This summary is based on U.S. federal income tax laws, including the

U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, or the Code, its legislative history, existing and proposed Treasury regulations thereunder, published rulings and court decisions, and the Tax Convention (as defined above), all of which are subject to change, possibly with retroactive effect.

The following summary is not a complete analysis or description of all potential U.S. federal income tax consequences to a particular U.S. holder. It does not address all U.S. federal income tax considerations that may be relevant to all categories of potential purchasers, certain of which (such as banks or other financial institutions, insurance companies, dealers in securities, tax-exempt entities, non-U.S. persons, persons holding a share or an ADS as part of a "straddle," "hedge," conversion or integrated transaction, holders whose "functional currency" is not the U.S. dollar, holders liable for alternative minimum tax, holders required to report income no later than when such income is reported on an "applicable financial statement," and holders of 10% or more of our shares by vote or value) are subject to special tax treatment. This summary does not address any foreign, state, local or other tax consequences of investments in our shares or ADSs.

This summary addresses only shares or ADSs that are held as capital assets within the meaning of Section 1221 of the Code.

As used herein, a "U.S. holder" is a beneficial owner of shares or ADSs, as the case may be, that is:

- a citizen or resident of the United States as determined for U.S. federal income tax purposes;
- a corporation or other entity taxable as a corporation created or organized under the laws of the United States, any state thereof or the District of Columbia;
- an estate, the income of which is subject to U.S. federal income tax regardless of its source; or
- a trust
 - the administration of which is subject to (1) the supervision of a court within the United States and (2) the control of one or more U.S. persons as described in Section 7701(a)(30) of the Code; or
 - that has a valid election in effect under applicable U.S. Treasury regulations to be treated as a U.S. person.

If a partnership holds shares or ADSs, the tax treatment of a partner will generally depend on the status of the partner and the activities of the partnership. If you are a partner of a partnership holding shares or ADSs, you should consult your tax advisor.

We urge U.S. holders to consult their own tax advisors concerning the U.S. federal, state and local and other tax consequences to them of the purchase, ownership and disposition of shares or ADSs.

This summary is based in part on the assumption that each obligation under the deposit agreement and any related agreement will be performed in accordance with its respective terms. Subject to the discussion in the next paragraph, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, holders of ADSs will be treated as the owners of the shares represented by the ADSs. Accordingly, withdrawals or deposits of shares in exchange for ADSs generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income tax.

The U.S. Treasury has expressed concerns that intermediaries in the chain of ownership between the holder of an ADS and the issuer of the security underlying the ADS may be taking actions that are inconsistent with the beneficial ownership of the underlying shares (for example, pre-releasing ADSs to persons who do not have beneficial ownership of the securities underlying the ADSs). Accordingly, the discussion on the creditability of Japanese taxes and the availability of the reduced rate of tax for dividends received by certain non-corporate U.S. holders, each as described below, could be affected by actions taken by intermediaries in the chain of ownership between the holder of ADSs and us if, as a result of such actions, the holders of ADSs are not properly treated as beneficial owners of the underlying shares. We are not aware of any intention to take any such actions, and accordingly, the remainder of this discussion assumes that holders of ADSs will be properly treated as beneficial owners of the underlying shares.

Special adverse U.S. federal income tax rules apply if a U.S. holder holds shares or ADSs of a company that is treated as a “passive foreign investment company” (a “PFIC”) for any taxable year during which the U.S. holder held shares or ADSs, as discussed in more detail below. U.S. holders should consult their own tax advisors as to the potential application of the PFIC rules to their ownership and disposition of shares or ADSs.

Taxation of Dividends

Subject to the application of the PFIC rules discussed below, U.S. holders will include the gross amount of any distribution received with respect to shares or ADSs (before reduction for Japanese withholding taxes), to the extent paid out of our current or accumulated earnings and profits (as determined for U.S. federal income tax purposes), as ordinary income in their gross income. As discussed below, for certain U.S. holders, dividends may be eligible for a reduced rate of taxation. The amount of distribution of property other than cash will be the fair market value of such property on the date of the distribution. Dividends received by a U.S. holder will not be eligible for the “dividends-received deduction” allowed to U.S. corporations in respect of dividends received from other U.S. corporations. To the extent that an amount received by a U.S. holder exceeds such holder’s allocable share of our current earnings and profits, such excess will be applied first to reduce such holder’s tax basis in its shares or ADSs, thereby increasing the amount of gain or decreasing the amount of loss recognized on a subsequent disposition of the shares or ADSs. Then, to the extent such distribution exceeds such U.S. holder’s tax basis, such excess will be treated as capital gain. However, we do not maintain calculations of our earnings and profits in accordance with U.S. federal income tax principles, and U.S. holders should therefore assume that any distribution by us with respect to shares or ADSs will constitute ordinary dividend income. The amount of the dividend will be the U.S. dollar value of the Japanese yen payments received. This value will be determined at the spot Japanese yen/U.S. dollar rate on the date the dividend is received by the depository in the case of U.S. holders of ADSs, or by the shareholder in the case of U.S. holders of shares, regardless of whether the dividend payment is in fact converted into U.S. dollars at that time. If the Japanese yen received as a dividend are not converted into U.S. dollars on the date of receipt, a U.S. holder will have basis in such Japanese yen equal to their U.S. dollar value on the date of receipt, and any foreign currency gains or losses resulting from the conversion of the Japanese yen will generally be treated as U.S. source ordinary income or loss. If the Japanese yen received as a dividend are converted into U.S. dollars on the date of receipt, a U.S. holder will generally not be required to recognize foreign currency gain or loss in respect of the dividend income.

If a U.S. holder is eligible for benefits under the Tax Convention, the holder may be able to claim a reduced rate of Japanese withholding tax. All U.S. holders should consult their tax advisors about their eligibility for reduction of Japanese withholding tax. A U.S. holder may claim a deduction or a foreign tax credit, subject to other applicable limitations, only for tax withheld at the appropriate rate. A U.S. holder would be allowed a foreign tax credit for withholding tax for any portion of the tax that could have been avoided by claiming benefits under the Tax Convention. For foreign tax credit limitation purposes, the dividend will be income from sources outside the United States. The limitation on foreign taxes eligible for credit is calculated separately with respect to specific classes of income. For this purpose, dividends we pay will constitute “passive income” or, in the case of certain U.S. holders, “financial services income.” The rules governing U.S. foreign tax credits are very complex and U.S. holders should consult their tax advisors regarding the availability of foreign tax credits under their particular circumstances.

Subject to applicable exceptions with respect to short-term and hedged positions, qualified dividends received by non-corporate U.S. holders from a qualified corporation may be eligible for reduced rates of taxation. Qualified corporations include those foreign corporations eligible for the benefits of a comprehensive income tax treaty with the United States that the U.S. Treasury Department determines to be satisfactory for these purposes and that includes an exchange of information provision. The Tax Convention meets these requirements. Subject to the PFIC discussion below, we believe that we are a qualified foreign corporation and that dividends received by U.S. investors with respect to our shares or ADSs will be qualified dividends. Dividends received by U.S. investors from a foreign corporation that was a PFIC in either the taxable year of the distribution or the preceding taxable year are not qualified dividends.

Passive Foreign Investment Company Considerations

Special adverse U.S. federal income tax rules apply if a U.S. holder holds shares or ADSs of a company that is treated as a PFIC, for any taxable year during which the U.S. holder held shares or ADSs. A foreign corporation will be considered a PFIC for any taxable year in which (i) 75% or more of its gross income is passive income (the “income test”), or (ii) 50% or more of the average fair market value of its assets (determined quarterly) is attributable to assets that produce or are held for the production of passive income (the “asset test”). For this purpose, passive income generally includes dividends, interest, royalties, rents and certain gains from the sale of stock and securities. If a foreign corporation owns at least 25% (by value) of the stock of another corporation, the corporation will be treated, for purposes of the PFIC tests, as owning a proportionate share of the other corporation’s assets and receiving its proportionate share of the other corporation’s income. The determination of whether a foreign corporation is a PFIC is made annually.

Proposed Treasury regulations and an earlier IRS notice would convert what would otherwise be passive income into non-passive income when such income is banking income earned by an active bank. The proposed Treasury regulations and IRS notice have different requirements for qualifying as an active bank, and for determining the banking income that may be excluded from passive income under this special rule for active banks. Moreover, the proposed Treasury regulations have been outstanding since 1994 and will not be effective unless finalized. Because final regulations have not been issued, the definition of banking income for purposes of the active bank exception is unclear under both the proposed Treasury regulations and the notice. Based upon certain management estimates and assumptions, we do not believe that we were a PFIC for the year ended March 31, 2020. The determination of whether we are a PFIC must be made annually and involves a fact-intensive analysis based upon, among other things, the composition of our income and assets and the value of our assets from time to time. It is possible that we may become a PFIC in the fiscal year ending March 31, 2021 or any future taxable year due to changes in our income or asset composition and the expiration of the temporary IRS guidance described above. In addition, a decrease in the price of our shares may also result in our becoming a PFIC. Furthermore, there can be no assurance that the above-described proposed Treasury regulations will be finalized in their current form. Moreover, the application of the proposed Treasury regulations is not clear. If we were classified as a PFIC in any year during which a U.S. holder owns shares or ADSs and the U.S. holder does not make a “mark-to-market” election, as discussed below, we generally would continue to be treated as a PFIC as to such U.S. holder in all succeeding years, regardless of whether we continue to meet the income or asset test discussed above. U.S. Holders are urged to consult their own tax advisors with respect to the tax consequences to them if we were to become a PFIC for any taxable year in which they own our shares or ADSs.

If we were classified as a PFIC for any taxable year during which a U.S. holder holds our shares or ADSs, the U.S. holder would generally not receive capital gains treatment upon the sale of the shares or ADSs and would be subject to increased tax liability (generally including an interest charge) upon the sale or other disposition of the shares or ADSs or upon the receipt of certain distributions treated as “excess distributions,” unless the U.S. holder makes the mark-to-market election described below. An excess distribution generally would be any distribution to a U.S. holder with respect to shares or ADSs during a single taxable year that is greater than 125% of the average annual distributions received by a U.S. holder with respect to shares or ADSs during the three preceding taxable years or, if shorter, during the U.S. holder’s holding period for the shares or ADSs.

Mark-to-Market Election. If the shares or ADSs are regularly traded on a registered national securities exchange or certain other exchanges or markets, then such shares or ADSs would constitute “marketable stock” for purposes of the PFIC rules, and a U.S. holder would not be subject to the foregoing PFIC rules if such holder made a mark-to-market election. After making such an election, the U.S. holder generally would include as ordinary income each year during which the election is in effect and during which we are a PFIC the excess, if any, of the fair market value of our shares or ADSs at the end of the taxable year over such holder’s adjusted basis in such shares or ADSs. These amounts of ordinary income would not be eligible for the favorable tax rates applicable to qualified dividend income or long-term capital gains. A U.S. holder also would be allowed to take

an ordinary loss in respect of the excess, if any, of the holder's adjusted basis in our shares or ADSs over their fair market value at the end of the taxable year (but only to the extent of the net amount of income that was previously included as a result of the mark-to-market election). A U.S. holder's tax basis in our shares or ADSs would be adjusted to reflect any income or loss amounts resulting from a mark-to-market election. If made, a mark-to-market election would be effective for the taxable year for which the election was made and for all subsequent taxable years unless the shares or ADSs cease to qualify as "marketable stock" for purposes of the PFIC rules or the IRS consented to the revocation of the election. In the event that we are classified as a PFIC, U.S. holders are urged to consult their tax advisors regarding the availability of the mark-to-market election, and whether the election would be advisable in the holder's particular circumstances.

QEF Election. The PFIC rules outlined above also would not apply to a U.S. holder if such holder alternatively elected to treat us as a "qualified electing fund" or "QEF." An election to treat us as a QEF will not be available, however, if we do not provide the information necessary to make such an election. We will not provide U.S. holders with the information necessary to make a QEF election, and thus, the QEF election will not be available with respect to our shares.

Notwithstanding any election made with respect to our shares, dividends received with respect to our shares will not constitute "qualified dividend income" if we are a PFIC in either the year of the distribution or the preceding taxable year. Dividends that do not constitute qualified dividend income are not eligible for taxation at the reduced tax rate described above in "—Taxation of Dividends." Instead, such dividends would be subject to tax at ordinary income rates.

If a U.S. holder owns shares or ADSs during any year in which we are a PFIC, the U.S. holder must also file IRS Form 8621 regarding distributions received on the shares or ADSs, any gain realized on the shares or ADSs, and any "reportable election" in accordance with the instructions to such form. In addition, each U.S. holder is required to file a separate IRS Form 8621 if such U.S. holder owns shares or ADSs during any year in which we are a PFIC whether or not such U.S. holder received distributions on the shares or ADSs, realized a gain on the shares or ADSs or made a "reportable election" during such year. U.S. holders are urged to consult their own tax advisors concerning the U.S. federal income tax consequences of holding shares or ADSs if the Company were considered a PFIC in any taxable year.

Taxation of Capital Gains

Subject to the application of the PFIC rules discussed above, upon a sale or other disposition of shares or ADSs, a U.S. holder will recognize a gain or loss in an amount equal to the difference between the U.S. dollar value of the amount realized and the U.S. holder's tax basis, determined in U.S. dollars, in such shares or ADSs. Such gains or losses will be capital gains or losses and will be long-term capital gains or losses if the U.S. holder's holding period for such shares or ADSs exceeds one year. Long-term capital gains of non-corporate U.S. holders (including individuals) are generally eligible for reduced rates of taxation. A U.S. holder's adjusted tax basis in its shares or ADSs will generally be the cost to the holder of such shares or ADSs. Any such gains or losses realized by a U.S. holder upon disposal of the shares or ADSs will generally be income or loss from sources within the United States for foreign tax credit limitation purposes. The deductibility of capital losses is subject to limitations under the Code.

Information Reporting and Backup Withholding

Dividends paid on shares or ADSs to a U.S. holder, or proceeds from a U.S. holder's sale or other disposition of shares or ADSs, may be subject to information reporting requirements. Those dividends or proceeds from sale or disposition may also be subject to backup withholding unless the U.S. holder:

- is a corporation or other exempt recipient, and, when required, demonstrates this fact; or
- provides a correct taxpayer identification number on a properly completed U.S. IRS Form W-9 or other appropriate form which certifies that the U.S. holder is not subject to backup withholding and otherwise complies with applicable requirements of the backup withholding rules.

Backup withholding is not an additional tax. Any amount withheld under these rules will be creditable against the U.S. holder's U.S. federal income tax liability or refundable to the extent that it exceeds such liability if the U.S. holder provides the required information to the IRS. If a U.S. holder is required to and does not provide a correct taxpayer identification number, the U.S. holder may be subject to penalties imposed by the IRS. All holders should consult their tax advisors as to their qualification for the exemption from backup withholding and the procedure for obtaining an exemption.

In addition, certain U.S. holders who are individuals that hold certain foreign financial assets (which may include our shares or ADSs) are required to report information relating to such assets, subject to certain exceptions. U.S. holders should consult their tax advisors regarding the effect, if any, of this requirement on their ownership and disposition of our shares and ADSs.

Additional Tax on Investment Income

U.S. holders that are individuals, estates or trusts and whose income exceeds certain thresholds will be subject to an additional 3.8% tax on unearned income, including, among other things, dividends on, and capital gains from the sale or other taxable disposition of, shares or ADSs, subject to certain limitations and exceptions.

F. Dividends and Paying Agents

Not applicable.

G. Statement by Experts

Not applicable.

H. Documents on Display

We file periodic reports and other information with the SEC pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC that apply to foreign private issuers. The SEC maintains a web site (<http://www.sec.gov>) that contains periodic reports and other information regarding registrants, including us, electronically filed with the SEC. Except otherwise specified in this Annual Report, no information is incorporated by reference in this Annual Report (including, without limitation, information on our website at <https://www.mufig.jp/>).

I. Subsidiary Information

Please refer to the discussion under "Item 4.C. Information on the Company—Organizational Structure."

Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Credit, Market and Other Risk.

In the current market and regulatory environment, financial groups such as us are expected to ensure increasingly more sophisticated and comprehensive risk management. Risk management plays an increasingly important role in our operations as a financial group operating globally through various subsidiaries.

We identify various risks arising from businesses based on group-wide uniform criteria and implement integrated risk management to ensure a stronger financial condition and to maximize shareholder value. Based on this approach, we identify, measure, control and monitor a wide variety of risks so as to achieve a stable balance between earnings and risks. We undertake risk management to create an appropriate capital structure and to achieve optimal allocation of resources. However, our risk management measures may not be fully effective in identifying all risks or mitigating the impact of any materialized risk on us.

Risk Classification

At the holding company level, we broadly classify and define risk categories faced by the Group, including those that are summarized below. Group companies perform more detailed risk management based on their respective operations.


Type of Risk	Definition
Credit Risk	The risk of financial loss in credit assets (including off-balance sheet instruments) caused by deterioration in the credit conditions of counterparties. This category includes country risk.
Market Risk	The risk of financial loss where the value of our assets and liabilities could be adversely affected by changes in market variables such as interest rates, securities prices and foreign exchange rates. Market liquidity risk is the risk of financial loss caused by the inability to secure market transactions at the required volume or price levels as a result of market turbulence or lack of trading liquidity.
Funding Liquidity Risk	The risk of incurring loss if a poor financial position at a group company hampers the ability to meet funding requirements or necessitates fund procurement at interest rates markedly higher than normal.
Operational Risk	The risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people or systems, or from external events.
• Operations Risk	The risk of incurring losses arising from negligence of correct operational processing, incidents or misconduct involving officers or staff, as well as risks similar to this risk.
• Information Risk	The risk of loss caused by loss, alteration, falsification or leakage of personal or other confidential information, as well as risks similar to these risks.
• IT Risk	The risk of loss arising from destruction, suspension, malfunction or misuse of IT, or unauthorized alteration and leakage of electronic data caused by insufficient IT systems planning, development or operations or by vulnerabilities of or external threats to IT system security, including cybersecurity, as well as risks similar to these risks.
• Tangible Asset Risk	The risk of loss due to damage to tangible assets or deterioration in the operational environment caused by disasters or inadequate asset maintenance, as well as risks similar to this risk. Tangible assets include movable and immovable property, including owned or leased land and buildings, facilities incidental to buildings, and fixtures and fittings.
• Personnel Risk	The risk of loss due to an outflow or loss of human resources or deterioration in employee morale, as well as risks similar to this risk.
• Incompliance with Laws and Regulations Risk	The risk of loss due to failure to comply with laws and regulations, as well as risks similar to these risks.
• Legal Risk	The risk of a loss due to failure to identify or address legal issues relating to contracts and other business operations or insufficient handling of lawsuits, as well as risks similar to these risks.
Reputation Risk	The risk of harm to our corporate value arising from perceptions of our customers, shareholders, investors or other stakeholders and in the market or society that we deviate from their expectations or confidence.
Model Risk	The risk of loss due to decision-making based on information provided by an inaccurate model or the misuse of a model.

Risk Management System

We have adopted an integrated risk management system to promote close cooperation among the holding company and group companies. The holding company and the major subsidiaries (which are MUFG Bank, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking and Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings) each appoint a chief risk officer and establish an independent risk management division. The board of directors of the holding company determines risk management policies for various types of risks based on the discussions at, and reports and recommendations from, committees established specially for risk management purposes. The holding company has established committees to oversee management in managing risks relevant to the Group. Following the fundamental risk management policies determined by the board of directors, each group company establishes its own systems and procedures for identifying, analyzing and managing various types of risks from both quantitative and qualitative perspectives. The holding company seeks to enhance group-wide risk identification, to integrate and improve the Group's risk management system and related methods, to maintain asset quality, and to eliminate concentrations of specific risks.

The following diagram summarizes our integrated risk management framework:

Risk Management System



Crisis Management Framework

In order to have a clear critical response rationale and associated decision-making criteria, we have developed systems designed to ensure that our operations are not interrupted or can be restored to normal quickly in the event of a crisis such as a natural disaster, a pandemic of an infectious diseases or system failure so as to minimize any disruption to customers and markets. A crisis management team within the holding company is the central coordinating body in the event of any emergency. Based on information collected from crisis management personnel at the major subsidiaries, this central body would assess the overall impact of a crisis on the Group's business and establish task forces that could implement all countermeasures to restore full operations. We have business continuity plans to maintain continuous operational viability in the event of natural disasters, system failures and other types of emergencies. Regular training drills are conducted to upgrade the practical effectiveness of these systems. In addition, in an effort to effectively deal with the COVID-19 pandemic, we have established a Group Crisis Event Control Headquarter to deliberate, formulate and implement basic policies and countermeasures designed to ensure that our business operations are properly maintained and we remain well positioned to respond to the needs of our customers.

Recognizing that our operations, particularly in Japan, are subject to the risk of earthquakes and other natural disasters as well as accidents resulting from such disasters, including a sudden massive blackout in major metropolitan areas in Japan, and that our contingency plans may not address all eventualities that may occur in the event of a material disruption to our operations, we conduct a comprehensive review of our existing business continuity plan to more effectively respond to such extreme scenarios, and contemplate and implement measures to augment our current business continuity management framework, including enhancing our off-site back-up data storage and other information technology systems.

Implementation of Basel Standards

In determining capital ratios under the FSA guidelines implementing Basel III, we and our major banking subsidiaries used the Advanced Internal Ratings-Based approach, or the AIRB approach, to calculate capital requirements for credit risk as of March 31, 2020. The Standardized Approach is used for some subsidiaries that are considered to be immaterial to the overall MUFG capital requirements, and MUFG Americas Holdings has adopted a phased rollout of the Internal Ratings-Based Approach. We reflect market risk in our risk-weighted assets by applying the Internal Models Approach to calculate general market risk and the Standardized Measurement Method to calculate specific risk. Under the Internal Models Approach, we principally use a historical simulation model to calculate value-at-risk, or VaR, amounts by estimating the profit and loss on our portfolio by applying actual fluctuations in historical market rates and prices over a fixed period. Under the FSA guidelines implementing Basel III, we reflect operational risk in our risk-weighted assets by using the Standardized Approach and the Advanced Measurement Approach.

Based on the Basel III framework, the Japanese capital ratio framework has been revised to implement the more stringent requirements, which are being implemented in phases beginning on March 31, 2013. Likewise, local banking regulators outside of Japan, such as those in the United States, have begun, or are expected, to revise the capital and liquidity requirements imposed on our subsidiaries and operations in those countries to implement the more stringent requirements of Basel III as adopted in those countries. We intend to carefully monitor further developments with an aim to enhance our corporate value and maximize shareholder value by integrating the various strengths within the Group. For more information on the Basel regulatory framework and requirements, see "Item 4.B. Information on the Company—Business Overview—Supervision and Regulation."

Credit Risk Management

Credit risk is the risk of losses due to deterioration in the financial condition of a borrower. We have established risk management systems to maintain asset quality, manage credit risk exposure and achieve earnings commensurate with risk.


MUFG and its major banking subsidiaries apply a uniform credit rating system for asset evaluation and assessment, loan pricing, and quantitative measurement of credit risk. This system also underpins the calculation of capital requirements and the management of credit portfolios. We continually seek to upgrade our credit portfolio management, or CPM, expertise to achieve an improved risk-adjusted return based on the Group's credit portfolio status and flexible response capability to economic and other external changes.

Credit Risk Management System

The credit portfolios of our major banking subsidiaries (which are MUFG Bank and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking) are monitored and assessed on a regular basis by the holding company to maintain and improve asset quality. A uniform credit rating and asset evaluation and assessment system is used to ensure timely and proper evaluation of all credit risks. Under our credit risk management system, each of our subsidiaries in the banking, securities, consumer finance, and leasing businesses, manages its respective credit risk on a consolidated basis based on the attributes of the risk, while the holding company oversees and manages credit risk on an overall group-wide basis. The holding company also convenes regular committee meetings to monitor credit risk management at banking subsidiaries and to issue guidance where necessary.

Each major banking subsidiary has in place a system of checks and balances in which a credit administration section that is independent of the business promotion sections screens individual transactions and manages the extension of credit. At the management level, regular meetings of the Credit & Investment Management Committee and related deliberative bodies ensure full discussion of important matters related to credit risk management. Besides such checks and balances and internal oversight systems, credit examination sections also undertake credit testing and evaluation to ensure appropriate credit risk management.

The following diagram summarizes the credit risk management framework for our major banking subsidiaries:



Credit Rating System

MUFG and its major banking subsidiaries use an integrated credit rating system to evaluate credit risk. The credit rating system consists primarily of borrower rating, facility risk rating, structured finance rating and asset securitization rating.

Country risk is also rated on a uniform group-wide basis. Our country risk rating is reviewed periodically to take into account relevant political and economic factors, including foreign currency availability.

Risk exposure for small retail loans, such as residential mortgage loans, is managed by grouping loans into various pools and assigning ratings at the pool level.

Borrower rating

Our borrower rating classifies borrowers into 15 grades based on evaluations of their expected debt-service capability over the next three to five years.

The following table sets forth our borrower grades:

Definition of MUFG Borrower Rating

MUFG Borrower Rating	MUFG Borrower Rating Definition
1	The capacity to meet financial commitments is extremely certain, and the borrower has the highest level of creditworthiness.
2	The capacity to meet financial commitments is highly certain, but there are some elements that may result in lower creditworthiness in the future.
3	The capacity to meet financial commitments is sufficiently certain, but there is the possibility that creditworthiness may fall in the long run.
4	There are no problems concerning the capacity to meet financial commitments, but there is the possibility that creditworthiness may fall in the long run.
5	There are no problems concerning the capacity to meet financial commitments, and creditworthiness is in the middle range.
6	There are no problems concerning the capacity to meet financial commitments presently, but there are elements that require attention if the situation changes.
7	There are no problems concerning the capacity to meet financial commitments presently, but long-term stability is poor.
8	There are no problems concerning the capacity to meet financial commitments presently, but long-term stability is poor, and creditworthiness is relatively low.
9	The capacity to meet financial commitments is somewhat poor, and creditworthiness is the lowest among "Normal" customers.
10 through 12	Borrowers who must be closely monitored because of the following business performance and financial conditions: (1) Borrowers who have problematic business performance, such as virtually delinquent principal repayment or interest payment; (2) Borrowers whose business performance is unsteady, or who have unfavorable financial conditions; (3) Borrowers who have problems with loan conditions and for whom interest rates have been reduced or shelved.
	10 Although business problems are not serious or their improvement is seen to be remarkable, there are elements of potential concern with respect to the borrower's management, and close monitoring is required.
	11 Business problems are serious, or require long-term solutions. Serious elements concerning business administration of the borrower have emerged, and subsequent debt repayment needs to be monitored closely.
	12 Borrowers who fall under the criteria of Rating 10 or 11 and have a loan concession granted. Borrowers who have "Loans contractually past due 90 days or more." (As a rule, delinquent borrowers are categorized as "Likely to Become Bankrupt," but the definition here applies to borrowers delinquent for 90 days or more because of inheritance and other special reasons.)
13	Borrowers who pose a serious risk with respect to debt repayment and with whom loss is likely to occur in the course of transactions. While still not bankrupt, these borrowers are in financial difficulty, with poor progress in achieving restructuring plans, and are likely to become bankrupt in the future.
14	While not legally bankrupt, borrowers who are considered to be virtually bankrupt because they are in serious financial difficulty and have no prospects for an improvement in their business operations.
15	Borrowers who are legally bankrupt (i.e., who have no prospects for continued business operations because of non-payment, suspension of business, voluntary liquidation, or filing for legal liquidation).

Japanese banks were historically required to use the following categories of borrowers under the then applicable FSA inspection manual, which was abolished in December 2019, and are currently expected to use them as a basis for their borrower categorization with appropriate adjustments under the FSA's discussion paper:

- Normal borrowers (generally corresponding to borrowers in categories 1 through 9 in our ratings), which are borrowers that are performing well, with no significant financial concerns,
- Borrowers requiring close watch (generally corresponding to borrowers in categories 10 through 12 in our ratings), which include loans that have been amended to allow for delays or forgiveness of interest payments, borrowers experiencing difficulty in complying with loan terms and conditions and borrowers that are recording losses or performing badly,
- Borrowers likely to become bankrupt (generally corresponding to borrowers in category 13 in our ratings), which relate to borrowers who pose a serious risk with respect to debt repayment and with whom loss is likely to occur in the course of transactions. While still not bankrupt, these borrowers are in financial difficulty, with poor progress in achieving restructuring plans, and are likely to become bankrupt in the future,
- Virtually bankrupt borrowers (generally corresponding to borrowers in category 14 in our ratings), which are not legally bankrupt, but borrowers who are considered to be virtually bankrupt because they are in serious financial difficulty and have no prospects for an improvement in their business operations, and
- Bankrupt borrowers or de facto bankrupt borrowers (generally corresponding to borrowers in category 15 in our ratings), which are borrowers who are legally bankrupt (i.e., who have no prospects for continued business operations because of non-payment, suspension of business, voluntary liquidation, or filing for legal liquidation proceedings).

The primary data utilized in our assessment of borrowers include the borrower's financial statements and notes thereto as well as other public disclosure made by the borrower. In addition, when appropriate and possible, we obtain non-public financial and operating information from borrowers, such as the borrower's business plan, borrower's self-evaluation of its operating assets and other borrower information about its business and products.

Based on the borrower and industry information, we assign borrower ratings mainly by applying financial scoring models—either developed internally or by third-party vendors, depending on the borrower's attributes, whether the borrower is domestic or foreign, whether the borrower is a large corporation or a small and medium-sized corporation, and whether the borrower is a corporate entity or another type of legal entity (such as a school, hospital or fund).

For example, for domestic small and medium-sized corporations, which constitute the largest borrower attribute in our current loan portfolio in terms of number of borrowers, we have adopted an internally developed financial scoring model, exclusively designed and developed for such attribute. We have selected various financial ratios that we believe to be useful and meaningful to quantitatively measure and assess the borrowers' financial standing and repayment capability. Such financial ratios represent, among other things, borrowers' growth, profitability, stability, cash flow, company size and capital efficiency. The model is periodically tested against historical results. The following is an illustration of some of the financial ratios we utilize as part of our financial scoring model:

- To measure growth: Sales growth, and growth in total assets,
- To measure profitability: Current profit to sales, and profit before tax to sales, and
- To measure stability: Equity ratio and current ratio.

The financial score obtained through the models is reviewed and, when necessary, adjusted downward to reflect our qualitative assessment of the borrower's financial strength and other factors that could affect the

borrower's ability to service the debt. For example, we take into account: capability of turning around the business (in case of borrowers with losses) or recovering positive net worth (in case of borrowers with negative net worth), industry risk, management risk, legal risk, as well as our assessment of the probability of receiving support from parent companies (if the borrower is a subsidiary of a large listed company).

When adjusting the results of primary financial scoring assigned to borrowers with losses, we consider the severity of losses and the possibility of improving operating results. We analyze and assess whether the loss is temporary, the trend in operating results is improving, or the loss is expected to continue for an extended period. When adjusting the results of primary financial scoring assigned to borrowers with losses or borrowers with negative net worth, we also analyze whether the borrower can return to a positive net worth, and the time period needed to achieve such recovery (one to two years, three to five years, or five years or more).

In addition, adjustments based on industry risk are based on future prospects, applicable laws and regulations, and other factors surrounding the industry. Adjustments for management risk reflect our assessment of management's track record, the composition of the management team including the board of directors, any management succession plan as well as the risk management and compliance framework of the borrower. Adjustments for legal risk are made when the borrower is facing a lawsuit and when there is a possibility of a significant claim payment related to product liability, intellectual property, environmental problems, building standard law, and other legal issues.

When assessing the probability of receiving support from parent companies, various factors are examined, such as the parent company's credit standings, whether key management personnel are sent by the parent, whether the borrower is consolidated by the parent, and the proportion of the borrower in consolidated sales and profits of the parent.

In addition, we consider outside ratings, and our internal borrower ratings may be adjusted when deemed appropriate.

Facility risk rating

Facility risk rating is used to evaluate and classify the quality of individual credit facilities, including guarantees and collateral. Ratings are assigned by quantitatively measuring the estimated loss rate of a facility in the event of a default.

Structured finance rating and asset securitization rating

Structured finance rating and asset securitization rating are used to evaluate and classify the quality of individual credit facilities, including guarantees and collateral, and focus on the structure, including the applicable credit period, of each credit facility. In evaluating the debt service potential of a credit facility, we scrutinize its underlying structure to determine the likelihood of the planned future cash flows being achieved.

Pool assignment

Each major banking subsidiary has its own system for pooling and rating small retail loans designed to reflect the risk profile of its loan portfolios.

Asset evaluation and assessment system

The asset evaluation and assessment system is used to classify assets held by us according to the probability of collection and the risk of any impairment in value based on borrower classifications consistent with the borrower ratings and the status of collateral, guarantees, and other factors.

The system is used to conduct write-offs and allocate allowances against credit risk in a timely and adequate manner.

Quantitative Analysis of Credit Risk

MUFG and its major banking subsidiaries manage credit risk by monitoring credit amount and expected losses, and run simulations based on internal models to estimate the maximum amount of credit risk. These models are used for internal management purposes, including loan pricing and measuring economic capital.

When quantifying credit risk amounts using the internal models, MUFG and its major banking subsidiaries consider various parameters, including the probability of default, loss given default, and exposure at default used in their borrower ratings, facility risk ratings and pool assignments as well as any credit concentration risk in particular borrower groups or industry sectors. MUFG and its major banking subsidiaries also share credit portfolio data in appropriate cases.

Loan Portfolio Management

We aim to achieve and maintain levels of earnings commensurate with credit risk exposure. Products are priced to take into account expected losses, based on the internal credit ratings.

We assess and monitor loan amounts and credit exposure by credit rating, industry and region. Portfolios are managed to limit concentrations of risk in specific categories in accordance with our Large Credit Guidelines.

To manage country risk, we have established specific credit ceilings by country. These ceilings are reviewed when there is a material change in a country's credit standing, in addition to being subject to a regular periodic review.

Continuous CPM Improvement

With the prevalence of securitized products and credit derivatives in global markets, we seek to supplement conventional CPM techniques with advanced methods based on the use of such market-based instruments.

Through credit risk quantification and portfolio management, we aim to improve the risk return profile of the Group's credit portfolio, using financial markets to rebalance credit portfolios in a dynamic and active manner based on an accurate assessment of credit risk.

Risk Management of Strategic Equity Portfolio

Strategic equity investment risk is the risk of loss caused by a decline in the prices of our equity investments.

We hold shares of various corporate clients for strategic purposes, in particular to maintain long-term relationships with these clients. These investments have the potential to increase business revenue and appreciate in value. At the same time, we are exposed to the risk of price fluctuation in the Japanese stock market. For that reason, in recent years, it has been a high priority for us to reduce our equity portfolio to limit the risks associated with holding a large equity portfolio, but also to respond to applicable regulatory requirements as well as increasing market expectations and demands for us to reduce our equity portfolio. We are required to comply with a regulatory framework that prohibits Japanese banks from holding an amount of shares in excess of their adjusted Tier 1 capital. See "Item 5.B. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Financial Condition—Investment Portfolio."

We use quantitative analysis to manage the risks associated with the portfolio of equities held for strategic purposes. According to internal calculations, the market value of our strategically held (Tokyo Stock Exchange-listed) stocks (excluding foreign stock exchange-listed stocks) as of March 31, 2020 was subject to a variation of approximately ¥3.0billion when TOPIX index moves one point in either direction.

We seek to manage and reduce strategic equity portfolio risk based on quantitative analysis such as the sensitivity analysis described above. The aim is to keep this risk at appropriate levels compared with Tier 1 capital while generating returns commensurate with the degree of risk exposure.

Market Risk Management

Market risk is the risk that the value of our assets and liabilities could be adversely affected by changes in market variables such as interest rates, securities prices, or foreign exchange rates.

Management of market risk at MUFG aims to control related risk exposure across the Group while ensuring that earnings are commensurate with levels of risk.


Market Risk Management System

We have adopted an integrated system to manage market risk from our trading and non-trading activities. The holding company monitors group-wide market risk, while each of the major subsidiaries manages its market risks on a consolidated and global basis.

At each of the major subsidiaries, checks and balances are maintained through a system in which back and middle offices operate independently from front offices. In addition, separate Asset-Liability Management, or ALM, Committee and Risk Management Meetings are held at each of the major subsidiaries every month to deliberate important matters related to market risk and control.

The holding company and the major subsidiaries allocate economic capital commensurate with levels of market risk and determined within the scope of their capital bases. The major subsidiaries have established quantitative limits relating to market risk based on their allocated economic capital. In addition, in order to keep losses within predetermined limits, the major subsidiaries have also set limits for the maximum amount of losses arising from market activities. The following diagram summarizes the market risk management system of each major subsidiary:

Market Risk Management System of Our Major Subsidiaries



Market Risk Management and Control

At the holding company and the major subsidiaries, market risk exposure is reported to the Chief Risk Officers on a daily basis. At the holding company, the Chief Risk Officer monitors market risk exposure across

the Group as well as the major subsidiaries' control over their quantitative limits for market risk and losses. Meanwhile, the Chief Risk Officers at the major subsidiaries monitor their own market risk exposure and their control over their quantitative limits for market risk and losses. In addition, various analyses on risk profiles, including stress testing, are conducted and reported to the Executive Committees and the Corporate Risk Management Committees on a regular basis. At the business unit levels in the major subsidiaries, the market risks on their marketable assets and liabilities, such as interest rate risk and foreign exchange rate risk, are controlled by entering into various hedging transactions using marketable securities and derivatives.

As part of our market risk management activities, we use certain derivative financial instruments to manage our interest rate and currency exposures. We maintain an overall interest rate risk management strategy that incorporates the use of interest rate contracts to minimize significant unplanned fluctuations in earnings that are caused by interest rate volatility. We enter into interest rate swaps and other contracts as part of our interest rate risk management strategy primarily to alter the interest rate sensitivity of our loans, investment securities and deposit liabilities. Our principal objectives in risk management include asset and liability management. Asset and liability management is viewed as one of the methods for us to manage our interest rate exposures on interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. Interest rate contracts, which are generally non-leveraged generic interest rate and basis swaps, options and futures, allow us to effectively manage our interest rate risk position. Option contracts primarily consist of caps, floors, swaptions and options on index futures. Futures contracts used for asset and liability management activities are primarily index futures providing for cash payments based upon the movement of an underlying rate index. We enter into forward exchange contracts, currency swaps and other contracts in response to currency exposures resulting from on-balance sheet assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies in order to limit the net foreign exchange position by currency to an appropriate level.

These market risk management activities are performed in accordance with the predetermined rules and procedures. The internal auditors regularly verify the appropriateness of the management controls over these activities and the risk evaluation models adopted.

Market Risk Measurement Model

Market risks consist of general risks and specific risks. General market risks result from changes in entire markets, while specific risks relate to changes in the prices of individual stocks and bonds which are independent of the overall direction of the market.

To measure market risks, MUFG uses the VaR method which estimates changes in the market value of portfolios within a certain period by statistically analyzing past market data. Since the daily variation in market risk is significantly greater than that in other types of risk, MUFG measures and manages market risk using VaR on a daily basis.

Market risk for trading and non-trading activities is measured using a uniform market risk measurement model. The principal model used for these activities is a historical simulation, or HS, model (holding period, 10 business days; confidence interval, 99%; and observation period, 701 business days). The HS model calculates VaR amounts by estimating the profit and loss on the current portfolio by applying actual fluctuations in market rates and prices over a fixed period in the past. This method is designed to capture certain statistically infrequent movements, such as a fat tail, and accounts for the characteristics of financial instruments with non-linear behavior. The holding company and the major banking subsidiaries also use the HS model as part of the calculation of their Basel III regulatory capital adequacy ratios.

In calculating VaR using the HS method, we have implemented an integrated market risk measurement system throughout the Group. Our major subsidiaries calculate their VaR based on the risk and market data prepared by the information systems of their front offices and other departments. The major subsidiaries provide this risk data to the holding company, which calculates overall VaR, taking into account the diversification effect among all portfolios of the major subsidiaries.

For the purpose of internally evaluating capital adequacy on an economic capital basis in terms of market risk, we use this market risk measurement model to calculate risk amounts based on a holding period of one year and a confidence interval of 99.9%.

Monitoring and managing our sensitivity to interest rate fluctuations is the key to managing market risk in MUFG's non-trading activities. The major banking subsidiaries take the following approach to measuring risks concerning core deposits, loan prepayments and early deposit withdrawals.

To measure interest rate risk relating to deposits without contract-based fixed maturities, the amount of "core deposits" is calculated through a statistical analysis based on deposit balance trend data and the outlook for interest rates on deposits, business decisions, and other factors. The amount of "core deposit" is categorized into various groups of maturity terms of up to ten years to recognize interest rate risk. The calculation assumptions and methods to determine the amount of core deposits and maturity term categorization are regularly reviewed.

Meanwhile, deposits and loans with contract-based maturities are sometimes cancelled or repaid before their maturity dates. To measure interest rate risk for these deposits and loans, we reflect these early termination events mainly by applying early termination rates calculated based on a statistical analysis of historical repayment and cancellation data together with historical market interest rate data.

Summaries of Market Risks (Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2020)

Trading activities

The aggregate VaR for our total trading activities as of March 31, 2020 was ¥24.81 billion, comprising interest rate risk exposure of ¥24.31 billion, foreign exchange risk exposure of ¥5.34 billion, and equity-related risk exposure of ¥2.15 billion. Compared with the VaR as of March 31, 2019, we experienced an increase in market risk during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, primarily due to an increase in interest rate risk.

Our average daily VaR for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 was ¥19.11 billion. Based on a simple sum of figures across market risk categories, interest rate risk accounted for approximately 75%, foreign exchange risk for approximately 18% and equity-related risk for approximately 7%, of our total trading activity market risks.

Due to the nature of trading operations which involves frequent changes in trading positions, market risk varied substantially during the fiscal year, depending on our trading positions.

The following tables set forth the VaR related to our trading activities by risk category for the periods indicated:

<u>April 1, 2018—March 31, 2019</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Maximum⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>Minimum⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>March 31, 2019</u>
		(in billions)		
MUFG	¥14.25	¥35.71	¥11.42	¥20.84
Interest rate	13.32	20.94	10.78	20.58
Yen	5.52	9.45	3.44	4.40
U.S. Dollars	5.28	11.23	3.27	11.03
Foreign exchange	4.52	7.26	3.10	4.44
Equities	2.06	26.66	0.98	1.55
Commodities	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00
Less diversification effect	(5.65)	—	—	(5.73)
 <u>April 1, 2019—March 31, 2020</u>	 <u>Average</u>	 <u>Maximum⁽¹⁾</u>	 <u>Minimum⁽¹⁾</u>	 <u>March 31, 2020</u>
		(in billions)		
MUFG	¥19.11	¥35.78	¥15.64	¥24.81
Interest rate	18.56	35.03	14.21	24.31
Yen	6.07	11.67	4.10	9.35
U.S. Dollars	7.23	11.06	4.78	7.20
Foreign exchange	4.58	7.23	2.28	5.34
Equities	1.73	7.21	0.60	2.15
Commodities	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.00
Less diversification effect	(5.76)	—	—	(6.99)

Assumptions for VaR calculations:

Historical simulation method

Holding period: 10 business days

Confidence interval: 99%

Observation period: 701 business days

Note:

(1) The maximum and minimum VaR overall and for various risk categories were taken from different days. A simple summation of VaR by risk category is not equal to total VaR due to the effect of diversification.

The average daily VaR by quarter in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 was as follows:

<u>Quarter</u>	<u>Daily average VaR</u>
	(in billions)
April—June 2019	¥18.29
July—September 2019	18.73
October—December 2019	18.85
January—March 2020	20.63

The quantitative market risk figures from trading activities tend to fluctuate widely due to the market sensitive nature of the trading business. During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, the revenue from our trading activities has been relatively stable, keeping positive numbers in 245 days out of 261 trading days in the period. During the same period, there were 97 days with positive revenue exceeding ¥1 billion and four days with negative revenue exceeding minus ¥1 billion.

Non-trading Activities

The aggregate VaR for our total non-trading activities as of March 31, 2020, excluding market risks related to our strategic equity portfolio and measured using the same standards as trading activities, was ¥672.7 billion.

Market risk related to interest rates equaled ¥613.4 billion and equities-related risk equaled ¥156.9 billion. Compared with the VaR as of March 31, 2019, we experienced an increase in market risk during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, primarily due to an increase in interest rate risk. The main cause for the increase in interest rate risk was the greater U.S. interest rate volatility particularly during the three months ended March 31, 2020.

Based on a simple sum of figures across market risk categories, interest rate risks accounted for approximately 79% of our total non-trading activity market risks as of March 31, 2020. Looking at a breakdown of interest rate related risk by currency, as of March 31, 2020, the yen accounted for approximately 29% while the U.S. dollar accounted for approximately 58%, and the euro approximately 13%.

For a description of our strategic equity investment risk management, see “—Risk Management of Strategic Equity Portfolio.”

The following tables set forth the VaR related to our non-trading activities by risk category for the periods indicated:

<u>April 1, 2018—March 31, 2019</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Maximum⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>Minimum⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>March 31, 2019</u>
		(in billions)		
Interest rate	¥ 290.3	¥309.8	¥265.2	¥ 283.1
Yen	219.2	240.3	169.6	169.6
U.S. Dollars	114.0	140.8	85.9	122.2
Foreign exchange	9.5	11.2	2.8	4.0
Equities ⁽²⁾	215.6	245.1	147.4	202.5
Less diversification effect	(170.1)	—	—	(174.1)
Total	345.3	399.2	308.0	315.5
 <u>April 1, 2019—March 31, 2020</u>	 <u>Average</u>	 <u>Maximum⁽¹⁾</u>	 <u>Minimum⁽¹⁾</u>	 <u>March 31, 2020</u>
		(in billions)		
Interest rate	¥ 328.9	¥711.5	¥252.9	¥ 613.4
Yen	157.0	216.1	128.0	207.5
U.S. Dollars	187.5	502.8	109.6	412.3
Foreign exchange	4.5	6.4	3.5	6.4
Equities ⁽²⁾	174.8	214.2	112.0	156.9
Less diversification effect	(157.1)	—	—	(104.0)
Total	351.1	765.2	284.9	672.7

Assumptions for VaR calculations:

Historical simulation method
Holding period: 10 business days
Confidence interval: 99%
Observation period: 701 business days

Notes:

- (1) The maximum and minimum VaR overall for each category and in total were taken from different days. A simple summation of VaR by risk category is not equal to total VaR due to the effect of diversification.
(2) The equities-related risk figures do not include market risk exposure from our strategic equity portfolio.

The average daily interest rate VaR by quarter in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 was as follows.

<u>Quarter</u>	<u>Daily average VaR</u>
	(in billions)
April—June 2019	¥301.6
July—September 2019	310.5
October—December 2019	338.1
January—March 2020	457.2


Comparing the proportion of each currency's interest rate VaR to the total interest rate VaR as of March 31, 2020 against that as of March 31, 2019, there was a 15 percentage point decrease in the Japanese yen from 44% to 29%, a 26 percentage point increase in the U.S. dollar from 32% to 58%, and a 11 percentage point decrease in the euro from 24% to 13%.

Backtesting


We conduct backtesting in which a VaR is compared with hypothetical profits and losses on a daily basis to verify the accuracy of our VaR measurement model. We also conduct additional backtesting using other methods, including testing VaR against actual realized and unrealized losses and testing VaR by various changing parameters such as confidence intervals and observation periods used in the model.

Hypothetical losses exceeded the VaR amount four times in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020. This means that our VaR model provided reasonably accurate measurements of market risk during the fiscal year.

The following graph shows daily VaR of trading activities and the distribution of corresponding hypothetical profits and losses for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020:



The following graph shows VaR of trading activities and hypothetical profits and losses on a daily basis for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020:



Stress Testing

We use an HS-VaR model, which calculates potential changes in the market value of our portfolio as a statistically possible amount of losses that could be incurred due to market fluctuations within a certain period (or holding period, of 10 business days) based on historical market volatility for a certain period (or observation period, of 701 business days, or approximately three years). Actual losses may exceed the value at risk obtained by the application of the model in the event, for example, that the market fluctuates to a degree not accounted for in the observation period, or that the correlations among various risk factors, including interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates, deviate from those assumed in the model.

In order to complement these weaknesses of the HS-VaR model and measure potential losses that the model is not designed to capture, we conduct stress testing. Through the daily stress testing, we estimate maximum potential losses in each market on the current trading portfolio based on the worst ten-day historical volatility recorded during the VaR observation period of 701 days. As of March 31, 2020, we held a total trading activity position subject to estimated maximum potential losses of ¥16.4 billion as compared to ¥15.4 billion as of March 31, 2019. In addition, the holding company and major subsidiaries conduct stress testing, as appropriate, by applying various stress scenarios, including those which take into account estimates regarding future market volatility, in order to better identify risks and manage our portfolio in a more stable and appropriate manner. The holding company and major subsidiaries also measure stressed VaR relating to their trading activities based on a one-year observation period with the highest VaR at least in the immediately preceding ten years.

Funding Liquidity Risk Management

Liquidity risk is the risk of incurring losses if a poor financial position hampers the ability to meet funding requirements, or necessitates fund procurement at interest rates markedly higher than normal.

Our major subsidiaries seek to maintain appropriate liquidity in both Japanese yen and foreign currencies by managing their funding sources and mechanisms, such as deposits, short-term borrowings and long-term debt, liquidity gap, liquidity-supplying products such as commitment lines, and buffer assets, primarily government bonds.

We have established a group-wide system for managing liquidity risk by categorizing the risk in the following three stages: normal, concern and crisis. The front offices and risk management offices of the major subsidiaries and the holding company exchange information and data on liquidity risk even at the normal stage. At higher alert stages, we centralize information about liquidity risk and discuss issues relating to group-wide liquidity control actions, including formulating contingency plans, among Group companies, if necessary. We have also established a system for liaison and consultation on funding in preparation for contingency, such as natural disasters, wars and terrorist attacks. The holding company and the major subsidiaries conduct group-wide contingency preparedness drills on a regular basis to ensure smooth implementation in the event of an emergency.

In addition, we have established a group-wide system for ensuring compliance with the minimum regulatory liquidity coverage ratio requirements by categorizing the risk in the following three stages: sufficient, concern and insufficient. The holding company and the major subsidiaries exchange information and data on LCR even at the sufficient stage. At higher alert stages, we hold group-wide LCR liaison meetings to discuss issues relating to LCR and, based on the discussion as well as the information and data that have been shared, take countermeasures to improve LCR as necessary.


For more information, see “Item 5.B. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Financial Condition—Sources of Funding and Liquidity.”

Operational Risk Management

Operational risk refers to the risk of loss caused by either internal control issues such as inadequate operational processes or misconduct, system failures, or external factors such as serious political instability, major terrorist activity, health epidemics or natural disasters. The term includes a broad range of risks that could lead to losses, including operations risk, information risk, IT risk, tangible asset risk, personnel risk, incompliance with laws and regulations risk, and legal risk. These risks that comprise operational risk are referred to as sub-category risks.

The holding company has established, based on its Executive Committee's determination, the MUFG Operational Risk Management Policy as a group-wide policy for managing operational risk. This policy sets forth the core principles regarding operational risk management, including the definition of operational risk, and the risk management system and processes. Under the policy, the board of directors and the Executive Committee formulate fundamental principles of operational risk management and establish and maintain an appropriate risk management system. The Chief Risk Officer is responsible for recognizing, evaluating, and appropriately managing operational risk in accordance with the fundamental principles formulated by the board of directors and the Executive Committee. A division in charge of operational risk management has been established that is independent of business promotion sections to manage overall operational risk in a comprehensive manner. These fundamental principles have also been approved by the boards of directors of the major subsidiaries, providing a consistent framework for operational risk management of the Group. The diagram below sets forth the operational risk management system of each major banking subsidiary:

Operational Risk Management System of Our Major Banking Subsidiaries




As set forth in the following diagram, we have established a risk management framework for loss data collection, control self-assessment, and measurement of operational risk in order to appropriately identify, recognize, evaluate, measure, control, monitor and report operational risk.

We have also established group-wide reporting guidelines with respect to loss data collection and its monitoring. We focus our efforts on ensuring accurate assessment of the status of operational risk losses and the implementation of appropriate countermeasures, while maintaining databases of internal and external loss events.

The following diagram summarizes our operational risk management framework:

Operational Risk Management Framework



Operations Risk Management

Operations risk refers to the risk of incurring losses arising from negligence of correct operational processing, incidents or misconduct involving officers or staff, as well as risks similar to this risk. The Group companies offer a wide range of financial services, ranging from commercial banking products such as deposits, exchange services and loans to trust and related services covering pensions, securities, real estate and securitization, as well as transfer agent services. Cognizant of the potentially significant impact that operations risk-related events could have in terms of both economic losses and damage to our reputation, our major subsidiaries continue to work on improving their management systems to create and apply appropriate operations risk-related controls.

Specific ongoing measures to reduce operations risk include the development of databases to manage, analyze and prevent the recurrence of related loss events; efforts to tighten controls over administrative procedures and related operating authority, while striving to improve human resources management, investments in systems to improve the efficiency of administrative operations, and programs to expand and upgrade internal auditing and operational guidance systems.

Senior management receives regular reports on the status of our businesses from an operations risk management perspective. We work to promote the sharing within the Group of information and expertise concerning any operational incidents and the measures implemented to prevent any recurrence.

Efforts to upgrade the management of operations risk continue with the aim of providing our customers with a variety of high-quality services.

Information Risk Management

Information risk refers to the risk of loss caused by loss, alteration, falsification or leakage of personal or other confidential information, as well as risks similar to these risks. We recognize our grave social and legal

responsibility to handle customer information properly, and we continue to work on enhancing our framework to manage such risk.

Complying with laws and regulations requiring proper handling of customer information, we implement information security management measures, including the establishment of an information risk management framework, enhancement of our internal operational procedures, and training courses mandatory for all officers and staff.

We have also formulated our Personal Information Protection Policy as the basis for our ongoing programs designed to protect the confidentiality of personal information.

With the aim of preventing any recurrence and minimizing risk or loss, we also work to promote sharing on a group-wide basis of experience, knowledge and expertise related to information risk incidents.

IT Risk Management

IT risk refers to the risk of loss arising from destruction, suspension, malfunction or misuse of IT, or unauthorized alteration and leakage of electronic data caused by insufficient IT systems planning, development or operations or by vulnerabilities of or external threats to IT system security, including cybersecurity, as well as risks similar to these risks.

Systems planning, development and operations include appropriate design and extensive testing phases to ensure that systems are designed to help prevent failures while providing sufficient safeguards for the security of electronic data including personal information.

System development projects are managed and overseen by a team dedicated to perform such management and oversight functions, and the development status of any mission-critical IT systems is reported regularly to senior management.

We have developed disaster countermeasures systems and have also been investing in duplication of the Group's IT infrastructure to minimize damage in the event of any system failure. Emergency drills are conducted to help increase staff preparedness.

With the aim of preventing any recurrence and minimizing risk or loss, we also work to promote sharing on a group-wide basis of experience, knowledge and expertise related to system failures.

In addition, the risk of increasingly sophisticated cyber-attacks is a significant focus of the Board of Directors, and the Board regularly receives reports on our cybersecurity program. We continue to work to strengthen measures designed to address and mitigate the risk, including the establishment of MUFG-CERT, our Computer Security Incident Response Team, implementation of multi-layered defense and detection measures, enhancement of monitoring systems through our Security Operation Centers, and cooperation with global organizations with relevant expertise. MUFG-CERT is charged with the responsibility of taking, coordinating and managing prompt action in response to cyber security incidents to mitigate their impact.

We aim to flexibly adapt our IT risk management measures to changes in the business environment, including the rapidly growing need for remote work solutions. We also continue to develop our risk management capabilities for emerging technologies, such as artificial intelligence (AI), robotic process automation (RPA) and blockchain, considering, among other things, the maturity and usage of such technologies.

Tangible Asset Risk Management

Tangible asset risk refers to the risk of loss due to damage to tangible assets or deterioration in the operational environment caused by disasters or inadequate asset maintenance, as well as risks similar to this risk.

Tangible assets include movable physical properties and immovable properties, owned or leased, such as land, buildings, equipment attached to buildings, fixtures and furniture. We recognize the potentially significant impact tangible asset risk-related events can have on the management and execution of the Group's businesses, which in turn can result in economic losses to, or diminished market confidence in, the Group. Accordingly, we continue to improve our risk control framework designed to appropriately manage such risk.

Personnel Risk Management

Personnel risk refers to the risk of loss due to an outflow or loss of human resources or deterioration in employee morale, as well as risks similar to this risk. We recognize the potentially significant impact personnel risk-related events can have on the management and execution of the Group's businesses, which in turn can result in economic losses to, or diminished market confidence in, the Group. Accordingly, we continue to work on improving our risk control framework designed to appropriately manage such risk.

Incompliance with Laws and Regulations Risk Management

Incompliance with laws and regulations risk refers to the risk of loss due to failure to compliance with laws and regulations, as well as risks similar to these risks. We recognize the potentially significant impact compliance risk-related events can have on the management and execution of the Group's businesses, which in turn can result in economic, reputation and other losses to, or diminished market confidence in, the Group. Accordingly, we continue to work on improving our compliance risk control framework designed to appropriately manage such risk.

Specifically, we have established our MUFG Group Code of Conduct as the basic guideline for the Group's directors and employees. In addition, a compliance management division has been established at each of the holding company and the major subsidiaries. See "—Compliance" below.

Legal Risk Management

Legal risk refers to the risk of loss due to failure to identify or address legal issues relating to contracts and other business operations or insufficient handling of lawsuits, as well as risks similar to these risks.

The legal division at each of the holding company and the major subsidiaries centrally and uniformly evaluates legal issues prior to entering into contracts or commencing new business operations, deals with legal disputes and manages other legal matters. With the aim of effectively managing our legal risk arising from our globally expanding business operations, we have established a global and group-wide legal risk management framework and promote sharing of experience, knowledge and practices relating to legal risk issues on a global and group-wide basis.

Regulatory Capital Requirements for Operational Risk

(1) Adoption of the Advanced Measurement Approach (AMA)

We have employed the AMA since March 31, 2012, in place of the Standardized Approach that we had been using previously, for calculation of the operational risk equivalent amount in connection with measuring capital adequacy ratios based on the Basel Standards. On the other hand, we use the Basic Indicator Approach, or BIA, for entities that are deemed to be less important in the calculation of the operational risk equivalent amount and for entities that are still preparing to implement the AMA.

(2) Outline of AMA


We have established a measurement model designed to account for four data elements—internal loss data, external loss data, scenario analysis, and business environment and internal control factors, or BEICFs—and calculate the operational risk equivalent amount by estimating the maximum loss using a 99.9th percentile one-tailed confidence interval and a one-year holding period.

In calculating the operational risk equivalent amount, we exclude expected losses relating to the amount of allowance for repayment of excess interest associated with the consumer finance business of a subsidiary. We do not exclude any other expected losses and do not reflect the risk mitigating impact of insurance. In addition, we take into account credit risk-related events that are not reflected in the measurement of the credit risk equivalent amount.

(3) Outline of Measurement Model

Our operational risk equivalent amount measured under the AMA is a simple sum of the amounts calculated separately for (1) MUFG Bank on a consolidated basis, (2) Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking on a consolidated basis, and (3) the holding company and other principal consolidated subsidiaries, in accordance with applicable FSA rules. For each of MUFG Bank and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking on a consolidated basis, the operational risk equivalent amount is a simple sum of the amounts calculated based on the seven loss event types defined by the Basel Standards. For other Group companies, the operational risk equivalent amount is a simple sum of the amounts calculated based on eight loss event types consisting of the seven loss event types defined by the Basel Standards and an additional loss event type representing losses relating to repayment of excess interest associated with the consumer finance business of a subsidiary. We do not reflect the correlation effects among the loss event types in the calculation of our operational risk equivalent amount.

Outline of Measurement Model



The risk equivalent amount for each loss event type represents the amount of maximum loss estimated with a 99.9th percentile one-tailed confidence interval and a one-year holding period based on the distribution of losses arising from all relevant risk events for a one-year period (Loss Distribution). A Loss Distribution combines a Frequency Distribution (through which the frequency of occurrence of risk events is expressed) and a Loss Severity Distribution (through which the amounts of losses resulting from risk events are expressed) through Monte Carlo simulations. The data used for this purpose include internal loss data and scenario data. Scenario data are generated through a scenario analysis. External data and BEICFs are taken into account in the scenario analysis and reflected in scenario data. The Frequency Distribution is derived from the occurrence frequency information in internal loss data and scenario data expressed through a Poisson Distribution. The Loss Severity Distribution is derived from the amount information in internal loss data and scenario data expressed in a non-parametric manner (where no underlying distribution is assumed).

With respect to the risk of losses relating to repayment of excess interest associated with the consumer finance business of a subsidiary, the risk equivalent amount represents the amount of maximum loss estimated with a 99.9th percentile one-tailed confidence interval and a one-year holding period based on a normal

distribution assumed by applying data on losses that arose in a given period, excluding any related expected losses.

We confirm the appropriateness of the measurement models by periodic verification and back testing.

(4) Outline of Scenario Analysis

As an initial step of our scenario analysis, we identify potential severe loss events that we have not experienced but may potentially experience in the future. In this identification process, we seek to ensure exhaustive coverage of potential severe loss events by comprehensively examining our experience relating to loss events and legal proceedings, external loss data, the control self-assessment results and other relevant information.

In the next step, we prepare scenario data for each identified severe loss event by quantifying the values depending on its occurrence frequency and loss severity, taking into account relevant transaction amounts and restructuring costs as well as BEICFs. In preparing scenario data, we apply an analysis method we deem appropriate for the type and nature of the operational risk involved.

In order to obtain an operational risk equivalent amount that is commensurate with, and appropriate for, our risk profile, we assess the need for an additional scenario or modification to our existing scenarios semi-annually.

We then reflect, as necessary, new risks arising as a result of changes in the business environment and the results of the implementation of measures to enhance our internal controls in response to newly identified risks in our scenario data.

Reputation Risk Management

Reputation risk refers to the risk of harm to our corporate value arising from perceptions of our customers, shareholders, investors or other stakeholders and in the market or society that we deviate from their expectations or confidence. We recognize that such risk, if materialized, can have a material negative impact on our business and continue to work on enhancing our framework designed to appropriately manage the risk based on our Corporate Vision, MUFG Group Code of Conduct, and other rules and codes of the Group.

Specifically, in order to manage our reputation risk effectively on a group-wide basis, we have established a risk management system designed to ensure mutual consultation and reporting if a reputation risk-related event occurs or is anticipated and, through this system, share relevant information within the Group.

Through the risk control framework and risk management system, we seek to prevent reputation risk-related events and minimize damage to the corporate value of the Group by promptly obtaining an accurate understanding of relevant facts relating to risk events and disclosing information concerning such events and the measures we take in response to such events in an appropriate and timely manner.

Model Risk Management

Model risk refers to the risk of loss due to decision-making based on information provided by an inaccurate model or the misuse of a model. We recognize the potentially significant impact model risk-related events can have on the management and execution of the Group's businesses, which in turn can result in economic losses to, or diminished market confidence in, the Group. Models are used for increasingly wider and more important purposes, including valuing exposures, instruments and positions, measuring risks, and determining capital adequacy. Accordingly, we continue to work on improving our risk control framework.

Compliance

Basic Policy

We have clarified our mission, our vision and our values in the Corporate Vision and have expressed our commitment to meeting the expectations of customers and society as a whole. Furthermore, we have established MUFG Group Code of Conduct as the guidelines for how the Group's directors and employees act to realize the Corporate Vision, in which we have expressed our commitment to complying with laws and regulations, to acting with honesty and integrity, and to behaving in a manner that supports and strengthens the trust and confidence of society.

In addition, as we expand the geographic scope of our business globally, we are committed to keeping abreast of developments in laws and regulations of the jurisdictions in which we operate including anti-money laundering and anti-bribery, as well as paying attention to trends in financial crimes.

See "Item 3.D. Key Information—Risk Factors—Operational Risk—Legal and regulatory changes could have a negative impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations." and "Item 3.D. Key Information—Risk Factors—Operational Risk—We may become subject to regulatory actions or other legal proceedings relating to our transactions or other aspects of our operations, which could result in significant financial losses, restrictions on our operations and damage to our reputation." See also "Item 4.B. Information on the Company—Business Overview—Supervision and Regulation."

MUFG Group Code of Conduct

The Code of Conduct encapsulates the standards that guide staff conduct and decision-making in our day-to-day business activities under the MUFG Corporate Vision. It is designed to provide guidance in times of doubt, or when we find it difficult to know if we are making the right choice.

Chapter 1 deals with the attitude we should adopt with our customers.

Acting with honesty and integrity and pursuing the best interests of our customers is a core component of our business practices.

Chapter 2 presents a set of standards designed to help us fulfill our responsibilities as a good corporate citizen.

MUFG's reputation depends upon the trust and confidence of our customers and other stakeholders, including local communities, and we are responsible to society on a global level.

Chapter 3 describes the actions and mindset that will create a stimulating and supportive working environment as MUFG continues to grow.

Our success depends on building and maintaining a dynamic workplace where all staff can reach their full potential in ways that support our customers and make a valuable contribution to society as a whole.

Chapter 1. Customer Focus

Our customers are at the center of everything we do, and should always be the focus of our thoughts. Our aim should be to win the trust and confidence of our customers at all times. MUFG exists today because of the trust and confidence that customers have placed in us over many years. Our role is to increase and strengthen this bedrock of trust and confidence. Our activities are not driven by the prospect of short-term gains. Instead, we look to build ongoing relationships with our customers to support their long-term growth.

1-1 Honesty and Integrity

Our customers are at the center of everything we do. We carry out fair and transparent corporate activities with honesty and integrity. We treat customer assets with care and respect and strive always to ensure that our actions do not unjustly damage our customers' interests.

1-2 Ensuring Quality

To build lasting relationships of trust and confidence with our customers, we listen carefully to what our customers are telling us, and maintain thoroughgoing quality control of all our products and services, from planning and development to provision and subsequent revisions, with a view to further enhancing quality.

1-3 Exceeding Customer Expectations

We aim to meet the diverse needs of our customers worldwide, and to provide services exceeding their expectations through the highest standards of professionalism, by leveraging our global network and the consolidated strengths of the entire Group.

Chapter 2 Responsibility as a Corporate Citizen

As we develop our business globally, we comply with all the domestic and international laws and rules that may apply. We do all we can to maintain stability and confidence in the global financial system, and contribute to the sound and healthy growth of society. Aware of the responsibility each of us has as a member of MUFG, we carry out fair and transparent corporate activities with honesty and integrity, in a manner that supports and strengthens the trust and confidence MUFG has earned from society over many years.

2-1 Adherence to Laws and Rules

In addition to adhering strictly to all domestic and international laws and rules, we strive to do the right thing based on our strict code of ethics.

Violations of laws or rules damage the vital social infrastructure of the financial system and lead to a loss of trust in MUFG. We strictly abide by all laws and rules relating to our business, including the prohibition of insider trading, ban on unfair trading practices, anti-bribery and corruption and appropriate disclosure.

2-2 Prevention of Financial Crime

We have zero tolerance for financial crime or any attempt to circumvent the rules and procedures aimed at preventing financial crime. We take all reasonable steps possible to prevent our products and services being used by individuals or entities involved in illegal or improper activities such as money laundering and terrorist financing.

2-3 Contributing to Society

We respect the history, cultures, and customs of different countries and regions around the world, and work to contribute to the development of local and global communities and the protection of the environment throughout our corporate activities and the social volunteer efforts of our staff.

Chapter 3 Attitudes and Behaviors in the Workplace

We strive to respond and adapt promptly to the diversifying and evolving needs of our customers and the rapidly changing environment in which we work. The working environment at MUFG fosters mutual respect, enables individuals to make the most of their abilities as professionals, and maximizes the power of teamwork across regions and different areas of business, encouraging all staff to embrace new challenges. We work always to protect and maintain the tangible and intangible assets and property that MUFG has accumulated.

3-1 Challenge Ourselves to Grow

We strive to enhance our knowledge, expertise, and potential and maximize the power of teamwork. We believe that the changing business environment represents opportunity and are always ready to embrace new challenges in new fields.

3-2 Collaborative and Professional Working Environment

We respect the human rights and diversity of all MUFG staff. We do not engage in or tolerate any form of discrimination or harassment or any other behavior that infringes these beliefs.

3-3 Protecting MUFG's Assets and Property

We protect the tangible and intangible assets and property of MUFG and individual Group entities, and do not tolerate any behavior that might damage these assets.

3-4 Reporting Problem Situations and Seeking Advice

If you become aware of conduct that contravenes the law, company regulations, or the provisions of this Code of Conduct, or any other problem situations, you should promptly report the matter and seek advice from a supervisor or issue a report via MUFG's whistleblowing system.


Compliance Framework

Management and coordination of compliance-related matters are the responsibility of separate compliance management divisions established at the holding company and the major subsidiaries. Each compliance management division formulates compliance programs and organizes training courses to promote compliance, and regularly reports to each company's board of directors and Executive Committee on the status of compliance activities.

The holding company has established a Group Compliance Committee and each major subsidiary has established a Compliance Committee for deliberating key issues related to compliance. Additionally, the holding company has a Group Chief Compliance Officer, or CCO, Committee, which consists of the CCO of the holding company acting as committee chairman and the CCOs of the major subsidiaries. The Group CCO Committee deliberates important matters related to compliance and compliance-related issues for which the Group should share a common understanding.

The following diagram summarizes our compliance framework:

Compliance Framework



Internal Reporting System and Accounting Auditing Hotline

The major subsidiaries have established internal reporting systems that aim to identify compliance issues early so that any problems can be quickly rectified. This system includes an independent external compliance hotline. Furthermore, the holding company has set up an MUFG Group Compliance Helpline that acts in parallel with group-company internal reporting systems and provides a reporting channel for directors and employees of Group companies. In the holding company, the contents of the reported cases as well as the result of surveys is reported to the audit committee on a regular basis or whenever necessary.

In addition to these internal reporting systems, the holding company has also established an accounting auditing hotline that provides a means to report any problems related to MUFG accounting.

MUFG Accounting Auditing Hotline

MUFG has set up an accounting auditing hotline to be used to make reports related to instances of improper practices (violations of laws and regulations) and inappropriate practices, or of practices raising questions about such impropriety or inappropriateness, regarding accounting and internal control or audits related to accounting in Group companies. The audit committee oversees the reporting process to ensure the appropriateness and effectiveness of the reporting process and monitors the reports received through the hotline. The reporting process works as follows, and may be carried out via letter or e-mail:

Hokusei Law Office, P.C.

Address: Kojimachi 4-3-4, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo

e-mail: MUFG-accounting-audit-hotline@hokusei-law.com

When reporting information please pay attention to the following:

- Matters subject to reporting are limited to instances regarding the Group companies.
- Please provide detailed information with respect to the matter. Without detailed factual information there is a limit to how much our investigations can achieve.
- Anonymous information will be accepted.
- No information regarding the identity of the informant will be passed on to third parties without the approval of the informant him- or herself. However, this excludes instances where disclosure is legally mandated, or to the extent that the information is necessary for surveys or reports, when data may be passed on following the removal of the informant's name.
- Please submit reports in either Japanese or English.
- If the informant wishes, we will endeavor to report back to the informant on the response taken within a reasonable period of time following the receipt of specific information, but cannot promise to do so in all instances.

Internal Audit

Role of Internal Audit

Internal Audit aims to evaluate and assist in the improvement of the effectiveness of governance, risk management and control processes with high proficiency and independence, thereby contributing to the enhancement of the corporate value of the MUFG Group and to the achievement of the Group's corporate vision. Internal Audit covers all aspects of the Group's business activities and discusses and evaluates the management and operational frameworks and the implementation of business operations from legal compliance, rationality and efficiency perspectives, beyond checking compliance with defined procedures.

In addition, Internal Audit provides instructions and recommendations for operational improvement to audited divisions and reports to senior management on such instructions and recommendations, thereby contributing to safeguarding and development of the Group's assets.

Three Lines of Defense Framework

Risk management is conducted at multiple levels within a business organization, including front-office divisions in charge of managing specific categories of risk, a compliance division, and an internal audit division.

As for financial institutions, including the MUFG Group, based on the experience of past financial crises, the traditional risk management structure that was heavily dependent on front-office divisions has been under

close scrutiny. As a result, there is an increasing expectation for financial institutions to achieve more effective risk management through, for example, appropriate allocation of risk management roles and responsibilities among various divisions.

Cognizant of the importance of these developments, we have adopted the concept of “Three Lines of Defense” where the roles and responsibilities of each division in risk management are defined, classifying divisions within a financial institution into “the 1st Line of Defense”, “the 2nd Line of Defense” and “the 3rd Line of Defense”.

Line	Divisions	Roles
The 1st Line of Defense	Business divisions and client-facing divisions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Undertake risks within the extent of risk exposure assigned Responsible and accountable for identifying, evaluating and controlling business risks
The 2nd Line of Defense	Risk management division, compliance division, etc.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ensure that risks are appropriately identified and managed by the 1st Line of Defense
The 3rd Line of Defense	Internal audit division	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Independently evaluate the effectiveness of the governance, risk management, and control processes implemented by the 1st and 2nd Lines of Defense

Internal Audit plays an essential role in the Group’s risk management through ongoing communications with the 1st and 2nd Lines of Defense, while maintaining independence.

Group Internal Audit Framework

The MUFG Group has internal audit functions at the holding company level as well as at the subsidiary level, which are designed to ensure proficiency and independence through effective collaboration.

The internal audit division of the holding company receives reports from the internal audit divisions of subsidiaries on the status and results of their internal audits and provides them with instructions and evaluations as needed.

Reports to the Audit Committee

The holding company has an audit committee within its board of directors as required by the Companies Act of Japan, and each of the major subsidiaries has established an audit and supervisory committee. Within each of the holding company and the major subsidiaries, the internal audit division reports to the committee on important matters, including governing principles for internal audit plans and the status and results of internal audits.

MUFG Internal Audit Activity Charter

In April 2019, we adopted “MUFG Internal Audit Activity Charter”, which defines our basic policies for Internal Audit, including its mission, purposes, responsibilities, and roles.

This charter is designed to encourage Internal Audit staff to conduct internal audits in accordance with the global standards set by the Institute of Internal Auditors, an international organization established for, among other purposes, formulating practical internal audit standards.

Item 12. Description of Securities Other than Equity Securities.

A. Debt Securities

Not applicable.

B. Warrants and Rights

Not applicable.

C. Other Securities

Not applicable.

D. American Depositary Shares

For a description of ADSs, each representing one share of our common stock, see Exhibit 2(c) to this Annual Report.

Fees, charges and other payments relating to ADSs

As a holder of our ADSs, you will be required to pay to The Bank of New York Mellon, as depositary for the ADRs, or the Depositary, either directly or indirectly, the following fees or charges. The Depositary collects its fees for delivery and surrender of ADRs directly from investors depositing shares or surrendering ADRs for the purpose of withdrawal or from intermediaries acting for them. The Depositary collects fees for making distributions to investors by deducting those fees from the amounts distributed or by selling a portion of distributable property to pay the fees.

ADS holders must pay:	For:
\$5.00 (or less) per 100 ADSs (or portion thereof)	Each issuance of an ADR, including as a result of a distribution of shares or rights or other property
	Each cancellation of an ADR, including if the agreement terminates
\$0.02 (or less) per ADS	Any cash distribution, to the extent permitted by any securities exchange on which the ADSs may be listed for trading
A fee equivalent to the fee that would be payable if securities distributed to the ADS holder had been shares and the shares had been deposited for issuance of ADRs	Distribution of securities distributed to holders of deposited securities which are distributed by the Depositary to registered ADS holders
Registration or transfer fees	Transfer and registration of shares on the share register from your name to the name of The Bank of New York Mellon or its agent and vice versa when you deposit or withdraw shares
Expenses of The Bank of New York Mellon	Conversion of foreign currency to U.S. dollars, as well as cable, telex and facsimile transmission expenses
Taxes and other governmental charges The Bank of New York Mellon or MUFG Bank, as custodian, have to pay on any ADS or share underlying an ADS, for example, stock transfer taxes, stamp duty or withholding taxes	As necessary

Fees Waived or Paid by the Depositary

The Depositary has agreed to waive the standard out-of-pocket administrative, maintenance and other expenses for providing services to the registered holders of our ADSs, which include the expenses relating to the delivery of annual reports, dividend fund remittances, stationery, postage and photocopying. For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, the Depositary waived \$131,752.95 of standard out-of-pocket expenses.

The Depositary has also agreed to reimburse us for expenses related to the administration and maintenance of the ADS program, including investor relations expenses, the annual New York Stock Exchange listing fees and other program-related expenses. There is a limit on the amount of expenses for which the Depositary will reimburse us based and conditioned on the number of outstanding ADSs and the amount of dividend fees collected by the Depositary. For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, the Depositary reimbursed us \$1.0 million for such expenses.

PART II

Item 13. Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies.

None.

Item 14. Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds.

None.

Item 15. Controls and Procedures.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

An evaluation was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the Chief Executive Officer, or CEO, and the Chief Financial Officer, or CFO, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report.

Based on that evaluation, our CEO and CFO concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 31, 2020.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Our internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, MUFG's principal executive and principal financial officers, and effected by MUFG's board of directors, management, and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. GAAP and includes those policies and procedures that:

- (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of MUFG,
- (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of MUFG are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of MUFG, and
- (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of MUFG's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting, no matter how well designed, may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Our management evaluated the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2020 based on the criteria established in "Internal Control—Integrated Framework (2013)" issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on the evaluation, management has concluded that MUFG maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2020.

The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2020 has been audited by Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu LLC, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in its report, presented on page 209.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

During the period covered by this Annual Report, there has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected or is reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and the Shareholders of
Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc.
(Kabushiki Kaisha Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group)

Opinion on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc. (Kabushiki Kaisha Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group) (“MUFG”) and subsidiaries (together, the “MUFG Group”) as of March 31, 2020, based on criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework (2013)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (“COSO”). In our opinion, the MUFG Group maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2020, based on criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework (2013)* issued by COSO.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (“PCAOB”), the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended March 31, 2020, of the MUFG Group and our report dated July 10, 2020, expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

Basis for Opinion

The MUFG Group’s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management’s Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the MUFG Group’s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to the MUFG Group in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Definition and Limitations of Internal Control over Financial Reporting

A company’s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company’s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company’s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu LLC

Tokyo, Japan
July 10, 2020

Item 16A. Audit Committee Financial Expert.

Our board of directors has determined that Mr. Akira Yamate, an outside director, is an “audit committee financial expert” as defined in Item 16A of Form 20-F and is, and has remained since his assumption of office as a member of our audit committee, “independent” as defined in the listing standards of the NYSE. Mr. Yamate has spent most of his professional career as a certified public accountant in Japan, auditing Japanese corporations, including those registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. Mr. Yamate is also the chair of our audit committee.

Item 16B. Code of Ethics.

We have adopted a code of ethics, which consists of internal rules named MUFG Group Code of Conduct, compliance rules, compliance manual and rules of employment. Each of these rules applies to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer and persons performing similar functions. The MUFG Group Code of Conduct was most recently amended on April 1, 2020 to clarify explanations and add contact information for reporting purposes. A copy of the MUFG Group Code of Conduct and the sections of our compliance rules, compliance manual and rules of employment relating to the “code of ethics” (as defined in paragraph (b) of Item 16B of Form 20-F) is attached as Exhibit 11 to this Annual Report.

No waivers of the MUFG Group Code of Conduct or the relevant sections of our compliance rules, compliance manual and rules of employment were granted to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer, directors or corporate auditors during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020.

Item 16C. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.***Fees and Services of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu LLC***

The aggregate fees billed by Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu LLC, our independent registered public accounting firm and its affiliates, for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2019 and 2020 are presented in the following table:

	2019	2020
	(in millions)	
Audit fees	¥8,079	¥8,564
Audit-related fees	119	249
Tax fees	172	117
All other fees	119	420
Total	<u>¥8,489</u>	<u>¥9,350</u>

The description of our fees billed for each category described above is as follows:

Audit fees—Audit fees are primarily for an annual audit of our financial statements, review of our semi-annual condensed financial statements, statutory audit of our financial statements and audits of our subsidiary financial statements and attestation services relating to the internal controls over financial reporting under Section 404 of the U.S. Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Audit-related fees—Audit-related fees primarily include accounting consultations, agreed upon procedures on internal controls, employee benefit plan audit, and advisory services relating to internal control reviews.

Tax fees—Tax fees relate primarily to tax compliance, including assistance with preparation of tax return filings, tax advisory and tax planning services.

All other fees—All other fees primarily include fees for risk management and compliance advisory services.

Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures for Services by Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu LLC

Our audit committee performs the pre-approval function required by applicable SEC rules and regulations. Our audit committee has established pre-approval policies and procedures that MUFG and its subsidiaries must follow before engaging Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu LLC to perform audit and permitted non-audit services.

When MUFG or a subsidiary intends to engage Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu LLC to perform audit and permitted non-audit services, it must make an application for pre-approval on either a periodic or case-by-case basis.

- *Periodic application* is an application for pre-approval made each fiscal year for services that are expected to be provided by Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu LLC during the next fiscal year.
- *Case-by-case application* is an application for pre-approval made on a case-by-case basis for services to be provided by Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu LLC that are not covered by the periodic application.

Pre-approval is resolved in principle by our audit committee prior to engagement, although if necessary a full-time member of our audit committee may consider any case-by-case application for pre-approval on behalf of the audit committee prior to the next scheduled audit committee meeting. Such decisions made individually by a full-time member of our audit committee are reported to the audit committee as appropriate at the next scheduled audit committee meeting.

Fees approved pursuant to the procedures described in paragraph 2-01(c)(7)(i)(C) of Regulation S-X, which provides for an exception to the general requirement for pre-approval in certain circumstances, were approximately 0.3% of the total fees paid to Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu LLC for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019 and less than 0.1% of the total fees paid to Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu LLC for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020.

Item 16D. Exemptions from the Listing Standards for Audit Committees.

Not applicable.

Item 16E. Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers.

Issuer Purchases of Common Stock

	Total Number of Shares Purchased ⁽¹⁾	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs ⁽²⁾
April 1 to April 30, 2019	4,954	¥563.49	—	—
May 1 to May 31, 2019	3,126	522.36	—	—
June 1 to June 30, 2019	2,591	506.28	—	—
July 1 to July 31, 2019	3,916	522.84	—	—
August 1 to August 31, 2019	2,280	513.88	—	—
September 1 to September 30, 2019	2,475	536.06	—	—
October 1 to October 31, 2019	3,417	548.11	—	—
November 1 to November 30, 2019	2,667	577.32	36,888,100	63,111,900
December 1 to December 31, 2019	5,055	587.13	48,887,300	14,224,600
January 1 to January 31, 2020	3,983	582.92	—	—
February 1 to February 29, 2020	2,586	569.51	—	—
March 1 to March 31, 2020	3,799	459.82	—	—
Total	40,849	¥582.89	85,775,400	—

Notes:

- (1) The shares purchased were shares constituting less than one unit (100 shares) purchased from registered holders of the shares and shares purchased pursuant to applicable Japanese law from shareholders who have not responded to communications sent to their registered addresses for five consecutive years or more and by whom dividend payments have not been received for five consecutive years, each at the current market price.
- (2) During November and December 2019, we repurchased 85,775,400 shares of our common stock for ¥49,999,996,482 under a share repurchase program that was adopted on November 13, 2019 and completed in December 2019. Under the program, we were authorized by the Board of Directors to repurchase up to the lesser of an aggregate of 100,000,000 shares of our common stock and an aggregate of ¥50.0 billion between November 14, 2019 and December 31, 2019. All of the repurchased shares were cancelled on January 20, 2020.

We did not make any purchases of shares of our common stock other than as shown in the above table for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020.

In connection with the MUFG Americas Holdings Corporation Stock Bonus Plan, 9,275,070 ADSs were purchased by the trustee of the independent trust between April 1, 2019 and March 31, 2020. For descriptions of our stock compensation and bonus plans, see “Item 6.B. Directors, Senior Management and Employees—Compensation.”

Item 16F. Change in Registrant’s Certifying Accountant.

None.

Item 16G. Corporate Governance.

The NYSE allows NYSE-listed companies that are foreign private issuers, such as MUFG, with certain exceptions, to follow home-country practices in lieu of the corporate governance practices followed by U.S. companies pursuant to the NYSE’s Listed Company Manual. The following is a summary of the significant differences between MUFG’s corporate governance practices and those followed by U.S. listed companies under the NYSE’s Listed Company Manual.

1. A NYSE-listed U.S. company must have a majority of directors that meet the independence requirements under Section 303A of the NYSE’s Listed Company Manual.

As of the date of this Annual Report, we have nine outside directors as members of our board of directors, which consists of a total of sixteen members. Under our governance system, we are required to have outside directors on each of our nominating, audit and compensation committees, constituting a majority of its members. For a description of an outside director, see “Item 6.C. Directors and Senior Management—Board Practices.”

The Tokyo Stock Exchange rules require listed companies, including us, to identify at least one individual who the company believes is unlikely to have a conflict of interest with general shareholders and have such individual serve as an independent director or outside corporate auditor. An amendment to the Companies Act, which is expected to take effect in 2021, will require any listed company to have an outside director.

Further, a listed company with fewer than two outside directors who are considered independent based on such internal standards as the company establishes pursuant to the Tokyo Stock Exchange requirements must publicly disclose the reason for not having at least two such directors on its board of directors. In addition, if a listed company determines that at least one-third of the members of its board of directors should be independent outside directors, the listed company must disclose its policy relating to the determination. We have adopted and made public our corporate governance policy providing, among other things, that, in general, half of the members of our board of directors will be independent outside directors.

2. A NYSE-listed U.S. company must have an audit committee composed entirely of independent directors.

Under the Companies Act, we are required to have an audit committee consisting of at least three non-executive directors, and the majority of its members must be outside directors. Currently, our audit committee consists of three outside directors and two non-executive directors. Our audit committee satisfies the requirements of Rule 10A-3 under the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934, including the independence requirements thereunder.”

3. A NYSE-listed U.S. company must have a compensation committee composed entirely of independent directors.

Under the Companies Act, we are required to have a compensation committee consisting of at least three directors, and the majority of its members must be outside directors. Currently, our compensation committee consists of five directors, four of whom are outside directors.

4. A NYSE-listed U.S. company must have a nominating or corporate governance committee composed entirely of independent directors.

Under the Companies Act, we are required to have a nominating committee consisting of at least three directors, and the majority of its members must be outside directors. Currently, our nominating committee, which we call the nominating and governance committee, consists of five directors, four of whom are outside directors.

5. A NYSE-listed U.S. company must obtain shareholder approval with respect to any equity compensation plan.

Under the Companies Act, an equity compensation plan for directors and corporate executives is deemed to be compensation for the services performed by the company’s directors and corporate executives. Our compensation committee establishes the policy with respect to the determination of the individual compensation of our directors and corporate executives, including equity compensation in the form of performance-based stock compensation plan, and determines individual compensation in accordance with the policy. Under the Companies Act, a public company with board audit, compensation and nominating committees seeking to introduce a performance-based stock compensation plan must obtain the approval of its compensation committee, not its shareholders.

6. A NYSE-listed U.S. company must adopt and disclose Corporate Governance Guidelines and a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, and it must also disclose any exemptions granted to directors or executives.

Our corporate governance policies, which are called the “MUFG Corporate Governance Policies,” are based on applicable home-country rules, particularly the Tokyo Stock Exchange rules, which require listed companies, such as us, to adopt a corporate governance code setting forth fundamental principles designed to establish an effective corporate governance system or explain in their corporate governance reports the reasons for not adopting such a code. We disclose these policies on our website.

We have adopted a code of conduct, compliance rules, compliance manual and rules of employment, which meet the definition of “code of ethics” in “Item 16B. Code of Ethics.”

7. A NYSE-listed U.S. company must hold regularly scheduled executive sessions where participants are limited to non-management directors.

Under the Companies Act, Japanese corporations are not obliged to hold executive sessions where participants are limited to non-management directors. Such executive sessions are also not required under our internal corporate governance rules.

PART III

Item 17. Financial Statements.

In lieu of responding to this item, we have responded to Item 18 of this Annual Report.

Item 18. Financial Statements.

Our consolidated financial statements are included in this Annual Report, as required by this item, starting on page F-1.

Pursuant to Rule 3-09 of Regulation S-X, the financial statements and supplementary data of Morgan Stanley, our equity method investee, as of December 31, 2018 and 2019 and for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2017, 2018 and 2019, are incorporated in this Annual Report as Exhibit 99(c) by reference to Morgan Stanley's annual report on Form 10-K filed on February 27, 2020.

Item 19. Exhibits.

Exhibit	Description
1(a)	Articles of Incorporation of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc., as amended on July 6, 2018 (English translation)*
1(b)	Board of Directors Regulations of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc., as amended on June 25, 2015 (English translation)*
1(c)	Corporation Meetings Regulations of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc., as amended on July 1, 2018 (English translation)*
1(d)	Share Handling Regulations of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc., as amended on June 25, 2015 (English Translation)**
1(e)	Charter of the Audit Committee of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc., as amended on July 1, 2018 (English translation)*
1(f)	Charter of the Compensation Committee of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc., as amended on July 1, 2018 (English translation)*
1(g)	Charter of the Nominating and Governance Committee of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc., as amended on July 1, 2018 (English translation)*
1(h)	Charter of the Risk Committee of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc., as amended on July 1, 2018 (English translation)*
2(a)	Form of American Depositary Receipt*
2(b)	Form of Deposit Agreement, amended and restated as of December 22, 2004, among Mitsubishi Tokyo Financial Group, Inc. (subsequently renamed Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc.), The Bank of New York Mellon and the holders from time to time of American Depositary Receipts issued thereunder*
2(c)	Description of Securities
8	Subsidiaries of the Company—see “Item 4.C. Information on the Company—Organizational Structure.”
11	MUFG Group Code of Conduct, Compliance Rules, Compliance Manual, and Rules of Employment of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc. applicable to its principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer and persons performing similar functions (English translation of relevant sections)

Exhibit	Description
12	Certifications required by Rule 13a-14(a) (17 CFR 240.13a-14(a)) or Rule 15d-14(a) (17 CFR 240.15d-14(a))
13	Certifications required by Rule 13a-14(b) (17 CFR 240.13a-14(b)) or Rule 15d-14(b) (17 CFR 240.15d-14(b)) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code (18 U.S.C. 1350)
15(a)	Consent of independent registered public accounting firm (Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu LLC)
15(b)	Consent of independent registered public accounting firm (Deloitte & Touche LLP)
99(a)	Capitalization and Indebtedness of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc. as of March 31, 2020***
99(b)	Unaudited Reverse Reconciliation of Selected Financial Information of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc. as of and for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020****
99(c)	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data of Morgan Stanley*****
101.INS	Inline XBRL Instance Document—the instance document does not appear in the Interactive Data File because its XBRL tags are embedded within the Inline XBRL document
101.SCH	Inline XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	Inline XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	Inline XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	Inline XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	Inline XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document
104	The cover page for the Company's Annual Report on Form 20-F for the year ended March 31, 2020, has been formatted in Inline XBRL

Notes:

- * Incorporated by reference to our annual report on Form 20-F (File No. 000-54189) filed on July 12, 2018.
- ** Incorporated by reference to our registration statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-230590) filed on March 29, 2019.
- *** Deemed to be incorporated by reference into the registration statement on Form F-3 (No. 333-229697) of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc. and to be a part thereof.
- **** Deemed to be incorporated as Annex A to the registration statement on Form F-3 (No. 333-229697) of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc. and to be a part thereof.
- ***** Incorporated by reference to Morgan Stanley's annual report on Form 10-K (File No. 001-11758) filed on February 27, 2020.

SELECTED STATISTICAL DATA

Due to close integration of our foreign and domestic activities, it is difficult to make a precise determination of the assets, liabilities, income and expenses of our foreign operations. The foreign operations as presented include the business conducted by overseas subsidiaries and branches, and the international business principally conducted by the international banking-related divisions headquartered in Japan. Our management believes that the results appropriately represent our domestic and foreign activities.

I. Distribution of Assets, Liabilities and Equity; Interest Rates and Interest Differential

Average Balance Sheets, Interest and Average Rates

The following table shows our average balances, interest and average interest rates for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020. Average balances are generally based on a daily average while a month-end average is used for certain average balances when it is not practicable to obtain applicable daily averages.

Fiscal years ended March 31,									
2018			2019			2020			
Average balance	Interest income	Average rate	Average balance	Interest income	Average rate	Average balance	Interest income	Average rate	
(in millions, except percentages)									
Assets:									
Interest-earning assets:									
Interest-earning deposits in other banks:									
Domestic	¥ 31,515,803	¥ 26,391	0.08%	¥ 32,727,743	¥ 31,287	0.10%	¥ 30,349,122	¥ 31,578	0.10%
Foreign	7,889,777	100,217	1.27	9,025,391	152,040	1.68	8,268,196	135,689	1.64
Total	39,405,580	126,608	0.32	41,753,134	183,327	0.44	38,617,318	167,267	0.43
Call loans, funds sold, and receivables under resale agreements and securities borrowing transactions:									
Domestic	7,703,606	7,246	0.09	6,429,788	5,920	0.09	8,170,312	4,624	0.06
Foreign	7,873,112	77,447	0.98	7,594,119	149,788	1.97	10,339,632	252,973	2.45
Total	15,576,718	84,693	0.54	14,023,907	155,708	1.11	18,509,944	257,597	1.39
Trading account assets:									
Domestic	4,737,292	27,126	0.57	5,204,308	31,284	0.60	7,305,805	29,108	0.40
Foreign	20,012,444	405,469	2.03	19,467,632	468,440	2.41	22,242,975	460,734	2.07
Total	24,749,736	432,595	1.75	24,671,940	499,724	2.03	29,548,780	489,842	1.66
Investment securities ⁽¹⁾ :									
Domestic	34,659,859	183,622	0.53	35,073,801	202,755	0.58	34,535,660	200,330	0.58
Foreign	6,891,939	160,279	2.33	7,782,349	195,448	2.51	8,623,509	214,175	2.48
Total	41,551,798	343,901	0.83	42,856,150	398,203	0.93	43,159,169	414,505	0.96
Loans ⁽²⁾ :									
Domestic	65,985,440	757,623	1.15	65,843,445	777,306	1.18	64,897,973	732,783	1.13
Foreign	51,779,709	1,513,596	2.92	52,258,780	1,799,111	3.44	51,550,492	1,865,149	3.62
Total	117,765,149	2,271,219	1.93	118,102,225	2,576,417	2.18	116,448,465	2,597,932	2.23
Total interest-earning assets:									
Domestic	144,602,000	1,002,008	0.69	145,279,085	1,048,552	0.72	145,258,872	998,423	0.69
Foreign	94,446,981	2,257,008	2.39	96,128,271	2,764,827	2.88	101,024,804	2,928,720	2.90
Total	239,048,981	3,259,016	1.36	241,407,356	3,813,379	1.58	246,283,676	3,927,143	1.59
Non-interest-earning assets:									
Cash and due from banks	34,040,675			33,631,665			32,929,678		
Other non-interest-earning assets	48,549,541			46,952,826			46,962,448		
Allowance for credit losses	(1,049,265)			(699,000)			(675,353)		
Total non-interest-earning assets	81,540,951			79,885,491			79,216,773		
Total assets	¥320,589,932			¥321,292,847			¥325,500,449		

Notes:

- (1) Tax-exempt income of tax-exempt investment securities has not been calculated on a tax equivalent basis because the effect of such calculation would not be material.
- (2) Average balances on loans outstanding include all nonaccrual and restructured loans. See "III. Loan Portfolio." The amortized portion of net loan origination fees (costs) is included in interest income on loans, which accounts for an insignificant amount of an adjustment to the yields.

Fiscal years ended March 31,									
2018			2019			2020			
Average balance	Interest expense	Average rate	Average balance	Interest expense	Average rate	Average balance	Interest expense	Average rate	
(in millions, except percentages)									
Liabilities and equity:									
Interest-bearing liabilities:									
Deposits:									
Domestic	¥123,141,060	¥ 58,779	0.05%	¥124,661,909	¥ 67,948	0.05%	¥124,294,925	¥ 77,143	0.06%
Foreign	41,421,717	456,089	1.10	41,945,626	649,418	1.55	45,216,271	758,938	1.68
Total	164,562,777	514,868	0.31	166,607,535	717,366	0.43	169,511,196	836,081	0.49
Call money, funds purchased, and payables under repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions:									
Domestic	17,913,277	113,805	0.64	17,201,589	188,009	1.09	17,245,242	137,759	0.80
Foreign	10,138,998	56,955	0.56	9,204,904	149,536	1.62	10,502,391	222,893	2.12
Total	28,052,275	170,760	0.61	26,406,493	337,545	1.28	27,747,633	360,652	1.30
Due to trust account, other short-term borrowings and trading account liabilities:									
Domestic	6,833,724	11,121	0.16	5,777,333	13,576	0.23	6,025,686	20,557	0.34
Foreign	6,476,232	82,523	1.27	7,184,301	141,697	1.97	7,542,997	144,350	1.91
Total	13,309,956	93,644	0.70	12,961,634	155,273	1.20	13,568,683	164,907	1.22
Long-term debt:									
Domestic	25,277,891	183,944	0.73	25,558,707	234,603	0.92	25,313,006	237,995	0.94
Foreign	2,654,153	65,539	2.47	3,108,828	73,194	2.35	2,721,002	84,709	3.11
Total	27,932,044	249,483	0.89	28,667,535	307,797	1.07	28,034,008	322,704	1.15
Total interest-bearing liabilities:									
Domestic	173,165,952	367,649	0.21	173,199,538	504,136	0.29	172,878,859	473,454	0.27
Foreign	60,691,100	661,106	1.09	61,443,659	1,013,845	1.65	65,982,661	1,210,890	1.84
Total	233,857,052	1,028,755	0.44	234,643,197	1,517,981	0.65	238,861,520	1,684,344	0.71
Non-interest-bearing liabilities									
	71,309,802			70,572,971			71,221,044		
Total equity	15,423,078			16,076,679			15,417,885		
Total liabilities and equity	¥320,589,932			¥321,292,847			¥325,500,449		
Net interest income and interest rate spread									
	¥2,230,261	0.92%		¥2,295,398	0.93%		¥2,242,799	0.88%	
Net interest income as a percentage of total interest-earning assets									
		0.93%			0.95%			0.91%	

The percentage of total average assets attributable to foreign activities was 36.6%, 36.8% and 38.1%, respectively, for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020.

The percentage of total average liabilities attributable to foreign activities was 36.9%, 37.0% and 38.2%, respectively, for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020.

Analysis of Net Interest Income

The following table shows changes in our net interest income by changes in volume and by changes in interest rate for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019 compared to the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018, and the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 compared to the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019.

	Fiscal year ended March 31, 2018 versus fiscal year ended March 31, 2019			Fiscal year ended March 31, 2019 versus fiscal year ended March 31, 2020		
	Increase (decrease) due to changes in			Increase (decrease) due to changes in		
	Volume ⁽¹⁾	Rate ⁽¹⁾	Net change	Volume ⁽¹⁾	Rate ⁽¹⁾	Net change
	(in millions)					
Interest income:						
Interest-earning deposits in other banks:						
Domestic	¥ 1,046	¥ 3,850	¥ 4,896	¥ (2,365)	¥ 2,656	¥ 291
Foreign	15,865	35,958	51,823	(12,504)	(3,847)	(16,351)
Total	16,911	39,808	56,719	(14,869)	(1,191)	(16,060)
Call loans, funds sold, and receivables under resale agreements and securities borrowing transactions:						
Domestic	(1,176)	(150)	(1,326)	1,348	(2,644)	(1,296)
Foreign	(2,838)	75,179	72,341	61,973	41,212	103,185
Total	(4,014)	75,029	71,015	63,321	38,568	101,889
Trading account assets:						
Domestic	2,763	1,395	4,158	10,311	(12,487)	(2,176)
Foreign	(11,301)	74,272	62,971	62,079	(69,785)	(7,706)
Total	(8,538)	75,667	67,129	72,390	(82,272)	(9,882)
Investment securities ⁽²⁾ :						
Domestic	2,216	16,917	19,133	(3,120)	695	(2,425)
Foreign	21,730	13,439	35,169	20,913	(2,186)	18,727
Total	23,946	30,356	54,302	17,793	(1,491)	16,302
Loans:						
Domestic	(1,634)	21,317	19,683	(11,041)	(33,482)	(44,523)
Foreign	14,127	271,388	285,515	(24,645)	90,683	66,038
Total	12,493	292,705	305,198	(35,686)	57,201	21,515
Total interest income:						
Domestic	3,215	43,329	46,544	(4,867)	(45,262)	(50,129)
Foreign	37,583	470,236	507,819	107,816	56,077	163,893
Total	¥ 40,798	¥ 513,565	¥ 554,363	¥ 102,949	¥ 10,815	¥ 113,764

Notes:

- (1) Volume/rate variance is allocated based on the percentage relationship of changes in volume and changes in rate to the total "net change."
- (2) Tax-exempt income of tax-exempt investment securities has not been calculated on a tax equivalent basis because the effect of such calculation would not be material.

	Fiscal year ended March 31, 2018 versus fiscal year ended March 31, 2019			Fiscal year ended March 31, 2019 versus fiscal year ended March 31, 2020		
	Increase (decrease) due to changes in			Increase (decrease) due to changes in		
	Volume ⁽¹⁾	Rate ⁽¹⁾	Net change	Volume ⁽¹⁾	Rate ⁽¹⁾	Net change
	(in millions)					
Interest expense:						
Deposits:						
Domestic	¥ 734	¥ 8,435	¥ 9,169	¥ (201)	¥ 9,396	¥ 9,195
Foreign	5,839	187,490	193,329	52,686	56,834	109,520
Total	6,573	195,925	202,498	52,485	66,230	118,715
Call money, funds purchased, and payables under repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions:						
Domestic	(4,692)	78,896	74,204	476	(50,726)	(50,250)
Foreign	(5,708)	98,289	92,581	23,113	50,244	73,357
Total	(10,400)	177,185	166,785	23,589	(482)	23,107
Due to trust account, other short-term borrowings and trading account liabilities:						
Domestic	(1,916)	4,371	2,455	607	6,374	6,981
Foreign	9,845	49,329	59,174	6,943	(4,290)	2,653
Total	7,929	53,700	61,629	7,550	2,084	9,634
Long-term debt:						
Domestic	2,065	48,594	50,659	(2,271)	5,663	3,392
Foreign	10,816	(3,161)	7,655	(9,952)	21,467	11,515
Total	12,881	45,433	58,314	(12,223)	27,130	14,907
Total interest expense:						
Domestic	(3,809)	140,296	136,487	(1,389)	(29,293)	(30,682)
Foreign	20,792	331,947	352,739	72,790	124,255	197,045
Total	¥ 16,983	¥472,243	¥489,226	¥ 71,401	¥ 94,962	¥166,363
Net interest income:						
Domestic	¥ 7,024	¥(96,967)	¥(89,943)	¥ (3,478)	¥(15,969)	¥(19,447)
Foreign	16,791	138,289	155,080	35,026	(68,178)	(33,152)
Total	¥ 23,815	¥ 41,322	¥ 65,137	¥ 31,548	¥(84,147)	¥(52,599)

Note:

(1) Volume/rate variance is allocated based on the percentage relationship of changes in volume and changes in rate to the total "net change."

II. Investment Portfolio

The following table shows information as to the value of our Available-for-sale debt securities, Held-to-maturity debt securities, and Marketable equity securities at March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020:

	At March 31,								
	2018			2019			2020		
	Amortized cost	Fair value	Net unrealized gains (losses)	Amortized cost	Fair value	Net unrealized gains (losses)	Amortized cost	Fair value	Net unrealized gains (losses)
	(in millions)								
Available-for-sale debt securities:									
Domestic:									
Japanese national government and Japanese government agency bonds	¥24,272,345	¥24,567,904	¥295,559	¥23,748,558	¥24,077,696	¥329,138	¥23,308,538	¥23,462,879	¥154,341
Corporate bonds	923,912	935,965	12,053	988,137	999,707	11,570	1,090,442	1,099,328	8,886
Other securities	2,581,942	2,589,367	7,425	3,377,266	3,402,696	25,430	4,064,659	4,080,746	16,087
Total domestic	27,778,199	28,093,236	315,037	28,113,961	28,480,099	366,138	28,463,639	28,642,953	179,314
Foreign:									
U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government agencies bonds	1,400,997	1,366,456	(34,541)	1,722,943	1,710,328	(12,615)	1,994,173	2,086,763	92,590
Other government and official institution bonds	806,665	805,236	(1,429)	925,931	931,091	5,160	941,937	950,725	8,788
Mortgage-backed securities	1,229,111	1,214,211	(14,900)	1,138,101	1,115,714	(22,387)	1,063,983	1,064,787	804
Other securities	1,341,697	1,353,975	12,278	1,273,551	1,281,271	7,720	1,444,035	1,454,975	10,940
Total foreign	4,778,470	4,739,878	(38,592)	5,060,526	5,038,404	(22,122)	5,444,128	5,557,250	113,122
Total	¥ 32,556,669	¥ 32,833,114	¥276,445	¥33,174,487	¥33,518,503	¥344,016	¥33,907,767	¥34,200,203	¥292,436
Held-to-maturity debt securities:									
Domestic:									
Japanese national government agency bonds	¥1,100,807	¥ 1,141,019	¥ 40,212	¥ 1,100,701	¥ 1,142,320	¥ 41,619	¥ 1,100,574	¥ 1,130,430	¥ 29,856
Total domestic	1,100,807	1,141,019	40,212	1,100,701	1,142,320	41,619	1,100,574	1,130,430	29,856
Foreign:									
U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government agencies bonds	59,330	59,610	280	138,731	138,712	(19)	148,927	148,318	(609)
Other government and official institution bonds	—	—	—	—	—	—	2,628	2,791	163
Mortgage-backed securities	1,057,612	1,047,635	(9,977)	1,071,257	1,051,135	(20,122)	883,281	893,423	10,142
Asset-backed securities	1,365,192	1,372,408	7,216	2,131,212	2,120,780	(10,432)	2,030,371	2,002,932	(27,439)
Total foreign	2,482,134	2,479,653	(2,481)	3,341,200	3,310,627	(30,573)	3,065,207	3,047,464	(17,743)
Total	¥ 3,582,941	¥ 3,620,672	¥ 37,731	¥ 4,441,901	¥ 4,452,947	¥ 11,046	¥ 4,165,781	¥ 4,177,894	¥ 12,113
Marketable equity securities:									
Domestic:									
Marketable equity securities	—	¥ 6,544,938	—	—	¥ 6,331,815	—	—	¥ 4,740,188	—
Total domestic	—	6,544,938	—	—	6,331,815	—	—	4,740,188	—
Foreign:									
Marketable equity securities	—	126,646	—	—	26,728	—	—	28,130	—
Total foreign	—	126,646	—	—	26,728	—	—	28,130	—
Total	—	¥ 6,671,584	—	—	¥ 6,358,543	—	—	¥ 4,768,318	—

Nonmarketable equity securities presented in Equity securities in the accompanying consolidated financial statements were primarily carried at cost of ¥538,251 million, ¥591,237 million and ¥576,977 million, at March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020, respectively. The corresponding fair values at those dates were not readily determinable. Investment securities held by certain subsidiaries subject to specialized industry accounting principles for investment companies and brokers and dealers presented in Equity securities were carried at fair value of ¥28,359 million, ¥27,820 million and ¥39,963 million, at March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020, respectively.

The following table presents the book values, maturities and weighted average yields of Available-for-sale debt securities and Held-to-maturity debt securities at March 31, 2020. Weighted average yields are calculated based on amortized cost. Yields on tax-exempt obligations have not been calculated on a tax equivalent basis because the effect of such calculation would not be material:

	Maturities within one year		Maturities after one year but within five years		Maturities after five years but within ten years		Maturities after ten years		Total	
	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield
(in millions, except percentages)										
Available-for-sale debt securities:										
Domestic:										
Japanese national government and Japanese government agency bonds	¥10,480,660	0.06%	¥ 7,051,108	0.18%	¥1,947,633	0.29%	¥3,983,478	0.74%	¥23,462,879	0.23%
Corporate bonds	135,209	0.13	810,264	0.17	103,185	0.35	50,670	0.61	1,099,328	0.20
Other securities	58,626	0.36	942,923	0.18	2,319,921	0.18	759,276	0.21	4,080,746	0.19
Total domestic	10,674,495	0.06	8,804,295	0.18	4,370,739	0.23	4,793,424	0.65	28,642,953	0.22
Foreign:										
U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government agencies bonds	167,837	2.11	831,515	1.95	1,046,109	2.24	41,302	4.20	2,086,763	2.15
Other government and official institution bonds	500,099	2.25	423,411	2.84	25,928	3.36	1,287	4.41	950,725	2.54
Mortgage-backed securities	3,475	3.11	18,267	2.42	260,387	2.21	782,658	2.81	1,064,787	2.66
Other securities	481,200	2.09	756,109	2.54	91,715	3.09	125,951	3.32	1,454,975	2.49
Total foreign	1,152,611	2.16	2,029,302	2.37	1,424,139	2.31	951,198	2.94	5,557,250	2.41
Total	¥11,827,106	0.26%	¥10,833,597	0.58%	¥5,794,878	0.73%	¥5,744,622	1.04%	¥34,200,203	0.57%
Held-to-maturity debt securities:										
Domestic:										
Japanese national government and Japanese government agency bonds	¥ —	—%	¥ 1,100,574	0.51%	¥ —	—%	¥ —	—%	¥ 1,100,574	0.51%
Total domestic	—	—	1,100,574	0.51	—	—	—	—	1,100,574	0.51
Foreign:										
U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government agencies bonds	986	8.62	—	—	147,941	2.51	—	—	148,927	2.55
Other government and official institution bonds	110	5.45	1,740	7.18	739	6.63	39	7.69	2,628	6.96
Mortgage-backed securities	—	—	77,130	2.23	96,961	2.34	709,190	2.62	883,281	2.56
Asset-backed securities	22,917	1.72	23,457	1.60	345,830	1.92	1,638,167	2.01	2,030,371	1.99
Total foreign	24,013	2.02	102,327	2.17	591,471	2.14	2,347,396	2.20	3,065,207	2.18
Total	¥ 24,013	2.02%	¥ 1,202,901	0.65%	¥ 591,471	2.14%	¥2,347,396	2.20%	¥ 4,165,781	1.74%

Other than U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government agencies bonds and Japanese national government bonds, none of the individual issuers held in our investment securities portfolio exceeded 10% of the consolidated total Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group shareholders' equity at March 31, 2020.

III. Loan Portfolio

The following table shows our loans outstanding, before deduction of allowance for credit losses, by domicile and industry of the borrower at March 31 for each of the five fiscal years ended March 31, 2020. Classification of loans by industry is based on the industry segment loan classification as defined by the Bank of Japan for regulatory reporting purposes and is not necessarily based on the use of proceeds:

	At March 31,				
	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	(in millions)				
Domestic:					
Manufacturing	¥ 12,158,642	¥ 11,796,803	¥ 10,876,625	¥ 11,153,996	¥ 11,448,778
Construction	913,180	819,262	781,262	717,664	733,212
Real estate	11,175,130	11,622,372	11,763,769	11,706,419	12,054,671
Services	2,503,446	2,549,300	2,689,086	2,653,191	2,585,111
Wholesale and retail	7,891,364	7,970,579	7,989,080	7,643,397	7,504,561
Banks and other financial institutions ⁽¹⁾	5,146,932	5,223,906	4,818,364	5,213,020	5,161,093
Communication and information services ...	1,509,858	1,634,584	1,551,533	1,510,596	1,572,344
Other industries	14,739,826	8,898,712	8,939,291	8,756,483	8,673,871
Consumer	16,397,560	16,491,010	16,287,332	15,802,024	15,319,721
Total domestic	72,435,938	67,006,528	65,696,342	65,156,790	65,053,362
Foreign:					
Governments and official institutions	1,125,031	1,037,795	920,538	841,695	726,347
Banks and other financial institutions ⁽¹⁾	13,654,335	13,844,964	12,851,570	11,641,373	11,788,225
Commercial and industrial	30,056,474	30,279,641	30,591,173	31,951,169	32,565,030
Other	5,818,747	6,334,551	7,270,928	7,597,502	8,404,062
Total foreign	50,654,587	51,496,951	51,634,209	52,031,739	53,483,664
Total	123,090,525	118,503,479	117,330,551	117,188,529	118,537,026
Unearned income, unamortized premiums—net and deferred loan fees—net	(299,567)	(288,507)	(294,656)	(304,588)	(350,287)
Total⁽²⁾	¥122,790,958	¥118,214,972	¥117,035,895	¥116,883,941	¥118,186,739

Notes:

(1) Loans to so-called “non-bank finance companies” are generally included in the “Banks and other financial institutions” category.

Non-bank finance companies are primarily engaged in consumer lending, factoring and credit card businesses.

(2) The above table includes loans held for sale of ¥100,889 million, ¥185,940 million, ¥226,923 million, ¥291,794 million and ¥344,790 million at March 31, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019 and 2020, respectively, which are carried at the lower of cost or fair value.

Maturities and Sensitivities of Loans to Changes in Interest Rates

The following table shows the maturities of our loan portfolio at March 31, 2020:

	Maturity			Total
	One year or less	One to five years	Over five years	
	(in millions)			
Domestic:				
Manufacturing	¥ 6,437,196	¥ 3,295,852	¥ 1,715,730	¥ 11,448,778
Construction	393,789	258,601	80,822	733,212
Real estate	2,845,169	4,232,351	4,977,151	12,054,671
Services	1,135,003	997,954	452,154	2,585,111
Wholesale and retail	5,170,771	1,679,548	654,242	7,504,561
Banks and other financial institutions	3,204,961	1,186,980	769,152	5,161,093
Communication and information services	542,120	863,639	166,585	1,572,344
Other industries	5,240,434	2,073,206	1,360,231	8,673,871
Consumer	2,090,295	3,010,455	10,218,971	15,319,721
Total Domestic	27,059,738	17,598,586	20,395,038	65,053,362
Foreign	22,409,581	19,053,595	12,020,488	53,483,664
Total	¥49,469,319	¥36,652,181	¥32,415,526	¥118,537,026

The above loans due after one year which had predetermined interest rates and floating or adjustable interest rates at March 31, 2020 are shown below:

	Domestic	Foreign	Total
	(in millions)		
Predetermined rate	¥18,140,119	¥ 5,525,084	¥23,665,203
Floating or adjustable rate	19,853,505	25,548,999	45,402,504
Total	¥37,993,624	¥31,074,083	¥69,067,707

Nonaccrual, Past Due and Restructured Loans

We generally discontinue the accrual of interest income on loans when substantial doubt exists as to the full and timely collection of either principal or interest, when principal or interest is contractually past due one month or more with respect to loans within all classes of the Commercial segment, three months or more with respect to loans within the Card, MUFG Americas Holdings, and Krungsri segments, and six months or more with respect to loans within the Residential segment.

Regarding our policy for placing loans on nonaccrual status, see “Summary of Significant Accounting Policies — Loans” in Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

The following table shows the distribution of our nonaccrual loans, restructured loans and accruing loans which are contractually past due 90 days or more as to principal or interest payments at March 31 of each of the five fiscal years ended March 31, 2020, based on the domicile and type of industry of the borrowers:

	At March 31,				
	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	(in millions)				
Nonaccrual loans:					
Domestic:					
Manufacturing	¥ 372,875	¥ 185,124	¥ 77,188	¥ 65,921	¥ 93,798
Construction	15,256	15,248	10,922	9,877	8,558
Real estate	66,210	50,142	37,853	26,513	30,449
Services	41,056	38,977	31,733	27,115	52,182
Wholesale and retail	132,858	131,545	108,639	94,990	94,440
Banks and other financial institutions	675	2,432	1,145	898	994
Communication and information services ...	20,270	18,711	13,815	11,955	10,539
Other industries	29,715	10,352	37,677	26,110	20,766
Consumer	174,106	161,680	149,491	143,668	136,181
Total domestic	853,021	614,211	468,463	407,047	447,907
Foreign:					
Governments and official institutions	132	—	—	—	34
Banks and other financial institutions	14,337	5,902	1,716	1,160	443
Commercial and industrial	264,163	301,685	215,601	219,669	255,214
Other	68,514	64,834	67,869	78,780	100,628
Total foreign	347,146	372,421	285,186	299,609	356,319
Total	¥1,200,167	¥ 986,632	¥ 753,649	¥ 706,656	¥ 804,226
Restructured loans:					
Domestic	¥ 459,294	¥ 682,041	¥ 557,368	¥ 511,151	¥ 492,566
Foreign	166,240	158,784	137,674	127,931	172,549
Total	¥ 625,534	¥ 840,825	¥ 695,042	¥ 639,082	¥ 665,115
Accruing loans contractually past due 90 days or more:					
Domestic	¥ 47,919	¥ 37,650	¥ 17,356	¥ 13,621	¥ 11,148
Foreign ⁽¹⁾	314	3,430	2,408	2,778	2,339
Total	¥ 48,233	¥ 41,080	¥ 19,764	¥ 16,399	¥ 13,487
Total ⁽²⁾	¥1,873,934	¥1,868,537	¥1,468,455	¥1,362,137	¥1,482,828

Notes:

- (1) Foreign accruing loans contractually past due 90 days or more do not include ¥1,930 million, ¥1,514 million, ¥549 million, ¥234 million and ¥74 million of Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") covered loans held by MUFG Americas Holdings which are subject to the guidance on loans and debt securities acquired with deteriorated credit quality at March 31, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019 and 2020, respectively.
- (2) The sum of nonaccrual loans, restructured loans and accruing loans contractually past due 90 days or more includes large groups of smaller-balance homogenous loans that have not been modified and are collectively evaluated for impairment, and accruing loans contractually past due 90 days or more. However, these loans are excluded from the impaired loan balances of ¥1,209,791 million and ¥1,306,829 million, at March 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively, disclosed in Note 4 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Gross interest income which would have been accrued at the original terms on domestic nonaccrual and restructured loans outstanding during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 was approximately ¥32.5 billion, of

which ¥11.9 billion was included in the results of operations for the fiscal year. Gross interest income which would have been accrued at the original terms on foreign nonaccrual and restructured loans outstanding for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 was approximately ¥38.5 billion, of which ¥18.3 billion was included in the results of operations for the fiscal year.

Potential Problem Loans

We do not have potential problem loans where known information about possible credit problems of borrowers causes management to have serious doubts as to the borrowers' ability to comply with the present loan repayment terms that are not disclosed as nonaccrual loans, restructured loans and accruing loans past due 90 days or more.

Foreign Loans Outstanding

We had no cross-border outstandings to borrowers domiciled in a foreign country which in total exceeded 0.75% of our consolidated total assets at March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020. Cross-border outstandings are defined, for this purpose, as loans (including accrued interest), acceptances, interest-earning deposits with other banks, other interest-earning investments and any other monetary assets denominated in Japanese yen or other non-local currencies. Material local currency loans outstanding which are neither hedged nor funded by local currency borrowings are included in cross-border outstandings.

Guarantees of outstandings to borrowers domiciled in other countries are considered to be outstandings of the guarantor. Loans made to, or deposits placed with, a branch of a foreign bank located outside the foreign bank's home country are considered to be loans to, or deposits with, the foreign bank. Outstandings of a country do not include principal or interest amounts which are supported by written, legally enforceable guarantees by guarantors of other countries or the amounts of outstandings to the extent that they are secured by tangible, liquid collateral held and realizable by MUFG Bank, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking and their subsidiaries outside the country in which they operate.

In addition to credit risk, cross-border outstandings are subject to country risk that as a result of political or economic conditions in a country, borrowers may be unable or unwilling to pay principal and interest according to contractual terms. Other risks related to cross-border outstandings include the possibility of insufficient foreign exchange and restrictions on its availability.

In order to manage country risk, we establish various risk management measures internally. Among other things, we regularly monitor economic conditions and other factors globally and assess country risk in each country where we have cross-border exposure. For the purposes of monitoring and controlling the amount of credit exposed to country risk, we set a country limit, the maximum amount of credit exposure for an individual country, in consideration of the level of country risk and our ability to bear such potential risk. We also determine our credit policy for each country in accordance with our country risk level and our business plan with regard to the country. The assessment of country risk, establishment of country limits, and determination of country credit policies are subject to review and approval by our senior management and are updated periodically.

Loan Concentrations

At March 31, 2020, there were no concentrations of loans to a single industry group of borrowers, as defined by the Bank of Japan industry segment loan classifications, which exceeded 10 % of our consolidated total loans, except for loans in a category disclosed in the table of loans outstanding above.

Credit Risk Management

We have a credit rating system, under which borrowers and transactions are graded on a worldwide basis. We calculate probability of default by statistical means and manage our credit portfolio based on this credit rating system. For a detailed description of this system and other elements of our risk management structure, see “Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Credit, Market and Other Risk—Credit Risk Management.”

IV. Summary of Loan Loss Experience

The following table shows an analysis of our loan loss experience by industry of the borrower for each of the five fiscal years ended March 31, 2020:

	Fiscal years ended March 31,				
	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	(in millions, except percentages)				
Allowance for credit losses at beginning of fiscal year	¥1,055,479	¥1,111,130	¥1,182,188	¥764,124	¥658,184
Provision for (reversal of) credit losses	231,862	253,688	(240,847)	34,330	321,713
Charge-offs:					
Domestic:					
Manufacturing	50,813	30,549	10,621	10,525	9,923
Construction	1,617	647	789	992	300
Real estate	1,857	2,318	1,305	619	2,584
Services	5,102	5,225	1,867	4,207	6,533
Wholesale and retail	32,910	17,402	20,979	20,901	12,529
Banks and other financial institutions	35	—	650	2,523	12
Communication and information services	1,173	2,903	1,254	11,309	1,370
Other industries	953	767	29,839	2,758	596
Consumer	15,847	22,877	26,786	24,795	28,791
Total domestic	110,307	82,688	94,090	78,629	62,638
Total foreign	88,464	131,070	138,019	95,412	180,497
Total	198,771	213,758	232,109	174,041	243,135
Recoveries:					
Domestic	22,357	21,954	22,261	15,467	24,838
Foreign	19,455	21,995	28,849	28,650	39,020
Total	41,812	43,949	51,110	44,117	63,858
Net charge-offs	156,959	169,809	180,999	129,924	179,277
Other ⁽¹⁾	(19,252)	(12,821)	3,782	(10,346)	8,920
Allowance for credit losses at end of fiscal year	¥1,111,130	¥1,182,188	¥ 764,124	¥658,184	¥809,540
Allowance for credit losses applicable to foreign activities:					
Balance at beginning of fiscal year	¥ 267,293	¥ 416,221	¥ 387,250	¥303,719	¥303,867
Balance at end of fiscal year	¥ 416,221	¥ 387,250	¥ 303,719	¥303,867	¥402,784
Provision for credit losses	¥ 237,189	¥ 92,689	¥ 21,889	¥ 77,338	¥231,831
Ratio of net charge-offs during the fiscal year to average loans outstanding during the fiscal year	0.13%	0.14%	0.15%	0.11%	0.15%

Note:

(1) Other principally includes losses (gains) from foreign exchange translation.

The following table shows an allocation of our allowance for credit losses at March 31 for each of the five fiscal years ended March 31, 2020:

At March 31,										
2016		2017		2018		2019		2020		
Amount	% of loans in each category to total loans	Amount	% of loans in each category to total loans	Amount	% of loans in each category to total loans	Amount	% of loans in each category to total loans	Amount	% of loans in each category to total loans	
(in millions, except percentages)										
Domestic:										
Manufacturing	¥ 321,412 9.88%	¥ 409,018 9.95%	¥ 179,799 9.27%	¥ 108,463 9.52%	¥ 146,281 9.66%					
Construction	9,813 0.74	12,097 0.69	7,934 0.67	6,856 0.61	5,671 0.62					
Real estate	31,960 9.08	33,579 9.81	21,062 10.03	15,664 9.99	15,244 10.17					
Services	34,430 2.03	42,023 2.15	29,518 2.29	24,473 2.26	45,202 2.18					
Wholesale and retail	116,450 6.41	138,119 6.73	99,985 6.81	93,112 6.52	93,636 6.33					
Banks and other financial institutions	12,840 4.18	14,732 4.41	7,636 4.11	6,198 4.45	5,932 4.35					
Communication and information services ..	14,371 1.23	13,902 1.38	17,300 1.32	8,327 1.29	7,327 1.33					
Other industries	48,870 11.97	25,156 7.50	13,543 7.62	15,398 7.47	15,055 7.32					
Consumer	102,351 13.33	106,312 13.92	80,238 13.88	75,271 13.49	72,408 12.93					
Foreign:										
Governments and official institutions	22,950 0.91	25,098 0.88	751 0.78	367 0.72	369 0.61					
Banks and other financial institutions	24,471 11.09	20,717 11.68	10,452 10.95	6,970 9.93	10,117 9.94					
Commercial and industrial	307,050 24.42	263,429 25.55	197,653 26.07	196,237 27.27	250,438 27.47					
Other	61,750 4.73	78,006 5.35	94,863 6.20	100,293 6.48	141,860 7.09					
Unallocated	2,412 —	— —	3,390 —	555 —	— —					
Total	¥1,111,130 100.00%	¥1,182,188 100.00%	¥764,124 100.00%	¥658,184 100.00%	¥809,540 100.00%					
Allowance as a percentage of loans	0.90%	1.00%	0.65%	0.56%	0.68%					
Allowance as a percentage of nonaccrual loans, restructured loans and accruing loans contractually past due 90 days or more ..	59.29%	63.27%	52.04%	48.32%	54.59%					

While the allowance for credit losses contains amounts allocated to components of specifically identified loans as well as a group on a portfolio of loans, the allowance for credit losses covers the credit losses of the entire loan portfolio and the allocations shown above are not intended to be restricted to the specific loan category. Accordingly, as the evaluation of credit risk changes, allocations of the allowance will be adjusted to reflect current conditions and various other factors.

V. Deposits

The following table shows the average amount of, and the average rate paid on, the following deposit categories for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020:

	Fiscal years ended March 31,					
	2018		2019		2020	
	Average amount	Average rate	Average amount	Average rate	Average amount	Average rate
	(in millions, except percentages)					
Domestic offices:						
Non-interest-bearing demand deposits	¥ 22,701,413	—%	¥ 24,429,358	—%	¥ 25,243,586	—%
Interest-bearing demand deposits	76,104,436	0.03	80,318,814	0.05	81,846,344	0.07
Deposits at notice	1,773,780	0.00	1,658,467	0.01	1,413,584	0.00
Time deposits	41,501,996	0.08	40,670,338	0.07	39,291,672	0.04
Certificates of deposit	3,760,848	0.01	2,014,290	0.01	1,743,325	0.01
Foreign offices:						
Non-interest-bearing demand deposits	5,477,038	—	5,356,424	—	5,037,045	—
Interest-bearing deposits, principally time deposits and certificates of deposit	41,421,717	1.10	41,945,626	1.55	45,216,271	1.68
Total	<u>¥192,741,228</u>		<u>¥196,393,317</u>		<u>¥199,791,827</u>	

Deposits at notice represent interest-bearing demand deposits which require the depositor to give two or more days notice in advance of withdrawal.

The average amounts of total deposits by foreign depositors included in domestic offices for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020 were ¥882,772 million, ¥820,311 million and ¥775,125 million, respectively.

At March 31, 2020, the balances and remaining maturities of time deposits and certificates of deposit (“CDs”) issued by domestic offices in amounts of ¥10 million (approximately U.S.\$93 thousand at the Federal Reserve Bank of New York’s noon buying rate on March 31, 2020) or more and total foreign deposits issued in amounts of U.S.\$100,000 or more are shown in the following table:

	Time deposits	Certificates of deposit (in millions)	Total
Domestic offices:			
Three months or less	¥ 6,245,409	¥1,053,269	¥ 7,298,678
Over three months through six months	3,767,815	132,490	3,900,305
Over six months through twelve months	9,461,967	112,302	9,574,269
Over twelve months	3,088,431	95,393	3,183,824
Total	<u>¥22,563,622</u>	<u>¥1,393,454</u>	<u>¥23,957,076</u>
Foreign offices			<u>¥23,329,855</u>

VI. Short-Term Borrowings

The following table shows certain additional information with respect to our short-term borrowings for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020:

	Fiscal years ended March 31,		
	2018	2019	2020
	(in millions, except percentages)		
Call money, funds purchased, and payables under repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions:			
Average balance outstanding during the fiscal year	¥28,052,275	¥26,406,493	¥27,747,633
Maximum balance outstanding at any month-end during the fiscal year	28,757,355	31,395,497	36,535,711
Balance at end of fiscal year	28,757,355	28,588,039	36,535,711
Weighted average interest rate during the fiscal year	0.61%	1.28%	1.30%
Weighted average interest rate on balance at end of fiscal year	0.61%	1.13%	0.72%
Due to trust account and other short-term borrowings:			
Average balance outstanding during the fiscal year	¥10,556,895	¥ 9,875,361	¥ 9,883,337
Maximum balance outstanding at any month-end during the fiscal year	11,823,043	10,821,354	19,433,229
Balance at end of fiscal year	10,267,282	9,467,025	19,433,229
Weighted average interest rate during the fiscal year	0.65%	1.25%	1.34%
Weighted average interest rate on balance at end of fiscal year	0.87%	1.40%	0.55%

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

INDEX

	<u>Page</u>
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-3
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2019 and 2020	F-9
Consolidated Statements of Income for the Fiscal Years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020	F-11
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Fiscal Years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020	F-13
Consolidated Statements of Equity for the Fiscal Years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020	F-14
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Fiscal Years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020	F-16
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-18
1. Basis of Financial Statements and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies	F-18
2. Business Developments	F-36
3. Investment Securities	F-41
4. Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses	F-48
5. Premises and Equipment	F-65
6. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets	F-66
7. Lease Transactions	F-70
8. Income Taxes	F-72
9. Pledged Assets and Collateral	F-77
10. Deposits	F-79
11. Call Money and Funds Purchased	F-79
12. Due to Trust Account, Short-term Borrowings and Long-term Debt	F-80
13. Severance Indemnities and Pension Plans	F-83
14. Other Assets and Liabilities	F-92
15. Offsetting of Derivatives, Repurchase Agreements, and Securities Lending Transactions	F-95
16. Repurchase Agreements, and Securities Lending Transactions Accounted for as Secured Borrowings	F-96
17. Preferred Stock	F-98
18. Common Stock and Capital Surplus	F-98
19. Retained Earnings, Legal Reserve and Dividends	F-100
20. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	F-102
21. Regulatory Capital Requirements	F-106
22. Earnings per Common Share Applicable to Common Shareholders of MUFG	F-114
23. Derivative Financial Instruments	F-114
24. Obligations Under Guarantees and Other Off-balance Sheet Instruments	F-121
25. Variable Interest Entities	F-125
26. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities	F-136
27. Fees and Commissions Income	F-137
28. Trading Account Profits and Losses	F-138
29. Business Segments	F-139
30. Foreign Activities	F-142
31. Fair Value	F-144
32. Parent Company Only Financial Information	F-161
33. Subsequent Events	F-164

(This page is intentionally left blank)

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and the Shareholders of
Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc.
(Kabushiki Kaisha Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group)

Opinion on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc. (Kabushiki Kaisha Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group) (“MUFG”) and subsidiaries (together, the “MUFG Group”) as of March 31, 2019 and 2020, the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended March 31, 2020, and the related notes (collectively referred to as the “financial statements”) (all expressed in Japanese yen). In our opinion, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the MUFG Group as of March 31, 2019 and 2020, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended March 31, 2020, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (“PCAOB”), the MUFG Group’s internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2020, based on criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework (2013)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated July 10, 2020, expressed an unqualified opinion on the MUFG Group’s internal control over financial reporting.

Change in Accounting Principle

As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, the MUFG Group changed its method of accounting for unrealized holding gains and losses on equity investment securities on April 1, 2018 due to the adoption of Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Update 2016-01, Financial Instruments—Overall (Subtopic 825-10)—Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities.

Basis for Opinion

These financial statements are the responsibility of the MUFG Group’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the MUFG Group’s financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to the MUFG Group in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Critical Audit Matters

The critical audit matters communicated below are matters arising from the current-period audit of the financial statements that were communicated or required to be communicated to the audit committee and that (1) relate to accounts or disclosures that are material to the financial statements and (2) involved our especially challenging, subjective, or complex judgments. The communication of critical audit matters does not alter in any way our opinion on the financial statements, taken as a whole, and we are not, by communicating the critical audit matters below, providing separate opinions on the critical audit matters or on the accounts or disclosures to which they relate.

Allowance for Credit Losses—Commercial Segment—Refer to Notes 1 and 4 to the Financial Statements

Critical Audit Matter Description

The MUFG Group has banking subsidiaries and they are engaged in lending business as one of their core businesses. It maintains an allowance for credit losses to absorb incurred probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio because there is a risk of not collecting all or part of the loan amount due to credit events such as borrower's bankruptcy. The appropriate level of the allowance for credit losses for the loan portfolio was determined by evaluating various factors and assumptions, such as the borrower's internal credit rating and historical loss experience as well as adjustments to reflect existing economic conditions at the balance sheet date. At March 31, 2020, the MUFG Group had ¥86,622,787 million of loans in the Commercial segment and recorded an allowance for credit losses against these loans of ¥482,275 million.

Of the various factors and assumptions, the determination of the allowance for credit losses for the Commercial segment requires management to make significant judgments due to the subjectivity and uncertainty associated with the determination of borrowers' internal credit ratings which are highly dependent on the estimation of the borrowers' performance and business sustainability in case the borrowers experience financial problems. As the particular borrowers' internal credit ratings are affected by changes in the borrowers' external and internal business environment, there is a high degree of uncertainty and subjective judgment made by management.

In addition, the MUFG Group recognized a qualitative reserve for loan losses, specific to the Commercial segment as a result of the estimated impact that COVID-19 had on borrowers' credit risk which has been incurred but did not appear on the individual borrower's financials. The estimate included assumptions regarding which borrowers (certain industries and regions) have suffered significant impacts on their performance as a result of the COVID-19. Assumptions about the severity and duration of the COVID-19 were also used to estimate the impact of the COVID-19 on the borrowers. There is a high degree of uncertainty and subjective judgment made by management due to the lack of consensus relating to the severity and duration of the COVID-19.

Thus, we identified the particular borrowers' internal credit ratings used to determine the allowance for credit losses for the Commercial segment and the qualitative reserve recorded in the Commercial segment as a result of the COVID-19 as critical audit matters. Auditing the determination of the internal credit ratings and the qualitative reserve required a high degree of auditor judgment and an increased extent of effort, including the need to involve our credit specialists, when performing audit procedures to evaluate the reasonableness of the internal credit ratings and the amount of the qualitative reserve.

How the Critical Audit Matter Was Addressed in the Audit

Our audit procedures related to the particular borrowers' internal credit ratings used to determine the allowance for credit losses for the Commercial segment and the qualitative reserve recorded in the Commercial segment as a result of the COVID-19 included the following, among others:

- We tested the effectiveness of controls, including review and approval, over the borrowers' internal credit ratings and the determination of the amount of the qualitative reserve as a result of the COVID-19.
- We tested the effectiveness of controls over the completeness and accuracy of the information used in performing the aforementioned controls, including the borrowers' underlying information.
- With the assistance of our credit specialists, we tested significant assumptions applied by management to determine the internal credit ratings and the appropriateness of the borrowers' underlying information by comparing them with available relevant external information.

- For the borrowers in certain industries and regions who have suffered significant impacts on their performance as a result of the COVID-19, with the assistance of our credit specialists, we tested the reasonableness of these significant assumptions used to estimate the impact of the COVID-19 on the borrowers by comparing the information which is the basis for management's determination of the qualitative reserve as a result of the COVID-19 with available relevant external information and evaluating appropriateness of the information used by management.

Business Developments—Danamon's Relationships with Agents and FSI's Customer Relationships—Refer to Note 2 to the Financial Statements

Critical Audit Matter Description

As part of the global strategies, the MUFG Group has executed multiple large-scale acquisitions, investments, and capital alliances. The MUFG Group recorded intangible assets through these business combinations. As the fair value measurement of the intangible assets includes complex estimates that require specialized knowledge, there is a risk that fair value of the intangible assets is not properly estimated. The MUFG Group completed the acquisition of PT Bank Danamon Indonesia, Tbk. ("Danamon") on April 29, 2019 and the acquisition of nine subsidiaries of Colonial First State Group Limited, which collectively, including their subsidiaries, represent the global asset management business of Colonial First State Global Asset Management, on August 2, 2019, which were renamed First Sentier Investors ("FSI") after the acquisition. The MUFG Group accounted for these acquisitions under the acquisition method of accounting for business combinations. Accordingly, the purchase price was allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their respective fair values, including Danamon's relationships with agents of ¥79,552 million and FSI's customer relationships of ¥100,862 million, respectively. Management estimated the fair values of these intangible assets using the multi-period excess earnings method, which is a specific discounted cash flow method.

The estimation of the fair values of these intangible assets required management to make significant judgements related to the following assumptions due to the subjectivity and uncertainty:

For the Danamon's relationships with agents,

- The growth rate of loan origination amounts reflecting market growth forecast
- The attrition rate of the existing agents based on historical actual results
- The discount rate in which the risk that the future cash flows differ from the estimate is taken into consideration

For the FSI's customer relationships,

- The growth rate of asset under management reflecting market growth forecast
- The attrition rate of the existing customers based on historical actual results
- The discount rate in which the risk that the future cash flows differ from the estimate is taken into consideration

As determination of these assumptions require specialized knowledge of corporate valuation and are affected by external factors such as market and customer conditions, there is a high degree of uncertainty and subjective judgment made by management. If these assumptions are not appropriate, there is a potential risk that fair values of the intangible assets at the time of the business combination are not properly measured.

Thus, we identified the aforementioned assumptions used to estimate the fair values of the Danamon's relationships with agents and the FSI's customer relationships as critical audit matters because of the significant judgments made by management to estimate the fair values of the intangible assets. Auditing these significant assumptions required a high degree of auditor judgment and an increased extent of effort, including the need to involve our specialists, when performing audit procedures to evaluate the reasonableness of the significant assumptions.

How the Critical Audit Matter Was Addressed in the Audit

Our audit procedures related to the significant assumptions used to estimate the fair values of the Danamon's relationships with agents and the FSI's customer relationships included the following, among others:

- We tested the effectiveness of controls related to the valuation of intangible assets, including review and approval, over the growth rates of loan origination amounts and asset under management reflecting the market growth forecast, the attrition rates of existing agents and customers based on historical actual results, and the discount rates applied to estimation of future cash flows.
- We tested the effectiveness of controls over the completeness and accuracy of the key underlying data used in performing the aforementioned controls.
- With the assistance of our fair value specialists, we evaluated the reasonableness of the growth rates of loan origination amounts and assets under management reflecting the market growth forecast, the attrition rates of existing agents and customers based on historical actual results, and the discount rates applied to estimation of future cash flows by evaluating whether they were appropriately estimated to reflect the circumstances inherent to the entity, which includes comparing them with available external information.

Goodwill—Danamon and MUFG Americas Holdings Corporation Reporting Units—Refer to Notes 1 and 6 to the Financial Statements

Critical Audit Matter Description

As part of the global strategies, the MUFG Group has executed multiple large-scale acquisitions, investments, and capital alliances. The MUFG Group recorded a large amount of goodwill through these business combinations. There is a risk of a large amount of loss by the goodwill impairment as the MUFG Group does not obtain the expected synergy effects due to various factors such as unexpected changes in the region and industry of investees. The MUFG Group's consolidated goodwill balance was ¥517,626 million at March 31, 2020, which was allocated to the MUFG Group's reporting units. Goodwill arising from a business combination is not amortized but is tested at least annually for impairment. Goodwill is recorded at a designated reporting unit level for the purpose of assessing impairment. The MUFG Group's evaluation of goodwill for impairment involves the comparison of the fair value of each reporting unit to its respective carrying value. The fair value of the Danamon reporting unit was measured using Danamon's market capitalization and a corresponding control premium as a market approach, which was also corroborated by other valuation techniques. The MUFG Group determined that the carrying value of Danamon exceeded the fair value as of the measurement date, resulting in the impairment loss on goodwill of ¥241,356 million for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020. In addition, the MUFG Group measured the fair values of the MUFG Americas Holdings Corporation ("MUAH") reporting units within the Global Commercial Banking Business Group segment and Global Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group segment ("MUAH reporting units") using a combination of the income and the market approaches. The income approach estimates the fair values of the reporting units by discounting management's projections of each reporting unit's cash flows, including a terminal value to estimate the fair value of cash flows beyond the final year of projected results, using a discount rate derived from the Capital Asset Pricing Model. The market approach incorporates comparable public company price to tangible book value and price to earnings multiples. The MUFG Group determined that the carrying values of the MUAH reporting units exceeded the fair values as of the measurement date, resulting in the impairment loss on goodwill of ¥80,297 million for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020.

The estimation of the fair values of the Danamon and MUAH reporting units requires management to make significant judgements related to the following significant assumptions due to the subjectivity and uncertainty:

For the Danamon reporting unit,

- The market capitalization to estimate the fair value of the Danamon reporting unit
- The control premium considered in relation to market capitalization

For the MUAH reporting units,

- Projected future operating cash flows based on forecasted future income in the income approach

If these assumptions are not appropriate, there is a potential risk that the valuation of the goodwill is not properly measured.

Thus, we identified the aforementioned assumptions used to estimate the fair values of the Danamon and MUAH reporting units as critical audit matters because of the significant judgments made by management to develop the significant assumptions used in estimation of the fair values of the Danamon and MUAH reporting units. Auditing these significant assumptions required a high degree of auditor judgment and an increased extent of effort, including the need to involve our specialists, when performing audit procedures to evaluate the reasonableness of the aforementioned significant assumptions.

How the Critical Audit Matter Was Addressed in the Audit

Our audit procedures related to the significant assumptions used to estimate the fair values of the Danamon and MUAH reporting units included the following, among others:

For the Danamon reporting unit,

- We tested the effectiveness of controls, including review and approval of determination whether an adjustment to the market capitalization is necessary by assessing the volume and level of activity in the market.
- We tested the effectiveness of controls, including review and approval of determination of comparable transactions used to determine the control premium.
- We tested the effectiveness of controls, including review and approval of the comparison of the fair value of the Danamon reporting unit calculated by market approach with the fair values calculated by alternative valuation techniques.
- We tested the effectiveness of controls over the completeness and accuracy of the key underlying data used in performing the aforementioned controls.
- We tested whether an adjustment to the market capitalization is necessary by assessing the volume and level of activity in the market.
- With the assistance of our fair value specialists, for the estimation of the control premium, we evaluated the relevance of the comparable transactions to Danamon acquisition by considering their industries, sizes, and businesses, among other factors. In addition, we evaluated the reasonableness of the control premium pertaining to the comparable transactions.
- With the assistance of our fair value specialists, in order to test the reasonableness of the valuation technique used to calculate the fair value of the Danamon reporting unit, we compared management's fair value estimate with the fair values calculated by alternative valuation techniques.

For the MUAH reporting units,

- We tested the effectiveness of controls, including review and approval, over the projected future operating cash flows based on forecasted future income in the income approach, including controls over the assumptions used to estimate the projected future operating cash flows.
- We tested the effectiveness of controls over the completeness and accuracy of the key underlying data used in performing the aforementioned controls.

- We evaluated the reasonableness of management's projected future operating cash flows based on forecasted future income by inquiring of management, comparing the projections to historical results, and inspecting financial trends and metrics.
- We considered the impact of changes in macroeconomic and company specific factors on management's forecasts.
- With the assistance of our fair value specialists, we evaluated the reasonableness of management's projected future operating cash flows based on forecasted future income for each of the MUAH reporting units.

/s/Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu LLC

Tokyo, Japan

July 10, 2020

We have served as the MUFG Group's auditor since 1976.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

AS OF MARCH 31, 2019 AND 2020

(in millions)	2019	2020
ASSETS		
Cash and due from banks (Note 9)	¥ 33,924,340	¥ 33,283,032
Interest-earning deposits in other banks (Note 9)	40,646,920	45,266,680
Cash, due from banks and interest-earning deposits in other banks	<u>74,571,260</u>	<u>78,549,712</u>
Call loans and funds sold	1,109,995	1,168,515
Receivables under resale agreements (Note 15)	10,974,740	23,995,961
Receivables under securities borrowing transactions (Note 15)	2,758,573	3,443,959
Trading account assets (including assets pledged that secured parties are permitted to sell or repledge of ¥7,512,025 and ¥6,709,467 in 2019 and 2020) (including ¥18,597,303 and ¥20,964,024 measured at fair value under the fair value option in 2019 and 2020) (Notes 9, 15, 23 and 31)	40,576,618	47,504,058
Investment securities (Notes 3, 9 and 31):		
Available-for-sale debt securities (including assets pledged that secured parties are permitted to sell or repledge of ¥6,981,664 and ¥4,490,360 in 2019 and 2020)	33,518,503	34,200,203
Held-to-maturity debt securities (including assets pledged that secured parties are permitted to sell or repledge of ¥160,828 and ¥56,411 in 2019 and 2020) (fair value of ¥4,452,947 and ¥4,177,894 in 2019 and 2020)	4,441,901	4,165,781
Equity securities (including assets pledged that secured parties are permitted to sell or repledge of ¥1,364 and ¥616 in 2019 and 2020) (including ¥6,413,867 and ¥4,850,376 in 2019 and 2020 measured at fair value)	<u>6,977,600</u>	<u>5,385,258</u>
Total investment securities	<u>44,938,004</u>	<u>43,751,242</u>
Loans, net of unearned income, unamortized premiums and deferred loan fees (including assets pledged that secured parties are permitted to sell or repledge of ¥802,185 and ¥648,437 in 2019 and 2020) (Notes 4 and 9)	116,883,941	118,186,739
Allowance for credit losses (Note 4)	<u>(658,184)</u>	<u>(809,540)</u>
Net loans	<u>116,225,757</u>	<u>117,377,199</u>
Premises and equipment—net (Note 5 and 7)	973,600	929,529
Customers' acceptance liability	247,996	167,257
Intangible assets—net (Notes 2 and 6)	927,196	1,239,526
Goodwill (Notes 2 and 6)	433,891	517,626
Other assets (Notes 7, 8, 9, 13, 14 and 31)	<u>11,491,269</u>	<u>13,108,699</u>
Total assets	<u><u>¥305,228,899</u></u>	<u><u>¥331,753,283</u></u>
Assets of consolidated VIEs included in total assets above that can be used only to settle obligations of consolidated VIEs (Note 25)		
Cash and due from banks	¥ 7	¥ 846
Interest-earning deposits in other banks	23,655	30,047
Trading account assets	528,690	695,069
Investment securities	1,828,194	1,804,459
Loans	15,545,328	16,072,595
All other assets	<u>294,212</u>	<u>244,645</u>
Total assets of consolidated VIEs	<u><u>¥ 18,220,086</u></u>	<u><u>¥ 18,847,661</u></u>

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS—(Continued)
AS OF MARCH 31, 2019 AND 2020

(in millions, except shares)	2019	2020
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Deposits (Notes 9 and 10):		
Domestic offices:		
Non-interest-bearing	¥ 25,222,218	¥ 28,091,421
Interest-bearing	124,859,036	126,485,629
Overseas offices:		
Non-interest-bearing	5,220,557	5,290,262
Interest-bearing	43,978,978	44,087,216
Total deposits	199,280,789	203,954,528
Call money and funds purchased (Notes 9 and 11)	2,450,320	3,668,922
Payables under repurchase agreements (Notes 9, 15 and 16)	25,224,632	31,849,915
Payables under securities lending transactions (Notes 9, 15 and 16)	913,087	1,016,874
Due to trust account and other short-term borrowings (including ¥289,755 and ¥377,133 measured at fair value under the fair value option in 2019 and 2020) (Notes 9, 12 and 31)	9,467,025	19,433,229
Trading account liabilities (Notes 15, 23 and 31)	13,009,492	14,767,433
Bank acceptances outstanding	247,996	167,257
Long-term debt (including ¥325,808 and ¥304,067 measured at fair value under the fair value option in 2019 and 2020) (Notes 7, 9, 12 and 31)	27,990,543	27,926,763
Other liabilities (Notes 1, 7, 8, 9, 13, 14, 15, 16, 26 and 31)	10,660,267	13,223,846
Total liabilities	289,244,151	316,008,767
Commitments and contingent liabilities (Notes 24 and 26)		
Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group shareholders' equity:		
Capital stock (Notes 17 and 18)—common stock authorized, 33,000,000,000 shares; common stock issued, 13,667,770,520 shares and 13,581,995,120 shares at March 31, 2019 and 2020, with no stated value	2,090,270	2,090,270
Capital surplus (Note 18)	5,577,186	5,533,520
Retained earnings (Notes 19 and 33):		
Appropriated for legal reserve	239,571	239,571
Unappropriated retained earnings	8,094,026	8,079,530
Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of taxes (Note 20)	(284,269)	(420,417)
Treasury stock, at cost—745,921,774 common shares and 741,772,308 common shares at March 31, 2019 and 2020	(517,236)	(505,987)
Total Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group shareholders' equity	15,199,548	15,016,487
Noncontrolling interests	785,200	728,029
Total equity	15,984,748	15,744,516
Total liabilities and equity	¥305,228,899	¥331,753,283
Liabilities of consolidated VIEs for which creditors or beneficial interest holders do not have recourse to the general credit of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group (Note 25)		
Other short-term borrowings	¥ 20,535	¥ 30,831
Long-term debt	490,033	465,352
All other liabilities	62,146	101,969
Total liabilities of consolidated VIEs	¥ 572,714	¥ 598,152

See the accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED MARCH 31, 2018, 2019 AND 2020**

(in millions)	2018	2019	2020
Interest income:			
Loans, including fees (Note 4)	¥2,271,219	¥2,576,417	¥2,597,932
Deposits in other banks	126,608	183,327	167,267
Investment securities:			
Interest	198,715	237,378	242,123
Dividends	145,186	160,825	172,382
Trading account assets	432,595	499,724	489,842
Call loans and funds sold	10,808	10,354	11,286
Receivables under resale agreements and securities borrowing transactions	73,885	145,354	246,311
Total	<u>3,259,016</u>	<u>3,813,379</u>	<u>3,927,143</u>
Interest expense:			
Deposits	514,868	717,366	836,081
Call money and funds purchased	5,248	3,913	3,270
Payables under repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions	165,512	333,632	357,382
Due to trust account, other short-term borrowings and trading account liabilities	93,644	155,273	164,907
Long-term debt	249,483	307,797	322,704
Total	<u>1,028,755</u>	<u>1,517,981</u>	<u>1,684,344</u>
Net interest income	2,230,261	2,295,398	2,242,799
Provision for (reversal of) credit losses (Note 4)	(240,847)	34,330	321,713
Net interest income after provision for (reversal of) credit losses ...	<u>2,471,108</u>	<u>2,261,068</u>	<u>1,921,086</u>
Non-interest income:			
Fees and commissions income (Note 27)	1,462,792	1,438,578	1,502,052
Foreign exchange losses—net (Note 28)	(49,561)	(95,987)	(281,790)
Trading account profits (losses)—net (Notes 28 and 31)	(73,114)	168,900	765,373
Investment securities gains (losses)—net (Note 3) ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	286,903	(252,307)	(532,248)
Equity in earnings of equity method investees—net (Note 14)	227,984	209,732	282,712
Gains on sales of loans (Note 4)	16,109	22,663	9,956
Gain on remeasurement of previously held equity method investment (Note 2)	—	—	41,218
Other non-interest income	63,978	103,665	88,422
Total	<u>1,935,091</u>	<u>1,595,244</u>	<u>1,875,695</u>
Non-interest expense:			
Salaries and employee benefits (Note 13)	1,165,357	1,175,405	1,242,563
Occupancy expenses—net (Notes 5 and 26)	179,100	179,780	182,917
Fees and commissions expenses	297,847	313,745	332,033
Outsourcing expenses, including data processing	276,236	275,052	303,632
Depreciation of premises and equipment (Note 5)	96,180	98,867	113,489
Amortization of intangible assets (Note 6)	234,376	235,083	237,328
Impairment of intangible assets (Note 6)	21,900	118,108	3,732
Insurance premiums, including deposit insurance	91,847	93,756	98,441
Communications	58,067	59,166	59,976
Taxes and public charges	90,210	95,358	100,198
Impairment of goodwill (Note 6)	—	—	383,810
Provision for (reversal of) off-balance sheet credit instruments	(96,054)	38,463	(62,279)
Other non-interest expenses (Notes 5 and 26)	329,314	302,687	367,721
Total	<u>2,744,380</u>	<u>2,985,470</u>	<u>3,363,561</u>

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME—(Continued)
FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED MARCH 31, 2018, 2019 AND 2020

(in millions, except per share amount)	2018	2019	2020
Income before income tax expense	1,661,819	870,842	433,220
Income tax expense (Note 8)	407,823	133,237	114,505
Net income before attribution of noncontrolling interests	1,253,996	737,605	318,715
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	25,836	18,960	12,760
Net income attributable to Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group	<u>¥1,228,160</u>	<u>¥ 718,645</u>	<u>¥ 305,955</u>
Earnings applicable to common shareholders of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group	<u>¥1,228,160</u>	<u>¥ 718,645</u>	<u>¥ 305,955</u>
Earnings per common share applicable to common shareholders of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group (Notes 19 and 22):			
Basic earnings per common share—Earnings applicable to common shareholders of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group	¥ 92.40	¥ 55.03	¥ 23.69
Diluted earnings per common share—Earnings applicable to common shareholders of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group	92.10	54.74	23.47
Cash dividend per common share	18.00	21.00	23.50
Weighted average common shares outstanding	13,292	13,059	12,913
Weighted average diluted common shares outstanding	13,293	13,059	12,913

Notes:

(1) The following credit losses are included in Investment securities gains (losses)—net:

(in millions)	2018	2019	2020
Decline in fair value	¥ 99	¥ 596	¥ 1,467
Other comprehensive income—net	15	10	123
Total credit losses	<u>¥ 114</u>	<u>¥ 606</u>	<u>¥ 1,590</u>

(2) New guidance on recognition and measurement of financial assets and financial liabilities requires equity investments to be measured at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in net income from the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019. For additional information, refer to Note 1.

See the accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED MARCH 31, 2018, 2019 AND 2020

(in millions)	2018	2019	2020
Net income before attribution of noncontrolling interests	¥1,253,996	¥737,605	¥ 318,715
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax (Note 20):			
Net unrealized gains on investment securities ⁽¹⁾	230,308	88,180	23,619
Net debt valuation adjustments	(2,178)	9,729	54,172
Net unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives qualifying for cash flow hedges	(7,025)	(4,890)	10,642
Defined benefit plans (Note 13)	109,838	(88,940)	(131,523)
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(104,778)	(42,212)	(81,065)
Total	<u>226,165</u>	<u>(38,133)</u>	<u>(124,155)</u>
Comprehensive income	1,480,161	699,472	194,560
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	25,836	18,960	12,760
Other comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	<u>1,320</u>	<u>21,209</u>	<u>11,993</u>
Comprehensive income attributable to Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group	<u>¥1,453,005</u>	<u>¥659,303</u>	<u>¥ 169,807</u>

Note:

(1) Included unrealized gains (losses) related to only debt securities for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019 and 2020.

See the accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY
FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED MARCH 31, 2018, 2019 AND 2020

(in millions, except per share amount)	2018	2019	2020
Capital stock (Notes 17 and 18):			
Balance at beginning of fiscal year	¥ 2,090,270	¥ 2,090,270	¥ 2,090,270
Balance at end of fiscal year	¥ 2,090,270	¥ 2,090,270	¥ 2,090,270
Capital surplus (Note 18):			
Balance at beginning of fiscal year	¥ 5,956,644	¥ 5,740,165	¥ 5,577,186
Stock-based compensation	315	(180)	2,596
Purchase of shares of Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS from noncontrolling interest shareholder (Note 2)	(34,751)	—	—
Retirement of common stock	(190,054)	(162,720)	(58,626)
Other—net	8,011	(79)	12,364
Balance at end of fiscal year	¥ 5,740,165	¥ 5,577,186	¥ 5,533,520
Retained earnings appropriated for legal reserve (Note 19):			
Balance at beginning of fiscal year	¥ 239,571	¥ 239,571	¥ 239,571
Balance at end of fiscal year	¥ 239,571	¥ 239,571	¥ 239,571
Unappropriated retained earnings (Note 19):			
Balance at beginning of fiscal year	¥ 3,931,612	¥ 4,945,733	¥ 8,094,026
Net income attributable to Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group	1,228,160	718,645	305,955
Cash dividends:			
Common stock—¥18.00 per share in 2018, ¥21.00 per share in 2019, and ¥23.50 per share in 2020	(240,497)	(275,551)	(303,742)
Losses on sales of shares of treasury stock	(8)	—	(1)
Effect of adopting new guidance by a foreign affiliated company	(2,605) ⁽¹⁾	1,173 ⁽²⁾	(1,825) ⁽³⁾
Effect of adopting new guidance on reclassification of certain tax effects	29,071	—	—
Effect of adopting new guidance on recognition and measurement of financial assets and financial liabilities (Note 1)	—	2,702,242	—
Effect of adopting new guidance on recognition of breakage for certain prepaid stored-value products	—	1,784	—
Effect of adopting new guidance on leases (Note 1)	—	—	(14,883)
Balance at end of fiscal year	¥ 4,945,733	¥ 8,094,026	¥ 8,079,530

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY—(Continued)
FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED MARCH 31, 2018, 2019 AND 2020

(in millions)	2018	2019	2020
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes:			
Balance at beginning of fiscal year	¥ 2,281,423	¥ 2,477,315	¥ (284,269)
Net change during the fiscal year	224,845	(59,342)	(136,148)
Effect of adopting new guidance by a foreign affiliated company	118	—	—
Effect of adopting new guidance on reclassification of certain tax effects	(29,071)	—	—
Effect of adopting new guidance on recognition and measurement of financial assets and financial liabilities (Note 1)	—	(2,702,242)	—
Balance at end of fiscal year	<u>¥ 2,477,315</u>	<u>¥ (284,269)</u>	<u>¥ (420,417)</u>
Treasury stock, at cost:			
Balance at beginning of fiscal year	¥ (513,988)	¥ (522,872)	¥ (517,236)
Purchases of shares of treasury stock (Note 18)	(201,102)	(161,043)	(50,028)
Sales of shares of treasury stock	2,098	3,775	2,635
Retirement of common stock	190,054	162,720	58,626
Net decrease resulting from changes in interests in consolidated subsidiaries, consolidated VIEs, and affiliated companies	66	184	16
Balance at end of fiscal year	<u>¥ (522,872)</u>	<u>¥ (517,236)</u>	<u>¥ (505,987)</u>
Total Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group shareholders' equity	<u>¥14,970,182</u>	<u>¥15,199,548</u>	<u>¥15,016,487</u>
Noncontrolling interests:			
Balance at beginning of fiscal year	¥ 779,176	¥ 675,633	¥ 785,200
Initial subscriptions of noncontrolling interests	48,828	108,235	58,228
Transactions between the consolidated subsidiaries and the related noncontrolling interest shareholders	(120,216)	(2,830)	(8,627)
Decrease in noncontrolling interests related to deconsolidation of subsidiaries	(22,556)	(20,497)	(119,797)
Decrease in noncontrolling interests related to disposition of subsidiaries	—	—	(3,488)
Purchase of shares of Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS from noncontrolling interest shareholder (Note 2)	(15,390)	—	—
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	25,836	18,960	12,760
Dividends paid to noncontrolling interests	(21,675)	(15,853)	(8,487)
Other comprehensive income, net of taxes	1,320	21,209	11,993
Other—net	310	343	247
Balance at end of fiscal year	<u>¥ 675,633</u>	<u>¥ 785,200</u>	<u>¥ 728,029</u>
Total equity	<u>¥15,645,815</u>	<u>¥15,984,748</u>	<u>¥15,744,516</u>

Notes:

- (1) The effect mainly resulted from the adoption of new accounting guidance on "Targeted Improvements to Accounting for Hedging Activities". See Note 14 for more information.
- (2) The effect resulted from the adoption of new accounting guidance on "Leases".
- (3) The effect resulted from the adoption of new accounting guidance on "Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments".

See the accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED MARCH 31, 2018, 2019 AND 2020**

(in millions)	2018	2019	2020
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income before attribution of noncontrolling interests	¥ 1,253,996	¥ 737,605	¥ 318,715
Adjustments to reconcile net income before attribution of noncontrolling interests to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization (Notes 5 and 6)	330,556	333,950	350,817
Impairment of goodwill (Note 6)	—	—	383,810
Impairment of intangible assets (Note 6)	21,900	118,108	3,732
Provision for (reversal of) credit losses (Note 4)	(240,847)	34,330	321,713
Employee benefit income for severance indemnities and pension plans (Note 13)	(7,955)	(19,839)	(6,917)
Investment securities (gains) losses—net	(286,903)	252,307	532,248
Amortization of premiums on investment securities	118,863	78,509	65,078
Changes in financial instruments measured at fair value under fair value option, excluding trading account securities—net (Note 31)	(13,456)	13,880	2,894
Foreign exchange (gains) losses—net	(208,398)	565,304	544,763
Equity in earnings of equity method investees—net	(227,984)	(209,732)	(282,712)
Provision (benefit) for deferred income tax expense	120,595	(47,796)	(60,967)
Decrease (increase) in trading account assets, excluding foreign exchange contracts	5,653,904	(2,695,035)	(4,902,492)
Increase (decrease) in trading account liabilities, excluding foreign exchange contracts	(6,433,948)	1,370,846	2,217,808
Net decrease (increase) in collateral for derivative transactions	259,287	(79,338)	(217,864)
Net decrease (increase) in margin for listed derivative transactions	165,383	(87,075)	(279,844)
Increase in cash collateral for the use of Bank of Japan's settlement infrastructure	(643,568)	(60,462)	(54,018)
Other—net	(425,261)	(77,228)	(292,664)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	<u>(563,836)</u>	<u>228,334</u>	<u>(1,355,900)</u>
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Proceeds from sales of Available-for-sale debt securities (including proceeds from debt securities under the fair value option) (Note 3)	30,995,426	31,283,601	60,731,107
Proceeds from maturities of Available-for-sale debt securities (including proceeds from debt securities under the fair value option) (Note 3)	40,011,298	26,448,801	24,335,639
Purchases of Available-for-sale debt securities (including purchases of debt securities under the fair value option) (Note 3)	(71,593,326)	(62,309,072)	(87,618,074)
Proceeds from maturities of Held-to-maturity debt securities	1,085,603	560,646	708,068
Purchases of Held-to-maturity debt securities	(1,156,122)	(1,192,989)	(495,346)
Proceeds from sales and redemption of Equity securities (including proceeds from equity securities under the fair value option)	2,377,333	2,722,948	3,871,908
Purchases of Equity securities (including purchases of equity securities under the fair value option)	(2,197,171)	(2,770,356)	(3,129,666)
Purchase of common stock in Bank Danamon, an equity method investee of BK (Note 2)	—	(132,335)	—
Acquisition of Bank Danamon, a subsidiary of BK, net of cash acquired (Note 2)	—	—	(243,597)
Acquisition of FSI (formerly, Colonial First State Global Asset Management), subsidiaries of TB, net of cash acquired (Note 2)	—	—	(249,615)
Acquisition of DVB Bank's Aviation Finance Division, net of cash acquired (Note 2)	—	—	(555,250)
Net decrease (increase) in loans	(169,478)	330,198	(1,631,085)
Net decrease (increase) in call loans, funds sold, and receivables under resale agreements and securities borrowing transactions	4,187,093	627,327	(13,714,288)
Proceeds from sales of premises and equipment	12,211	26,191	64,400
Capital expenditures for premises and equipment	(159,003)	(126,479)	(123,804)
Purchases of intangible assets	(239,755)	(276,880)	(308,081)
Proceeds from sales and dispositions of investments in equity method investees	39,710	161,566	171,882
Proceeds from sales of consolidated VIEs and subsidiaries—net	122,962	64,395	168,970
Other—net	(72,765)	(49,590)	(68,611)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	<u>3,244,016</u>	<u>(4,632,028)</u>	<u>(18,085,443)</u>

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS—(Continued)
FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED MARCH 31, 2018, 2019 AND 2020

(in millions)	2018	2019	2020
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Net increase in deposits	5,720,011	3,602,674	5,746,624
Net increase (decrease) in call money, funds purchased, and payables under repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions	3,963,120	(303,042)	8,077,351
Net increase (decrease) in due to trust account and other short-term borrowings	(906,702)	(768,649)	9,944,171
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	6,671,031	5,020,636	4,999,531
Repayments of long-term debt	(5,485,894)	(4,236,887)	(4,983,073)
Proceeds from sales of treasury stock	1,316	2,322	1,235
Payments for acquisition of treasury stock (Note 18)	(201,102)	(159,962)	(50,028)
Payments for acquisition of shares of certain subsidiaries from noncontrolling interest shareholders	(318)	—	—
Payments for acquisition of shares of Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS from noncontrolling interest shareholders (Note 2)	(50,000)	—	—
Dividends paid	(240,514)	(275,581)	(303,728)
Dividends paid by subsidiaries to noncontrolling interests	(21,675)	(15,853)	(8,487)
Other—net	(87,067)	197,673	358,922
Net cash provided by financing activities	9,362,206	3,063,331	23,782,518
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(188,149)	43,975	(362,652)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	11,854,237	(1,296,388)	3,978,523
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of fiscal year	64,019,219	75,873,456	74,577,068
Cash and cash equivalents:			
Cash, due from banks and interest-earning deposits in other banks	75,858,049	74,571,260	78,549,712
Restricted cash included in other assets	15,407	5,808	5,879
Cash and cash equivalents at end of fiscal year	¥ 75,873,456	¥ 74,577,068	¥ 78,555,591
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:			
Cash paid during the fiscal year for:			
Interest	¥ 1,040,337	¥ 1,488,136	¥ 1,759,239
Income taxes, net of refunds	265,225	302,019	128,124
Non-cash investing and financing activities:			
Assets acquired under finance lease arrangements (Note 7)	7,111	11,280	12,754
Assets acquired under operating lease arrangements (Note 7)	—	—	46,482
Available-for-sale debt securities transferred to Held-to-maturity debt securities	—	221,537	—
Marketable Equity Securities issued by Bank Danamon transferred to investments in subsidiaries and affiliates (Note 2)	—	98,934	—
Acquisition of Bank Danamon, a subsidiary of BK (Note 2):			
Fair value of assets acquired, excluding cash and cash equivalents	—	—	1,811,160
Fair value of liabilities assumed	—	—	1,242,115
Fair value of noncontrolling interests	—	—	51,314
Acquisition of FSI (formerly, Colonial First State Global Asset Management), subsidiaries of TB (Note 2):			
Fair value of assets acquired, excluding cash and cash equivalents	—	—	332,914
Fair value of liabilities assumed	—	—	68,519
Acquisition of DVB Bank's Aviation Finance Division (Note 2):			
Fair value of assets acquired	—	—	572,487
Fair value of liabilities assumed	—	—	2,599

See the accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. BASIS OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Description of Business

Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc. ("MUFG") is a holding company for MUFG Bank, Ltd. (formerly, The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd., "MUFG Bank" or "BK"), Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking Corporation ("Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking" or "TB"), Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings Co., Ltd. ("Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings" or "SCHD"), Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS Co., Ltd. ("Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS"), and other subsidiaries. Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings is an intermediate holding company for Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities Co., Ltd. ("Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities"). Through its subsidiaries and affiliated companies, MUFG engages in a broad range of financial operations, including commercial banking, investment banking, trust banking and asset management services, securities businesses, and credit card businesses, and it provides related services to individual and corporate customers. See Note 29 for more information by business segment.

Basis of Financial Statements

The accompanying consolidated financial statements are presented in Japanese yen, the currency of the country in which MUFG is incorporated and principally operates. The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the basis of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP"). In certain respects, the accompanying consolidated financial statements reflect adjustments which are not included in the consolidated financial statements issued by MUFG and certain of its subsidiaries in accordance with applicable statutory requirements and accounting practices in their respective countries of incorporation. The major adjustments include those relating to (1) investment securities, (2) derivative financial instruments, (3) allowance for credit losses, (4) income taxes, (5) consolidation, (6) premises and equipment, (7) transfer of financial assets, (8) accrued severance indemnities and pension liabilities, (9) goodwill and other intangible assets and (10) lease transactions.

Fiscal years of certain subsidiaries, which end on December 31, and MUFG's fiscal year, which ends on March 31, have been treated as coterminous. For the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020, the effect of recording intervening events for the three-month periods ended March 31 on MUFG's proportionate equity in net income of subsidiaries with fiscal years ended on December 31, would have resulted in a decrease of ¥10.76 billion, an increase of ¥19.97 billion, and a decrease of ¥142 – ¥164 billion to net income attributable to Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, respectively. The decrease for the three-month period ended on March 31, 2020 was largely due to an additional allowance for loan losses caused by COVID-19 and goodwill impairment. See Notes 4 and 6 for more information. MUFG concluded that these intervening events do not represent substantial and permanent effects on its consolidated financial statements in any of the years presented.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to management judgment primarily relate to the allowance for credit losses, the valuation of deferred tax assets, the valuation of financial instruments, the accounting for goodwill and intangible assets, impairment of investment securities, the allowances for repayment of excess interest and accrued severance indemnities and pension liabilities.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Significant accounting policies applied in the accompanying consolidated financial statements are summarized below:

Consolidation—The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of MUFG, its subsidiaries and certain variable interest entities (“VIE”s) (together, the “MUFG Group”). In situations in which the MUFG Group has a controlling financial interest in other entities, including certain VIEs, such entities are consolidated and noncontrolling interests, if any, are recorded in Total equity. Intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated. Investments in affiliated companies (companies over which the MUFG Group has the ability to exercise significant influence) are accounted for by the equity method of accounting and are reported in Other assets. The MUFG Group’s equity interest in the earnings of these equity investees and other-than-temporary impairment (“OTTI”) are reported in Equity in earnings of equity method investees-net. The MUFG Group recognizes an impairment loss on investments in equity method investees that is other-than-temporary. The MUFG Group determines whether loss on investments is other-than-temporary, through consideration of various factors, such as inability to recover the carrying amount of the investment, the inability of the investee to sustain an earnings capacity that would justify the carrying amount of the investment, the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, the financial condition and near-term prospects of the investees, and the intent and ability to retain its investment in the investees for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in the fair value. The MUFG Group also evaluates additional factors, such as the condition and trend of the economic cycle, and trends in the general market.

The MUFG Group consolidates VIEs if it has the power to direct the activities of a VIE which most significantly impact the VIE’s economic performance and has the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the VIE. To assess whether a VIE should be consolidated or not, the MUFG Group considers all factors, such as the purpose and design of the VIE, contractual arrangements, and the MUFG Group’s involvement in both the establishment of the VIE and day-to-day activities of the VIE. The MUFG Group considers a right to make the most significant decisions affecting a VIE to determine whether it is deemed to have the power to direct the activities of the VIE. Furthermore, the MUFG Group considers its economic interests in the VIE, including investments in debt or equity instruments issued by the VIE, liquidity and credit enhancement, and guarantees to determine whether such interests are potentially significant to the VIE or not.

Assets that the MUFG Group holds in an agency, fiduciary or trust capacity are not assets of the MUFG Group and, accordingly, are not included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Cash Flows—For the purposes of reporting cash flows, cash and cash equivalents consist of Cash and due from banks, Interest-earning deposits in other banks, and certain restricted cash included in Other assets. Restricted cash included in cash and cash equivalents represents cash or deposits subject to withdrawal or usage restrictions, and mainly consist of reserves on deposits with the Bank of Japan and similar reserves required for foreign offices and subsidiaries engaged in banking businesses in foreign countries. Cash flows from qualified hedging activities are classified in the same category as the items being hedged.

Translation of Foreign Currency Financial Statements and Foreign Currency Transactions—Financial statements of overseas entities are translated into Japanese yen using the respective fiscal year-end exchange rates for assets and liabilities. Income and expense items are translated at average rates of exchange for the respective fiscal years.

Foreign currency translation gains and losses related to the financial statements of overseas entities of the MUFG Group, net of related income tax effects, are credited or charged directly to Foreign currency translation

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

adjustments, a component of Accumulated other comprehensive income (“Accumulated OCI”). Tax effects of gains and losses on foreign currency translation of the financial statements of overseas entities are not recognized unless it is apparent that the temporary differences will reverse in the foreseeable future.

Foreign currency-denominated assets and liabilities are translated into the functional currencies of the individual entities included in consolidation at the respective fiscal year-end foreign exchange rates. Foreign currency-denominated income and expenses are translated using average rates of exchange for the respective fiscal years. Gains and losses from such translation are included in Foreign exchange gains (losses)—net, as appropriate.

Repurchase Agreements, Securities Lending and Other Secured Financing Transactions—Securities sold with agreements to repurchase (“repurchase agreements”), securities purchased with agreements to resell (“resale agreements”) and securities lending and borrowing transactions are accounted for as secured financing or lending transactions, if the transferor has not surrendered control over the securities. Repurchase agreements and resale agreements are generally carried at the amounts at which the securities will be subsequently sold or repurchased, and securities lending and borrowing transactions are generally carried at the amount of cash collateral advanced or received. If they meet the relevant conditions for the surrender of control, they are accounted for as sales of securities with related off-balance sheet forward repurchase commitments or purchases of securities with related off-balance sheet forward resale commitments. For the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020, there were no such transactions accounted for as sales or purchases.

Collateral—For secured lending transactions, including resale agreements, securities borrowing transactions, commercial lending and derivative transactions, the MUFG Group, as a secured party, generally has the right to require the counterparties to provide collateral, including letters of credit, cash, securities and other financial assets. For most secured lending transactions, the MUFG Group maintains strict levels of collateralization governed by a daily mark-to-market analysis. Financial assets pledged as collateral are generally negotiable financial instruments and are permitted to be sold or repledged by secured parties. If the MUFG Group sells these financial assets received as collateral, it recognizes the proceeds from the sale and its obligation to return the collateral. For secured borrowing transactions, principally repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions and derivative transactions, where the secured party has the right to sell or repledge financial assets pledged as collateral, the MUFG Group separately discloses those financial assets pledged as collateral in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Trading Account Securities—Securities and money market instruments held in anticipation of short-term market movements and for resale to customers are included in Trading account assets, and short trading positions of these instruments are included in Trading account liabilities. Trading positions are carried at fair value in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and recorded on a trade date basis. Changes in the fair value of trading positions are recognized in Trading account profits (losses). The MUFG Group has elected the fair value option for certain foreign securities. See Note 31 for a further discussion of fair value option.

Investment Securities—Debt securities for which the MUFG Group has both the ability and positive intent to hold to maturity are classified as Held-to-maturity debt securities and are carried at amortized cost. Debt securities that the MUFG Group may not hold to maturity other than those classified as Trading account securities, are classified as Available-for-sale debt securities, and are carried at their fair values, with unrealized gains and losses reported on a net-of-tax basis within Accumulated OCI, net of taxes, which is a component of equity. For debt securities, an OTTI is recognized in earnings for a security if the MUFG Group has intent to sell such a debt security or if it is more likely than not the MUFG Group will be required to sell such a debt security before recovery of its amortized cost basis. If not, the credit component of an OTTI is recognized in earnings, but

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

the noncredit component is recognized in Accumulated OCI. In determining other-than-temporary declines in fair value to be recognized as an impairment loss on debt securities, the MUFG Group generally considers factors such as the ability and positive intent to hold the investments for a period of time sufficient to allow for anticipated recovery in fair value, the financial condition of the issuer, the extent of decline in fair value, and the length of time that the decline in fair value below cost has existed.

Equity securities include marketable equity investment securities and nonmarketable equity investment securities. Marketable equity investment securities are measured at fair value with unrealized gains or losses reflected in net income. Nonmarketable equity investment securities are primarily measured at cost minus impairment, if any, plus or minus changes resulting from observable price changes. Nonmarketable equity investment securities held by subsidiaries that are investment companies or brokers and dealers, are subject to the specialized industry accounting principles for investment companies and brokers and dealers. Securities of those subsidiaries are carried at their fair values.

Interest and dividends on investment securities are reported in Interest income. Dividends are recognized when the shareholder right to receive the dividend is established. Gains and losses on disposition of investment securities are computed using the average cost method and are recognized on the trade date.

Derivative Financial Instruments—The MUFG Group engages in derivative activities involving swaps, forwards, futures, options, and other types of derivative contracts. Derivatives are used in trading activities to generate trading revenues and fee income for its own account and to respond to customers' financial needs. Derivatives are also used to manage counterparty credit risk and market risk exposures to fluctuations in interest and foreign exchange rates, equity and commodity prices.

Derivatives entered into for trading purposes are carried at fair value and are reported as Trading account assets or Trading account liabilities, as appropriate. The fair values of derivative contracts executed with the same counterparty under legally enforceable master netting agreements are presented on a gross basis. Changes in the fair value of such contracts are recognized currently in Foreign exchange gains (losses)—net with respect to foreign exchange contracts and in Trading account profits (losses)—net with respect to interest rate contracts and other types of contracts.

Embedded features that are not clearly and closely related to the host contracts and meet the definition of derivatives are separated from the host contracts and measured at fair value unless the contracts embedding the derivatives are measured at fair value in their entirety.

Derivatives are also used to manage exposures to fluctuations in interest and foreign exchange rates arising from mismatches of asset and liability positions. Certain of those derivatives are designated as hedging instruments and qualify for hedge accounting. The MUFG Group designates a derivative as a hedging instrument at the inception of each such hedge relationship, and it documents, for such individual hedging relationships, the risk management objective and strategy, including the item being hedged, the specific risk being hedged and the method used to assess the hedge effectiveness. In order for a hedging relationship to qualify for hedge accounting, the changes in the fair value of the derivative instruments must be highly effective in achieving offsetting changes in fair values or variable cash flows of the hedged items attributable to the risk being hedged. All qualifying hedging derivatives are valued at fair value and included in Other assets or Other liabilities, as appropriate. For fair value hedges, the changes in the fair value of a hedging instrument are recognized in the same income statement line as the hedged item. For cash flow hedges, the changes in the fair value of a hedging instrument are recognized in Accumulated OCI. Amounts realized on cash flow hedges related to variable rate loans are recognized in Net interest income in the period when the cash flow from the hedged item is realized.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Any difference that arises from gains or losses on hedging derivatives offsetting corresponding gains or losses on the hedged items, and gains and losses on derivatives attributable to the risks excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness are recognized in earnings.

Loans—Loans originated by the MUFG Group (“originated loans”) are carried at the principal amount outstanding, adjusted for unearned income and deferred net nonrefundable loan fees and costs. Originated loans held and intended for dispositions or sale in secondary markets are transferred to the held-for-sale classification and carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value generally on an individual loan basis. Loan origination fees, net of certain direct origination costs, are deferred and recognized over the contractual life of the loan as an adjustment to yield using a method that approximates the interest method. Interest income on loans that are not impaired is accrued and credited to interest income as it is earned. Unearned income and discounts or premiums on purchased loans are deferred and recognized over the remaining contractual terms of the loans using a method that approximates the interest method when such purchased loans are outside the scope of the guidance on loans and debt securities acquired with deteriorated credit quality as described below.

The MUFG Group classifies its loan portfolio into the following portfolio segments—Commercial, Residential, Card, MUFG Americas Holdings Corporation (“MUFG Americas Holdings ” or “MUAH”), Bank of Ayudhya Public Company Limited (“Krungsri”), and Other based on the grouping used by the MUFG Group to determine the allowance for credit losses. The MUFG Group further classifies the Commercial segment into classes based on initial measurement attributes, risk characteristics, and its method of monitoring and assessing credit risk.

Originated loans are considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the MUFG Group will be unable to collect all the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Past due status is determined based on the contractual terms of the loan and the actual number of days since the last payment date, and is considered in determining impairment. Originated loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower’s prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. Impairment is generally evaluated on a loan-by-loan basis by either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan’s effective interest rate, the loan’s observable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent.

Originated loans are generally placed on nonaccrual status when substantial doubt exists as to the full and timely collection of either principal or interest, specifically when principal or interest is contractually past due one month or more with respect to loans within all classes of the Commercial segment, three months or more with respect to loans within the Card, MUFG Americas Holdings, and Krungsri segments, and six months or more with respect to loans within the Residential segment. A nonaccrual loan may be restored to an accrual status when interest and principal payments become current and management expects that the borrower will make future contractual payments as scheduled. When a loan is placed on nonaccrual status, interest accrued but not received is generally reversed against interest income. Cash receipts on nonaccrual loans, for which the ultimate collectibility of principal is uncertain, are applied as principal reductions; otherwise, such collections are credited to income.

The MUFG Group modifies certain loans in conjunction with its loss-mitigation activities. Through these modifications, concessions are granted to a borrower who is experiencing financial difficulty, generally in order to minimize economic loss, to avoid foreclosure or repossession of collateral, and to ultimately maximize

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

payments received from the borrower. The concessions granted vary by portfolio segment, by program, and by borrower-specific characteristics, and may include interest rate reductions, term extensions, payment deferrals, and partial principal forgiveness. Loan modifications that represent concessions made to borrowers who are experiencing financial difficulties are identified as troubled debt restructurings (“TDRs”).

Generally, accruing loans that are modified in a TDR remain as accruing loans subsequent to the modification, and nonaccrual loans remain as nonaccrual. However, if a nonaccrual loan has been modified as a TDR, the borrower is not delinquent under the modified terms, and demonstrates that its financial condition has improved, the MUFG Group may reclassify the loan to accrual status. This determination is generally performed at least once a year through a detailed internal credit rating review process. Once a nonaccrual loan is deemed to be a TDR, the MUFG Group will continue to designate the loan as a TDR even if the loan is reclassified to accrual status.

A loan that has been modified into a TDR is considered to be impaired until it matures, is repaid, or is otherwise liquidated, regardless of whether the borrower performs under the modified terms. Because loans modified in TDRs are considered to be impaired, these loans are measured for impairment using the MUFG Group’s established asset-specific allowance methodology, which considers the expected default rates for the modified loans. See “*Allowance for Credit Losses*” for a discussion for each portfolio segment.

In accordance with the guidance on loans and debt securities acquired with deteriorated credit quality, impaired loans acquired for which it is probable that the MUFG Group will be unable to collect all contractual receivables are initially recorded at the present value of amounts expected to be received. For these impaired loans, the related valuation allowances are not carried over or created initially. Accretable yield is limited to the excess of the investor’s estimate of undiscounted cash flows over the investor’s initial investment in the loan. Subsequent increases in cash flows expected to be collected are recognized prospectively through adjustment of the loan’s yield over its remaining life after reduction of any remaining allowance for credit losses for the loan established after its acquisition, if any, while any decrease in such cash flows below those initially expected at acquisition plus additional cash flows expected to be collected arising from changes in estimate after acquisition is recognized as an impairment.

Loan Securitization—The MUFG Group securitizes and services commercial, industrial, and residential loans in the normal course of business. The MUFG Group accounts for a transfer of loans in a securitization transaction as a sale if it meets relevant conditions for the surrender of control. Otherwise, the transfer is accounted for as a collateralized borrowing transaction. When a securitization is accounted for as a sale, the proceeds from a sale of financial assets consist of the cash and any other assets obtained, including beneficial interests and separately recognized servicing assets, in the transfer less any liabilities incurred, including separately recognized servicing liabilities. All proceeds and reductions of proceeds from a sale shall be initially measured at fair value.

Allowance for Credit Losses—The MUFG Group maintains an allowance for credit losses to absorb probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio. Actual credit losses (amounts deemed uncollectible, in whole or in part), net of recoveries, are generally determined based on detailed loan reviews and a credit assessment by management at each balance sheet date, and are deducted from the allowance for credit losses as net charge-offs. The MUFG Group generally applies its charge-off policy to all loans in its portfolio regardless of the type of borrower. Management believes that the provision for credit losses is adequate and the allowance is at the appropriate amount to absorb probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio. During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, the MUFG Group did not make any significant changes to the methodologies or policies used to determine its allowance for credit losses.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Key elements relating to the policies and discipline used in determining the allowance for credit losses are credit classification and the related borrower categorization process. The categorization is based on conditions that may affect the ability of borrowers to service their debt, taking into consideration current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, analyses of relevant industry segments or existing economic conditions. In determining the appropriate level of the allowance, the MUFG Group evaluates the probable loss by collateral value, historical loss experience, probability of insolvency and category of loan based on its type and characteristics. The MUFG Group calculates the allowance for credit losses over the loss emergence period that is a time between a loss occurring event and the subsequent confirmation of a loss. The MUFG Group updates these conditions and probable loss on a regular basis and upon the occurrence of unexpected change in the economic environment.

The methodologies used to estimate the allowance and the charge-off policy for the major portfolio segments are as follows:

Commercial segment

In the Commercial segment, the methodology for assessing the appropriateness of the allowance consists of several key elements, which include the allocated allowance for loans individually evaluated for impairment, the formula allowance, and the allocated allowance for large groups of smaller-balance homogeneous loans.

The allocated allowance for loans individually evaluated for impairment represents the impairment allowance determined in accordance with the guidance on accounting by creditors for the impairment of a loan. The factors considered by management in determining impairment are the internal credit rating assigned to each borrower which represents the borrower's creditworthiness determined based on payment status, the number of delinquencies, and the probability of collecting principal and interest payments when due. The impairment of a loan is measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's original effective interest rate, or the loan's observable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent.

The formula allowance is applied to loans that are categorized as Normal or Close Watch, excluding loans identified as a TDR, based on the internal credit rating and historical loss factors which are based on the loss experience. See Note 4 for the information on loans to borrowers categorized based on the internal borrower rating. Estimated losses inherent in the loans at the balance sheet date are calculated by multiplying the default ratio by the nonrecoverable ratio (determined as a complement of the recovery ratio). The default ratio is determined by each internal credit rating, taking into account the historical number of defaults of borrowers within each internal credit rating divided by the total number of borrowers. The recovery ratio is mainly determined by the historical experience of collections against loans in default. The default ratio, the recovery ratio and other indicators are continually reviewed to determine the appropriate level of the allowance. Because the evaluation of inherent loss for these loans involves an uncertainty, subjectivity and judgment, the estimation of the formula allowance is back-tested by comparing the allowance with the actual results subsequent to the balance sheet date. The results of such back-testing are evaluated by management to determine whether the manner and level of the formula allowance needs to be changed in subsequent years. Since historical loss factors are based on the historical loss experience, the formula allowance may include a qualitative reserve in order to reflect the collectibility of the portfolio as of the balance sheet date by considering qualitative factors including, among others, national and local economic trends and conditions, industry conditions, and effects of changes in credit concentrations.

The allocated allowance for large groups of smaller-balance homogeneous loans is established through a process that begins with estimates of probable losses inherent in the portfolio. These estimates are based upon various analyses, including historical delinquency and historical loss experience.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Loans that have been modified into a TDR are treated as impaired loans. For nonaccrual TDRs, the allowance for credit losses is provided for these loans using the discounted cash flow method, or based on the fair value of the collateral. For TDRs accounted for as accruing loans, the allowance for credit losses is determined by discounting the estimated future cash flows using the original effective interest rate of the loans prior to modification.

In relation to loans categorized as Legally/Virtually Bankrupt, the carrying amount of loans less estimated value of the collateral and guaranteed amount is generally considered uncollectible, and is charged off.

Residential segment

In the Residential segment, the loans are comprised of smaller-balance homogeneous loans that are pooled by their internal credit ratings-based on the number of delinquencies. The loans in this segment are generally secured by collateral. Collateral values are based on internal valuation sources, and the allowance is determined for unsecured amounts. The allowance for the nondelinquent group of loans is determined based on historical loss experience. For delinquent groups of loans, the MUFG Group determines the allowance based on the probability of insolvency by the number of actual delinquencies and historical loss experience.

Loans that have been modified into a TDR are treated as impaired loans. For nonaccrual TDRs, the allowance for credit losses is provided for these loans using the discounted cash flow method, or based on the fair value of the collateral. For TDRs accounted for as accruing loans, the allowance for credit losses is determined by discounting the estimated future cash flows using the original effective interest rate of the loans prior to modification.

In relation to loans that are in past due status over a certain period of time and deemed uncollectible, the carrying amount of loans less estimated value of the collateral and guaranteed amount is generally considered uncollectible and charged off.

Card segment

In the Card segment, the loans are smaller-balance homogeneous loans that are pooled by their internal credit rating based on the number of delinquencies. The allowance for loans in this segment is generally determined based on the probability of insolvency by the number of actual delinquencies and historical loss experience. For calculating the allocated allowance for loans specifically identified for evaluation, impaired loans are aggregated for the purpose of measuring impairment using historical loss factors.

Loans that have been modified into a TDR are treated as impaired loans, and the allowance for credit losses is determined using the discounted cash flow method whereby the estimated future cash flows are discounted using the original effective interest rate of the loans prior to modification.

In relation to loans that are in past due status over a certain period of time and deemed uncollectible, the amount of loans is generally fully charged off.

MUFG Americas Holdings segment

In the MUFG Americas Holdings segment, the methodology for assessing the appropriateness of the allowance consists of several key elements, which include the allocated allowance for loans individually evaluated for impairment, the formula allowance, the allocated allowance for large groups of smaller-balance homogeneous loans, and the unallocated allowance.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

The allocated allowance for loans individually evaluated for impairment is established for loans when management determines that the MUFG Group will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement, including interest payments. Impaired loans are carried at the lower of the recorded investment in the loan, the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective rate, the loan's observable market price, or the fair value of the collateral, if the loan is collateral dependent.

The formula allowance is calculated by applying historical loss factors to outstanding loans. Historical loss factors are based on the historical loss experience and may be adjusted for significant factors that, in management's judgment, affect the collectibility of the portfolio as of the balance sheet date.

The allocated allowance for large groups of smaller-balance homogeneous loans is established for consumer loans as well as for smaller balance commercial loans. These loans are managed on a pool basis, and loss factors are based on expected net charge-off ranges.

The unallocated allowance represents an estimate of additional losses inherent in the loan portfolio and is composed of attribution factors, which are based upon management's evaluation of various conditions that are not directly measured in the determination of the allocated allowance. The conditions used for consideration of the unallocated allowance at each balance sheet date include factors, such as existing general economic and business conditions affecting the key lending areas and products of the MUFG Group, credit quality trends and risk identification, collateral values, loan volumes, underwriting standards and concentrations, specific industry conditions, recent loss experience and the duration of the current business cycle. The MUFG Group reviews these conditions and has an internal discussion with senior credit officers on a quarterly basis.

Loans that have been modified into a TDR are treated as impaired loans. For nonaccrual TDRs, the allowance for credit losses is provided for these loans using the discounted cash flow method, or based on the fair value of the collateral. For TDRs accounted for as accruing loans, the allowance for credit losses is determined by using the discounted cash flow method whereby the estimated future cash flows are discounted using the original effective interest rate of the loans prior to modification.

Commercial loans are generally considered uncollectible based on an evaluation of the financial condition of a borrower as well as the value of any collateral and, when considered to be uncollectible, loans are charged off in whole or in part. Consumer loans are generally considered uncollectible based on past due status and the value of any collateral and, when considered to be uncollectible, loans are charged off in whole or in part.

Krungsri segment

In the Krungsri segment, the methodology for assessing the appropriateness of the allowance consists of several key elements, which include the allocated allowance for loans individually evaluated for impairment, the formula allowance, and the allocated allowance for large groups of smaller-balance homogeneous loans.

The allocated allowance for loans individually evaluated for impairment is established for loans when management determines that the MUFG Group will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement, including interest payments. Impaired loans are carried at the lower of the recorded investment in the loan, the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective rate, the loan's observable market price, or the fair value of the collateral, if the loan is collateral dependent.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

The formula allowance is calculated by applying historical loss factors to outstanding loans. Historical loss factors are based on the historical loss experience and may be adjusted for significant factors that, in management's judgment, affect the collectibility of the portfolio as of the balance sheet date.

The allocated allowance for large groups of smaller-balance homogeneous loans is established for smaller balance loans such as housing loans, credit card loans, and personal loans. These loans are managed on a pool basis, and loss factors are based on expected net charge-off ranges.

Loans that have been modified into a TDR are treated as impaired loans. For nonaccrual TDRs, the allowance for credit losses is provided for these loans using the discounted cash flow method, or based on the fair value of the collateral. For TDRs accounted for as accruing loans, the allowance for credit losses is determined by using the discounted cash flow method whereby the estimated future cash flows are discounted using the original effective interest rate of the loans prior to modification.

Loans to customers are charged off when they are determined to be uncollectible considering the financial condition of a borrower.

Allowance for Off-Balance Sheet Credit Instruments—The MUFG Group maintains an allowance for credit losses on off-balance sheet credit instruments, including commitments to extend credit, guarantees, standby letters of credit and other financial instruments. The allowance is recorded as a liability in Other liabilities. The MUFG Group adopts the same methodology used in determining the allowance for credit losses on loans. Potential credit losses related to derivatives are considered in the fair value of the derivatives.

Premises and Equipment—Premises and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation is charged to operations over the estimated useful lives of the related assets. Leasehold improvements are depreciated over the terms of the respective leases or the estimated useful lives of the improvements, whichever is shorter. MUFG, MUFG Bank and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking apply the declining-balance method in depreciating their premises and equipment, while other subsidiaries mainly apply the straight-line method, at rates principally based on the following estimated useful lives:

	<u>Years</u>
Buildings	15 to 50
Equipment and furniture	2 to 20
Leasehold improvements	3 to 39

Maintenance, repairs and minor improvements are charged to operations as incurred. Major improvements are capitalized. Net gains or losses on dispositions of premises and equipment are included in Other non-interest income or expense, as appropriate.

Long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of an asset to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount to future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If an asset is considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value. For purposes of recognition and measurement of an impairment loss, a long-lived asset or assets are grouped with other assets and liabilities at the lowest level with independent and identifiable cash flows. Assets to be disposed of by sale are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less estimated cost to sell.

Asset retirement obligations related to restoration of certain leased properties upon lease termination are recorded in Other liabilities with a corresponding increase in leasehold improvements. The amounts represent the

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

present value of expected future cash flows associated with returning such leased properties to their original condition. The difference between the gross and present value of expected future cash flows is accreted over the life of the related leases as a non-interest expense.

Goodwill—The MUFG Group recognizes goodwill, as of the acquisition date, measured as the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net assets acquired. Goodwill related to investments in equity method investees is included in Other assets as a part of the carrying amount of investments in equity method investees.

Goodwill arising from a business combination is not amortized but is tested at least annually for impairment. Goodwill is recorded at a designated reporting unit level for the purpose of assessing impairment.

A reporting unit is an operating segment, or an identified business unit one level below an operating segment. An impairment loss is recognized to the extent that the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, but not exceeding the total amount of goodwill allocated to that reporting unit.

Intangible assets—Intangible assets consist of software, core deposit intangibles, customer relationships, trade names and other intangible assets. These are amortized over their estimated useful lives unless they have indefinite useful lives. Amortization of intangible assets is computed in a manner that best reflects the economic benefits of the intangible assets as follows:

	Useful lives (years)	Amortization method
Software	2 to 10	Straight-line
Core deposit intangibles	9 to 16	Straight-line
Customer relationships	3 to 27	Straight-line, Declining-balance
Trade names	4 to 40	Straight-line

Intangible assets having indefinite useful lives are not amortized but are subject to annual impairment tests. An impairment exists if the carrying value of an indefinite-lived intangible asset exceeds its fair value. For other intangible assets subject to amortization, an impairment is recognized if the carrying amount is not recoverable and the carrying amount exceeds the fair value of the intangible asset.

The MUFG Group capitalizes certain costs associated with the acquisition or development of internal-use software. Costs subject to capitalization are salaries and employee benefits for employees who are directly associated with and who devote time to the internal-use computer software project, to the extent of time spent directly on the project. Once the software is ready for its intended use, the MUFG Group begins to amortize capitalized costs on a straight-line basis.

Accrued Severance and Pension Liabilities—The MUFG Group has defined benefit pension plans and other postretirement benefit plans, including severance indemnities plans (“SIPs”). The liabilities related to these plans are computed and recognized based on actuarial computations. Net actuarial gains and losses that arise from differences between actual experience and assumptions are generally amortized over the average remaining service period of participating employees if it exceeds the corridor, which is defined as the greater of 10% of plan assets or the projected benefit obligation. Under the guidance related to employers’ accounting for defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans, the MUFG Group recognizes a net liability or asset to report the funded status of its defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and mainly recognizes changes in the funded status of defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans in the year in which the changes occur in Accumulated OCI. Based on actuarial computations of current and future employee benefits, the service cost component is charged to Salaries and

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

employee benefits while other components of net pension benefit/cost are charged to Other non-interest expenses. The MUFG Group measures plan assets and benefit obligations as of the date of the consolidated balance sheets.

Long-Term Debt—Premiums, discounts and issuance costs of long-term debt are amortized based on the method that approximates the interest method over the term of the long-term debt.

Obligations under Guarantees—The MUFG Group provides customers with a variety of guarantees and similar arrangements, including standby letters of credit, financial and performance guarantees, credit protection, and liquidity facilities. The MUFG Group recognizes guarantee fee income over the guarantee period based on the contractual terms of the guarantee contracts. It is the MUFG Group's business practice to receive a guarantee fee at the inception of the guarantee, which approximates market value of the guarantee and is initially recorded as a liability, which is then recognized as guarantee fee income over the guarantee period.

Allowance for Repayment of Excess Interest—The MUFG Group maintains an allowance for repayment of excess interest based on an analysis of past experience of reimbursement of excess interest, borrowers' profile, recent trend of borrowers' claims for reimbursement, and management's future forecasts. The allowance is recorded as a liability in Other liabilities.

Fees and Commissions—The MUFG Group recognizes revenue from contracts with customers in the amount of consideration it expects to receive upon the transfer of control of a good or service. The timing of recognition is dependent on whether the MUFG Group satisfies a performance obligation by transferring control of the product or service to a customer over time or at a point in time.

The following is an explanation of the MUFG Group's key revenue from contracts with customers and the timing of its recognition.

Fees and commissions on deposits consist of fees and commissions charged for transaction-based services such as usage of automated teller machines and withdrawal services, and for periodic account maintenance services. The MUFG Group's performance obligation for transaction-based services is satisfied and the fees and commissions are recognized at the point in time when the MUFG Group's performance under the terms of a contractual arrangement is completed, which is at the settlement of a transaction, while the MUFG Group's performance obligation for maintenance services is satisfied and the fees and commissions are recognized over the course of each month.

Fees and commissions on remittances and transfers consist of fees and commissions charged for settlement transactions such as domestic fund remittances, including electronic banking transactions, and are recognized at the point in time when the MUFG Group's performance under the terms of a contractual arrangement is completed, which is at the settlement of a transaction.

Fees and commissions on foreign trading business consist of fees and commissions charged for fund collection and trade-related financing services related to foreign trading business, and are recognized in the period in which the related service is provided. If they arise from foreign trading business activities under which the customer consumes the related services at a point in time (e.g. foreign exchange fees), such fees are recognized at the same point in time. If they arise from foreign trading business activities under which the customer consumes the related services equally over the period of service (e.g. commercial letters of credit), such fees are recognized over the same period.

Fees and commissions on credit card business consist of fees and commissions such as interchange income, royalty and other service charges from franchisees. Interchange income from the credit card business is

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

recognized as processed transactions are settled through the associated payment networks, while royalty and other service charges related to the credit card business are recognized on a straight-line basis over the period of service.

Fees and commissions on security-related services primarily consist of fees and commissions for sales and transfers of securities including investment funds, underwriting, brokerage and advisory services, arrangement fees on securitizations, and agency services for the calculation and payment of dividends. Fees and commissions on security-related services are recognized in the period in which the related service is provided. If they arise from security-related services under which the customer consumes the related services at a point in time (e.g. sales and transfers of securities are executed at the customer's direction; underwritings of debt and equity securities or securitizations are completed at the trade date; advice is provided to the clients; and dividends are calculated and then paid to investors), such fees are recognized at the same point in time. If they arise from security-related services under which the customer consumes the related services equally over the period of service (e.g. retainer fees on M&A advisory fees), such fees are recognized over the same period. The advisory fees which are paid upon meeting certain performance goals (e.g. success fees on M&A advisory fees) are recognized at the point in time when the performance goals are met.

Fees and commissions on administration and management services for investment funds primarily consist of fees and commissions earned from administering and managing investment funds, including assets under management on behalf of clients. Such fees and commissions are recognized equally over the period of service at the amount calculated primarily based on the outstanding amount of each entrusted asset, the percentage of fees, and the extent of the service provided to administer the investment funds.

Trust fees consist primarily of fees earned by fiduciary asset management and administration services for corporate pension plans and investment funds, and are recognized on an accrual basis, generally based on the volume of trust assets under management and/or the operating performance for the accounting period of each trust account. With respect to the trust accounts with a guarantee of trust principal, trust fees are determined based on the profits earned by individual trust accounts during the trust accounting period, less deductions, including provision for reserves, impairment for individual investments and dividends paid to beneficiary certificate holders. The trust fees for these trust accounts are accrued based on the amounts expected to be earned during the accounting period of each trust account.

Guarantee fees consist of fees related to the guarantee business such as providing guarantees on residential mortgage loans and other loans, and are recognized over the contractual periods of the respective guarantees.

Insurance commissions consist of commissions earned from third-party insurance companies for marketing and selling insurance products and for the maintenance of insurance contracts. The former is recognized at the point in time which the associated service is fulfilled as the insurance contract is established by the insurance company, while the latter is recognized over the insurance period.

Fees and commissions on real estate business primarily consist of fees from real estate agent services, and are recognized in the period in which the related service is provided when assisting customers in the sales or purchase of real estate property.

Other fees and commissions include various fees and commissions earned on services to customers which have performance obligations that the MUFG Group completes in order to recognize revenue. The primary portion includes non-refundable financing related fees such as arrangement fees that are recognized when the service is provided.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Income Taxes—The MUFG Group accounts for income taxes under the asset and liability method, which requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Under this method, deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities are determined based on the differences between the financial statements and tax basis of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. The effect of a change in tax rates on deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

The MUFG Group records net deferred tax assets to the extent these assets will more likely than not be realized. In making such determination, all available positive and negative evidence is considered, including future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences, projected future taxable income, tax planning strategies and recent financial operations. In the event the MUFG Group were to determine that it would be able to realize deferred tax assets in the future in excess of their net recorded amount, the MUFG Group would make an adjustment to the valuation allowance, which would reduce the provision for income taxes.

Uncertain tax positions are recorded on the basis of a two-step process whereby (1) it is determined whether it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on the basis of its technical merits, and (2) for those tax positions that meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold, the MUFG Group recognizes the largest amount of tax benefit that is more than 50% likely to be realized upon ultimate settlement with the related tax authority. The MUFG Group recognizes interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits within income tax expense. Accrued interest and penalties are included within Other liabilities.

Free Distributions of Common Shares—As permitted by the Companies Act of Japan (the “Companies Act”), Japanese companies, upon approval by the Board of Directors, may make a free distribution of shares, in the form of a “stock split” as defined, to shareholders. In accordance with generally accepted accounting practice in Japan, such distribution does not give rise to any change in capital stock or capital surplus accounts. Common shares distributed are recorded as shares issued on the distribution date. See Note 18 for further information.

Earnings per Common Share—Basic earnings per share (“EPS”) excludes dilutive effects of potential common shares and is computed by dividing earnings applicable to common stock shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period, while diluted EPS gives effect to all dilutive potential common shares that were outstanding during the period. See Note 22 for the computation of basic and diluted EPS.

Treasury Stock—The MUFG Group presents its treasury stock, including shares of MUFG owned by its subsidiaries and affiliated companies, as a reduction of equity on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets at cost and accounts for treasury stock transactions under an average cost method. Gains (losses) on sales of treasury stock are charged to capital surplus and unappropriated retained earnings.

Comprehensive Income—Comprehensive income includes net income before attribution to noncontrolling interests and other comprehensive income (“OCI”). All changes in unrealized gains and losses on investment securities, unrealized gains and losses on derivatives qualifying for cash flow hedges, defined benefit plans and foreign currency translation adjustments constitute OCI and are presented, with related income tax effects, in the accompanying consolidated statements of comprehensive income. OCI also includes changes in the instrument-specific credit risk on financial liabilities (“debt valuation adjustments” or “DVA”) accounted for under the fair value option.

Stock-Based Compensation—MUFG and certain of its subsidiaries have a stock compensation-type stock option plan (“Stock Option Plan”) for directors (excluding outside directors and directors serving as audit

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

committee members), corporate executives, executive officers and senior fellows (collectively, “officers”). Compensation costs under the Stock Option Plan are recognized based on the grant date fair value of the stock option (“Stock Acquisition Rights”) over the period during officers are required to provide service in accordance with the terms of the plan. MUFG and certain of its subsidiaries also have performance-based stock compensation plan (“the Board Incentive Plan”). The awards granted under the Board Incentive Plan are classified as either liability for the part of award which are provided to officers in cash or equity for the part of award which are provided to officers in the common shares of MUFG. Compensation costs are recognized over the requisite service period for the entire awards. For awards classified as liability, compensation costs are measured based on the fair value calculated by the quoted price of common shares of MUFG at the date of fiscal year-end and remeasured at the end of each reporting period. Changes in quoted prices of common shares of MUFG between the date of grant and the settlement of awards are recognized in the period which the changes occur. For awards classified as equity, compensation costs are measured based on the grant date fair value by the quoted price of the common shares of MUFG.

Accounting Changes

Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities—In January 2016, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued new guidance which requires equity investments, except those accounted for under the equity method of accounting or those that result in consolidation of the investee, to be measured at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in net income. However, for equity investments that do not have readily determinable fair values, the fair value may be measured at cost minus impairment, if any, plus or minus changes resulting from observable price changes in orderly transactions for the identical or a similar investment of the same issuer (“the measurement alternative”), and the impairment assessment is simplified by performing a qualitative assessment to identify impairments. For financial liabilities which were elected to measure at fair value in accordance with the fair value option, this guidance also requires an entity to present separately in other comprehensive income the portion of the changes in the fair value of financial liabilities resulting from a change in the instrument-specific credit risk. In addition, this guidance eliminates the requirement to disclose the methods and significant assumptions used to estimate the fair value for financial instruments measured at amortized cost, and clarifies, for disclosure purposes, the requirement for the use of an exit price notion in the determination of the fair value of financial instruments measured at amortized cost. This guidance also clarifies that an entity must evaluate the need for a valuation allowance on a deferred tax asset related to available-for-sale securities in combination with the entity’s other deferred tax assets. This guidance is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within that reporting period. Early adoption is not permitted except for the amendments related to the accounting for financial liabilities under the fair value option. In February 2018, the FASB issued additional guidance to improve certain aspects of the new guidance. The MUFG Group adopted the guidance on April 1, 2018. Upon adoption, the MUFG Group recorded an increase in the beginning balance of retained earnings as of April 1, 2018 of ¥2,702 billion, with a corresponding decrease in Accumulated OCI, net of taxes. Other amendments required under the new guidance did not have a material impact on the MUFG Group’s financial position and results of operations. As a result of adopting this guidance, marketable equity investment securities are measured at fair value with unrealized gains or losses reflected in net income. Prior to adoption, such unrealized gains and losses were reflected in other comprehensive income. Nonmarketable equity investment securities previously accounted for under the cost method of accounting are now measured at cost minus impairment, if any, plus or minus changes resulting from observable price changes.

Disclosure Framework—Changes to the Disclosure Requirements for Fair Value Measurement—In August 2018, the FASB issued new guidance which modifies the disclosure requirements on fair value measurements. This guidance removes disclosure requirements for the amount of and reasons for transfers between Level 1 and

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the policy for timing of transfers between levels, and valuation processes for Level 3 fair value measurements. In addition, the guidance modifies disclosure requirements for investments in certain entities that calculate net asset value and modifies disclosure requirements related to measurement uncertainty. Lastly, the guidance adds disclosure requirements for changes in unrealized gains and losses for the period that are included in other comprehensive income for recurring Level 3 fair value measurements held at the end of the reporting period, and the guidance adds disclosure requirements related to the range and weighted average of significant unobservable inputs used to develop Level 3 fair value measurements. This guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2019. Early adoption is permitted upon issuance of this guidance. An entity is permitted to early adopt any removed or modified disclosures upon issuance of this guidance and delay adoption of the additional disclosures until their effective date. The MUFG Group early adopted removal disclosure requirements as of March 31, 2019 and will adopt other requirements of this guidance on or prior to the effective date. The guidance affected disclosures in the notes to the consolidated financial statements and did not affect its financial position and results of operations.

Leases—In February 2016, the FASB issued new guidance which requires that lessees recognize in the statement of financial position a liability to make lease payments (the lease liability) and a right-of-use asset representing its right to use the underlying asset for the lease term. The accounting applied by lessors is largely unchanged, but the accounting model for leveraged leases is not retained for leases that commence after the effective date of this guidance. This guidance also requires entities to provide qualitative and quantitative disclosures about the amount, timing and uncertainty of cash flows arising from leases. This guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those fiscal years.

The MUFG Group adopted this guidance on April 1, 2019 and recorded ¥428 billion of right-of-use assets and ¥502 billion of lease liabilities on the MUFG Group's consolidated balance sheet. The adoption resulted in a decrease to retained earnings of ¥15 billion. The adoption of this guidance was not material to the MUFG Group's results of operations. Prior periods are presented under previous policies. Leases previously described as capital leases are now referred to as finance leases. As allowed by the guidance, the MUFG Group elected not to reassess the following at transition: whether existing contracts are or contain leases, and for existing leases, lease classification and initial direct costs.

Premium Amortization on Purchased Callable Debt Securities—In March 2017, the FASB issued new guidance which shortens the amortization period for certain callable debt securities held at a premium, specifically requiring the premium to be amortized to the earliest call date. This guidance does not require an accounting change for securities held at a discount, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2018. Early adoption is permitted, including adoption in an interim period. The MUFG Group adopted this guidance on April 1, 2019, and there was no material impact on its financial position and results of operations.

Targeted Improvements to Accounting for Hedging Activities—In August 2017, the FASB issued new guidance which better aligns an entity's risk management activities and financial reporting for hedging relationships through changes to both the designation and measurement guidance for qualifying hedging relationships and the presentation of hedge results. To meet that objective, this guidance expands and refines hedge accounting for both nonfinancial and financial risk components and aligns the recognition and presentation of the effects of the hedging instrument and the hedged item in the financial statements. In addition, this guidance includes certain targeted improvements to ease the application of current guidance related to the assessment of hedge effectiveness. This guidance also modifies the requirement to disclose the effect on the income statement of fair value and cash flow hedges, eliminates the requirement to disclose the ineffective portion of the change in

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

fair value of hedging instruments, and requires new tabular disclosures related to cumulative basis adjustments for fair value hedges. This guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early application is permitted in any interim period after issuance of this guidance. The MUFG Group adopted this guidance on April 1, 2019, and there was no material impact on its financial position and results of operations. As permitted by this guidance, upon adoption the MUFG Group transferred Held-to-maturity debt securities with a carrying amount of ¥18,606 million to Available-for-sale debt securities.

Improvements to Nonemployee Share-Based Payment Accounting—In June 2018, the FASB issued new guidance which largely aligns the accounting for share-based payment awards issued to employees and nonemployees. Under this guidance, equity-classified share-based payment awards issued to nonemployees are measured at the grant date, instead of the previous requirement to measure the awards at the earlier of the date at which the performance commitment is reached or the date of performance completion. For awards issued to nonemployees with performance conditions, compensation cost associated with the awards is recognized when achievement of the performance condition is probable, instead of the previous requirement to recognize the costs based on the lowest aggregate fair value. This guidance also eliminates the previous requirement to reassess the classification for certain nonemployee awards upon vesting. This guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within that fiscal year. Early adoption is permitted, but no earlier than an entity's adoption date of the new revenue standard. The MUFG Group adopted this guidance on April 1, 2019, and there was no material impact on its financial position and results of operations.

Inclusion of the Secured Overnight Financing Rate (SOFR) Overnight Index Swap (OIS) Rate as a Benchmark Interest Rate for Hedge Accounting Purposes—In October 2018, the FASB issued new guidance which permits use of the Overnight Index Swap ("OIS") rate based on Secured Overnight Financing Rate as a U.S. benchmark interest rate for hedge accounting purposes in addition to the interest rates on direct Treasury obligations of the U.S. government, the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR"), the OIS rate based on the Fed Funds Effective Rate, and the Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association Municipal Swap Rate. This guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted in any interim period upon issuance of this guidance if an entity already has adopted the new hedge accounting standard. The MUFG Group adopted this guidance on April 1, 2019, and there was no material impact on its financial position and results of operations.

Simplifying the Test for Goodwill Impairment—In January 2017, the FASB issued new guidance which simplifies how an entity is required to test goodwill for impairment by eliminating Step 2 from the goodwill impairment test. Under Step 2, an entity had to perform procedures to determine the fair value at the impairment testing date of its assets and liabilities (including unrecognized assets and liabilities) following the procedure that would be required in determining the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a business combination. This guidance eliminates Step 2 and instead requires an entity to perform its goodwill impairment test by comparing the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount. This guidance also eliminates the requirements for any reporting unit with a zero or negative carrying amount to perform a qualitative assessment and, if it fails that qualitative test, to perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test, and instead requires the disclosure of the amount of goodwill allocated to each reporting unit with a zero or negative carrying amount of net assets. This guidance is effective for its annual or any interim goodwill impairment tests in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019. Early adoption is permitted for interim or annual goodwill impairment tests performed on testing dates after January 1, 2017. The MUFG Group early adopted this guidance in connection with a quantitative impairment test of goodwill during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020. Under this guidance, the quantitative impairment test is performed by comparing the carrying amount of a reporting unit with its fair value, and an impairment loss is recognized to the extent that the carrying amount of a reporting unit

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

exceeds its fair value, but not exceeding the total amount of goodwill allocated to that reporting unit. See Note 6 for details of the goodwill impairment.

Reference Rate Reform—In March 2020, the FASB issued new guidance which provides optional expedients and exceptions for applying generally accepted accounting principles to contracts, hedging relationships and other transactions affected by reference rate reform if certain criteria are met. This new guidance can be adopted as of March 12, 2020 through December 31, 2022. The MUFG Group adopted this new guidance in March 2020, and there was no material impact on its financial position, results of operations and cash flows. This guidance will significantly ease the accounting implications of the reference rate reform.

Codification Improvements—In July 2018, the FASB issued new guidance to clarify, correct errors in, or make minor improvements to various areas of the Codification. Some of the amendments in the guidance were effective immediately, while others were effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those annual periods. Among other changes, the amendment to Subtopic 962-325 in the guidance removes the stable value common collective trust fund from the illustrative example in paragraph 962-325-55-17 to avoid the interpretation that such an investment would never have a readily determinable fair value and, therefore, would always use the net asset value (“NAV”) per share practical expedient. Rather, the entity is required to evaluate whether a readily determinable fair value exists to determine whether those investments may qualify for the practical expedient to measure at net asset value in accordance with Topic 820. Upon the adoption of this guidance, some common collective funds which have a readily determinable fair value are reported within the fair value hierarchy as Level 2 while previously they were reported within the tables showing plan assets measured using NAV per share (or its equivalent) as a practical expedient. These common collective funds are redeemable at NAV, which is determined daily and is the readily determinable fair value. The price per share is quoted on a private market based on the value of the underlying investments. The amount of pension benefits and SIP investments impacted due to the amendment to Subtopic 962-325 was ¥112 billion and ¥136 billion as of March 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively. See Note 13 for the changes in notes.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments—In June 2016, the FASB issued new guidance which replaces the incurred loss impairment methodology in current U.S. GAAP with a methodology that reflects expected credit losses and requires consideration of a broader range of reasonable and supportable information to estimate credit losses. Under this guidance, the measurement of expected credit losses is based on relevant information about past events, including historical experience, current conditions, and reasonable and supportable forecasts that affect the collectibility of the reported amount of the financial asset (or a group of financial assets) measured at amortized cost basis. For available-for-sale debt securities, a credit loss is recorded through an allowance for credit losses and the amount of the allowance is limited to the amount by which fair value is below amortized cost. For purchased financial assets with a more-than-insignificant amount of credit deterioration since origination that are measured at amortized cost basis, the initial allowance for credit losses is added to the purchase price rather than being reported as a credit loss expense, only subsequent changes in the allowance are recorded as a credit loss expense, and interest income is recognized based on the effective interest rate, excluding the discount embedded in the purchase price that is attributable to the acquirer’s assessment of credit losses at acquisition. This guidance also expands the disclosure requirements regarding an entity’s assumptions, models, and methods for estimating the allowance, and requires the entity to disclose the amortized cost balance for each class of financial asset by credit quality indicator, disaggregated by the year of origination.

In April 2019 and November 2019, the FASB issued additional guidance to improve certain aspects of this guidance. This guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, including interim

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

periods within those fiscal years. The MUFG Group adopted the guidance on April 1, 2020. It will result in an increase in the allowance for credit losses and the allowance for off-balance sheet credit instruments of approximately ¥380 – ¥450 billion and a decrease in retained earnings of approximately ¥270 – ¥330 billion. The presentation of a range for the April 1, 2020 adoption impact is due to provisional estimation mainly in response to COVID-19 including macroeconomic variables under the circumstances and pending final review of the adjustment, which may impact the allowance for credit losses as of such date.

Disclosure Framework—Changes to the Disclosure Requirements for Defined Benefit Plans—In August 2018, the FASB issued new guidance which modifies the disclosure requirements for employers that sponsor defined benefit pension or other postretirement plans. This guidance removes certain disclosure requirements, including amounts in Accumulated OCI expected to be recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost over the next fiscal year and the amount and timing of plan assets expected to be returned to the employer, clarifies disclosure requirements for defined benefit plans with projected or accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets, and adds disclosure requirements for weighted-average interest crediting rates for cash balance plans and other plans with promised interest crediting rates as well as an explanation of the reasons for significant gains and losses related to changes in the benefit obligation for the period. This guidance is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2020. Early adoption is permitted. The MUFG Group does not expect that the adoption of this guidance will have a material impact on its disclosures.

Customer's Accounting for Implementation Costs Incurred in a Cloud Computing Arrangement That Is a Service Contract—In August 2018, the FASB issued new guidance which aligns the requirements for capitalizing implementation costs incurred in a hosting arrangement that is a service contract with the requirements for capitalizing implementation costs incurred to develop or obtain internal-use software. This guidance requires an entity (customer) in a hosting arrangement that is a service contract to follow the guidance on internal-use software to determine which implementation costs to capitalize as an asset related to the service contract and which costs to expense. This guidance also requires the entity (customer) to expense the capitalized implementation costs of a hosting arrangement that is a service contract over the term of the hosting arrangement, apply the existing impairment guidance on internal-use software to the capitalized implementation costs as if the costs were long-lived assets, and present the capitalized-implementation-cost-related items in the same line items in the financial statements as those relating to fees associated with the hosting element (service) of the arrangement. This guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted, including adoption in any interim period. The MUFG Group does not expect that the adoption of this guidance will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

2. BUSINESS DEVELOPMENTS

Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS Became a Wholly-Owned Subsidiary

On May 15, 2017, MUFG and its subsidiary Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS entered into a share exchange agreement for MUFG to acquire the remaining 15.02% ownership of Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS by agreeing, on October 2, 2017, to pay ¥50,000 million cash to the only holder of Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS common stock other than MUFG. The transaction was accounted for as a non-cancellable forward purchase contract. Accordingly, a liability of ¥50,000 million was recognized in Other liabilities on the accompanying consolidated balance sheet with a corresponding reduction in Noncontrolling interests of ¥15,390 million and Capital surplus of ¥34,751 million, and an increase in Accumulated OCI, net of taxes of ¥141 million. On October 2, 2017, MUFG settled Other liabilities of ¥50,000 million. The purpose of making a wholly-owned subsidiary is to effect a shift in posture enabling a more flexible response to changes in the business environment and the swift pursuit of group synergies.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Acquisition of shares in Bank Danamon in Indonesia

On December 26, 2017, MUFG Bank entered into conditional share purchase agreements with Asia Financial (Indonesia) Pte. Ltd. (“AFI”) and other affiliated entities (the “Sellers”) to acquire their 73.8% equity interests in an Indonesian bank, PT Bank Danamon Indonesia, Tbk. (“Danamon”), subject to applicable regulatory approvals.

Danamon, which was established in 1956, is the fifth most profitable Indonesian commercial bank in terms of net income. Danamon provides banking and financial products and services to consumer, small and medium enterprise (“SME”) and corporate customers, with a network of around 900 offices in Indonesia.

MUFG Bank intends to establish an integrated and comprehensive services platform that serves as a gateway for clients wishing to make inroads into Indonesia’s growing economy as well as local companies seeking to expand into the region. This investment is also expected to strategically allow MUFG Bank to benefit from Danamon’s foothold in the developing local retail and SME segments to deepen its banking franchise in Indonesia.

This strategic investment by MUFG Bank was executed through three steps (the “Proposed Transaction”), and the completion of the Proposed Transaction resulted in MUFG Bank becoming the largest shareholder in Danamon and Danamon becoming a consolidated subsidiary of MUFG Bank.

In Step 1, MUFG Bank acquired an initial 19.9% equity interest in Danamon from the Sellers on December 29, 2017, based on a price of IDR 8,323 (approximately ¥70⁽¹⁾) per share, for an investment amount of IDR 15,875 billion (approximately ¥133 billion⁽¹⁾). The price was based on a price book-value ratio of 2.0 calculated on the basis of Danamon’s net assets as of September 30, 2017 with certain adjustments applied. AFI continues to be the majority shareholder in Danamon after closing of Step 1. MUFG Bank classified Danamon’s equity securities as Available-for-sale securities on the acquisition date.

In Step 2, MUFG Bank acquired an additional 20.1% equity interest in Danamon from the Sellers on August 3, 2018, based on a price of IDR 8,921 (approximately ¥69⁽²⁾) per share, for an investment amount of IDR 17,187 billion (approximately ¥132.3 billion⁽²⁾). The price was based on a price book-value ratio of 2.0 calculated on the basis of Danamon’s net assets as of June 30, 2018 with certain adjustments applied. As a result, equity interest in Danamon increased to 40%, and MUFG Bank started to apply the equity method of accounting for its investment in Danamon during the six months ended September 30, 2018.

In Step 3, MUFG Bank acquired an additional 54.0% equity interest in Danamon from AFI and other shareholders on April 29, 2019, based on a price of IDR 9,590 (approximately ¥77⁽³⁾) per share, for an investment amount of IDR 50 trillion (approximately ¥397 billion) in cash. As a result, equity interest in Danamon increased to 94.0%, and Danamon became a consolidated subsidiary of MUFG Bank. The MUFG Group recorded goodwill of ¥254,271 million, none of which is deductible for income tax purposes and intangible assets of ¥146,899 million. The MUFG Group also recorded noncontrolling interests of ¥51,314 million at fair value determined by a quoted market price as of the acquisition date. The equity interest in Danamon held by MUFG Bank immediately before the acquisition date was remeasured to the fair value of ¥271,830 million based on the quoted market price, resulting in recognition of profits of ¥41,218 million which is included in Gain on remeasurement of previously held equity method investment in the accompanying consolidated statements of income for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020. During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, the MUFG Group incurred ¥869 million of acquisition-related costs. These expenses are included in Other non-interest expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of income for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020. The revenue and net loss of Danamon and its subsidiaries since the acquisition date were ¥119,331 million and

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

¥4,553 million for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020. In addition, MUFG Bank acquired an additional 92.1% equity interest in PT Bank Nusantara Parahyangan, Tbk. ("BNP") from ACOM CO., LTD., an equity method investee of MUFG, and other shareholders, based on a price of IDR 4,088 (approximately ¥33⁽³⁾) per share, for an investment amount of IDR 3 trillion (approximately ¥24.1 billion). As a result, equity interest in BNP increased to 99.9%, and BNP became a consolidated subsidiary of MUFG Bank.

On May 1, 2019, MUFG Bank made BNP merged into Danamon, acquiring an additional equity interest in Danamon in exchange for its equity interest in BNP, which resulted in MUFG Bank holding 94.1% equity interest in Danamon.

Notes:

- (1) Calculated based on the exchange rate of IDR1 = ¥0.0084
(2) Calculated based on the exchange rate of IDR1 = ¥0.0077
(3) Calculated based on the exchange rate of IDR1 = ¥0.0080

The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed of Danamon and its subsidiaries as of the acquisition date:

	(in millions)
Loans	¥1,086,638
Intangible assets ⁽¹⁾	146,899
Total assets	1,728,480
Deposits—Total deposits	915,075
Total liabilities	1,242,115

Note:

- (1) Intangible assets with a weighted average amortization period of 13.2 years primarily include ¥79,552 million of relationships with agents with a weighted average amortization period of 13.1 years and ¥44,140 million of core deposit intangibles with a weighted average amortization period of 10.1 years.

Included in the table above are loans with fair values totaling ¥1,068,906 million, which were not subject to the guidance on loans and debt securities acquired with deteriorated credit quality. As of the acquisition date, the gross contractual amounts receivable for these loans totaled ¥1,082,422 million, of which ¥34,363 million is not expected to be collected.

Pro forma statements of income

The following unaudited pro forma statements of income present the result of operations for Danamon as if the acquisition of Danamon had occurred on April 1, 2018:

	Fiscal years ended March 31,	
	2019	2020
	(in millions)	
Statement of income data:		
Net interest income	¥130,540	¥119,818
Non-interest income—Total	30,857	30,852
Net income attributable to Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group	16,083	3,206

The unaudited pro forma statements of income include the pro forma adjustments to reflect the impact of amortizing certain acquisition accounting adjustments such as intangible assets subject to amortization of ¥11,445 million and ¥11,299 million for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Acquisition of Colonial First State Global Asset Management

On August 2, 2019, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking acquired 100% of the shares in each of the nine subsidiaries of Colonial First State Group Limited, for ¥312,225 million in cash, from Australian financial group Commonwealth Bank of Australia and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Colonial First State Group Limited, and thereby recorded goodwill of ¥177,065 million, none of which is deductible for income tax purposes and intangible assets of ¥105,973 million with a weighted average amortization period of 18.7 years primarily include ¥100,862 million of customer relationships with a weighted average amortization period of 18.0 years. These nine subsidiaries collectively, including their subsidiaries, had represented the global asset management business of Colonial First State Global Asset Management, and were renamed First Sentier Investors (“FSI”) on September 16, 2019.

The MUFG Group is seeking the opportunities to enhance its competitive edge as well as expanding its client base globally in asset management business. The acquisition of FSI is a milestone to strengthen its capability and that is the reason such goodwill was paid which is allocated to the segment of Asset Management & Investor Services Business Group. The MUFG Group will continue to respond to customer expectations by leveraging the advantages and brands of FSI as well as the MUFG Group’s original asset management arms, aiming to become an asset management service provider with a global presence.

The assets acquired and liabilities assumed were recorded at their estimated fair values on the acquisition date. During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, the MUFG Group incurred ¥3,775 million of acquisition-related costs. These expenses are included in Other non-interest expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of income for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020. Total assets acquired amounted to ¥197,867 million, including cash and bank deposits of ¥42,019 million and intangible assets of ¥105,973 million, and total liabilities assumed amounted to ¥68,519 million, including accrued bonuses of ¥18,769 million. The revenue and net loss of FSI and its subsidiaries since the acquisition date were ¥38,508 million and ¥734 million for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020.

Pro forma statements of income

The following unaudited pro forma statements of income present the results of operations for FSI as if the acquisition of FSI had occurred on April 1, 2018:

	Fiscal years ended March 31,	
	2019	2020
	(in millions)	
Statement of income data:		
Non-interest income—Total	¥77,323	¥78,475
Net income attributable to Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group	16,051	6,047

The unaudited pro forma statements of income include the pro forma adjustments to reflect the impact of amortizing certain acquisition accounting adjustments relating to intangible assets subject to amortization of ¥6,622 million and ¥5,979 million for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively.

Acquisition of DVB Bank SE’s Aviation Finance Division

On March 1, 2019, MUFG Bank and its consolidated subsidiary, BOT Lease Co., Ltd. (“BOT Lease”), entered into an agreement with DVB Bank SE (“DVB”) to transfer DVB’s aviation finance division to MUFG Bank and BOT Lease.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

The purpose of the transaction is to improve the MUFG Group's ability to offer bespoke solutions to its clients by enhancing its global corporate investment banking business platform in terms of higher returns, diversifying its portfolio, broadening its customer base, and securing experienced professionals.

On November 18, 2019, MUFG Bank acquired DVB's most of aviation finance client lending portfolio, employees, and other part of the operation infrastructure for ¥555 billion in cash. MUFG Bank recorded goodwill of ¥32,591 million. In addition, DVB's aviation investment management and asset management businesses will be transferred to a newly established subsidiary of BOT Lease, subject to applicable regulatory and other approvals and certain conditions.

The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities as of the acquisition date:

	<u>(in millions)</u>
Loans	¥515,933
Total assets	522,797
Total liabilities	138

Pro forma statements of income

The following unaudited pro forma statements of income present the result of operations for DVB's aviation finance division as if the acquisition of DVB's aviation finance division had occurred on April 1, 2018:

	<u>Fiscal years ended</u> <u>March 31,</u>	
	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>
	<u>(in millions)</u>	
Statement of income data:		
Net interest income	¥4,825	¥4,868
Non-interest income—Total	430	430
Net income attributable to Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group	48	77

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

3. INVESTMENT SECURITIES

The following tables present the amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses, and fair value of Available-for-sale debt securities and Held-to-maturity debt securities at March 31, 2019 and 2020:

At March 31, 2019:	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value
		(in millions)		
Available-for-sale debt securities:				
Japanese national government and Japanese government agency bonds	¥23,748,558	¥330,115	¥ 977	¥24,077,696
Japanese prefectural and municipal bonds	2,203,978	22,593	5	2,226,566
Foreign government and official institution bonds	2,648,874	18,099	25,554	2,641,419
Corporate bonds	1,117,302	14,251	822	1,130,731
Residential mortgage-backed securities	1,635,220	1,469	21,338	1,615,351
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	132,996	310	2,351	130,955
Asset-backed securities	1,494,629	10,846	2,553	1,502,922
Other debt securities ⁽¹⁾	192,930	2,851	2,918	192,863
Total	¥33,174,487	¥400,534	¥56,518	¥33,518,503
Held-to-maturity debt securities:				
Japanese national government and Japanese government agency bonds	¥ 1,100,701	¥ 41,619	¥ —	¥ 1,142,320
Foreign government and official institution bonds	138,731	193	212	138,712
Residential mortgage-backed securities	910,990	1,209	21,202 ⁽²⁾	890,997
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	160,267	1,903	2,032 ⁽²⁾	160,138
Asset-backed securities	2,131,212	1,415	11,847	2,120,780
Total	¥ 4,441,901	¥ 46,339	¥35,293	¥ 4,452,947

Notes:

- (1) Other debt securities in the table above mainly include ¥112,822 million of private placement debt conduit bonds.
- (2) MUFG Americas Holdings reclassified residential mortgage-backed securities and commercial mortgage-backed securities from Available-for-sale debt securities to Held-to-maturity debt securities. As a result of the reclassification of residential mortgage-backed securities and commercial mortgage-backed securities, the unrealized losses before taxes at the date of reclassification remaining in Accumulated OCI in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets were ¥10,591 million and ¥4,667 million, respectively, at March 31, 2019 and are not included in the table above.

mitsubishi UFJ financial group, inc. and subsidiaries
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

At March 31, 2020:	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value
		(in millions)		
Available-for-sale debt securities:				
Japanese national government and Japanese government agency bonds	¥23,308,538	¥185,302	¥30,961	¥23,462,879
Japanese prefectural and municipal bonds	2,938,743	16,279	2,202	2,952,820
Foreign government and official institution bonds	2,936,110	107,406	6,028	3,037,488
Corporate bonds	1,261,586	12,445	1,205	1,272,826
Residential mortgage-backed securities	1,460,641	3,083	4,331	1,459,393
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	379,234	6,110	3,089	382,255
Asset-backed securities	1,461,083	9,516	1,123	1,469,476
Other debt securities ⁽¹⁾	161,832	3,190	1,956	163,066
Total	<u>¥33,907,767</u>	<u>¥343,331</u>	<u>¥50,895</u>	<u>¥34,200,203</u>
Held-to-maturity debt securities:				
Japanese national government and Japanese government agency bonds	¥ 1,100,574	¥ 29,856	¥ —	¥ 1,130,430
Foreign government and official institution bonds	151,555	243	689	151,109
Residential mortgage-backed securities	737,242	10,478	3,012 ⁽²⁾	744,708
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	146,039	2,993	317 ⁽²⁾	148,715
Asset-backed securities	2,030,371	277	27,716	2,002,932
Total	<u>¥ 4,165,781</u>	<u>¥ 43,847</u>	<u>¥31,734</u>	<u>¥ 4,177,894</u>

Notes:

- (1) Other debt securities in the table above mainly include ¥86,734 million of private placement debt conduit bonds.
- (2) MUFG Americas Holdings reclassified residential mortgage-backed securities and commercial mortgage-backed securities from Available-for-sale debt securities to Held-to-maturity debt securities. As a result of the reclassification of residential mortgage-backed securities and commercial mortgage-backed securities, the unrealized losses before taxes at the date of reclassification remaining in Accumulated OCI in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets were ¥8,215 million and ¥3,178 million, respectively, at March 31, 2020 and are not included in the table above.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Contractual Maturities

The amortized cost and fair values of Held-to-maturity debt securities and the fair values of Available-for-sale debt securities at March 31, 2020 by contractual maturity are shown below. Expected maturities may be shorter than contractual maturities because issuers of debt securities may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without penalties. Debt securities not due at a single maturity date and securities embedded with call or prepayment options, such as mortgage-backed securities, are included in the table below based on their contractual maturities.

	Held-to-maturity debt securities		Available-for-sale debt securities
	Amortized cost	Fair value (in millions)	Fair value
Due in one year or less	¥ 24,013	¥ 24,056	¥11,827,106
Due from one year to five years	1,202,901	1,235,096	10,833,597
Due from five years to ten years	591,471	587,205	5,794,878
Due after ten years	2,347,396	2,331,537	5,744,622
Total	<u>¥4,165,781</u>	<u>¥4,177,894</u>	<u>¥34,200,203</u>

Realized Gains and Losses

For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018, gross realized gains on sales of Available-for-sale debt securities and marketable equity securities were ¥330,508 million and gross realized losses on sales of Available-for-sale debt securities and marketable equity securities were ¥49,290 million.

For the fiscal years ended March 31, 2019 and 2020, gross realized gains on sales of Available-for-sale debt securities were ¥45,244 million and ¥151,015 million, respectively, and gross realized losses on sales of Available-for-sale debt securities were ¥16,541 million and ¥44,662 million, respectively.

Other-than-temporary Impairments of Investment Securities

For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018, losses resulting from impairment of investment securities to reflect the decline in value considered to be other-than-temporary were ¥8,196 million, which were included in Investment securities gains (losses)—net in the accompanying consolidated statements of income. The losses of ¥8,196 million for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018 included losses of ¥6,660 million from marketable equity securities, ¥114 million from Available-for-sale debt securities which mainly comprised of corporate bonds, and ¥1,422 million from nonmarketable equity securities.

For the fiscal years ended March 31, 2019 and 2020, losses resulting from impairment of investment securities to reflect the decline in value considered to be other-than-temporary were ¥606 million and ¥1,590 million, respectively, which were included in Investment securities gains (losses)—net in the accompanying consolidated statements of income. These losses were recorded from Available-for-sale debt securities which mainly comprised of corporate bonds for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2019 and 2020. Impairment of marketable equity securities is eliminated according to new guidance on recognition and measurement of financial assets and financial liabilities. The Available-for-sale securities (AFS category) is eliminated for equity securities and, therefore, OTTI review is not required for those securities.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Gross Unrealized Losses and Fair Value

The following tables show the gross unrealized losses and fair value of Available-for-sale debt securities and Held-to-maturity debt securities at March 31, 2019 and 2020, by length of time that individual securities in each category have been in a continuous loss position:

	Less than 12 months		12 months or more		Total		
	Fair value	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value	Gross unrealized losses	Number of securities
At March 31, 2019:	(in millions, except number of securities)						
Available-for-sale debt securities:							
Japanese national government and Japanese government agency bonds	¥4,149,302	¥ 976	¥ 5,599	¥ 1	¥4,154,901	¥ 977	39
Japanese prefectural and municipal bonds	12,772	5	—	—	12,772	5	4
Foreign government and official institution bonds . . .	397,718	1,125	1,160,671	24,429	1,558,389	25,554	179
Corporate bonds	163,615	776	79,758	46	243,373	822	92
Residential mortgage-backed securities	316,942	1,757	648,353	19,581	965,295	21,338	536
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	42,126	678	57,167	1,673	99,293	2,351	104
Asset-backed securities	164,738	2,553	—	—	164,738	2,553	87
Other debt securities	77,660	549	37,027	2,369	114,687	2,918	38
Total	¥5,324,873	¥ 8,419	¥1,988,575	¥48,099	¥7,313,448	¥56,518	1,079
Held-to-maturity debt securities:							
Foreign government and official institution bonds . . .	¥ —	¥ —	¥ 55,084	¥ 212	¥ 55,084	¥ 212	10
Residential mortgage-backed securities	74,180	1,199	798,165	20,003	872,345	21,202	457
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	3,154	37	155,011	1,995	158,165	2,032	32
Asset-backed securities	1,423,048	10,196	241,233	1,651	1,664,281	11,847	102
Total	¥1,500,382	¥11,432	¥1,249,493	¥23,861	¥2,749,875	¥35,293	601

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

At March 31, 2020:	Less than 12 months		12 months or more		Total		
	Fair value	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value	Gross unrealized losses	Number of securities
(in millions, except number of securities)							
Available-for-sale debt securities:							
Japanese national government and Japanese government agency bonds	¥11,781,600	¥30,961	¥ —	¥ —	¥11,781,600	¥30,961	202
Japanese prefectural and municipal bonds	935,540	2,202	—	—	935,540	2,202	340
Foreign government and official institution bonds	564,620	5,481	114,407	547	679,027	6,028	153
Corporate bonds	522,941	1,191	25,228	14	548,169	1,205	154
Residential mortgage-backed securities	114,057	530	388,064	3,801	502,121	4,331	402
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	182,887	3,087	1,304	2	184,191	3,089	39
Asset-backed securities	189,775	503	86,605	620	276,380	1,123	94
Other debt securities	53,070	132	30,657	1,824	83,727	1,956	24
Total	<u>¥14,344,490</u>	<u>¥44,087</u>	<u>¥ 646,265</u>	<u>¥ 6,808</u>	<u>¥14,990,755</u>	<u>¥50,895</u>	<u>1,408</u>
Held-to-maturity debt securities:							
Foreign government and official institution bonds	¥ 55,746	¥ 689	¥ —	¥ —	¥ 55,746	¥ 689	9
Residential mortgage-backed securities	108,478	1,033	360,841	1,979	469,319	3,012	281
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	10,281	32	133,791	285	144,072	317	31
Asset-backed securities	637,201	8,536	1,259,720	19,180	1,896,921	27,716	77
Total	<u>¥ 811,706</u>	<u>¥10,290</u>	<u>¥1,754,352</u>	<u>¥21,444</u>	<u>¥ 2,566,058</u>	<u>¥31,734</u>	<u>398</u>

Evaluating Investment Securities for Other-than-temporary Impairments

The following describes the nature of the MUFG Group's investments and the conclusions reached in determining whether the unrealized losses were temporary or other-than-temporary.

Corporate bonds

As of March 31, 2020, unrealized losses associated with corporate bonds were primarily related to private placement bonds issued by Japanese non-public companies. The credit loss component recognized in earnings is identified as the amount of principal cash flows not expected to be received over the remaining terms of the bonds as estimated using the MUFG Group's cash flow projections. The key assumptions include probability of default based on credit ratings of the bond issuers and loss given default. The credit loss components recognized in earnings were ¥1,761 million and ¥1,535 million at March 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively.

Residential mortgage-backed securities

As of March 31, 2020, unrealized losses on these securities were primarily driven by securities guaranteed by a U.S. government agency or a government-sponsored agency which are collateralized by residential

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

mortgage loans. Unrealized losses mainly resulted from changes in interest rates and not from changes in credit quality. The MUFG Group determined through analysis that no OTTI was identified on such securities as of March 31, 2020 and no impairment loss has been recorded because the strength of the issuers' guarantees through direct obligations or support from the U.S. government is expected to be sufficient to recover the entire amortized cost basis of these securities.

Asset-backed securities

As of March 31, 2020, unrealized losses on these securities were primarily driven by certain collateralized loan obligations ("CLOs"), highly illiquid securities for which fair values are difficult to determine. Unrealized losses arise from widening credit spreads, deterioration of the credit quality of the underlying collateral, uncertainty regarding the valuation of such securities and the market's view of the performance of the fund managers. When the fair value of a security is lower than its amortized cost or when any security is subject to a deterioration in credit rating, the MUFG Group undertakes a cash flow analysis of the underlying collateral to estimate the OTTI and confirms the intent and ability to hold these securities until recovery. Based on the analysis performed, no OTTI was identified as of March 31, 2020 and no impairment loss has been recorded.

Other debt securities

As of March 31, 2020, other debt securities primarily consist of private placement debt conduit bonds, which are not rated by external credit rating agencies. The unrealized losses on these bonds result from a higher return on capital expected by the secondary market compared with the return on capital required at the time of origination when the bonds were purchased. The MUFG Group estimates loss projections for each security by assessing the underlying collateral of each security. The MUFG Group estimates the portion of loss attributable to credit based on the expected cash flows of the underlying collateral using estimates of current key assumptions such as probability of default and loss severity. Cash flow analysis of the underlying collateral provides an estimate of OTTI, which is performed when the fair value of a security is lower than its amortized cost and potential impairment is identified. Based on the analysis, no OTTI losses were recorded in the accompanying consolidated statements of income.

Marketable equity securities included in Equity Securities

The MUFG Group determines whether unrealized losses on marketable equity securities are temporary based on its ability and positive intent to hold the investments for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery and the results of its review conducted to identify and evaluate investments that have indications of possible impairment. Impairment is evaluated considering various factors, and their relative significance varies from case to case. The MUFG Group's review includes, but is not limited to, consideration of the following factors:

The length of time that the fair value of the investment has been below cost—The MUFG Group generally deems a continued decline of fair value below cost for six months or more to be other-than-temporary.

The extent to which the fair value of investments has been below cost as of the end of the reporting period—The MUFG Group's investment portfolio is exposed to volatile equity prices affected by many factors including investors' perspectives as to future economic prospects and the issuers' performance. The MUFG Group generally deems the decline in fair value below cost of 20% or more as an indicator of an other-than-temporary decline in fair value.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

The financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer—The MUFG Group considers the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer primarily based on the credit standing of the issuers as determined by its credit rating system.

At March 31, 2018, unrealized losses on marketable equity securities which have been in a continuous loss position are considered temporary based on the evaluation as described above.

OTTI of marketable equity securities is eliminated according to new guidance on recognition and measurement of financial assets and financial liabilities.

Equity Securities

The following table presents net realized losses on sales of equity securities, and net unrealized losses on equity securities still held at March 31, 2019 and 2020:

	Fiscal years ended March 31,	
	2019	2020
	(in millions)	
Net losses recognized during the period ⁽¹⁾	¥(278,746)	¥(639,813)
Less:		
Net losses recognized during the period on equity securities sold during the period	(3,303)	(37,541)
Net unrealized losses recognized during the reporting period still held at the reporting date	<u>¥(275,443)</u>	<u>¥(602,272)</u>

Note:

(1) Included in Investment securities gains (losses)—net.

Measurement Alternative of Equity Securities

The following table presents the carrying value of nonmarketable equity securities held at March 31, 2019 and 2020:

	2019	2020
	(in millions)	
Measurement alternative balance	¥ 563,733	¥ 534,882

The related adjustments for these securities for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2019 and 2020 were as follow:

	Fiscal years ended March 31,	
	2019	2020
	(in millions)	
Measurement alternative impairment losses ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾	¥ (2,292)	¥ (3,099)
Measurement alternative downward changes for observable prices ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	¥ —	¥ (953)
Measurement alternative upward changes for observable prices ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁶⁾	¥ 53,077	¥ 6,223

Notes:

(1) Included in Investment securities gains (losses)—net.

(2) Under the measurement alternative, nonmarketable equity securities are carried at cost plus or minus changes resulting from observable prices in orderly transactions for the identical or a similar investment of the same issuer.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

- (3) The MUFG Group applied measurement alternative downward or upward changes to certain nonmarketable equity securities, resulting from observable prices in orderly transactions, such as partial repurchase and transactions by other entities.
(4) The cumulative impairment losses at March 31, 2019 and 2020 were ¥2,292 million and ¥5,007 million, respectively.
(5) The cumulative downward changes for observable prices at March 31, 2019 and 2020 were nil and ¥953 million, respectively.
(6) The cumulative upward changes for observable prices at March 31, 2019 and 2020 were ¥53,077 million and ¥34,466 million, respectively.

4. LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR CREDIT LOSSES

Loans at March 31, 2019 and 2020 by domicile and industry of the borrower are summarized below. Classification of loans by industry is based on the industry segment loan classifications as defined by the Bank of Japan.

	2019	2020
	(in millions)	
Domestic:		
Manufacturing	¥ 11,153,996	¥ 11,448,778
Construction	717,664	733,212
Real estate	11,706,419	12,054,671
Services	2,653,191	2,585,111
Wholesale and retail	7,643,397	7,504,561
Banks and other financial institutions ⁽¹⁾	5,213,020	5,161,093
Communication and information services	1,510,596	1,572,344
Other industries	8,756,483	8,673,871
Consumer	15,802,024	15,319,721
Total domestic	<u>65,156,790</u>	<u>65,053,362</u>
Foreign:		
Governments and official institutions	841,695	726,347
Banks and other financial institutions ⁽¹⁾	11,641,373	11,788,225
Commercial and industrial	31,951,169	32,565,030
Other	7,597,502	8,404,062
Total foreign	<u>52,031,739</u>	<u>53,483,664</u>
Unearned income, unamortized premiums—net and deferred loan fees—net	(304,588)	(350,287)
Total ⁽²⁾	<u>¥116,883,941</u>	<u>¥118,186,739</u>

Notes:

- (1) Loans to so-called “non-bank finance companies” are generally included in the “Banks and other financial institutions” category. Non-bank finance companies are primarily engaged in consumer lending, factoring and credit card businesses.
(2) The above table includes loans held for sale of ¥291,794 million and ¥344,790 million at March 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively.

The MUFG Group classifies its loan portfolio into the following portfolio segments—Commercial, Residential, Card, MUFG Americas Holdings, Krungsri, and Other based on the grouping used by the MUFG Group to determine the allowance for credit losses. See Note 1 for further information.

Nonaccrual Loans

Originated loans are generally placed on nonaccrual status when substantial doubt exists as to the full and timely collection of either principal or interest, when principal or interest is contractually past due one month or

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

more with respect to loans within all classes of the Commercial segment, three months or more with respect to loans within the Card, MUFG Americas Holdings, and Krungsri segments, and six months or more with respect to loans within the Residential segment. See Note 1 for further information.

The nonaccrual loans by class at March 31, 2019 and 2020 are shown below:

	2019	2020
	(in millions)	
Commercial		
Domestic	¥272,777	¥312,972
Manufacturing	65,896	93,776
Construction	9,813	8,484
Real estate	23,152	21,790
Services	26,188	51,195
Wholesale and retail	94,531	94,037
Banks and other financial institutions	898	994
Communication and information services	11,955	10,539
Other industries	25,406	20,234
Consumer	14,938	11,923
Foreign	111,002	127,001
Residential	68,499	63,998
Card	61,419	61,172
MUAH	46,549	35,840
Krungsri	127,424	149,732
Other	—	27,754
Total⁽¹⁾	<u>¥687,670</u>	<u>¥778,469</u>

Note:

- (1) The above table does not include loans held for sale of ¥12,702 million and ¥330 million at March 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively, and loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality of ¥6,284 million and ¥25,427 million at March 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Impaired Loans

The MUFG Group's impaired loans primarily include nonaccrual loans and TDRs. The following table shows information about impaired loans by class at March 31, 2019 and 2020:

At March 31, 2019:	Recorded Loan Balance			Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance for Credit Losses
	Requiring an Allowance for Credit Losses	Not Requiring an Allowance for Credit Losses ⁽¹⁾	Total ⁽²⁾		
	(in millions)				
Commercial					
Domestic	¥560,474	¥157,465	¥ 717,939	¥ 759,399	¥227,004
Manufacturing	349,597	28,189	377,786	384,306	92,919
Construction	8,366	5,975	14,341	14,779	6,574
Real estate	20,848	29,961	50,809	55,943	5,704
Services	30,239	13,020	43,259	46,838	20,059
Wholesale and retail	118,253	45,620	163,873	175,714	84,503
Banks and other financial institutions	1,012	21	1,033	1,033	830
Communication and information services	8,794	6,929	15,723	16,587	6,817
Other industries	13,772	17,989	31,761	38,342	6,874
Consumer	9,593	9,761	19,354	25,857	2,724
Foreign	127,521	34,484	162,005	183,133	85,966
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	8,136	—	8,136	14,990	5,450
Residential⁽⁴⁾	97,176	6,495	103,671	120,526	14,357
Card⁽⁴⁾	64,691	330	65,021	72,226	21,829
MUAH⁽⁴⁾	46,552	23,208	69,760	83,300	8,294
Krungsri⁽⁴⁾	57,066	26,193	83,259	90,377	28,254
Total⁽³⁾	¥961,616	¥248,175	¥1,209,791	¥1,323,951	¥391,154

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

At March 31, 2020:	Recorded Loan Balance			Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance for Credit Losses
	Requiring an Allowance for Credit Losses	Not Requiring an Allowance for Credit Losses ⁽¹⁾	Total ⁽²⁾		
	(in millions)				
Commercial					
Domestic	¥ 598,737	¥147,890	¥ 746,627	¥ 784,298	¥268,070
Manufacturing	375,716	32,057	407,773	414,091	119,070
Construction	6,609	5,261	11,870	12,023	5,026
Real estate	20,739	28,928	49,667	55,303	6,649
Services	55,293	13,114	68,407	72,708	40,987
Wholesale and retail	113,288	38,897	152,185	160,508	80,996
Banks and other financial institutions	1,069	39	1,108	1,262	855
Communication and information services	7,971	5,808	13,779	14,698	6,009
Other industries	10,558	16,449	27,007	33,229	6,434
Consumer	7,494	7,337	14,831	20,476	2,044
Foreign	141,897	56,464	198,361	220,283	96,009
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	12,906	—	12,906	19,947	4,767
Residential⁽⁴⁾	88,075	4,822	92,897	107,629	12,770
Card⁽⁴⁾	65,240	280	65,520	72,714	19,799
MUAH⁽⁴⁾	33,884	33,835	67,719	84,737	5,977
Krungsri⁽⁴⁾	68,126	30,833	98,959	106,265	30,198
Other⁽⁴⁾	22,749	1,091	23,840	26,091	6,152
Total⁽³⁾	<u>¥1,031,614</u>	<u>¥275,215</u>	<u>¥1,306,829</u>	<u>¥1,421,964</u>	<u>¥443,742</u>

Notes:

- (1) These loans do not require an allowance for credit losses because the recorded loan balance equals, or does not exceed, the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loans' original effective interest rate, loans' observable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is a collateral-dependent loan.
- (2) Included in impaired loans at March 31, 2019 and 2020 are accrual TDRs as follows: ¥497,013 million and ¥505,681 million—Commercial; ¥34,449 million and ¥28,450 million—Residential; ¥26,183 million and ¥25,492 million—Card; ¥33,155 million and ¥42,910 million—MUFG Americas Holdings; ¥26,851 million and ¥35,226 million—Krungsri; and nil and ¥8,401 million—Other, respectively.
- (3) In addition to impaired loans presented in the above table, there were impaired loans held for sale of ¥12,702 million and ¥330 million at March 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively.
- (4) Impaired Loans for Residential, Card, MUAH, Krungsri and Other segments in the above table include loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

The following table shows information regarding the average recorded loan balance and recognized interest income on impaired loans for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020:

	2018		2019		2020	
	Average Recorded Loan Balance	Recognized Interest Income	Average Recorded Loan Balance	Recognized Interest Income	Average Recorded Loan Balance	Recognized Interest Income
	(in millions)					
Commercial						
Domestic	¥ 918,093	¥ 9,441	¥ 766,847	¥12,383	¥ 726,794	¥ 8,722
Manufacturing	472,081	3,787	387,725	6,057	387,470	4,085
Construction	19,465	281	15,721	291	13,093	218
Real estate	74,087	1,146	57,850	1,069	48,740	731
Services	59,916	794	48,945	1,044	58,704	759
Wholesale and retail	186,356	2,347	171,687	2,848	157,751	2,195
Banks and other financial institutions	1,729	8	1,330	8	1,094	6
Communication and information services	25,461	388	22,478	491	14,804	322
Other industries	50,377	215	39,178	234	28,345	170
Consumer	28,621	475	21,933	341	16,793	236
Foreign	209,297	4,244	159,999	3,127	174,831	3,013
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	8,591	492	7,814	182	9,395	74
Residential	119,409	1,563	107,165	1,620	98,238	1,252
Card	69,831	1,993	66,187	1,614	65,270	1,241
MUAH	83,504	1,993	71,162	2,292	82,832	2,801
Krungsri	75,370	3,899	83,165	4,995	91,577	5,274
Other	—	—	—	—	11,854	494
Total	<u>¥1,484,095</u>	<u>¥23,625</u>	<u>¥1,262,339</u>	<u>¥26,213</u>	<u>¥1,260,791</u>	<u>¥22,871</u>

Interest income on nonaccrual loans for all classes was recognized on a cash basis when ultimate collectibility of principal was certain. Otherwise, cash receipts were applied as principal reductions. Interest income on accruing impaired loans, including TDRs, was recognized on an accrual basis to the extent that the collectibility of interest income was reasonably certain based on management's assessment.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

The following table shows a roll-forward of accrual TDRs and other impaired loans (including nonaccrual TDRs) for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020:

	2018	2019	2020
	(in millions)		
Accrual TDRs:			
Balance at beginning of fiscal year	¥ 819,819	¥ 670,255	¥ 617,651
Additions (new accrual TDR status) ⁽¹⁾	144,368	71,033	171,740
Transfers to other impaired loans (including nonaccrual TDRs)	(25,122)	(19,053)	(39,646)
Loans sold	(39,378)	(26)	(11,220)
Principal payments and other	(229,432)	(104,558)	(92,365)
Balance at end of fiscal year ⁽¹⁾	<u>¥ 670,255</u>	<u>¥ 617,651</u>	<u>¥ 646,160</u>
Other impaired loans (including nonaccrual TDRs):			
Balance at beginning of fiscal year	¥ 896,031	¥ 660,868	¥ 592,140
Additions (new other impaired loans (including nonaccrual TDRs) status) ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	281,275	222,003	373,091
Charge-off	(98,355)	(55,309)	(52,935)
Transfers to accrual TDRs	(43,858)	(22,110)	(45,310)
Loans sold	(31,581)	(26,022)	(29,928)
Principal payments and other	(342,644)	(187,290)	(176,389)
Balance at end of fiscal year ⁽¹⁾	<u>¥ 660,868</u>	<u>¥ 592,140</u>	<u>¥ 660,669</u>

Notes:

- (1) For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018, lease receivables of ¥1,809 million and ¥113 million in the Krungsri segment, which were accrual TDRs and nonaccrual TDRs, respectively, are excluded from the additions of accrual TDRs and other impaired loans, respectively, and the related ending balances of such TDRs amounting to ¥4,282 million and ¥1,286 million, are also excluded from the balance of accrual TDRs and other impaired loans, respectively, as of March 31, 2018. For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019, lease receivables of ¥2,947 million and ¥2,088 million in the Krungsri segment, which were accrual TDRs and nonaccrual TDRs, respectively, are excluded from the additions of accrual TDRs and other impaired loans, respectively, and the related ending balances of such TDRs amounting to ¥5,060 million and ¥3,361 million, are also excluded from the balance of accrual TDRs and other impaired loans, respectively, as of March 31, 2019. For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, lease receivables of ¥3,647 million and ¥465 million in the Krungsri segment, and ¥68 million and nil in the Other segment, which were accrual TDRs and nonaccrual TDRs, respectively, are excluded from the additions of accrual TDRs and other impaired loans, respectively, and the related ending balances of such TDRs amounting to ¥6,946 million and ¥3,810 million in the Krungsri segment, and ¥66 million and nil in the Other segment are also excluded from the balance of accrual TDRs and other impaired loans, respectively, as of March 31, 2020.
- (2) Included in the additions of other impaired loans for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020 are nonaccrual TDRs as follows: ¥12,002 million, ¥13,493 million and ¥14,685 million—Card; ¥12,799 million, ¥12,738 million and ¥15,135 million—MUFG Americas Holdings; ¥12,280 million, ¥10,519 million and ¥9,828 million—Krungsri; and nil, nil and ¥1,031 million—Other, respectively.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Troubled Debt Restructurings

The following table summarizes the MUFG Group's TDRs by class for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020:

	2018		2019		2020	
			Troubled Debt Restructurings			
	Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment
			(in millions)			
Commercial⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾						
Domestic	¥ 70,380	¥ 69,021	¥ 36,693	¥ 36,693	¥ 61,735	¥ 61,735
Manufacturing	35,954	35,954	11,654	11,654	27,435	27,435
Construction	1,020	1,020	703	703	289	289
Real estate	1,269	1,269	948	948	2,714	2,714
Services	4,139	4,139	2,141	2,141	6,487	6,487
Wholesale and retail	16,280	14,921	19,315	19,315	20,813	20,813
Banks and other financial institutions	246	246	—	—	—	—
Communication and information services	9,643	9,643	268	268	376	376
Other industries	761	761	472	472	3,279	3,279
Consumer	1,068	1,068	1,192	1,192	342	342
Foreign	25,522	25,522	5,692	5,692	39,827	39,827
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	—	—	50	50	10,786	10,786
Residential⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	9,763	9,763	7,379	7,379	5,137	5,137
Card⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	17,436	16,912	19,685	18,837	22,625	21,561
MUAH⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	40,578	38,224	19,837	19,837	33,782	33,564
Krungsri⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	24,015	23,929	24,392	24,330	31,238	31,209
Other⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	—	—	—	—	12,781	12,780
Total	¥187,694	¥183,371	¥113,728	¥112,818	¥217,911	¥216,599

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

	2018	2019	2020
	Troubled Debt Restructurings That Subsequently defaulted		
	Recorded Investment		
	(in millions)		
Commercial⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾			
Domestic	¥ 4,067	¥11,002	¥ 8,857
Manufacturing	839	312	3,094
Construction	—	89	6
Real estate	10	—	73
Services	822	473	43
Wholesale and retail	2,231	1,713	5,421
Banks and other financial institutions	—	—	—
Communication and information services	140	8,365	9
Other industries	—	50	123
Consumer	25	—	88
Foreign	—	—	2,337
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	—	—	—
Residential⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	159	362	31
Card⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	4,191	3,442	3,320
MUAH⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	2,565	349	4,656
Krungsri⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	4,789	7,926	7,305
Other⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	—	—	15
Total	<u>¥15,771</u>	<u>¥23,081</u>	<u>¥26,521</u>

Notes:

- (1) TDRs for the Commercial and Residential segments include accruing loans, and do not include nonaccrual loans.
- (2) TDRs for the Card, MUFG Americas Holdings, Krungsri and Other segments include accrual and nonaccrual loans.
- (3) For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018, extension of the stated maturity date of loans was the primary concession type in the Commercial, Residential and Krungsri segments, reduction in the stated rate was the primary concession type in the Card segment and payment deferrals were the primary concession type in the MUFG Americas Holdings segment. For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019, extension of the stated maturity date of loans was the primary concession type in the Commercial, Residential and Krungsri segments, reduction in the stated rate was the primary concession type in the Card segment and forbearance was the primary concession type in the MUFG Americas Holdings segment. For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, extension of the stated maturity date of loans was the primary concession type in the Commercial, Residential, MUFG Americas Holdings and Krungsri segments and reduction in the stated rate was the primary concession type in the Card and Other segments.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

The following table summarizes outstanding recorded investment balances of TDRs by class at March 31, 2019 and 2020:

	2019	2020
	(in millions)	
Commercial⁽¹⁾		
Domestic	¥445,312	¥433,783
Manufacturing	311,890	313,996
Construction	4,591	3,435
Real estate	27,657	27,877
Services	17,135	17,287
Wholesale and retail	69,350	58,148
Banks and other financial institutions	135	113
Communication and information services	3,780	3,244
Other industries	6,357	6,775
Consumer	4,417	2,908
Foreign	51,701	71,898
Residential⁽¹⁾	34,449	28,450
Card⁽²⁾	65,021	65,520
MUAH⁽²⁾	48,128	62,151
Krungsri⁽²⁾	62,980	76,831
Other⁽²⁾	—	9,525
Total	<u>¥707,591</u>	<u>¥748,158</u>

Notes:

- (1) TDRs for the Commercial and Residential segments include accruing loans, and do not include nonaccrual loans.
- (2) TDRs for the Card, MUFG Americas Holdings, Krungsri and Other segments include accrual and nonaccrual loans. Included in the outstanding recorded investment balances as of March 31, 2019 and 2020 are nonaccrual TDRs as follows: ¥38,838 million and ¥40,028 million—Card; ¥14,973 million and ¥19,241 million—MUFG Americas Holdings; ¥31,069 million and ¥34,659 million—Krungsri; and nil and ¥1,058 million—Other, respectively.

A modification of terms of a loan under a TDR mainly involves: (i) a reduction in the stated interest rate applicable to the loan, (ii) an extension of the stated maturity date of the loan, (iii) a partial forgiveness of the principal of the loan, or (iv) a combination of all of these. Those loans are also considered impaired loans, and hence the allowance for credit losses is separately established for each loan. As a result, the amount of allowance for credit losses increases in many cases upon classification as a TDR loan. The amount of pre-modification outstanding recorded investment and post-modification outstanding recorded investment may differ due to write-offs made as part of the concession. The impact of write-offs associated with TDRs on the MUFG Group's results of operations for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020 was not material.

TDRs for the Commercial and Residential segments in the above tables include accruing loans, and do not include nonaccrual loans. Once a loan is classified as a nonaccrual loan, a modification would have little likelihood of resulting in the recovery of the loan in view of the severity of the financial difficulty of the borrower. Therefore, even if a nonaccrual loan is modified, the loan continues to be classified as a nonaccrual loan. The vast majority of modifications to nonaccrual loans are temporary extensions of the maturity dates, typically for periods up to 90 days, and continually made as the borrower is unable to repay or refinance the loan at the extended maturity. Accordingly, the impact of such TDRs on the outstanding recorded investment is immaterial, and the vast majority of nonaccrual TDRs have subsequently defaulted.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

TDRs that subsequently defaulted in the Commercial and Residential segments in the above tables include those accruing loans that became past due one month or more within the Commercial segment and six months or more within the Residential segment, and those accruing loans reclassified to nonaccrual loans due to financial difficulties even without delinquencies. This is because classification as a nonaccrual loan is regarded as default under the MUFG Group's credit policy. Also, the MUFG Group defines default as payment default for the purpose of the disclosure.

In regards to the Card, MUFG Americas Holdings, Krungsri and Other segments, the TDRs in the above tables represent nonaccrual and accruing loans, and the defaulted loans in the above table represent nonaccruing and accruing loans that became past due one month or more within the Card segment, 60 days or more within the MUFG Americas Holdings segment, and six months or more within the Krungsri segment.

Historical payment defaults are one of the factors considered when projecting future cash flows in determining the allowance for credit losses for each segment.

The MUFG Group provided commitments to extend credit to customers with TDRs. The amounts of such commitments were ¥169,819 million and ¥90,097 million at March 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively. See Note 24 for further discussion of commitments to extend credit.

Credit Quality Indicator

Credit quality indicators of loans by class at March 31, 2019 and 2020 are shown below:

<u>At March 31, 2019:</u>	<u>Normal</u>	<u>Close Watch</u>	<u>Likely to become Bankrupt or Legally/Virtually Bankrupt</u>	<u>Total⁽¹⁾</u>
	(in millions)			
Commercial				
Domestic	¥49,391,991	¥1,242,075	¥217,745	¥50,851,811
Manufacturing	10,819,594	279,801	47,968	11,147,363
Construction	672,152	37,236	7,857	717,245
Real estate	11,403,613	222,791	22,515	11,648,919
Services	2,436,489	174,784	19,953	2,631,226
Wholesale and retail	7,240,801	329,249	68,736	7,638,786
Banks and other financial institutions	5,199,889	7,654	898	5,208,441
Communication and information services	1,465,652	34,542	10,172	1,510,366
Other industries	8,610,464	119,581	24,947	8,754,992
Consumer	1,543,337	36,437	14,699	1,594,473
Foreign	35,418,267	562,854	112,103	36,093,224
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	11,622	10,833	3,790	26,245
Total	<u>¥84,821,880</u>	<u>¥1,815,762</u>	<u>¥333,638</u>	<u>¥86,971,280</u>
		<u>Accrual</u>	<u>Nonaccrual</u>	<u>Total⁽¹⁾</u>
		(in millions)		
Residential		¥13,661,794	¥66,290	¥13,728,084
Card		¥ 516,983	¥61,599	¥ 578,582

mitsubishi ufj financial group, inc. and subsidiaries
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

	Credit Quality Based on the Number of Delinquencies		Credit Quality Based on Internal Credit Ratings			Total ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾
	Accrual	Nonaccrual	Pass	Special Mention	Classified	
			(in millions)			
MUAH	¥ 4,752,021	¥ 15,540	¥ 4,699,698	¥ 51,948	¥ 88,356	¥ 9,607,563
			Normal	Special Mention	Substandard or Doubtful or Doubtful of Loss	Total ⁽¹⁾
			(in millions)			
Krungsri			¥5,682,245	¥199,070	¥129,222	¥6,010,537
			Normal	Close Watch	Likely to become Bankrupt or Legally/Virtually Bankrupt	Total ⁽¹⁾
			(in millions)			
At March 31, 2020:						
Commercial						
Domestic			¥49,695,889	¥1,186,044	¥220,245	¥51,102,178
Manufacturing			10,997,241	317,018	58,615	11,372,874
Construction			696,491	28,350	6,927	731,768
Real estate			11,790,467	190,972	20,080	12,001,519
Services			2,390,210	158,851	21,802	2,570,863
Wholesale and retail			7,124,098	301,965	71,778	7,497,841
Banks and other financial institutions			5,146,320	13,237	926	5,160,483
Communication and information services			1,530,887	32,366	8,880	1,572,133
Other industries			8,540,144	112,813	19,292	8,672,249
Consumer			1,480,031	30,472	11,945	1,522,448
Foreign			34,719,041	636,523	128,073	35,483,637
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality			8,255	9,739	18,978	36,972
Total			¥84,423,185	¥1,832,306	¥367,296	¥86,622,787
			Accrual	Nonaccrual		Total ⁽¹⁾
			(in millions)			
Residential			¥13,256,744	¥61,746		¥13,318,490
Card			¥ 504,357	¥61,286		¥ 565,643

	Credit Quality Based on the Number of Delinquencies		Credit Quality Based on Internal Credit Ratings			Total ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾
	Accrual	Nonaccrual	Pass	Special Mention	Classified	
			(in millions)			
MUAH	¥4,590,805	¥15,119	¥4,877,863	¥87,648	¥84,033	¥9,655,468
			Normal	Special Mention	Substandard or Doubtful or Doubtful of Loss	Total ⁽¹⁾
			(in millions)			
Krungsri			¥6,513,615	¥246,328	¥151,647	¥6,911,590

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

	<u>Accrual</u>	<u>Nonaccrual</u>	<u>Total⁽¹⁾</u>
		(in millions)	
Other	¥1,086,517	¥31,376	¥1,117,893

Notes:

- (1) Total loans in the above table do not include loans held for sale, and represent balances without adjustments in relation to unearned income, unamortized premiums and deferred loan fees.
- (2) Total loans of MUFG Americas Holdings do not include FDIC covered loans which are not individually rated totaling ¥689 million and ¥365 million as of March 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively. The MUFG Group will be reimbursed for a substantial portion of any future losses on FDIC covered loans under the terms of the FDIC loss share agreements.

The MUFG Group classifies loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt, including, but not limited to, historical and current financial information, historical and current payment experience, credit documentation, public and non-public information about borrowers and current economic trends as deemed appropriate to each segment.

The primary credit quality indicator for loans within all classes of the Commercial segment is the internal credit rating assigned to each borrower based on the MUFG Group's internal borrower ratings of 1 through 15, with the rating of 1 assigned to a borrower with the highest quality of credit. When assigning a credit rating to a borrower, the MUFG Group evaluates the borrower's expected debt-service capability based on various information, including financial and operating information of the borrower as well as information on the industry in which the borrower operates, and the borrower's business profile, management and compliance system. In evaluating a borrower's debt-service capability, the MUFG Group also conducts an assessment of the level of earnings and an analysis of the borrower's net worth. Based on the internal borrower rating, loans within the Commercial segment are categorized as Normal (internal borrower ratings of 1 through 9), Close Watch (internal borrower ratings of 10 through 12), and Likely to become Bankrupt or Legally/Virtually Bankrupt (internal borrower ratings of 13 through 15).

Loans to borrowers categorized as Normal represent those that are not deemed to have collectibility issues.

Loans to borrowers categorized as Close Watch represent those that require close monitoring as the borrower has begun to exhibit elements of potential concern with respect to its business performance and financial condition, the borrower has begun to exhibit elements of serious concern with respect to its business performance and financial condition, including business problems requiring long-term solutions, or the borrower's loans are TDRs or loans contractually past due 90 days or more for special reasons.

Loans to borrowers categorized as Likely to become Bankrupt or Legally/Virtually Bankrupt represent those that have a higher probability of default than those categorized as Close Watch due to serious debt repayment problems with poor progress in achieving restructuring plans, the borrower being considered virtually bankrupt with no prospects for an improvement in business operations, or the borrower being legally bankrupt with no prospects for continued business operations because of non-payment, suspension of business, voluntary liquidation or filing for legal liquidation.

The accrual status is a primary credit quality indicator for loans within the Residential segment, the Card segment, the Other segment and consumer loans within the MUFG Americas Holdings segment. The accrual status of these loans is determined based on the number of delinquent payments. See Note 1 for further details of categorization of Accrual and Nonaccrual.

Commercial loans within the MUFG Americas Holdings segment are categorized as either pass or criticized based on the internal credit rating assigned to each borrower. Criticized credits are those that are internally risk

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

graded as Special Mention, Substandard or Doubtful. Special Mention credits are potentially weak, as the borrower has begun to exhibit deteriorating trends, which, if not corrected, may jeopardize repayment of the loan and result in further downgrade. Classified credits are those that are internally risk graded as Substandard or Doubtful. Substandard credits have well-defined weaknesses, which, if not corrected, could jeopardize the full satisfaction of the debt. A credit classified as Doubtful has critical weaknesses that make full collection improbable on the basis of currently existing facts and conditions.

Loans within the Krungsri segment are categorized as Normal, Special Mention, Substandard, Doubtful, and Doubtful of Loss primarily based on their delinquency status. Loans categorized as Special Mention generally represent those that have the overdue principal or interest payments for a cumulative period exceeding one month commencing from the contractual due date. Loans categorized as Substandard, Doubtful or Doubtful of Loss generally represent those that have the overdue principal or interest payments for a cumulative period exceeding three months commencing from the contractual due date.

For the Commercial, Residential and Card segments, credit quality indicators are based on information as of March 31. For the MUFG Americas Holdings, Krungsri and Other segments, credit quality indicators are generally based on information as of December 31.

Past Due Analysis

Ages of past due loans by class at March 31, 2019 and 2020 are shown below:

At March 31, 2019:	1-3 months Past Due	Greater Than 3 months	Total Past Due	Current	Total Loans ⁽¹⁾	Recorded Investment 90 Days and Accruing
	(in millions)					
Commercial						
Domestic	¥ 11,551	¥ 30,648	¥ 42,199	¥ 50,809,612	¥ 50,851,811	¥ 6,900
Manufacturing	1,597	3,036	4,633	11,142,730	11,147,363	—
Construction	218	60	278	716,967	717,245	1
Real estate	2,034	4,256	6,290	11,642,629	11,648,919	2,524
Services	778	569	1,347	2,629,879	2,631,226	1
Wholesale and retail ...	2,791	2,390	5,181	7,633,605	7,638,786	62
Banks and other financial institutions	—	21	21	5,208,420	5,208,441	—
Communication and information services	411	758	1,169	1,509,197	1,510,366	—
Other industries	365	13,037	13,402	8,741,590	8,754,992	—
Consumer	3,357	6,521	9,878	1,584,595	1,594,473	4,312
Foreign	10,881	19,993	30,874	36,062,350	36,093,224	236
Residential	62,686	16,615	79,301	13,641,449	13,720,750	6,584
Card	17,203	30,568	47,771	527,421	575,192	—
MUAH	28,696	10,827	39,523	9,557,501	9,597,024	2,287
Krungsri	126,313	106,777	233,090	5,771,541	6,004,631	—
Total	<u>¥257,330</u>	<u>¥215,428</u>	<u>¥472,758</u>	<u>¥116,369,874</u>	<u>¥116,842,632</u>	<u>¥16,007</u>

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

At March 31, 2020:	1-3 months Past Due	Greater Than 3 months	Total Past Due	Current	Total Loans ⁽¹⁾	Recorded Investment 90 Days and Accruing
	(in millions)					
Commercial						
Domestic	¥ 9,215	¥ 26,971	¥ 36,186	¥ 51,065,992	¥ 51,102,178	¥ 4,720
Manufacturing	820	2,762	3,582	11,369,292	11,372,874	620
Construction	143	100	243	731,525	731,768	—
Real estate	880	3,300	4,180	11,997,339	12,001,519	1,181
Services	812	908	1,720	2,569,143	2,570,863	5
Wholesale and retail ...	2,598	2,603	5,201	7,492,640	7,497,841	19
Banks and other financial institutions	—	54	54	5,160,429	5,160,483	—
Communication and information services	336	57	393	1,571,740	1,572,133	—
Other industries	171	12,889	13,060	8,659,189	8,672,249	97
Consumer	3,455	4,298	7,753	1,514,695	1,522,448	2,798
Foreign	9,927	23,548	33,475	35,450,162	35,483,637	164
Residential	48,404	15,443	63,847	13,248,278	13,312,125	6,288
Card	14,735	29,997	44,732	518,008	562,740	—
MUAH	31,052	14,435	45,487	9,603,339	9,648,826	2,101
Krungsri	160,253	129,186	289,439	6,616,104	6,905,543	—
Other	16,442	24,348	40,790	1,069,186	1,109,976	—
Total	<u>¥290,028</u>	<u>¥263,928</u>	<u>¥553,956</u>	<u>¥117,571,069</u>	<u>¥118,125,025</u>	<u>¥13,273</u>

Note:

- (1) Total loans in the above table do not include loans held for sale and loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality and represent balances without adjustments in relation to unearned income, unamortized premiums and deferred loan fees.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Allowance for Credit Losses

Changes in the allowance for credit losses by portfolio segment for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020 are shown below:

<u>Fiscal year ended March 31, 2018:</u>	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>Residential</u>	<u>Card</u>	<u>MUAH</u>	<u>Krungsri</u>	<u>Total</u>
	(in millions)					
Allowance for credit losses:						
Balance at beginning of fiscal year	¥ 900,686	¥ 67,336	¥ 30,165	¥ 73,733	¥ 110,268	¥ 1,182,188
Provision for (reversal of) credit losses	(297,401)	(22,291)	23,422	(9,309)	64,732	(240,847)
Charge-offs	134,807	3,838	22,696	14,701	56,067	232,109
Recoveries	24,913	1,339	1,228	6,140	17,490	51,110
Net charge-offs	109,894	2,499	21,468	8,561	38,577	180,999
Other ⁽¹⁾	(2,293)	—	—	(2,098)	8,173	3,782
Balance at end of fiscal year	<u>¥ 491,098</u>	<u>¥ 42,546</u>	<u>¥ 32,119</u>	<u>¥ 53,765</u>	<u>¥ 144,596</u>	<u>¥ 764,124</u>

<u>Fiscal year ended March 31, 2019:</u>	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>Residential</u>	<u>Card</u>	<u>MUAH</u>	<u>Krungsri</u>	<u>Total</u>
	(in millions)					
Allowance for credit losses:						
Balance at beginning of fiscal year	¥ 491,098	¥ 42,546	¥ 32,119	¥ 53,765	¥ 144,596	¥ 764,124
Provision for (reversal of) credit losses	(43,850)	(4,480)	23,809	9,277	49,574	34,330
Charge-offs	76,664	274	24,310	13,224	59,569	174,041
Recoveries	17,565	834	932	3,733	21,053	44,117
Net charge-offs	59,099	(560)	23,378	9,491	38,516	129,924
Other ⁽¹⁾	1,466	—	—	(970)	(10,842)	(10,346)
Balance at end of fiscal year	<u>¥ 389,615</u>	<u>¥ 38,626</u>	<u>¥ 32,550</u>	<u>¥ 52,581</u>	<u>¥ 144,812</u>	<u>¥ 658,184</u>

<u>Fiscal year ended March 31, 2020:</u>	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>Residential</u>	<u>Card</u>	<u>MUAH</u>	<u>Krungsri</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Total</u>
	(in millions)						
Allowance for credit losses:							
Balance at beginning of fiscal year	¥389,615	¥38,626	¥32,550	¥52,581	¥144,812	¥ —	¥658,184
Provision for (reversal of) credit losses	153,782	(1,028)	26,542	30,825	70,023	41,569	321,713
Charge-offs	85,326	3,227	25,149	27,934	77,907	23,592	243,135
Recoveries	26,427	375	1,237	4,173	23,170	8,476	63,858
Net charge-offs	58,899	2,852	23,912	23,761	54,737	15,116	179,277
Other ⁽¹⁾	(2,223)	—	—	(650)	9,528	2,265	8,920
Balance at end of fiscal year	<u>¥482,275</u>	<u>¥34,746</u>	<u>¥35,180</u>	<u>¥58,995</u>	<u>¥169,626</u>	<u>¥28,718</u>	<u>¥809,540</u>

Note:

(1) Other is principally comprised of gains or losses from foreign exchange translation.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Allowance for credit losses and recorded investment in loans by portfolio segment at March 31, 2019 and 2020 are shown below:

<u>At March 31, 2019:</u>	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>Residential</u>	<u>Card</u>	<u>MUAH</u>	<u>Krungsri</u>	<u>Total</u>
	(in millions)					
Allowance for credit losses:						
Individually evaluated for impairment	¥ 312,970	¥ 14,175	¥ 21,829	¥ 8,294	¥ 28,254	¥ 385,522
Collectively evaluated for impairment	63,366	23,413	10,708	44,282	116,529	258,298
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality ⁽²⁾	13,279	1,038	13	5	29	14,364
Total	<u>¥ 389,615</u>	<u>¥ 38,626</u>	<u>¥ 32,550</u>	<u>¥ 52,581</u>	<u>¥ 144,812</u>	<u>¥ 658,184</u>
Loans:						
Individually evaluated for impairment	¥ 879,944	¥ 102,948	¥ 64,752	¥ 69,760	¥ 83,259	¥ 1,200,663
Collectively evaluated for impairment	86,065,091	13,617,802	510,440	9,527,264	5,921,372	115,641,969
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality ⁽²⁾	26,245	7,334	3,390	11,228	5,906	54,103
Total ⁽¹⁾	<u>¥86,971,280</u>	<u>¥13,728,084</u>	<u>¥578,582</u>	<u>¥9,608,252</u>	<u>¥6,010,537</u>	<u>¥116,896,735</u>

<u>At March 31, 2020:</u>	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>Residential</u>	<u>Card</u>	<u>MUAH</u>	<u>Krungsri</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Total</u>
	(in millions)						
Allowance for credit losses:							
Individually evaluated for impairment	¥ 364,079	¥ 12,651	¥ 19,799	¥ 5,977	¥ 30,198	¥ 5,073	¥ 437,777
Collectively evaluated for impairment	108,100	21,130	15,369	53,013	139,401	22,566	359,579
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality ⁽²⁾	10,096	965	12	5	27	1,079	12,184
Total	<u>¥ 482,275</u>	<u>¥ 34,746</u>	<u>¥ 35,180</u>	<u>¥ 58,995</u>	<u>¥ 169,626</u>	<u>¥ 28,718</u>	<u>¥ 809,540</u>
Loans:							
Individually evaluated for impairment	¥ 944,988	¥ 92,448	¥ 65,292	¥ 67,719	¥ 98,959	¥ 17,512	¥ 1,286,918
Collectively evaluated for impairment	85,640,827	13,219,677	497,448	9,581,107	6,806,584	1,092,464	116,838,107
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality ⁽²⁾	36,972	6,365	2,903	7,007	6,047	7,917	67,211
Total ⁽¹⁾	<u>¥86,622,787</u>	<u>¥13,318,490</u>	<u>¥565,643</u>	<u>¥9,655,833</u>	<u>¥6,911,590</u>	<u>¥1,117,893</u>	<u>¥118,192,236</u>

Notes:

- (1) Total loans in the above table do not include loans held for sale, and represent balances without adjustments in relation to unearned income, unamortized premiums and deferred loan fees.
- (2) Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality in the above table include impaired loans which are individually evaluated for impairment.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Nonperforming loans were actively disposed of by sales during recent years. The allocated allowance for credit losses for such loans was removed from the allowance for credit losses and transferred to the valuation allowance for loans held for sale upon a decision to sell. Net charge-offs in the above table include a decrease from charge-offs in the allowance for credit losses amounting to ¥12.2 billion and ¥19.4 billion for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018 and 2020, respectively, and an increase from recoveries in the allowance for credit losses amounting to ¥15.1 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019 due to loan disposal activity.

The MUFG Group sold ¥1,409 billion, ¥1,769 billion and ¥2,136 billion of loans within the Commercial segment during the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020, respectively.

The allowance for credit losses on the consolidated balance sheets for the year-ended March 31, 2020 included a qualitative reserve for loan losses of ¥46 billion on a pre-tax basis, specific to the Commercial segment, as a result of the estimated impact that COVID-19 had on borrowers' credit risk which has been incurred but did not appear on the individual borrower's financials. The estimate included assumptions regarding which borrowers (certain industries and regions) have suffered significant impacts on their performance as a result of COVID-19. Assumptions about the severity and duration of COVID-19 were also used to estimate the impact of COVID-19 on the borrowers.

The MUFG Group consolidates certain subsidiaries based on financial information for the year ended December 31 as this date and MUFG's fiscal year which ends on March 31 have been treated as coterminous. For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, the effect of recording a provision for credit losses and a provision for off-balance sheet credit instruments as an intervening event primarily due to economic environment triggered by COVID-19 for the three-month period ended March 31 2020 would have been approximately ¥84 billion and would have resulted in a decrease of ¥58 billion to net income attributable to Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group. This amount was estimated using the expected credit losses method in accordance with *Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments* (see "Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements" in Note 1). This intervening event occurring during the three-month period ended March 31, 2020, if recorded, would not have had a substantial and permanent effects on consolidated total assets, net income or total equity as of March 31, 2020, and therefore, the intervening event was not recognized for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020.

Lease Receivables

As part of its financing activities, the MUFG Group enters into leasing arrangements with customers. The MUFG Group's leasing operations are conducted through leasing subsidiaries and consist principally of direct financing leases involving various types of data processing equipment, office equipment and transportation equipment.

As of March 31, 2019, the components of the investment in direct financing leases were as follows:

	2019
	(in millions)
Minimum lease payments receivable	¥1,922,339
Estimated residual values of leased property	27,468
Less—unearned income	(299,283)
Net investment in direct financing leases	<u>¥1,650,524</u>

See Note 7 Lease Transactions for the components of the investment in direct financing leases as of March 31, 2020.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

5. PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT

Premises and equipment at March 31, 2019 and 2020 consisted of the following:

	2019	2020
	(in millions)	
Land	¥ 362,742	¥ 380,477
Buildings	829,606	782,367
Equipment and furniture	648,598	623,676
Leasehold improvements	305,281	310,957
Construction in progress	34,002	35,594
Total	2,180,229	2,133,071
Less accumulated depreciation	1,206,629	1,203,542
Premises and equipment-net	¥ 973,600	¥ 929,529

For the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020, the MUFG Group recognized ¥39,358 million, ¥31,345 million and ¥16,575 million, respectively, of impairment losses for long-lived assets, primarily real estate which was either formerly used for its banking operations and is no longer used or real estate that is being used where recovery of the carrying amount is doubtful. In addition, ¥213 million, ¥411 million and ¥194 million of impairment losses were recognized for real estate held for sale for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020, respectively. These losses are included in Other non-interest expenses. In computing the amount of impairment losses, fair value was determined primarily based on market prices, if available, or the estimated price based on an appraisal.

Impairment losses for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018 included ¥34,016 million of losses on long-lived assets used for MUFG Bank's operations. In relation to a restructuring of operating divisions of MUFG Bank, which is a transformation of Corporate Banking Business Group and Retail Banking Business Group into Retail & Commercial Banking Business Group and Japanese Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group, based on an MUFG Re-Imagining Strategy published on May 15, 2017, and the new medium-term business plan, MUFG Bank reevaluated the profitability of some of its domestic operating assets. As a result of the reevaluation, it was determined that carrying amounts of these operating assets were unlikely to be recovered, and the impairment losses were recorded.

Impairment losses for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019 included ¥21,096 million losses on long-lived assets, including land, buildings, and equipment and furniture, which were held by certain consumer finance subsidiary. See Note 6 for the details of these impairments.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

6. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Goodwill

The table below presents the movement in the carrying amount of goodwill by business segment during the fiscal years ended March 31, 2019 and 2020:

	Global Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group	Global Commercial Banking Business Group	Asset Management & Investor Services Business Group	Global Markets Business Group	Total
	(in millions)				
Balance at March 31, 2018:					
Goodwill ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	¥107,237	¥ 496,191	¥ 27,724	¥2,300	¥ 633,452
Accumulated impairment losses ⁽²⁾	—	(177,750)	(14,368)	—	(192,118)
	107,237	318,441	13,356	2,300	441,334
Foreign currency translation adjustments and other	(1,898)	(5,308)	(237)	—	(7,443)
Balance at March 31, 2019:					
Goodwill	105,339	490,883	27,487	2,300	626,009
Accumulated impairment losses	—	(177,750)	(14,368)	—	(192,118)
	105,339	313,133	13,119	2,300	433,891
Goodwill acquired during the fiscal year ⁽¹⁾ ..	34,553	260,639	178,308	—	473,500
Impairment loss	(32,868)	(350,942)	—	—	(383,810)
Foreign currency translation adjustments and other	(1,354)	(12,877)	8,276	—	(5,955)
Balance at March 31, 2020:					
Goodwill	138,538	738,645	214,071	2,300	1,093,554
Accumulated impairment losses	(32,868)	(528,692)	(14,368)	—	(575,928)
	<u>¥105,670</u>	<u>¥ 209,953</u>	<u>¥199,703</u>	<u>¥2,300</u>	<u>¥ 517,626</u>

Notes:

- (1) See Note 2 for the goodwill acquired in connection with acquisitions.
- (2) Effective April 1, 2018, the MUFG Group reorganized its business groups. Goodwill originally recognized for Retail Banking Business Group, Corporate Banking Business Group, Trust Assets Business Group and Global Business Group other than MUAH and Krungsri was ¥1,900,019 million, which has been fully impaired before April 1, 2017. As these impairment losses recorded in past before the reorganization of the segment and are irrelevant to the annual impairment test under the new segment, the accumulated impaired loss is not allocated to new business segments after the reorganization of business group.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

- (3) The balance as of March, 31 2018 reflected the organization change in 2018 appropriately and was erroneously disclosed. Such amount has been restated from the amount previously reported as follows:

	Global Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group		Global Commercial Banking Business Group	
	As previously reported	As restated	As previously reported	As restated
	(in millions)			
Balance at March 31, 2018:				
Goodwill	¥—	¥107,237	¥603,428	¥496,191
Foreign currency translation adjustments and other	—	(1,898)	(7,206)	(5,308)
Balance at March 31, 2019:				
Goodwill	—	105,339	596,222	490,883

U.S. GAAP requires to test goodwill for impairment at least annually, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that goodwill may be impaired, using a process that compares the carrying amount of a reporting unit with its fair value. An impairment loss is recognized to the extent that the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, but not exceeding the total amount of goodwill allocated to that reporting unit.

For the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018 and 2019, there were no impairment losses recognized. For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, the MUFG Group recognized ¥241,356 million of an impairment of goodwill relating to Danamon reporting unit within the Global Commercial Banking Business Group Segment, which is included in impairment of goodwill in the accompanying consolidated statements of income. In determining the acquisition price of Bank Danamon, the results of multiple valuation techniques were considered with an expectation to benefit from Danamon's foothold in the developing local retail and SME segments to deepen its banking franchise in Indonesia. After the acquisition of Danamon by MUFG Bank, Danamon's market capitalization decreased. As a result, the fair value of the reporting unit as an exit price was measured on June 30, 2019 for the quantitative goodwill impairment test, and led to impairment of goodwill as the fair value had fallen below the carrying amount of the reporting unit, including goodwill, reflecting a reduction in stock price as well as changes in the inputs to the valuation techniques in comparison to those considered in determining the acquisition price such as discount rate. The measurement of the fair value of the reporting unit was primarily based on a market approach, and was also corroborated by multiple valuation techniques.

For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, the MUFG Group recognized ¥62,157 million of impairment of goodwill relating to Krungsri reporting unit within the Global Commercial Banking Business Group segment. The global economic slowdown led to slowing economic growth in Thailand and the decline of Krungsri's stock price. As a result, the fair value of the reporting unit was measured on December 31, 2019 for the quantitative goodwill impairment test, and led to impairment of goodwill as the fair value had fallen below the carrying amount of the reporting unit. The measurement of the fair value of the reporting unit was primarily based on a market approach, and was also corroborated by multiple valuation techniques.

For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, the MUFG Group recognized ¥80,297 million in impairment of goodwill relating to MUAH reporting unit within the Global Commercial Banking Business Group segment and Global Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group segment. Due to the decline in interest rates and slower growth than previously forecasted, cash flow projections for reporting units were lowered. The combination of these events led management to believe that the fair values of certain reporting units were below carrying value. As a result, the fair value of the reporting unit was measured on December 31, 2019 for the quantitative goodwill

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

impairment test; and led to impairment of goodwill with the fair value fallen below the carrying amount of the reporting unit. The MUFG Group estimated the fair value of its reporting units using a combination of the income and the market approaches. The income approach estimates the fair value of the reporting units by discounting management's projections of each reporting unit's cash flows, including a terminal value to estimate the fair value of cash flows beyond the final year of projected results, using a discount rate derived from the Capital Asset Pricing Model. The market approach incorporates comparable public company price to tangible book value and price to earnings multiples.

The MUFG Group consolidates certain subsidiaries, including MUAH, based on financial information for the year ended December 31 as this date and MUFG's fiscal year which ends on March 31 have been treated as coterminous.

For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, the effect of recording a goodwill impairment intervening event due to economic environment triggered by COVID-19 for the three-month period ended March 31 2020 on MUFG's GCB segment related to MUAH would have been approximately ¥80 - ¥110 billion and would have resulted in a decrease of ¥80 - ¥110 billion to net income attributable to Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group. This intervening event occurring during the three-month period ended March 31, 2020, if recorded, would not have had a substantial and permanent effects on consolidated total assets, net income or total equity as of March 31, 2020, and therefore, the intervening event was not recognized for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020.

Other Intangible Assets

The table below presents the gross carrying amount, accumulated amortization and net carrying amount, in total and by major class of other intangible assets at March 31, 2019 and 2020:

	2019			2020		
	Gross carrying amount	Accumulated amortization	Net carrying amount	Gross carrying amount	Accumulated amortization	Net carrying amount
	(in millions)					
Intangible assets subject to amortization:						
Software	¥2,703,413	¥2,029,775	¥673,638	¥2,852,273	¥2,096,635	¥ 755,638
Customer relationships	387,936	246,526	141,410	567,886	264,636	303,250
Core deposit intangibles	126,796	88,643	38,153	174,802	100,022	74,780
Trade names	77,204	34,283	42,921	94,748	38,055	56,693
Other	9,601	4,220	5,381	17,374	5,769	11,605
Total	<u>¥3,304,950</u>	<u>¥2,403,447</u>	901,503	<u>¥3,707,083</u>	<u>¥2,505,117</u>	1,201,966
Intangible assets not subject to amortization:						
Other ⁽¹⁾			25,693			37,560
Total			<u>¥927,196</u>			<u>¥1,239,526</u>

Note:

(1) Intangible assets not subject to amortization includes ¥17,431 million and ¥29,641 million of mortgage servicing rights accounted for at fair value at March 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively.

Intangible assets subject to amortization acquired during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019 amounted to ¥262,700 million, which primarily consisted of ¥262,408 million of software. The weighted average amortization periods for these assets are 6 years. There is no significant residual value estimated for these assets. Intangible

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

assets not subject to amortization acquired during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019 amounted to ¥11,172 million.

Intangible assets subject to amortization acquired during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 amounted to ¥538,925 million, which primarily consisted of ¥291,821 million of software, ¥44,140 million of core deposit intangibles, ¥180,414 million of customer relationships and ¥16,402 million of trade names. The weighted average amortization periods for these assets are 5 years, 10 years, 17 years and 23 years, respectively. There is no significant residual value estimated for these assets. Intangible assets not subject to amortization acquired during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 amounted to ¥23,804 million.

For the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020, the MUFG Group recognized ¥21,900 million, ¥118,108 million and ¥3,732 million, respectively, of impairment losses for intangible assets whose carrying amounts exceeded their fair value. In computing the amount of impairment losses, fair value was determined primarily based on the present value of expected future cash flows, the estimated value based on appraisals, or market prices.

The impairment loss for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018 included a loss of ¥11,121 million relating to the foreign subsidiary's customer relationships under the Trust Asset Business Group segment. The intangible assets were valued based on discounted expected future cash flows. The estimated future cash flows of the above customer relationships were revised downward due to a decrease in acquired customer base. Accordingly, the MUFG group revaluated the intangible assets and recognized impairment losses.

The impairment loss for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019 included a loss of ¥137,186 million relating to software held by certain consumer finance subsidiary under the Retail & Commercial Banking Business Group segment. The consumer finance subsidiary determined to fundamentally review its current system integration plan, comprehensively taking into account the scale, complexity and the degree of difficulty for the system development to respond rapid changes in payments market in an appropriate manner, at the meeting of the Board of Directors on March 25, 2019. The consumer finance subsidiary considered software under development unlikely to have cost reduction effect and remain in use in the future, and reevaluated the profitability of existing software in relation to its system integration plan. As a result, it was determined that carrying amounts of both system software under development and long lived assets group of credit business, including existing system software, land, buildings, and equipment and furniture exceeded their fair values, and ¥87,596 million and ¥28,494 million of impairment losses were recognized on system software under development and existing software, respectively. In computing the amount of impairment losses, the fair value was primarily estimated using an income approach. The income approach is based on the present value of expected cash flows, which represents market participant perspective. In addition to the impairments on software, ¥21,096 million of impairment losses on long-lived assets, including land, buildings, and equipment and furniture, were recognized.

The estimated aggregate amortization expense for intangible assets for the next five fiscal years is as follows:

	<u>(in millions)</u>
Fiscal year ending March 31:	
2021	¥263,056
2022	228,137
2023	188,358
2024	150,702
2025	108,504

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

7. LEASE TRANSACTIONS

Lease transaction as a lessee

Right-of-use assets of finance leases, which are principally related to data processing equipment and included in Premises and equipment in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, amounted to ¥18,497 million at March 31, 2020. Lease liabilities of these finance leases, which are included in Long-term debt in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, amounted to ¥25,186 million at March 31, 2020.

Right-of-use assets of operating leases, which are principally related to office space and equipment are included in Other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, amounted to ¥393,435 million at March 31, 2020. Lease liabilities of these operating leases, which are included in Other liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, amounted to ¥482,813 million at March 31, 2020.

The discount rates used in determining the present value of leases are the MUFG Group's incremental borrowing rate, developed based upon each lease's term and currency of payment. The lease term includes options to extend or terminate the lease when it is reasonably certain that the MUFG Group will exercise that option. The MUFG Group has elected to exclude leases with original terms of less than one year from the operating lease right-of-use assets and lease liabilities. The MUFG Group's lease arrangements that have not yet commenced as of March 31, 2020 are not material. Variable lease costs did not have a material impact on the MUFG Group's results of operations.

The following table presents profit or loss of lease transactions as a lessee for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020:

	2020 (in millions)
Finance lease cost:	
Amortization of right-of-use assets	¥ 5,455
Interest on lease liabilities	460
Operating lease cost	99,939

The following table presents information of lease transactions as a lessee for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020:

	2020 (in millions)
Cash paid for amounts included in the measurement of lease liabilities:	
Operating cash flows from finance leases	¥ 482
Operating cash flows from operating leases	106,103
Financing cash flows from finance leases	7,090
Right-of-use assets obtained in exchange for new finance lease liabilities	12,754
Right-of-use assets obtained in exchange for new operating lease liabilities	46,482
Weighted-average remaining lease term:	
Finance leases	4.4 years
Operating leases	9.5 years
Weighted-average discount rate:	
Finance leases	0.99%
Operating leases	1.16%

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Maturities of lease liabilities as of March 31, 2020 are as follows:

	Finance leases	Operating leases
	(in millions)	
2021	¥ 7,835	¥107,270
2022	6,794	80,460
2023	4,755	57,057
2024	3,073	40,902
2025	1,304	35,827
2026 and thereafter	2,655	195,540
Total undiscounted cash flows	26,416	517,056
Difference between undiscounted and discounted cash flows	(1,230)	(34,243)
Amount on balance sheet	<u>¥25,186</u>	<u>¥482,813</u>

Lease transactions as a lessor

As part of its financing activities, the MUFG Group enters into leasing arrangements with customers. The MUFG Group's leasing operations are conducted through leasing subsidiaries and consist principally of various types of data processing equipment, office equipment and transportation equipment. Direct financing leases are presented in loans. In certain case, the MUFG Group requests lessees to deposit in advance an amount nearly equal or equal to the residual value of leased assets.

The following table presents profit or loss of lease transactions as a lessor for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020:

	2020 (in millions)
Direct financing leases:	
Finance income on net investment	¥119,010
Operating leases:	
Lease income	7,856
Total	<u>¥126,866</u>

Finance income on net investment is included in Interest income—Loans, including fees in the consolidated statements of income. Lease income from operating lease transactions is included in Other non-interest income in the consolidated statements of income.

The following table presents the components of direct financing lease transactions as of March 31, 2020.

	2020 (in millions)
Lease receivables (undiscounted)	¥2,193,360
Adjustments:	
Discounted unguaranteed residual value	15,515
Initial direct cost on direct financing leases	29,562
Deferred selling profit	(364,206)
Net investment in direct financing leases	<u>¥1,874,231</u>

mitsubishi UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

The following table presents maturity of the lease payment receivables of direct financing lease transactions as of March 31, 2020:

	Lease receivables (in millions)
2021	¥ 567,342
2022	485,810
2023	382,135
2024	295,263
2025	207,067
2026 and thereafter	255,743
Total undiscounted cash flows	2,193,360
Difference between undiscounted cash flows and the lease receivables recognized on balance sheet	(319,129)
Amount on balance sheet	¥1,874,231

8. INCOME TAXES

Income before Income Tax Expense

Income before income tax expense by jurisdiction for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020 was as follows:

	2018	2019 (in millions)	2020
Domestic income	¥ 803,057	¥228,018	¥ 89,440
Foreign income	858,762	642,824	343,780
Total	¥1,661,819	¥870,842	¥433,220

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Income Tax Expense (Benefit)

The detail of current and deferred income tax expense (benefit) for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020 was as follows:

	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u> (in millions)	<u>2020</u>
Current:			
Domestic	¥180,109	¥ 57,303	¥ 28,128
Foreign	107,119	123,730	147,344
Total	<u>287,228</u>	<u>181,033</u>	<u>175,472</u>
Deferred:			
Domestic	116,873	(32,746)	(18,949)
Foreign	3,722	(15,050)	(42,018)
Total	<u>120,595</u>	<u>(47,796)</u>	<u>(60,967)</u>
Income tax expense	407,823	133,237	114,505
Income tax expense (benefit) reported in Accumulated OCI relating to:			
Investment securities	120,588	15,590	(29,747)
Debt valuation adjustments	(960)	4,293	23,908
Derivatives qualifying for cash flow hedges	(4,421)	(1,845)	4,012
Defined benefit plans	50,774	(38,229)	(57,685)
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(34,527)	15,148	(20,693)
Total	<u>131,454</u>	<u>(5,043)</u>	<u>(80,205)</u>
Total	<u>¥539,277</u>	<u>¥128,194</u>	<u>¥ 34,300</u>

The MUFG Group files tax returns on a consolidated basis for corporate income taxes within Japan. A consolidated basis for corporate income taxes results in the reporting of taxable income or loss based upon the combined profits or losses of the parent company and its wholly-owned domestic subsidiaries.

In the United States of America, on December 22, 2017, the Tax Cuts & Jobs Act was signed into law reducing the federal corporate income tax rate from 35% to 21% effective January 1, 2018. As a result of the reduction in the corporate income tax rate, the MUFG Group revalued its net deferred tax liabilities at March 31, 2018, resulting in a one-time tax benefit of ¥10,395 million.

Reconciliation of Effective Income Tax Rate

Income taxes in Japan applicable to the MUFG Group are imposed by the national, prefectural and municipal governments, and in the aggregate resulted in a normal effective statutory rate of approximately 30.6%, 30.6%, and 30.6% for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020, respectively. Foreign subsidiaries are subject to income taxes of the countries in which they operate.

mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

A reconciliation of the effective income tax rates reflected in the accompanying consolidated statements of income to the combined normal effective statutory tax rates for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020 is as follows:

	2018	2019	2020
Combined normal effective statutory tax rate	30.6%	30.6%	30.6%
Nondeductible expenses	0.2	0.5	1.2
Impairment of goodwill	—	—	26.1
Foreign tax credit and payments	(1.7)	(3.3)	(9.2)
Lower tax rates applicable to income of subsidiaries	(0.4)	(2.5)	(3.2)
Change in valuation allowance	(3.0)	(1.4)	7.9
Realization of previously unrecognized tax effects of subsidiaries	—	—	(19.8) ⁽¹⁾
Nontaxable dividends received	(2.0)	(9.1)	(15.6)
Undistributed earnings of subsidiaries	0.7	0.6	3.6
Tax and interest expense for uncertainty in income taxes	0.0	0.6	0.0
Noncontrolling interest income (loss)	0.1	0.2	(0.1)
Effect of changes in tax laws	(0.6)	0.0	—
Expiration of loss carryforward	0.4	0.1	1.9
Other—net	0.2	(1.0)	3.0
Effective income tax rate	<u>24.5%</u>	<u>15.3%</u>	<u>26.4%</u>

Note:

- (1) In October 2019, a wholly owned subsidiary of the MUFG Group was sold. The sale resulted in the realization of tax benefits that were not previously recognized as deferred tax assets, resulting in a ¥85,588 million reduction of income tax expense and a 19.8% reduction in the effective tax rate for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Deferred Tax Assets and Liabilities

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are computed for each tax jurisdiction using currently enacted tax rates applicable to periods when the temporary differences are expected to reverse. The tax effects of the items comprising the MUFG Group's net deferred tax assets at March 31, 2019 and 2020 were as follows:

	2019	2020
	(in millions)	
Deferred tax assets:		
Allowance for credit losses	¥ 301,222	¥ 317,770
Operating loss carryforwards	177,143	94,326
Loans	691	2,022
Accrued liabilities and other	236,363	277,807
Premises and equipment, including sale-and-leaseback transactions	158,144	150,116
Derivative financial instruments	101,118	96,761
Defined benefit plans	5,238	57,836
Obligations under operating leases	—	133,812
Valuation allowance	(218,191)	(151,530)
Total deferred tax assets	<u>761,728</u>	<u>978,920</u>
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Investment securities (including trading account assets at fair value under the fair value option)	911,483	788,614
Intangible assets	38,772	87,063
Lease transactions	65,115	54,349
Investments in subsidiaries and affiliates ⁽¹⁾	217,429	279,583
Right-of-use assets of operating leases	—	106,995
Other ⁽¹⁾	63,272	90,653
Total deferred tax liabilities	<u>1,296,071</u>	<u>1,407,257</u>
Net deferred tax assets (liabilities)	<u>¥ (534,343)</u>	<u>¥ (428,337)</u>

Note:

(1) Certain reclassifications have been made to prior period to conform to the current presentation.

The valuation allowance was provided primarily against deferred tax assets recorded at MUFG and its subsidiaries with operating loss carryforwards. The valuation allowance is determined to reduce the measurement of deferred tax assets not expected to be realized. Management considers all available evidence, both positive and negative, to determine whether the valuation allowance is necessary based on the weight of that evidence. Management determines the amount of the valuation allowance based on future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences and future taxable income exclusive of reversing temporary differences. Future taxable income is developed from forecasted operating results, based on recent historical trends and approved business plans, the eligible carryforward periods and other relevant factors.

For certain subsidiaries where strong negative evidence exists, such as the existence of significant amounts of operating loss carryforwards, cumulative losses and the expiration of unused operating loss carryforwards in recent years, a valuation allowance was recognized against the deferred tax assets as of March 31, 2019 and 2020 to the extent that it is more likely than not that they will not be realized.

For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018, the MUFG Group released a valuation allowance of ¥53,360 million which was mainly due to the commencement of a certain subsidiary's application of the

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

consolidated corporate-tax system. Management believes that the net operating loss carryforwards related to Japanese corporate taxes will be fully utilized by the application of the consolidated corporate-tax system.

Furthermore, under the Japanese tax law, 95% of a dividend received from a foreign company in which a domestic company has held generally at least 25% of the outstanding shares for a continuous period of six months or more ending on the date on which the dividend is declared can be excluded from the domestic company's taxable income. Therefore, if undistributed earnings of certain foreign subsidiaries are repatriated through dividends, only 5% of the amount of dividends will be included in taxable income.

Operating Loss and Tax Credit Carryforwards

At March 31, 2020, the MUFG Group had operating loss carryforwards for corporate tax of ¥228,637 million and tax credit carryforwards of ¥72,360 million for tax purposes. Such carryforwards, if not utilized, are scheduled to expire as follows:

	<u>Operating loss carryforwards</u>	<u>Tax credit carryforwards</u>
	(in millions)	
Fiscal year ending March 31:		
2021	¥ 11,024	¥12,534
2022	16,306	6,137
2023	5,867	219
2024	5,524	185
2025	81,967	168
2026	51,271	130
2027 and thereafter	25,770	47,943
No definite expiration date	30,908	5,044
Total	<u>¥228,637</u>	<u>¥72,360</u>

Uncertainty in Income Tax

The following is a roll-forward of the MUFG Group's unrecognized tax benefits for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020:

	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>
	(in millions)		
Balance at beginning of fiscal year	¥ 7,851	¥12,917	¥19,160
Gross amount of increases for current year's tax positions	427	313	399
Gross amount of increases for prior years' tax positions	6,642	8,836	212
Gross amount of decreases for prior years' tax positions	(455)	(1,090)	—
Net amount of changes relating to settlements with tax authorities	(1,074)	—	(81)
Decreases due to lapse of applicable statutes of limitations	(253)	(1,540)	(297)
Foreign exchange translation and other	(221)	(276)	(144)
Balance at end of fiscal year	<u>¥12,917</u>	<u>¥19,160</u>	<u>¥19,249</u>

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

The MUFG Group classifies interest and penalties, if applicable, related to income taxes as Income tax expense. Accrued interest and penalties (not included in the “unrecognized tax benefits” above) are a component of Other liabilities. The following is a roll-forward of the interest and penalties recognized in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020:

	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>
		(in millions)	
Balance at beginning of fiscal year	¥4,054	¥ 4,564	¥3,056
Total interest and penalties in the consolidated statements of income	694	(1,655)	(398)
Total cash settlements, foreign exchange translation and other	(184)	147	(46)
Balance at end of fiscal year	<u>¥4,564</u>	<u>¥ 3,056</u>	<u>¥2,612</u>

The MUFG Group is subject to ongoing tax examinations by the tax authorities of the various jurisdictions in which it operates. The following are the major tax jurisdictions in which the MUFG Group operates and the status of years under audit or open to examination:

<u>Jurisdiction</u>	<u>Tax years</u>
Japan	2019 and forward
United States—Federal	2016 and forward
United States—California	2015 and forward
Thailand	2012 and forward
Indonesia	2017 and forward

The MUFG Group is currently under continuous examinations by the tax authorities in various domestic and foreign jurisdictions and many of these examinations are resolved every year. The unrecognized tax benefits will decrease since resolved items will be removed from the balance regardless of whether their resolution results in payment or recognition. It is reasonably possible that the unrecognized tax benefits will decrease by approximately ¥2.4 billion during the next twelve months.

9. PLEDGED ASSETS AND COLLATERAL

Pledged Assets

At March 31, 2020, assets mortgaged, pledged, or otherwise subject to lien were as follows:

	<u>2020</u>
	(in millions)
Trading account securities	¥10,264,854
Investment securities	14,096,841
Loans	13,354,396
Other	34,590
Total	<u>¥37,750,681</u>

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

The above pledged assets were classified by type of liabilities to which they related as follows:

	2020 (in millions)
Deposits	¥ 134,704
Payables under repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions	15,286,574
Other short-term borrowings and long-term debt	22,312,888
Other	16,515
Total	<u>¥37,750,681</u>

At March 31, 2020, certain investment securities, principally Japanese national government and Japanese government agency bonds, loans, and other assets with a combined carrying value of ¥18,254,788 million were pledged for acting as a collection agent of public funds, for settlement of exchange at the Bank of Japan and Japanese Banks' Payment Clearing Network, for derivative transactions and for certain other purposes.

The MUFG Group engages in on-balance sheet securitizations. These securitizations of mortgage and apartment loans, which do not qualify for sales treatment, are accounted for as secured borrowings. The amount of loans in the table above represents the carrying amount of these transactions with the carrying amount of the associated liabilities included in Other short-term borrowings and Long-term debt.

Under Japanese law, Japanese banks are required to maintain certain reserves on deposit with the Bank of Japan based on the amount of deposit balances and certain other factors. There are similar reserve deposit requirements for foreign offices and subsidiaries engaged in banking businesses in foreign countries. At March 31, 2019 and 2020 the reserve funds required to be maintained by the MUFG Group, which are included in Cash and due from banks and Interest-earning deposits in other banks, were ¥2,568,340 million and ¥2,606,464 million, respectively.

Collateral

The MUFG Group accepts and provides financial assets as collateral for transactions, principally commercial loans, repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions, call money, and derivatives. Financial assets eligible for such collateral include, among others, marketable equity securities, trade and notes receivable and CDs.

Secured parties, including creditors and counterparties to certain transactions with the MUFG Group, may sell or repledge financial assets provided as collateral. Certain contracts, however, may not be specific about the secured party's right to sell or repledge collateral under the applicable statutes and, therefore, whether or not the secured party is permitted to sell or repledge collateral would differ depending on the interpretations of specific provisions of the existing statutes, contract or certain market practices.

If the MUFG Group determines, based on available information, that a financial asset provided as collateral might not be sold or repledged by the secured parties, such collateral is not separately reported in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. If a secured party is permitted to sell or repledge financial assets provided as collateral by contract or custom under the existing statutes, the MUFG Group reports such pledged financial assets separately on the face of the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. At March 31, 2020, the MUFG Group pledged ¥36,223 billion of assets that may not be sold or repledged by the secured parties.

Certain banking subsidiaries accept collateral for commercial loans and certain banking transactions under a standardized agreement with customers, which provides that these banking subsidiaries may require the

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

customers to provide collateral or guarantees with respect to the loans and other banking transactions. Financial assets pledged as collateral are generally negotiable and transferable instruments, and such negotiability and transferability are authorized by applicable legislation. In principle, Japanese legislation permits these banking subsidiaries to repledge financial assets accepted as collateral unless otherwise prohibited by contract or relevant statutes. Nevertheless, the MUFG Group did not sell or repledge nor does it plan to sell or repledge such collateral accepted in connection with commercial loans before a debtor's default or other credit events specified in the agreements as it is not customary within the banking industry in Japan to dispose of collateral before a debtor's default and other specified credit events. Derivative agreements commonly used in the marketplace do not prohibit a secured party's disposition of financial assets received as collateral, and in resale agreements and securities borrowing transactions, securities accepted as collateral may be sold or repledged by the secured parties. At March 31, 2019 and 2020, the fair value of the collateral accepted by the MUFG Group that is permitted to be sold or repledged was ¥22,927 billion and ¥38,858 billion, respectively, of which ¥16,514 billion and ¥32,095 billion, respectively, was sold or repledged.

At March 31, 2019 and 2020, the cash collateral pledged for derivative transactions, which is included in Other assets, was ¥1,276,897 million and ¥1,696,108 million, respectively, and the cash collateral received for derivative transactions, which is included in Other liabilities, was ¥844,234 million and ¥1,125,305 million, respectively.

10. DEPOSITS

The balances of time deposits, including CDs, issued in amounts of ¥10 million (approximately U.S.\$93 thousand at the Federal Reserve Bank of New York's noon buying rate on March 31, 2020) or more with respect to domestic deposits and issued in amounts of U.S.\$100,000 or more with respect to foreign deposits were ¥25,899,780 million and ¥23,858,381 million, respectively, at March 31, 2019, and ¥23,957,076 million and ¥23,329,855 million, respectively, at March 31, 2020.

The maturity information at March 31, 2020 for domestic and foreign time deposits, including CDs, is summarized as follows:

	Domestic	Foreign
	(in millions)	
Due in one year or less	¥31,655,230	¥23,574,644
Due after one year through two years	4,522,580	722,461
Due after two years through three years	2,430,995	275,303
Due after three years through four years	546,578	112,962
Due after four years through five years	654,135	17,950
Due after five years	799,180	32,726
Total	<u>¥40,608,698</u>	<u>¥24,736,046</u>

11. CALL MONEY AND FUNDS PURCHASED

A summary of funds transactions for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2019 and 2020 is as follows:

	2019	2020
	(in millions, except percentages and days)	
Outstanding at end of fiscal year:		
Amount	¥ 2,450,320	¥ 3,668,922
Principal range of maturities	1 day to 30 days	1 day to 30 days
Weighted average interest rate	0.12%	0.04%

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

12. DUE TO TRUST ACCOUNT, SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS AND LONG-TERM DEBT

Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking holds assets on behalf of its customers in an agent, fiduciary or trust capacity. Such trust account assets are not the MUFG Group's proprietary assets and are managed and accounted for separately.

However, excess cash funds of individual trust accounts are often placed with Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking which manages the funds together with its own funds in its proprietary account. Due to trust account reflects a temporary placement of the excess funds from individual trust accounts and, in view of the MUFG Group's funding, due to trust account is similar to short-term funding, including demand deposits and other overnight funds purchased. The balance changes in response to the day-to-day changes in the excess funds placed by the trust accounts. A summary of due to trust account transactions at March 31, 2019 and 2020 is as follows:

	2019	2020
	(in millions, except percentages)	(in millions, except percentages)
Amount outstanding at end of fiscal year	¥2,735,952	¥3,377,747
Weighted average interest rate on outstanding balance at end of fiscal year	0.00%	0.00%

At March 31, 2019 and 2020, the MUFG Group had unused lines of credit for financing amounting to ¥5,525,069 million and ¥7,519,582 million, respectively. The amounts principally consist of pooled collateral which are used to cover shortages in the Bank of Japan account and to meet liquidity needs. The MUFG Group may borrow from the Bank of Japan on demand up to the total amount of collateral eligible for credit extension.

Other short-term borrowings at March 31, 2019 and 2020 were comprised of the following:

	2019	2020
	(in millions, except percentages)	(in millions, except percentages)
Domestic offices:		
Commercial paper	¥1,033,568	¥ 1,160,758
Borrowings from the Bank of Japan	241,070	9,800,800
Borrowings from other financial institutions	227,482	191,453
Other	122,166	170,044
Total domestic offices	1,624,286	11,323,055
Foreign offices:		
Commercial paper	3,929,636	3,836,459
Borrowings from other financial institutions	967,901	631,502
Short-term debentures	68,492	24,009
Other	141,803	241,168
Total foreign offices	5,107,832	4,733,138
Total	6,732,118	16,056,193
Less unamortized discount	1,045	711
Other short-term borrowings—net	¥6,731,073	¥16,055,482
Weighted average interest rate on outstanding balance at end of fiscal year . . .	1.97%	0.67%

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Long-term debt (with original maturities of more than one year) at March 31, 2019 and 2020 was comprised of the following:

	2019	2020
	(in millions)	
MUFG:		
Obligations under finance leases	¥ 1,725	¥ 6,552
Unsubordinated debt ⁽¹⁾ :		
Fixed rate bonds, payable in US dollars, due 2021-2039, principally 2.19%-4.29%	2,949,022	3,864,822
Fixed rate bonds, payable in Euro, due 2021-2033, principally 0.34%-1.75%	301,124	408,825
Fixed rate bonds, payable in other currencies, due 2024-2029, principally 2.08%-4.05% ⁽²⁾	21,257	28,382
Floating rate bonds, payable in US dollars, due 2021-2023, principally 1.70%-3.46%	765,188	734,254
Floating rate bonds, payable in Euro, due 2023, principally 0.13%	43,596	41,843
Floating rate bonds, payable in other currencies, due 2024, principally 2.17% ⁽²⁾	—	26,436
Total	4,080,187	5,104,562
Subordinated debt ⁽¹⁾ :		
Fixed rate bonds, payable in Japanese yen, due 2024-2030, principally 0.37%-1.39%	618,925	685,663
Adjustable rate bonds, payable in Japanese yen, due 2025-2030, principally 0.29%-0.63%	890,359	1,043,336
Adjustable rate bonds, payable in Japanese yen, no stated maturity, principally 0.82%-3.52%	1,706,695	1,756,087
Adjustable rate borrowings, payable in Japanese yen, due 2025-2029, principally 0.30%-0.50%	32,500	47,500
Adjustable rate borrowings, payable in Japanese yen, no stated maturity, principally 0.85%-1.17%	45,960	66,649
Floating rate bonds, payable in Japanese yen, no stated maturity, principally 3.02%	3,500	3,500
Floating rate borrowings, payable in Japanese yen, due 2025-2028, principally 0.57%-0.79%	86,000	86,000
Total	3,383,939	3,688,735
Total	7,465,851	8,799,849
MUFG Bank:		
Obligations under finance leases	¥ 7,704	¥ 5,636
Obligation under sale-and-leaseback transactions	40,732	—
Unsubordinated debt ⁽¹⁾ :		
Fixed rate bonds, payable in Japanese yen, due 2020-2027, principally 0.36%-2.57%	234,500	141,700
Fixed rate bonds, payable in US dollars, due 2020-2050, principally 0.00%-4.70%	1,284,812	1,104,504
Fixed rate bonds, payable in Euro, due 2022-2037, principally 0.88%-2.06%	112,687	108,252
Fixed rate bonds, payable in other currencies, due 2021-2047, principally 0.00%-5.30% ⁽²⁾	19,084	17,620
Fixed rate borrowings, payable in Japanese yen, due 2020-2028, principally 0.00%-0.25%	10,786,372	10,440,217
Fixed rate borrowings, payable in US dollars, due 2030, principally 2.93%	—	8,367
Fixed rate borrowings, payable in other currencies, due 2030, principally 2.93% ⁽²⁾	9,483	—
Adjustable rate bonds, payable in US dollars, due 2030, principally 3.00%	1,110	—
Floating rate borrowings, payable in US dollars, due 2020-2031, principally 0.79%-2.38%	1,008,949	897,170
Floating rate borrowings, payable in Euro, due 2021-2030, principally 0.00%-0.20%	15,382	102,123
Floating rate borrowings, payable in other currencies, due 2028, principally 3.23% ⁽²⁾	5,240	—
Total	13,477,619	12,819,953
Subordinated debt ⁽¹⁾ :		
Fixed rate bonds, payable in Japanese yen, due 2020-2031, principally 1.31%-2.91%	513,420	490,590
Fixed rate borrowings, payable in Japanese yen, due 2022-2035, principally 0.26%-2.24%	73,400	73,400
Adjustable rate borrowings, payable in Japanese yen, due 2025-2028, principally 0.38%-1.04%	20,000	12,000
Adjustable rate borrowings, payable in Japanese yen, no stated maturity, principally 2.67%-3.52%	355,000	13,500
Floating rate borrowings, payable in Japanese yen, due 2027, principally 0.14%	15,000	15,000
Total	976,820	604,490
Obligations under loan securitization transaction accounted for as secured borrowings due 2020-2080, principally 0.12%-6.14%	639,037	791,139
Total	15,141,912	14,221,218

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

	2019	2020
	(in millions)	
Other subsidiaries:		
Obligations under finance leases	¥ 13,107	¥ 12,998
Unsubordinated debt ⁽¹⁾ :		
Fixed rate borrowings, bonds and notes, payable in Japanese yen, due 2020-2050, principally 0.00%-20.00%	1,291,537	940,691
Fixed rate borrowings, bonds and notes, payable in US dollars, due 2020-2037, principally 0.00%-26.00%	1,385,936	1,106,465
Fixed rate borrowings, bonds and notes, payable in Euro, due 2020-2026, principally 0.00%-1.61%	2,479	2,965
Fixed rate borrowings, bonds and notes, payable in Thai baht, due 2020-2026, principally 0.00%-7.15%	334,586	387,799
Fixed rate borrowings, bonds and notes, payable in other currencies, due 2020-2037, principally 0.00%-15.33% ⁽²⁾	219,781	360,558
Floating/Adjustable rate borrowings, bonds and notes, payable in Japanese yen, due 2020-2050, principally 0.00%-7.70%	1,425,387	1,259,427
Floating rate borrowings, bonds and notes, payable in US dollars, due 2020-2028, principally 0.00%-16.00%	244,253	378,217
Floating rate bonds and notes, payable in Euro, due 2020-2022, principally 0.00%	—	835
Floating/Adjustable rate borrowings, bonds and notes, payable in other currencies, due 2020-2024, principally 0.00%-7.30% ⁽²⁾	6,241	6,804
Total	4,910,200	4,443,761
Subordinated debt ⁽¹⁾ :		
Fixed rate borrowings, bonds and notes, payable in Japanese yen, due 2020-2030, principally 1.14%-2.61%	269,373	223,095
Fixed rate bonds and notes, payable in US dollars, due 2022-2027, principally 7.50%-9.90%	1,409	737
Fixed rate bonds and notes, payable in Thai baht, due 2020-2029, principally 3.40%-3.90%	143,212	220,756
Fixed rate bonds and notes, payable in other currencies, due 2021, principally 0.00% ⁽²⁾	7,347	7,179
Adjustable rate borrowings, bonds and notes, payable in Japanese yen, no stated maturity, principally 2.83%	4,500	—
Floating rate borrowings, payable in Japanese yen, due 2021, principally 0.73%	41,000	2,000
Floating rate bonds and notes, payable in US dollars, due 2020-2036, principally 3.59%-10.92%	5,172	4,575
Total	472,013	458,342
Obligations under loan securitization transaction accounted for as secured borrowings due 2021-2024, principally 0.25%-2.25%	2,813	6,453
Total	5,398,133	4,921,554
Total	28,005,896	27,942,621
Debt Issuance Cost	¥ (15,353)	¥ (15,858)
Total	¥27,990,543	¥27,926,763

Notes:

- (1) Adjustable rate debts are debts where interest rates are reset in accordance with the terms of the debt agreements, and floating rate debts are debts where interest rates are repriced in accordance with movements of markets indices.
- (2) Minor currencies, such as Australian dollar, British pound, Indonesian rupiah, Brazilian real, Russian ruble etc., excluding Japanese yen, US dollars, Euro and Thai baht, have been summarized into the "Other currencies" classification.

The MUFG Group uses derivative financial instruments to manage its interest rate and currency exposures for certain debts. The derivative financial instruments include swaps, forwards, options and other types of derivatives. As a result of these derivative instruments, the effective rates reflected in the table above may differ

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

from the coupon rates. The interest rates for the adjustable and floating rate debt shown in the above table are those in effect at March 31, 2019 and 2020.

Certain debt agreements permit the MUFG Group to redeem the related debt, in whole or in part, prior to maturity at the option of the issuer on terms specified in the respective agreements.

The following is a summary of maturities of long-term debt subsequent to March 31, 2020:

	MUFG	BK	Other subsidiaries	Total
	(in millions)			
Fiscal year ending March 31:				
2021	¥ 303,428	¥ 8,550,560	¥1,270,513	¥10,124,501
2022	778,600	2,165,072	919,608	3,863,280
2023	876,475	637,647	1,059,402	2,573,524
2024	731,255	1,072,061	411,279	2,214,595
2025	719,364	292,304	267,980	1,279,648
2026 and thereafter	5,390,727	1,503,574	992,772	7,887,073
Total	<u>¥8,799,849</u>	<u>¥14,221,218</u>	<u>¥4,921,554</u>	<u>¥27,942,621</u>

New Issuances of Bonds for Basel III

For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, the MUFG Group issued to institutional investors in Japan ¥273,000 million aggregate principal amount of unsecured perpetual subordinated Additional Tier 1 notes. These notes are subject to the MUFG Group's discretion to cease interest payments and a write-down of the principal upon the occurrence of certain events, including when the MUFG Group's Common Equity Tier 1 ratio declines below 5.125%, when the MUFG Group is deemed to be at risk of becoming non-viable or when the MUFG Group becomes subject to bankruptcy proceedings.

For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, the MUFG Group issued \$10,340 million (approximately ¥1,125,302 million), €1,000 million (approximately ¥119,550 million), AUD 500 million (approximately ¥33,045 million) and HK\$232 million (approximately ¥3,257 million) of bonds with an intent to count towards Total Loss-Absorbing Capacity ("TLAC") to global institutional investors to meet the TLAC requirement under the standards issued by the Financial Stability Board ("FSB"). Under the FSB's TLAC standard, the MUFG Group is required to hold TLAC debt in an amount not less than 16% of risk-weighted assets and 6% of the applicable Basel III leverage ratio denominator by January 1, 2019, and not less than 18% of risk-weighted assets and 6.75% of the applicable Basel III leverage ratio denominator by January 1, 2022.

13. SEVERANCE INDEMNITIES AND PENSION PLANS

Defined Benefit Pension Plans

The MUFG Group has funded non-contributory defined benefit pension plans, which cover substantially all of its employees and mainly provide for lifetime annuity payments commencing at age 65 ("pension benefits") based on eligible compensation at the time of severance, rank, years of service and other factors.

MUFG Bank and certain domestic subsidiaries, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking, Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings, Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS and some subsidiaries of MUFG have non-contributory Corporate Defined Benefit Pension plans which provide benefits to all their domestic employees.

The MUFG Group also offers qualified and nonqualified defined benefit pension plans in foreign offices and subsidiaries for their employees. The qualified plans are non-contributory defined pension plans, which

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

provide benefits upon retirement based on years of service and average compensation and cover substantially all of the employees of such foreign offices and subsidiaries. With respect to the offices and subsidiaries in the United States of America, the qualified plans are funded on a current basis in compliance with the requirement of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of the United States of America. The nonqualified plans are non-contributory defined benefit pension plans, under which certain employees earn pay and interest credits on compensation amounts above the maximum stipulated by applicable laws under the qualified plans.

Severance Indemnities Plans

The MUFG Group has SIPs under which their employees in Japan, other than those who are directors, are entitled, under most circumstances, upon mandatory retirement at normal retirement age or earlier termination of employment, to lump-sum severance indemnities based on eligible compensation at the time of severance, rank, years of service and other factors. Under SIPs, benefit payments in the form of a lump-sum cash payment with no option to receive annuity payments, upon mandatory retirement at normal retirement age or earlier termination of employment, are provided. When a benefit is paid in a single payment to a benefit payee under the plans, the payment represents final relief of the obligation.

Other Postretirement Plans

The MUFG Group's foreign offices and subsidiaries, primarily in the United States of America, provide their employees with certain postretirement medical and life insurance benefits ("other benefits").

Net periodic cost of pension benefits and other benefits for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020 include the following components:

	Domestic subsidiaries			Foreign offices and subsidiaries					
	2018	2019	2020	2018		2019		2020	
	Pension benefits and SIP	Pension benefits and SIP	Pension benefits and SIP	Pension benefits	Other benefits	Pension benefits	Other benefits	Pension benefits	Other benefits
	(in millions)								
Service cost—benefits earned during the fiscal year	¥ 47,064	¥ 48,352	¥ 49,194	¥ 10,169	¥ 676	¥ 12,395	¥ 525	¥ 14,406	¥ 366
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	14,383	13,504	10,969	15,359	1,079	14,958	1,046	17,370	1,159
Expected return on plan assets	(68,432)	(74,270)	(74,744)	(32,110)	(2,122)	(33,266)	(2,314)	(31,382)	(1,882)
Amortization of net actuarial loss	7,309	731	5,641	8,847	1,124	9,993	707	8,685	1,162
Amortization of prior service cost	(1,094)	(1,210)	(1,204)	(3,090)	(2,775)	(3,039)	(2,020)	(2,633)	(1,881)
Loss (gain) on settlements and curtailment	(4,394)	(5,980)	(2,366)	52	—	49	—	223	—
Net periodic benefit cost (income)	¥ (5,164)	¥ (18,873)	¥ (12,510)	¥ (773)	¥ (2,018)	¥ 1,090	¥ (2,056)	¥ 6,669	¥ (1,076)

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

The following table summarizes the assumptions used in computing the present value of the projected benefit obligations and the net periodic benefit cost:

	Domestic subsidiaries			Foreign offices and subsidiaries					
	2018	2019	2020	2018		2019		2020	
	Pension benefits and SIP	Pension benefits and SIP	Pension benefits and SIP	Pension benefits	Other benefits	Pension benefits	Other benefits	Pension benefits	Other benefits
Weighted-average assumptions used:									
Discount rates in determining expense	0.82%	0.76%	0.61%	3.52%	3.61%	3.19%	3.27%	3.80%	3.87%
Discount rates in determining benefit obligation	0.76	0.61	0.63	3.38	3.43	3.87	3.99	3.05	2.96
Rates of increase in future compensation level for determining expense	3.23	3.21	3.21	4.65	—	4.65	—	5.01	—
Rates of increase in future compensation level for determining benefit obligation	3.21	3.21	3.46	4.65	—	5.01	—	5.12	—
Expected rates of return on plan assets	2.87	2.83	2.89	6.71	7.50	6.70	7.50	6.25	7.00

The following tables present the assumed health care cost trend rates for foreign offices and subsidiaries, which are used to measure the expected cost of benefits for the next year, and the effect of a one-percentage-point change in the assumed health care cost trend rate:

	MUAH		Other than MUAH	
	2019 ⁽¹⁾	2020 ⁽¹⁾	2019 ⁽¹⁾	2020 ⁽¹⁾
Initial trend rate	4.44%	4.14%	7.00%	6.50%
Ultimate trend rate	3.94%	3.77%	4.50%	4.50%
Year the rate reaches the ultimate trend rate ..	2027	2027	2027	2027

	MUAH		Other than MUAH	
	One-percentage-point increase	One-percentage-point decrease	One-percentage-point increase	One-percentage-point decrease
	(in millions)			
Effect on total of service and interest cost components	¥ 152	¥ (128)	¥ 42	¥ (33)
Effect on postretirement benefit obligation ...	2,823	(2,394)	838	(665)

Note:

(1) Fiscal years of MUFG Americas Holdings and foreign subsidiaries end on December 31. Therefore, the above tables present the rates and amounts at December 31, 2018 and 2019, respectively.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

The following table sets forth the combined funded status and amounts recognized in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets at March 31, 2019 and 2020:

	Domestic subsidiaries		Foreign offices and subsidiaries			
	2019	2020	2019		2020	
	Non-contributory pension benefits and SIP	Non-contributory pension benefits and SIP	Pension benefits	Other benefits	Pension benefits	Other benefits
(in millions)						
Change in benefit obligation:						
Benefit obligation at beginning of fiscal year	¥1,821,794	¥1,841,884	¥502,056	¥34,347	¥469,080	¥31,510
Service cost	48,352	49,194	12,395	525	14,406	366
Interest cost	13,504	10,969	14,958	1,046	17,370	1,159
Plan participants' contributions	—	—	37	452	28	455
Acquisitions/ Divestitures	(160)	(94)	(17)	—	13,000	—
Amendments	—	—	64	—	3,721	—
Actuarial loss (gain)	43,527	(3,053)	(28,466)	(2,354)	58,831	1,648
Benefits paid	(66,539)	(66,771)	(19,894)	(2,221)	(21,120)	(2,326)
Lump-sum payment	(18,594)	(24,224)	(1,750)	—	(2,284)	—
Translation adjustments and other	—	—	(10,303)	(285)	(6,019)	(429)
Benefit obligation at end of fiscal year	<u>1,841,884</u>	<u>1,807,905</u>	<u>469,080</u>	<u>31,510</u>	<u>547,013</u>	<u>32,383</u>
Change in plan assets:						
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of fiscal year	2,603,329	2,594,788	542,646	32,466	493,495	28,258
Actual return on plan assets	13,664	(123,802)	(21,353)	(1,948)	92,087	5,695
Employer contributions	44,427	28,653	3,117	102	2,887	186
Acquisitions/ Divestitures	(93)	(21)	—	—	791	—
Plan participants' contributions	—	—	37	452	28	455
Benefits paid	(66,539)	(66,771)	(19,894)	(2,221)	(21,120)	(2,326)
Translation adjustments and other	—	—	(11,058)	(593)	(8,660)	(349)
Fair value of plan assets at end of fiscal year	<u>2,594,788</u>	<u>2,432,847</u>	<u>493,495</u>	<u>28,258</u>	<u>559,508</u>	<u>31,919</u>
Amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets:						
Prepaid benefit cost	¥ 770,564	¥ 643,520	¥ 67,821	¥ 1,372	¥ 81,301	¥ 4,378
Accrued benefit cost	(17,660)	(18,577)	(43,406)	(4,624)	(68,806)	(4,842)
Net amount recognized	<u>¥ 752,904</u>	<u>¥ 624,943</u>	<u>¥ 24,415</u>	<u>¥ (3,252)</u>	<u>¥ 12,495</u>	<u>¥ (464)</u>

The aggregated accumulated benefit obligations of these plans at March 31, 2019 and 2020 were as follows:

	Domestic subsidiaries		Foreign offices and subsidiaries	
	2019	2020	2019	2020
(in millions)				
Aggregated accumulated benefit obligations	¥1,800,992	¥1,773,042	¥457,048	¥523,078

The projected benefit obligations, accumulated benefit obligations and fair value of plan assets for the plans with accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets at March 31, 2019 and 2020 were as follows:

	Domestic subsidiaries		Foreign offices and subsidiaries	
	2019	2020	2019	2020
(in millions)				
Projected benefit obligations	¥23,108	¥26,442	¥63,273	¥89,829
Accumulated benefit obligations	23,108	26,442	52,249	67,609
Fair value of plan assets	5,629	7,980	19,866	21,014

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

MUFG Bank, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking, Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings, Mitsubishi UFJ NICOS and other subsidiaries paid special lump-sum termination benefits which are not a part of pension plans to certain early-terminated employees. The amounts charged to operations for such early termination benefits for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020 were ¥10,153 million, ¥9,325 million and ¥13,883 million, respectively.

The following table presents the amounts recognized in Accumulated OCI of the MUFG Group at March 31, 2019 and 2020:

	Domestic subsidiaries		Foreign offices and subsidiaries			
	2019	2020	2019		2020	
	Pension benefits and SIP	Pension benefits and SIP	Pension benefits	Other benefits	Pension benefits	Other benefits
			(in millions)			
Net actuarial loss	¥ 245,037	¥ 437,254	¥126,238	¥ 8,628	¥114,167	¥ 5,164
Prior service cost	(5,459)	(4,255)	(14,536)	(4,263)	(7,875)	(2,304)
Gross amount recognized in						
Accumulated OCI	239,578	432,999	111,702	4,365	106,292	2,860
Taxes	(115,816)	(174,915)	(29,875)	(1,282)	(27,974)	(874)
Net amount recognized in						
Accumulated OCI	<u>¥ 123,762</u>	<u>¥ 258,084</u>	<u>¥ 81,827</u>	<u>¥ 3,083</u>	<u>¥ 78,318</u>	<u>¥ 1,986</u>

The following table presents OCI for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2019 and 2020:

	Domestic subsidiaries		Foreign offices and subsidiaries			
	2019	2020	2019		2020	
	Pension benefits and SIP	Pension benefits and SIP	Pension benefits	Other benefits	Pension benefits	Other benefits
			(in millions)			
Net actuarial loss (gain) arising during the year	¥ 104,132	¥ 195,492	¥ 26,155	¥ 1,908	¥ (1,732)	¥(2,166)
Prior service cost arising during the year	—	—	65	—	3,722	—
Losses (gains) due to amortization:						
Net actuarial loss	(731)	(5,641)	(9,993)	(707)	(8,685)	(1,162)
Prior service cost	1,210	1,204	3,039	2,020	2,633	1,881
Curtailment and settlement	5,980	2,366	(49)	—	(223)	—
Foreign currency translation adjustments	—	—	(1,399)	(68)	(1,125)	(58)
Total changes in Accumulated OCI	<u>¥ 110,591</u>	<u>¥ 193,421</u>	<u>¥ 17,818</u>	<u>¥ 3,153</u>	<u>¥ (5,410)</u>	<u>¥(1,505)</u>

Investment policies

MUFG's investment policy for plan assets is based on an asset liability matching strategy which is intended to maintain adequate liquidity for benefit payments and to achieve a stable increase in the plan assets in the medium and long-term through proper risk control and return maximization. As a general rule, investment policies for plan assets are reviewed periodically for some plans and in the following situations for all plans:

mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

(1) large fluctuations in pension plan liabilities caused by modifications to pension plans, or (2) changes in the market environment. The plan assets allocation strategies are the principal determinant in achieving expected investment returns on the plan assets. Actual asset allocations may fluctuate within acceptable ranges due to market value variability. Plan assets are managed by a combination of internal and external asset management companies and are rebalanced when market fluctuations cause an asset category to fall outside of its strategic asset allocation range. Performance of each plan asset category is compared against established indices and similar plan asset groups to evaluate whether the risk associated with the portfolio is appropriate for the level of return.

The weighted-average target asset allocation of plan assets for the pension benefits and other benefits at March 31, 2020 was as follows:

Asset category	Domestic subsidiaries	Foreign offices and subsidiaries	
	Pension benefits and SIP	Pension benefits	Other benefits
Japanese equity securities	35.9%	0.4%	—%
Japanese debt securities	33.6	—	—
Non-Japanese equity securities	15.0	49.2	70.0
Non-Japanese debt securities	10.4	38.3	30.0
Real estate	1.4	10.4	—
Short-term assets	3.7	1.7	—
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Basis and procedure for estimating long-term return of each asset category

MUFG's expected long-term rate of return on plan assets for domestic defined benefit pension plans and SIPs is based on a building-block methodology, which calculates the total long-term rate of return of the plan assets by aggregating the weighted rate of return derived from both long-term historical performance and forward-looking return expectations from each asset category.

MUFG has determined the expected long-term rate of return for each asset category as follows:

- Japanese equity securities: the rate for Japanese debt securities plus a premium for the risk associated with Japanese equity securities
- Japanese debt securities: economic growth rate of Japan
- Non-Japanese equity securities: the rate for non-Japanese debt securities plus a premium for the risk associated with non-Japanese equity securities
- Non-Japanese debt securities: global economic growth rate

Foreign offices and subsidiaries periodically reconsider the expected long-term rate of return for their plan assets. They evaluate the investment return volatility of different asset categories and compare the liability structure of their pension and other benefits to those of other companies, while considering their funding policy to maintain a funded status sufficient to meet participants' benefit obligations, and reduce long-term funding requirements and pension costs. Based on this information, foreign offices and subsidiaries update the expected long-term rate of return.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Estimated future benefit payments

The following table presents benefit payments expected to be paid, which include the effect of expected future service for the fiscal years indicated:

	<u>Domestic subsidiaries</u>	<u>Foreign offices and subsidiaries</u>	
	<u>Pension benefits and SIP</u>	<u>Pension benefits</u>	<u>Other benefits</u>
	(in millions)		
Fiscal year ending March 31:			
2021	¥ 83,009	¥ 21,368	¥2,023
2022	82,073	22,901	2,075
2023	82,115	23,747	2,111
2024	81,988	24,893	2,125
2025	81,902	25,614	2,089
Thereafter (2026-2030)	397,860	165,065	9,040

Fair value measurement of the plan assets

The following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for plan assets measured at fair value as well as the classification of the plan assets pursuant to the fair value hierarchy described in Note 31.

Government bonds and other debt securities

When quoted prices are available in an active market, the MUFG Group adopts the quoted prices to measure the fair value of securities and such securities are classified in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. Level 1 securities include Japanese government bonds, most non-Japanese government bonds and certain corporate bonds. When quoted prices are available but not traded actively, such securities are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. When quoted prices are not available, the MUFG Group generally estimates fair values by using non-binding prices obtained from independent pricing vendors. Such securities are generally classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. Level 2 securities include certain non-Japanese government bonds, official institution bonds and corporate bonds. When there is lack of liquidity for securities or significant inputs adopted to the fair value measurements are unobservable, such securities are classified in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. Such Level 3 securities mainly consist of non-Japanese corporate bonds.

Marketable equity securities

When quoted prices are available in an active market, the MUFG Group adopts the quoted prices to measure the fair value of marketable equity securities and such securities are classified in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. When quoted prices are available but not traded actively, such securities are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Japanese pooled funds

Japanese pooled funds are investment fund vehicles designed for Japanese pension plan investments under Japanese pension trust fund regulations. Based upon the nature of the funds' investments, Japanese pooled funds are categorized into four major fund types: Japanese marketable equity securities type, Japanese debt securities type, Non-Japanese marketable equity securities type and Non-Japanese debt securities type. The other types of

mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

funds invest in short-term financial instruments or loans receivable. Japanese pooled funds are generally readily redeemable at their net asset values. The fair values of Japanese pooled funds are measured at their net asset values per share (or its equivalent) as a practical expedient.

Other investment funds

Other investment funds include mutual funds, private investments funds, common collective funds, private equity funds and real estate funds. The listed investment funds or mutual funds are valued at quoted prices and classified in Level 1 or Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. When there is no available market quotation, the fair values are generally determined at net asset values per share (or its equivalent) as a practical expedient. Other investment funds classified in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy consist of certain real estate funds whose fair values are not measured at their net asset values but by using significant unobservable inputs and there is inherent lack of the funds' liquidity.

Japanese general accounts of life insurance companies

These instruments are contracts with life insurance companies that guarantee return of a certain level of fixed income, which are mainly invested in assets with low market risk such as Japanese debt securities. They are measured at conversion value and classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Other investments

Other investments mainly consist of call loans and the rest consist of miscellaneous accounts such as deposits with banks and short-term investments. These instruments are generally classified in Level 1 or Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy depending on observability of the inputs to measure their fair values.

The following table presents the fair value of each major category of plan assets as of March 31, 2019 and 2020:

Pension benefits and SIP Investments:

At March 31, 2019 Assets category	Domestic subsidiaries				Foreign offices and subsidiaries			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
	(in millions)							
Japanese government bonds	¥ 146,060	¥ —	¥ —	¥ 146,060	¥ —	¥ —	¥ —	¥ —
Non-Japanese government bonds	8,570	656	—	9,226	38,687	4,615	—	43,302
Other debt securities	109	3,698	1,030	4,837	—	100,462	—	100,462
Japanese marketable equity securities . . .	855,353	—	—	855,353	—	—	—	—
Non-Japanese marketable equity securities	64,957	234	—	65,191	20,569	652	—	21,221
Other investment funds	—	—	—	—	73,286	9,044	—	82,330 ⁽²⁾
Japanese general account of life insurance companies ⁽¹⁾	—	222,460	—	222,460	—	—	—	—
Other investments	917	18,626	—	19,543	18	4,415	188	4,621
Total	¥1,075,966	¥245,674	¥1,030	¥1,322,670	¥132,560	¥119,188	¥188	¥251,936

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

At March 31, 2020 Assets category	Domestic subsidiaries				Foreign offices and subsidiaries			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
	(in millions)							
Japanese government bonds	¥147,926	¥ —	¥ —	¥ 147,926	¥ —	¥ —	¥ —	¥ —
Non-Japanese government bonds	—	—	—	—	42,135	5,185	—	47,320
Other debt securities	7,014	12,113	325	19,452	—	105,096	—	105,096
Japanese marketable equity securities	690,741	—	—	690,741	—	—	—	—
Non-Japanese marketable equity securities	47,655	235	—	47,890	26,981	616	—	27,597
Other investment funds	—	—	—	—	81,803	150,121	—	231,924 ⁽²⁾
Japanese general account of life insurance companies ⁽¹⁾	—	217,898	—	217,898	—	—	—	—
Other investments	6,547	32,150	—	38,697	480	1,937	250	2,667
Total	¥899,883	¥262,396	¥325	¥1,162,604	¥151,399	¥262,955	¥250	¥414,604

Notes:

- (1) "Japanese general accounts of life insurance companies" is a contract with life insurance companies that guarantees a return of approximately 1.24% from April 1, 2018 to March 31, 2019 and 1.24% from April 1, 2019 to March 31, 2020.
- (2) Other investment funds of the foreign offices and subsidiaries include mutual funds and common collective funds of ¥68,556 million and nil, respectively, which were held by MUFG Americas Holdings at December 31, 2018 and ¥81,768 million and ¥119,307 million, respectively, at December 31, 2019. Based on guidance contained in Codification Improvements, issued by FASB in July 2018, some of common collective funds are reported within the fair value hierarchy as Level 2, which were previously included in the tables presenting fair values of certain investments valued at net asset value per share (or its equivalent) as a practical expedient. The amount of Pension benefits and SIP Investments impacted by the change in reporting was ¥136,316 million as of March 31, 2020. See Note 1 for more information.

The following table presents fair values of certain investments valued at net asset value per share (or its equivalent) as a practical expedient that were excluded from the above table as of March 31, 2019 and 2020:

Assets category	Domestic subsidiaries		Foreign offices and subsidiaries	
	2019	2020	2019	2020
	(in millions)			
Japanese pooled funds:				
Japanese marketable equity securities	¥ 109,915	¥ 125,218	¥ —	¥ —
Japanese debt securities	184,121	212,727	—	—
Non-Japanese marketable equity securities	162,901	146,796	—	—
Non-Japanese debt securities	155,792	169,978	—	—
Other	134,306	100,798	—	—
Total pooled funds	747,035	755,517	—	—
Other investment funds	525,083 ⁽¹⁾	514,726 ⁽¹⁾	241,559 ⁽²⁾	144,904 ⁽²⁾
Total	¥1,272,118	¥1,270,243	¥241,559	¥ 144,904

Notes:

- (1) Other investment funds of the domestic subsidiaries include mutual funds and real estate funds of ¥500,850 million and ¥12,058 million, respectively, at March 31, 2019 and ¥486,496 million and ¥13,891 million, respectively, at March 31, 2020.
- (2) Other investment funds of the foreign offices and subsidiaries include mutual funds, real estate funds and common collective funds of ¥53,775 million, ¥46,818 million and ¥140,728 million, respectively, at March 31, 2019 and ¥56,125 million, ¥54,474 million and ¥34,098 million, respectively, at March 31, 2020. Based on guidance contained in Codification Improvements, issued by FASB in July 2018, some of common collective funds are reported within the fair value hierarchy as Level 2, which were previously included in the

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

tables presenting fair values of certain investments valued at net asset value per share (or its equivalent) as a practical expedient. The amount of Pension benefits and SIP Investments impacted by the change in reporting was ¥136,316 million as of March 31, 2020. See Note 1 for more information.

Other debt securities and Japanese debt securities in the above Pension benefits and SIP tables include ¥1,224 million (0.04% of plan assets) of debt securities issued by the MUFG Group at March 31, 2019 and ¥942 million (0.03% of plan assets) at March 31, 2020, respectively. Japanese marketable equity securities in the above Pension benefits and SIP tables include ¥5,414 million (0.18% of plan assets) of common stock issued by the MUFG Group at March 31, 2019 and ¥5,843 million (0.20% of plan assets) at March 31, 2020, respectively.

14. OTHER ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

Major components of other assets and liabilities at March 31, 2019 and 2020 were as follows:

	2019	2020
	(in millions)	
Other assets:		
Accounts receivable:		
Receivables from brokers, dealers and customers for securities transactions	¥ 1,141,075	¥ 1,191,690
Other	1,394,794	1,212,511
Investments in equity method investees	2,487,389	2,421,154
Prepaid benefit cost (Note 13)	839,757	729,199
Cash collateral pledged for derivative transactions (Note 9)	1,276,897	1,696,108
Cash collateral for the use of Bank of Japan's settlement infrastructure	911,528	965,546
Accrued interest ⁽¹⁾	359,648	308,448
Deferred tax assets (Note 8) ⁽¹⁾	89,719	113,031
Right-of-use assets of operating leases (Note 7)	—	393,435
Other	2,990,462	4,077,577
Total	<u>¥11,491,269</u>	<u>¥13,108,699</u>
Other liabilities:		
Accounts payable:		
Payables to brokers, dealers and customers for securities transactions	¥ 1,289,672	¥ 1,078,653
Other	1,308,733	1,251,260
Obligations to return securities received as collateral (Notes 15, 16 and 31) ⁽¹⁾	3,087,026	4,806,171
Accrued interest ⁽¹⁾	214,735	187,096
Deferred tax liabilities (Note 8)	624,062	541,368
Allowance for off-balance sheet credit instruments	119,307	56,995
Accrued benefit cost (Note 13)	65,690	92,225
Guarantees and indemnifications	45,346	39,601
Cash collateral received for derivative transactions (Note 9)	844,234	1,125,305
Obligations under operating leases (Note 7)	—	482,813
Accrued and other liabilities	3,061,462	3,562,359
Total	<u>¥10,660,267</u>	<u>¥13,223,846</u>

Note:

(1) Certain reclassifications have been made to prior period to confirm to the current presentation.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Investments in equity method investees include marketable equity securities carried at ¥1,936,468 million and ¥1,809,161 million at March 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively. Corresponding aggregated market values were ¥2,702,838 million and ¥1,987,008 million, respectively. Marketable equity securities include Morgan Stanley's common stock carried at ¥1,326,339 million and ¥1,411,131 million at March 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively. As of March 31, 2020, the MUFG Group held approximately 23.93% of its common stock. Investments in equity method investees also include investments in Morgan Stanley MUFG Securities, Co., Ltd. at ¥178,679 million and ¥185,255 million at March 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively.

The MUFG Group periodically evaluates whether a loss in value of investments in equity method investees is other-than-temporary. As a result of evaluations, the MUFG Group recognized other-than-temporary declines in the value of an investment and recorded impairment losses related to certain affiliated companies of ¥29,442 million, ¥51,645 million and ¥21,672 million for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020 respectively. The impairment losses are included in Equity in earnings of equity method investees—net in the accompanying consolidated statements of income.

Summarized Financial Information of the MUFG Group's Equity Method Investees

Summarized financial information of Morgan Stanley, the largest portion of the MUFG Group's equity method investees, as of March 31, 2019 and 2020, and for each of the three years ended March 31, 2020 is as follows:

	2019	2020
	(in billions)	
Trading assets	¥29,392	¥ 29,484
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	10,718	11,405
Securities borrowed	15,416	7,868
Total assets	97,223	103,149
Deposits	19,948	25,601
Customer and other payables	21,431	21,556
Borrowings	21,165	21,206
Total liabilities	88,134	93,656
Noncontrolling interests	130	149

	2018	2019	2020
	(in billions)		
Net revenues	¥4,354	¥4,365	¥4,415
Total non-interest expenses	3,133	3,170	3,275
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	1,221	1,195	1,140
Net income applicable to Morgan Stanley	759	944	903

Morgan Stanley early adopted the new accounting guidance on "Targeted Improvements to Accounting for Hedging Activities" on January 1, 2018. This resulted in recording a cumulative catch-up adjustment by Morgan Stanley, decreasing the MUFG Group's proportionate share of Retained earnings as reflected on the MUFG Group's consolidated statement of equity.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Summarized financial information of the MUFG Group's equity method investees, other than Morgan Stanley as of March 31, 2019 and 2020, and for each of the three years ended March 31, 2020 is as follows:

	2019	2020
	(in billions)	
Net loans	¥15,772	¥15,190
Total assets	28,910	29,255
Deposits	9,103	8,898
Total liabilities	23,423	24,025
Noncontrolling interests	1,135	1,005

	2018	2019	2020
	(in billions)		
Total interest income	¥901	¥1,036	¥1,093
Total interest expense	329	430	419
Net interest income	572	606	674
Provision for credit losses	136	157	171
Income before income tax expense	337	205	366
Net income	229	135	285

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

15. OFFSETTING OF DERIVATIVES, REPURCHASE AGREEMENTS, AND SECURITIES LENDING TRANSACTIONS

The following tables present, as of March 31, 2019 and 2020, the gross and net amounts of derivatives, resale and repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and lending transactions, including the related gross amounts subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement not offset in the consolidated balance sheets. The MUFG Group primarily enters into International Swaps and Derivatives Association master netting agreements, master repurchase agreements and master securities lending agreements or similar agreements for derivative contracts, resale and repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and lending transactions. In the event of default on or termination of any one contract, these agreements provide the contracting parties with the right to net a counterparty's rights and obligations and to liquidate and setoff collateral against any net amount owed by the counterparty. Generally, as the MUFG Group has elected to present such amounts on a gross basis, the amounts subject to these agreements are included in "Gross amounts not offset in the consolidated balance sheet" column in the tabular disclosure below. For certain transactions where a legal opinion with respect to the enforceability of netting has not been sought or obtained, the related amounts are not subject to enforceable master netting agreements and not included in "Gross amounts not offset in the consolidated balance sheet" column in the tabular disclosure below.

At March 31, 2019	Gross amounts of recognized assets/liabilities	Gross amounts offset in the consolidated balance sheet	Net amounts presented in the consolidated balance sheet	Gross amounts not offset in the consolidated balance sheet		Net amounts
				Financial instruments	Cash collateral received/pledged	
			(in billions)			
Financial assets:						
Derivative assets	¥13,205	¥ —	¥13,205	¥(10,752)	¥ (590)	¥1,863
Receivables under resale agreements	13,885	(2,910)	10,975	(9,793)	(20)	1,162
Receivables under securities borrowing transactions	2,759	—	2,759	(2,693)	—	66
Total	<u>¥29,849</u>	<u>¥(2,910)</u>	<u>¥26,939</u>	<u>¥(23,238)</u>	<u>¥ (610)</u>	<u>¥3,091</u>
Financial liabilities:						
Derivative liabilities	¥12,710	¥ —	¥12,710	¥(10,671)	¥ (933)	¥1,106
Payables under repurchase agreements	28,125	(2,900)	25,225	(24,930)	(15)	280
Payables under securities lending transactions	913	—	913	(880)	—	33
Obligations to return securities received as collateral	3,087	—	3,087	(1,244)	—	1,843
Total	<u>¥44,835</u>	<u>¥(2,900)</u>	<u>¥41,935</u>	<u>¥(37,725)</u>	<u>¥ (948)</u>	<u>¥3,262</u>

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

At March 31, 2020	Gross amounts of recognized assets/liabilities	Gross amounts offset in the consolidated balance sheet	Net amounts presented in the consolidated balance sheet	Gross amounts not offset in the consolidated balance sheet		Net amounts
				Financial instruments	Cash collateral received/pledged	
			(in billions)			
Financial assets:						
Derivative assets	¥14,958	¥ —	¥14,958	¥(11,282)	¥ (874)	¥2,802
Receivables under resale agreements	25,884	(1,888)	23,996	(23,195)	(21)	780
Receivables under securities borrowing transactions	3,444	—	3,444	(3,336)	—	108
Total	<u>¥44,286</u>	<u>¥(1,888)</u>	<u>¥42,398</u>	<u>¥(37,813)</u>	<u>¥ (895)</u>	<u>¥3,690</u>
Financial liabilities:						
Derivative liabilities	¥14,034	¥ —	¥14,034	¥(11,296)	¥(1,140)	¥1,598
Payables under repurchase agreements	33,733	(1,883)	31,850	(31,569)	(34)	247
Payables under securities lending transactions	1,017	—	1,017	(986)	—	31
Obligations to return securities received as collateral	4,806	—	4,806	(1,966)	—	2,840
Total	<u>¥53,590</u>	<u>¥(1,883)</u>	<u>¥51,707</u>	<u>¥(45,817)</u>	<u>¥(1,174)</u>	<u>¥4,716</u>

16. REPURCHASE AGREEMENTS, AND SECURITIES LENDING TRANSACTIONS ACCOUNTED FOR AS SECURED BORROWINGS

The following tables present gross obligations for payables under repurchase agreements, payables under securities lending transactions and obligations to return securities received as collateral by remaining contractual maturity and class of collateral pledged at March 31, 2019 and 2020. Potential risks associated with these arrangements primarily relate to market and liquidity risks. To manage risks associated with market exposure, the MUFG Group generally revalues the collateral underlying its repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions on a daily basis and monitors the value of the underlying securities, consisting of primarily high-quality securities such as Japanese national government and Japanese government agency bonds, and foreign government and official institution bonds. In the event the market value of such securities falls below the related agreements at contract amounts plus accrued interest, the MUFG Group may be required to deposit additional collateral when appropriate. To address liquidity risks, the MUFG Group conducts stress tests to ensure the adequate level of liquidity is maintained in the event of a decline in the fair value of any collateral pledged.

	March 31, 2019				
	Remaining Contractual Maturity				Total
	Overnight and open	30 days or less	31-90 days (in billions)	Over 90 days	
Payables under repurchase agreements	¥3,892	¥18,586	¥2,824	¥2,823	¥28,125
Payables under securities lending transactions	913	—	—	—	913
Obligations to return securities received as collateral	2,572	396	117	2	3,087
Total	<u>¥7,377</u>	<u>¥18,982</u>	<u>¥2,941</u>	<u>¥2,825</u>	<u>¥32,125</u>

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

March 31, 2020					
Remaining Contractual Maturity					
	Overnight and open	30 days or less	31-90 days (in billions)	Over 90 days	Total
Payables under repurchase agreements	¥ 9,685	¥16,608	¥4,496	¥2,944	¥33,733
Payables under securities lending transactions	934	64	19	—	1,017
Obligations to return securities received as collateral	3,856	599	276	75	4,806
Total	<u>¥14,475</u>	<u>¥17,271</u>	<u>¥4,791</u>	<u>¥3,019</u>	<u>¥39,556</u>

Secured borrowing by the class of collateral pledged at March 31, 2019 and 2020 was as follows:

March 31, 2019				
	Payables under repurchase agreements	Payables under securities lending transactions	Obligations to return securities received as collateral	Total
	(in billions)			
Japanese national government and Japanese government agency bonds	¥ 8,306	¥ 21	¥ 856	¥ 9,183
Foreign government and official institution bonds	14,291	—	1,286	15,577
Corporate bonds	705	—	172	877
Residential mortgage-backed securities	4,369	—	—	4,369
Other debt securities	238	—	—	238
Marketable equity securities	200	892	772	1,864
Other	16	—	1	17
Total	<u>¥28,125</u>	<u>¥ 913</u>	<u>¥3,087</u>	<u>¥32,125</u>

March 31, 2020				
	Payables under repurchase agreements	Payables under securities lending transactions	Obligations to return securities received as collateral	Total
	(in billions)			
Japanese national government and Japanese government agency bonds	¥10,687	¥ 38	¥2,241	¥12,966
Foreign government and official institution bonds	17,326	57	873	18,256
Corporate bonds	784	—	183	967
Residential mortgage-backed securities	4,248	—	10	4,258
Other debt securities	157	—	2	159
Marketable equity securities	506	914	1,497	2,917
Other	25	8	—	33
Total	<u>¥33,733</u>	<u>¥1,017</u>	<u>¥4,806</u>	<u>¥39,556</u>

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

17. PREFERRED STOCK

Pursuant to the Articles of Incorporation, MUFG had been authorized to issue 400,000,000 shares of Class 5 Preferred Stock, 200,000,000 shares of Class 6 Preferred Stock, and 200,000,000 shares of Class 7 Preferred Stock without par value as of March 31, 2020.

All classes of preferred stock are non-voting and have preference over common stock for the payment of dividends and the distribution of assets in the event of a liquidation or dissolution of MUFG. They are all non-cumulative and non-participating with respect to dividend payments. Shareholders of all classes of preferred stock have the right to receive a liquidation distribution at ¥2,500 and do not have the right to participate in any further liquidation distributions.

As of March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020, there was no preferred stock outstanding and the entire amount of Capital stock on the consolidated balance sheets consisted of only common stock.

18. COMMON STOCK AND CAPITAL SURPLUS

The changes in the number of issued shares of common stock during the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020 were as follows:

	2018	2019 (shares)	2020
Balance at beginning of fiscal year	14,168,853,820	13,900,028,020	13,667,770,520
Retirement of shares of common stock	(268,825,800)	(232,257,500)	(85,775,400)
Balance at end of fiscal year	<u>13,900,028,020</u>	<u>13,667,770,520</u>	<u>13,581,995,120</u>

Under the Companies Act, issuances of common stock, including conversions of bonds and notes, are required to be credited to the common stock account for at least 50% of the proceeds and to the legal capital surplus account ("legal capital surplus") for the remaining amounts.

The Companies Act permits Japanese companies, upon approval by the Board of Directors, to issue shares in the form of a "stock split," as defined in the Companies Act. Also, prior to April 1, 1991, Japanese companies were permitted to issue free share distributions. MUFG Bank and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking from time to time made free share distributions. These free distributions usually ranged from 5% to 10% of outstanding common stock and publicly-owned corporations in the United States issuing shares in similar transactions would be required to account for them as stock dividends as of the shareholders' record date by reducing retained earnings and increasing the appropriate capital accounts by an amount equal to the fair value of the shares issued. The application of such U.S. accounting practices to the cumulative free distributions made by MUFG Bank and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking at March 31, 2020, would have increased capital accounts by ¥1,910,106 million with a corresponding decrease in unappropriated retained earnings.

The Companies Act permits that common stock, legal reserve, additional paid-in capital, and other capital surplus and retained earnings can be transferred among these accounts under certain conditions upon the approval of a shareholders' meeting. The Companies Act limits the increase of paid-in capital in case disposition of treasury stock and issuance of common stock are performed at the same time.

As for Capital surplus, the fee retained by MUFG's subsidiary as underwriting compensation, net of stock issuance expense, was included in the total Capital surplus balance.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Treasury Stock

The Companies Act permits Japanese companies to effect purchases of their own shares pursuant to a resolution by the shareholders at an annual general meeting until the conclusion of the following ordinary general meeting of shareholders, and to hold such shares as their treasury stock indefinitely regardless of purpose. However, the Companies Act requires the amount of treasury stock purchased should be within the amount of retained earnings available for dividends. Disposition of treasury stock is subject to the approval of the Board of Directors and is to follow the procedures similar to a public offering of shares for subscription.

From May 16, 2017 to June 21, 2017 MUFG repurchased 141,158,900 shares of MUFG's common stock by market purchases based on the discretionary dealing contract regarding repurchase of own shares for approximately ¥100 billion in aggregate in satisfaction of the resolution adopted at the meeting of the Board of Directors of MUFG held on May 15, 2017. The repurchase plan as authorized by the Board of Directors of MUFG allowed for the repurchase of an aggregate amount of up to 200,000,000 shares, which represents the equivalent of 1.49% of the total number of common shares outstanding, or of an aggregate repurchase amount of up to ¥100 billion. The purpose of the repurchase is to enhance the return of earnings to shareholders, to improve capital efficiency, and to implement flexible capital policies. On July 20, 2017, MUFG cancelled all the acquired shares in satisfaction of the resolution adopted at the meeting of the Board of Directors of MUFG held on May 15, 2017.

From November 15, 2017 to December 22, 2017, MUFG repurchased 127,666,900 shares of MUFG's common stock by market purchases based on the discretionary dealing contract regarding repurchase of own shares for approximately ¥100 billion, in aggregate, in satisfaction of the resolution adopted at the meeting of the Board of Directors of MUFG held on November 14, 2017. The repurchase plan as authorized by the Board of Directors of MUFG allowed for the repurchase of an aggregate amount of up to 200,000,000 shares, which represents the equivalent of 1.50% of the total number of common shares outstanding, or of an aggregate repurchase amount of up to ¥100 billion. The purpose of the repurchase is to enhance the return of earnings to shareholders, to improve capital efficiency, and to implement flexible capital policies. On January 22, 2018, MUFG cancelled all of the acquired shares in satisfaction of the resolution adopted at the meeting of the Board of Directors of MUFG held on November 14, 2017.

From May 16, 2018 to June 4, 2018, MUFG repurchased 72,420,700 shares of MUFG's common stock by market purchases based on the discretionary dealing contract regarding repurchase of own shares for approximately ¥50 billion, in aggregate, in satisfaction of the resolution adopted at the meeting of the Board of Directors of MUFG held on May 15, 2018. The repurchase plan as authorized by the Board of Directors of MUFG allowed for the repurchase of an aggregate amount of up to 100,000,000 shares, which represents the equivalent of 0.76% of the total number of common shares outstanding, or of an aggregate repurchase amount of up to ¥50 billion. The purpose of the repurchase is to enhance the return of earnings to shareholders, to improve capital efficiency, and to implement flexible capital policies. On July 20, 2018, MUFG cancelled all of the acquired shares in satisfaction of the resolution adopted at the meeting of the Board of Directors of MUFG held on May 15, 2018.

From November 14, 2018 to December 10, 2018, MUFG repurchased 159,836,800 shares of MUFG's common stock by market purchases based on the discretionary dealing contract regarding repurchase of own shares for approximately ¥100 billion, in aggregate, in satisfaction of the resolution adopted at the meeting of the Board of Directors of MUFG held on November 13, 2018. The repurchase plan as authorized by the Board of Directors of MUFG allowed for the repurchase of an aggregate amount of up to 200,000,000 shares, which represents the equivalent of 1.52% of the total number of common shares outstanding, or of an aggregate repurchase amount of up to ¥100 billion. The purpose of the repurchase is to enhance the return of earnings to

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

shareholders, to improve capital efficiency, and to implement flexible capital policies. On January 22, 2019, MUFG cancelled all of the acquired shares in satisfaction of the resolution adopted at the meeting of the Board of Directors of MUFG held on November 13, 2018.

From November 14, 2019 to December 23, 2019, MUFG repurchased 85,775,400 shares of MUFG's common stock by market purchases based on the discretionary dealing contract regarding repurchase of own shares for approximately ¥50 billion, in aggregate, in satisfaction of the resolution adopted at the meeting of the Board of Directors of MUFG held on November 13, 2019. The repurchase plan as authorized by the Board of Directors of MUFG allowed for the repurchase of an aggregate amount of up to 100,000,000 shares, which represents the equivalent of 0.77% of the total number of common shares outstanding, or of an aggregate repurchase amount of up to ¥50 billion. The purpose of the repurchase is to enhance the return of earnings to shareholders, to improve capital efficiency, and to implement flexible capital policies. On January 20, 2020, MUFG cancelled all of the acquired shares in satisfaction of the resolution adopted at the meeting of the Board of Directors of MUFG held on November 13, 2019.

19. RETAINED EARNINGS, LEGAL RESERVE AND DIVIDENDS

In addition to the Companies Act, Japanese banks, including MUFG Bank and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking, are required to comply with the Banking Law of Japan (the "Banking Law").

Legal Reserve Set Aside as Appropriation of Retained Earnings and Legal Capital Surplus

Under the Companies Act

The Companies Act provides that an amount at least equal to 10% of the aggregate amount of cash dividends and certain appropriations of retained earnings associated with cash outlays applicable to each period shall be appropriated and set aside as a legal reserve until the aggregate amount of legal reserve set aside as an appropriation of retained earnings and the legal capital surplus equals 25% of stated capital as defined in the Companies Act.

Under the Banking Law

The Banking Law provides that an amount at least equal to 20% of the aggregate amount of cash dividends and certain appropriations of retained earnings associated with cash outlays applicable to each fiscal year shall be appropriated and set aside as a legal reserve until the aggregate amount of legal reserve set aside as appropriation of retained earnings and the legal capital surplus equals 100% of stated capital as defined in the Companies Act.

Transfer of Legal Reserve

Under the Companies Act

Under the Companies Act, Japanese companies, including MUFG, were permitted, pursuant to a resolution by the shareholders at a general meeting, to make legal reserve set aside as appropriation of retained earnings and legal capital surplus available for dividends until the aggregate amount of the legal reserve and legal capital surplus equals 25% of stated capital as defined in the Companies Act.

Under the Companies Act, Japanese companies, including MUFG, MUFG Bank and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking, are permitted, primarily pursuant to a resolution by the shareholders at a general meeting, to transfer legal capital surplus and legal reserve to stated capital and/or retained earnings without limitations of thresholds, thereby effectively removing the thresholds provided for in the Companies Act and Banking Law at the company's discretion.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Under the Banking Law

Under the Banking Law, Japanese banks, including MUFG Bank and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking, were permitted, pursuant to a resolution by the shareholders at a general meeting, to set aside a legal reserve as an appropriation of retained earnings and legal capital surplus available for dividends until the aggregate amount of the legal reserve and legal capital surplus equals 100% of stated capital as defined in the Companies Act.

Unappropriated Retained Earnings and Dividends

In addition to the provision that requires an appropriation for legal reserve as described above, the Companies Act and the Banking Law impose certain limitations on the amount available for dividends.

Under the Companies Act, the amount available for dividends is based on the amount recorded in MUFG's general books of account maintained in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in Japan ("Japanese GAAP"). The adjustments included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements but not recorded in MUFG's general books of account, as explained in Note 1, have no effect on the determination of retained earnings available for dividends under the Companies Act. Under the Banking Law, MUFG, MUFG Bank and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking have to meet the minimum capital adequacy requirements and distributions of retained earnings of MUFG, MUFG Bank and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking, which are otherwise distributable to shareholders, are restricted in order to maintain the minimum capital requirements.

MUFG, formerly known as Mitsubishi Tokyo Financial Group, was established on April 2, 2001 with common stock of ¥924,400 million, preferred stock of ¥222,100 million, legal capital surplus of ¥2,838,693 million and no retained earnings in accordance with the Commercial Code of Japan ("the Code"), which was replaced by the Companies Act, and Japanese GAAP.

On October 1, 2005, MUFG started with common stock and preferred stock of ¥1,383,052 million, a legal capital surplus of ¥3,577,570 million and retained earnings of ¥757,458 million in accordance with the Code and Japanese GAAP.

MUFG's amount available for dividends, at March 31, 2020, was ¥4,298,735 million, which is based on the amount recorded in MUFG's general books of account under Japanese GAAP.

Annual dividends, including those for preferred stock, are approved by the shareholders at an annual general meeting held subsequent to the fiscal year to which the dividends are applicable. In addition, a semi-annual interim dividend payment may be made by resolution of the Board of Directors, subject to limitations imposed by the Companies Act and the Banking Law.

In the accompanying consolidated statements of equity, dividends and appropriations to legal reserve shown for each fiscal year represent dividends approved and paid during the fiscal year and the related appropriation to legal reserve.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

20. ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

The following table presents the changes in Accumulated OCI, net of tax and net of noncontrolling interests, for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020:

	2018	2019	2020
	(in millions)		
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes:			
Net unrealized gains (losses) on investment securities ⁽¹⁾ :			
Balance at beginning of fiscal year	¥2,032,807	¥ 2,270,346	¥(369,369)
Net change during the fiscal year	244,249	60,616	24,584
Effect of adopting new guidance by a foreign affiliated company	118	—	—
Effect of adopting new guidance on reclassification on certain tax effects	(6,828)	—	—
Effect of adopting new guidance on recognition and measurement of financial assets and financial liabilities (Note 1)	—	(2,700,331)	—
Balance at end of fiscal year	¥2,270,346	¥ (369,369)	¥(344,785)
Net debt valuation adjustments:			
Balance at beginning of fiscal year	¥ (10,632)	¥ (16,488)	¥ (8,670)
Net change during the fiscal year	(2,178)	9,729	54,172
Effect of adopting new guidance on reclassification on certain tax effects	(3,678)	—	—
Effect of adopting new guidance on recognition and measurement of financial assets and financial liabilities (Note 1)	—	(1,911)	—
Balance at end of fiscal year	¥ (16,488)	¥ (8,670)	¥ 45,502
Net unrealized losses on derivatives qualifying for cash flow hedges:			
Balance at beginning of fiscal year	¥ (8,729)	¥ (19,250)	¥ (24,140)
Net change during the fiscal year	(7,025)	(4,890)	10,797
Effect of adopting new guidance on reclassification on certain tax effects	(3,496)	—	—
Balance at end of fiscal year	¥ (19,250)	¥ (24,140)	¥ (13,343)
Defined benefit plans:			
Balance at beginning of fiscal year	¥ (214,062)	¥ (119,593)	¥(208,273)
Net change during the fiscal year	109,012	(88,680)	(129,645)
Effect of adopting new guidance on reclassification on certain tax effects	(14,543)	—	—
Balance at end of fiscal year	¥ (119,593)	¥ (208,273)	¥(337,918)
Foreign currency translation adjustments:			
Balance at beginning of fiscal year	¥ 482,039	¥ 362,300	¥ 326,183
Net change during the fiscal year	(119,213)	(36,117)	(96,056)
Effect of adopting new guidance on reclassification on certain tax effects	(526)	—	—
Balance at end of fiscal year	¥ 362,300	¥ 326,183	¥ 230,127
Balance at end of fiscal year	¥2,477,315	¥ (284,269)	¥(420,417)

Note:

(1) Included unrealized gains (losses) related to only debt securities for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2019 and 2020.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

The following table presents the before tax and net of tax changes in each component of Accumulated OCI for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020:

	2018			2019			2020		
	Before tax	Tax (expense) or benefit	Net of tax	Before tax	Tax (expense) or benefit	Net of tax	Before tax	Tax (expense) or benefit	Net of tax
(in millions)									
Net unrealized gains (losses) on investment securities:									
Net unrealized gains on investment securities ⁽¹⁾	¥ 631,154	¥(204,916)	¥ 426,238	¥ 132,723	¥(24,690)	¥ 108,033	¥ 100,974	¥(2,260)	¥ 98,714
Reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income before attribution of noncontrolling interests	(280,258)	84,328	(195,930)	(28,953)	9,100	(19,853)	(107,102)	32,007	(75,095)
Net change	350,896	(120,588)	230,308	103,770	(15,590)	88,180	(6,128)	29,747	23,619
Net unrealized gains (losses) on investment securities attributable to noncontrolling interests			(13,941)			27,564			(965)
Net unrealized gains on investment securities attributable to Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group			244,249			60,616			24,584
Net debt valuation adjustments:									
Net debt valuation adjustments	(3,555)	1,088	(2,467)	13,006	(3,982)	9,024	77,765	(23,812)	53,953
Reclassification adjustment for losses included in net income before attribution of noncontrolling interests	417	(128)	289	1,016	(311)	705	315	(96)	219
Net change	(3,138)	960	(2,178)	14,022	(4,293)	9,729	78,080	(23,908)	54,172
Net debt valuation adjustments attributable to noncontrolling interests			—			—			—
Net debt valuation adjustments attributable to Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group			(2,178)			9,729			54,172
Net unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives qualifying for cash flow hedges:									
Net unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives qualifying for cash flow hedges	(3,430)	1,571	(1,859)	(10,397)	2,825	(7,572)	1,375	(560)	815
Reclassification adjustment for losses (gains) included in net income before attribution of noncontrolling interests	(8,016)	2,850	(5,166)	3,662	(980)	2,682	13,279	(3,452)	9,827
Net change	(11,446)	4,421	(7,025)	(6,735)	1,845	(4,890)	14,654	(4,012)	10,642
Net unrealized losses on derivatives qualifying for cash flow hedges attributable to noncontrolling interests			—			—			(155)
Net unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives qualifying for cash flow hedges attributable to Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group			(7,025)			(4,890)			10,797

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

	2018			2019			2020		
	Before tax	Tax (expense) or benefit	Net of tax	Before tax	Tax (expense) or benefit	Net of tax	Before tax	Tax (expense) or benefit	Net of tax
	(in millions)								
Defined benefit plans:									
Defined benefit plans	154,708	(48,537)	106,171	(126,001)	37,655	(88,346)	(195,851)	59,426	(136,425)
Reclassification adjustment for losses (gains) included in net income before attribution of noncontrolling interests	5,904	(2,237)	3,667	(1,168)	574	(594)	6,643	(1,741)	4,902
Net change	160,612	(50,774)	109,838	(127,169)	38,229	(88,940)	(189,208)	57,685	(131,523)
Defined benefit plans attributable to noncontrolling interests			826			(260)			(1,878)
Defined benefit plans attributable to Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group			109,012			(88,680)			(129,645)
Foreign currency translation adjustments:									
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(137,811)	32,767	(105,044)	(18,062)	(17,932)	(35,994)	(99,520)	19,588	(79,932)
Reclassification adjustment for losses (gains) included in net income before attribution of noncontrolling interests	(1,494)	1,760	266	(9,002)	2,784	(6,218)	(2,238)	1,105	(1,133)
Net change	(139,305)	34,527	(104,778)	(27,064)	(15,148)	(42,212)	(101,758)	20,693	(81,065)
Foreign currency translation adjustments attributable to noncontrolling interests			14,435			(6,095)			14,991
Foreign currency translation adjustments attributable to Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group			(119,213)			(36,117)			(96,056)
Other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group			¥ 224,845			¥ (59,342)			¥(136,148)

Note:

(1) Included unrealized gains (losses) related to only debt securities for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2019 and 2020.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

The following table presents the effect of the reclassification of significant items out of Accumulated OCI on the respective line items of the accompanying consolidated statements of income for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020:

<u>Details of Accumulated OCI components</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>Line items in the consolidated statements of income</u>
	<u>Amount reclassified out of Accumulated OCI</u>			
	(in millions)			
Net unrealized losses (gains) on investment securities				
Net gains on sales and redemptions of Available-for-sale debt securities ⁽¹⁾	¥(287,279)	¥ (29,182)	¥(108,193)	Investment securities gains (losses)—net
Impairment losses on investment securities	6,759	596	1,590	Investment securities gains (losses)—net
Other	262	(367)	(499)	
	(280,258)	(28,953)	(107,102)	Total before tax
	84,328	9,100	32,007	Income tax expense
	<u>¥(195,930)</u>	<u>¥ (19,853)</u>	<u>¥ (75,095)</u>	Net of tax
Net debt valuation adjustments				Equity in earnings of equity method investees—net
	¥ 417	¥ 1,016	¥ 315	
	417	1,016	315	Total before tax
	(128)	(311)	(96)	Income tax expense
	<u>¥ 289</u>	<u>¥ 705</u>	<u>¥ 219</u>	Net of tax
Net unrealized losses (gains) on derivatives qualifying for cash flow hedges				
Interest rate contracts	¥ (7,782)	¥ 3,739	¥ 9,878	Interest income on Loans, including fees
Foreign exchange contracts	—	—	3,399	Interest expense on Long-term debt or Foreign exchange losses—net
Other	(234)	(77)	2	
	(8,016)	3,662	13,279	Total before tax
	2,850	(980)	(3,452)	Income tax expense
	<u>¥ (5,166)</u>	<u>¥ 2,682</u>	<u>¥ 9,827</u>	Net of tax
Defined benefit plans				
Net actuarial loss ⁽²⁾	¥ 17,280	¥ 11,431	¥ 15,488	Other non-interest expenses
Prior service cost ⁽²⁾	(6,959)	(6,269)	(5,718)	Other non-interest expenses
Gain on settlements and curtailment, and other ⁽²⁾	(4,417)	(6,330)	(3,127)	Other non-interest expenses
	5,904	(1,168)	6,643	Total before tax
	(2,237)	574	(1,741)	Income tax expense
	<u>¥ 3,667</u>	<u>¥ (594)</u>	<u>¥ 4,902</u>	Net of tax

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

	2018	2019	2020	
Details of Accumulated OCI components	Amount reclassified out of Accumulated OCI			Line items in the consolidated statements of income
	(in millions)			
Foreign currency translation adjustments . . .	¥ (5,743)	¥ (9,004)	¥ (5,003)	Other non-interest income
	4,249	2	2,765	Other non-interest expenses
	(1,494)	(9,002)	(2,238)	Total before tax
	1,760	2,784	1,105	Income tax expense
	¥ 266	¥ (6,218)	¥ (1,133)	Net of tax
Total reclassifications for the period	¥(283,447)	¥ (34,445)	¥ (89,103)	Total before tax
	86,573	11,167	27,823	Income tax expense
	¥(196,874)	¥ (23,278)	¥ (61,280)	Net of tax

Notes:

- (1) Included unrealized gains (losses) related to only debt securities for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2019 and 2020 while included unrealized gains (losses) related to both debt and equity securities for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018.
- (2) These Accumulated OCI components are components of net periodic benefit cost. See Note 13 for more information.

21. REGULATORY CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS

Japan

MUFG, MUFG Bank, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking and Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings are subject to various regulatory capital requirements promulgated by the regulatory authorities of the countries in which they operate. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements will initiate certain mandatory actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on MUFG's consolidated financial statements.

In Japan, MUFG, MUFG Bank, and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking are subject to regulatory capital requirements promulgated by the Financial Services Agency of Japan ("FSA") in accordance with the provisions of the Banking Law and related regulations. A banking institution is subject to the minimum capital requirements both on a consolidated basis and a stand-alone basis, and is required to maintain the minimum capital irrespective of whether it operates independently or as a subsidiary under the control of another company. When a bank holding company manages operations of its banking subsidiaries, it is required to maintain the minimum capital adequacy ratio on a consolidated basis in the same manner as its subsidiary banks. The FSA provides two sets of capital adequacy guidelines. One is a set of guidelines applicable to Japanese banks and bank holding companies with their foreign offices conducting international operations, as defined, and the other is applicable to Japanese banks and bank holding companies that are not engaged in international operations conducted by their foreign offices.

The Basel Committee on Banking Supervision ("BCBS") of the Bank for International Settlements ("BIS") sets capital adequacy standards for all internationally active banks to ensure minimum levels of capital.

The Basel Committee revised the 1988 Accord ("Basel I") in June 2004 and released "International Convergence of Capital Measurement and Capital Standards: A Revised Framework" ("Basel II"). In addition, the Group of Central Bank Governors and Heads of Supervision reached an agreement on the new global regulatory framework, which has been referred to as "Basel III," in July and September 2010. In December 2010, the Basel Committee agreed on the details of the Basel III rules. Effective as of March 31, 2013, Basel III was adopted by the FSA with transitional measures for Japanese banking institutions with international operations conducted by their foreign offices. MUFG calculated capital ratios as of March 31, 2019 and 2020 in accordance with Basel III.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Capital Ratios

Basel III, the same as Basel II, is based on “three pillars”: (1) minimum capital requirements, (2) the self-regulation of financial institutions based on supervisory review process, and (3) market discipline through the disclosure of information. The framework of the 1988 Accord, Basel I is improved and expanded to be included in “minimum capital requirements” as the first pillar of Basel II and Basel III.

As for the denominator of the capital ratio, the Basel framework provides the following risk-based approaches and a range of options for determining risk-weighted assets.

“Credit Risk”

The Basel framework provides options for determining the risk-weighted assets for credit risk to allow banks to select approaches that are most appropriate for their level of risk assessment. Banks choose one of three approaches: “Standardized Approach,” “Foundation Internal Ratings-Based Approach” or “Advanced Internal Ratings-Based Approach (“AIRB”).”

“Market Risk”

In the “Amendment to the Capital Accord to incorporate market risks” of the year 1996, a choice between two methodologies “the Standardized Measurement Method” and “Internal Models Approach” is permitted. “Combination of Internal Models Approach and the Standardized Measurement Method” is also allowed under certain conditions. This is unchanged in Basel III.

“Operational Risk”

Operational risk, which is defined as the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems or from external events, is newly added in Basel II. The Basel framework presents three methods for calculating operational risk capital charges: (i) the Basic Indicator Approach; (ii) the Standardized Approach; or (iii) Advanced Measurement Approaches (“AMA”). Banks adopt one of the three approaches to determine the risk-weighted assets for operational risk.

Banks need to obtain approval from their supervisors prior to adopting the following approaches to calculate capital requirements for each risk:

- the Advanced Internal Ratings-Based Approach for credit risk
- the Internal Models Approach for market risk
- the Standardized Approach and AMA for operational risk

With approval from the FSA, MUFG and most of its major subsidiaries adopt AIRB to calculate capital requirements for credit risk, adopt the AMA to calculate capital requirements for operational risk, as for market risk, adopt the Internal Models Approach mainly to calculate general market risk and adopt the Standardized Measurement Method to calculate specific risk.

The MUFG Group’s proprietary assets do not include trust assets under management and administration in a capacity of agent or fiduciary and, accordingly trust account assets are generally not included in the capital measure. However, guarantees for trust principal are counted as off-balance sheet items requiring a capital charge in accordance with the capital adequacy guidelines.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Under Basel III, as adopted by the FSA, MUFG's risk-weighted assets increased, largely reflecting the new capital charge of the credit valuation adjustment (CVA), the credit risk related to asset value correlation multiplier for large financial institutions, and the 250% risk-weighted threshold items not deducted from Common Equity Tier 1 capital, as well as the conversion of certain Basel II capital deductions to risk-weighted assets, such as securitizations.

On the other hand, as for the numerator of the capital ratio, there are three primary regulatory capital ratios used to assess capital adequacy, Common Equity Tier 1, Tier 1 and Total capital ratios, which are determined by dividing applicable capital components by risk-weighted assets. Tier 1 capital consists of Common Equity Tier 1 capital and Additional Tier 1 capital. Common Equity Tier 1 capital is primarily consisting of common stock, capital surplus, retained earnings, and Accumulated OCI. Regulatory adjustments including certain intangible fixed assets, such as goodwill, and defined-benefit pension fund assets, are made to Common Equity Tier 1. Additional Tier 1 capital generally consists of Basel III compliant preferred securities, and during the transition period, other capital that meets Tier 1 requirements under Basel II standards.

Tier 2 capital generally consists of Basel III compliant subordinated debts, capital that meets Tier 2 requirements under Basel II standards during the transition period, certain allowances for credit losses, and noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries' Tier 2 instruments. Total capital is defined as the sum of Tier 1 and Tier 2 capital.

Effective March 31, 2016, the FSA's capital conservation buffer, countercyclical buffer and the Global Systemically Important Bank ("G-SIB"), as designated by the FSB, surcharge requirements became applicable to Japanese banking institutions with international operations conducted through foreign offices. The requirements had been phased in and fully implemented as of March 31, 2019. In addition to the 4.50% minimum Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio, MUFG is required to maintain a capital conservation buffer of 2.5% and a G-SIB surcharge of 1.5% as of March 31, 2019 and 2020, and a countercyclical buffer of 0.04% and 0.01% as of March 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively.

Leverage Ratios

The leverage ratio is designed for monitoring and preventing the build-up of excessive leverage in the banking sector and is expressed as the ratio of Tier 1 capital to both on and off-balance sheet assets adjusted in accordance with the FSA guidance. In December 2017, the Group of Central Bank Governors and Heads of Supervision announced final Basel III reforms. The announced reforms include revisions to the measurement of the leverage ratio and a 3% minimum leverage ratio requirement, plus a G-SIB leverage ratio buffer equal to 50% of the applicable G-SIB capital surcharge. The announcement sets forth implementation dates of January 1, 2018 for the minimum leverage ratio requirement and January 1, 2022 for the G-SIB leverage ratio buffer requirement. Effective as of March 31, 2019, the minimum leverage ratio requirement was adopted by the FSA.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

The risk-adjusted capital amounts and ratios, and leverage ratios, of MUFG, MUFG Bank and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking presented in the following table are based on amounts calculated in accordance with Japanese GAAP as required by the FSA.

	Actual		For capital adequacy purposes	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
(in millions, except percentages)				
Consolidated:				
At March 31, 2019:				
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets):				
MUFG ⁽¹⁾	¥18,769,793	16.03%	¥14,097,771	12.04%
BK	14,632,620	14.42	8,114,105	8.00
TB	2,213,195	24.40	725,540	8.00
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets):				
MUFG ⁽¹⁾	16,276,301	13.90	11,755,949	10.04
BK	12,639,454	12.46	6,085,579	6.00
TB	1,928,955	21.26	544,155	6.00
Common Equity Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets):				
MUFG ⁽¹⁾	14,322,407	12.23	9,999,582	8.54
BK	10,990,820	10.83	4,564,184	4.50
TB	1,775,565	19.57	408,116	4.50
Leverage ratio ⁽²⁾ :				
MUFG	16,276,301	4.94	9,871,460	3.00
BK	12,639,454	4.63	8,189,494	3.00
TB	1,928,955	5.09	1,135,795	3.00
At March 31, 2020:				
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets):				
MUFG ⁽¹⁾	¥18,279,566	15.87%	¥13,827,788	12.01%
BK	14,285,601	14.43	7,917,895	8.00
TB	1,889,973	25.46	593,652	8.00
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets):				
MUFG ⁽¹⁾	15,623,321	13.56	11,525,076	10.01
BK	12,170,005	12.29	5,938,421	6.00
TB	1,625,712	21.90	445,239	6.00
Common Equity Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets):				
MUFG ⁽¹⁾	13,708,333	11.90	9,798,042	8.51
BK	10,597,133	10.70	4,453,816	4.50
TB	1,444,348	19.46	333,929	4.50
Leverage ratio ⁽²⁾ :				
MUFG	15,623,321	4.42	10,593,527	3.00
BK	12,170,005	4.21	8,656,169	3.00
TB	1,625,712	4.51	1,079,863	3.00

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

	Actual		For capital adequacy purposes	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
(in millions, except percentages)				
Stand-alone:				
At March 31, 2019:				
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets):				
BK	¥13,560,583	15.58%	¥ 6,959,207	8.00%
TB	2,195,098	24.25	723,953	8.00
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets):				
BK	11,773,839	13.53	5,219,405	6.00
TB	1,911,237	21.12	542,965	6.00
Common Equity Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets):				
BK	10,172,206	11.69	3,914,554	4.50
TB	1,758,237	19.42	407,224	4.50
Leverage ratio ⁽²⁾ :				
BK	11,773,839	4.84	7,284,812	3.00
TB	1,911,237	5.55	1,031,484	3.00
At March 31, 2020:				
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets):				
BK	¥12,092,262	14.76%	¥ 6,550,969	8.00%
TB	2,131,514	25.42	670,714	8.00
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets):				
BK	10,254,608	12.52	4,913,227	6.00
TB	1,867,723	22.27	503,035	6.00
Common Equity Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets):				
BK	8,738,975	10.67	3,684,920	4.50
TB	1,686,723	20.11	377,276	4.50
Leverage ratio ⁽²⁾ :				
BK	10,254,608	4.02	7,644,928	3.00
TB	1,867,723	5.87	953,353	3.00

Notes:

- (1) Effective March 31, 2016, the FSA's capital conservation buffer, countercyclical buffer and G-SIB surcharge requirements became applicable to Japanese banking institutions with international operations conducted through foreign offices. As a result, in addition to the 4.50% minimum Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio, MUFG is required to maintain a capital conservation buffer of 2.5% and a G-SIB surcharge of 1.5% as of March 31, 2019 and 2020, and the countercyclical buffer of 0.04% and 0.01% as of March 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively.
- (2) Effective as of March 31, 2019, the minimum leverage ratio requirement was adopted by the FSA.

Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities and other securities subsidiaries in Japan and overseas are also subject to regulatory capital requirements of the countries or jurisdictions in which they operate. In Japan, the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act and related ordinance require financial instruments firms to maintain a minimum capital ratio of 120% calculated as a percentage of capital accounts less certain fixed assets, as determined in accordance with Japanese GAAP, against amounts equivalent to market, counterparty credit and operational risks. Specific guidelines are issued as a ministerial ordinance which details the definition of essential components of the capital ratios, including capital, deductible fixed asset items and risks, and related measures. Failure to maintain a minimum capital ratio will trigger mandatory regulatory actions. A capital ratio of less than 140% will call for regulatory reporting and a capital ratio of less than 100% may lead to a suspension of all or part of the business for a period of time and cancellation of a registration.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

At March 31, 2019, Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities's capital accounts less certain fixed assets of ¥446,609 million on a stand-alone basis and ¥469,272 million on a consolidated basis, were 331.6% and 332.2% of the total amounts equivalent to market, counterparty credit and operational risks, respectively. At March 31, 2020, its capital accounts less certain fixed assets of ¥440,520 million on a stand-alone basis and ¥465,343 million on a consolidated basis, were 313.0% and 316.2% of the total amounts equivalent to market, counterparty credit and operational risks, respectively.

Management believes, as of March 31, 2020, that MUFG, MUFG Bank, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking, Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities and other regulated securities subsidiaries met all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject.

United States of America

In the United States of America, MUFG Americas Holdings and its banking subsidiary MUFG Union Bank, N.A. ("MUFG Union Bank" or "BK(US)"), MUFG Bank's largest subsidiaries operating outside Japan, are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the U. S. Federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory, and possibly additional discretionary, actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a material effect on MUFG Americas Holdings's consolidated financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, MUFG Americas Holdings and MUFG Union Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of MUFG Americas Holdings's and MUFG Union Bank's assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. MUFG Americas Holdings's capital amounts and MUFG Union Bank's prompt corrective action classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk-weightings and other factors. Prompt corrective action provisions are not applicable to bank holding companies such as MUFG Americas Holdings. MUFG Union Bank is subject to laws and regulations that limit the amount of dividends MUFG Union Bank can pay to MUFG Americas Holdings.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to help ensure capital adequacy require MUFG Americas Holdings and MUFG Union Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the tables below) of Total and Tier 1 capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined) and of Tier 1 capital (as defined) to quarterly average assets (as defined).

In July 2013, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System and the other U.S. Federal banking agencies adopted final rules making significant changes to the U.S. regulatory capital framework for U.S. banking organizations (U.S. Basel III). The final rules are intended to conform this framework to the BCBS' current international regulatory capital accord (Basel III). These rules replace the U.S. Federal banking agencies' general risk-based capital rules (commonly known as "Basel I"), advanced approaches rules (commonly known as "Basel II") that are applicable to certain large banking organizations (including MUFG Union Bank), and leverage rules, and are subject to certain transition provisions. Among other requirements, the U.S. Basel III rules revise the definition of capital, increase minimum capital ratios, and introduce a minimum Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio of 4.5% and a capital conservation buffer of 2.5% (for a total minimum Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio of 7.0%) and a potential countercyclical buffer of up to 2.5%, which would be imposed by regulators at their discretion if it is determined that a period of excessive credit growth is contributing to an increase in financial institution systemic risk; mandate a Tier 1 leverage ratio of 4% and introduce, for large and internationally active bank holding companies, a Tier 1 Supplementary Leverage Ratio that is currently set at 3% and which incorporates off-balance sheet exposures; revise Basel I rules for calculating risk-weighted assets under a standardized approach; modify the existing Basel II advanced approaches rules for calculating

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

risk-weighted assets under U.S. Basel III; and eliminate, for advanced approaches institutions, over a four-year phase-in period beginning on January 1, 2014, the Accumulated OCI or loss exclusion that had applied under Basel I and Basel II rules.

As a result of the Federal Reserve's approval of MUFG Americas Holdings's request to opt out of the advanced approaches methodology in the fourth quarter of 2014, MUFG Americas Holdings calculated its regulatory capital ratios under U.S. Basel I rules at December 31, 2014 and became subject to the U.S. Basel III standardized approach on January 1, 2015, with certain provisions subject to phase-in periods. As permitted for institutions not subject to the advanced approaches methodology, MUFG Americas Holdings made a one-time permanent election in the first quarter of 2015 to exclude certain components of the Accumulated OCI from its regulatory capital calculations. MUFG Union Bank continues to be subject to the advanced approaches rules. Advanced approaches institutions were required to apply U.S. Basel III rules beginning on January 1, 2014. The U.S. Basel III rules are scheduled to be substantially phased in by January 1, 2019. Effective June 30, 2015, MUFG Americas Holdings updated the methodologies applied to the calculation of its regulatory capital ratios due to recent regulatory guidance, which clarified the treatment of certain off-balance sheet credit exposures. These methodologies were applied to MUFG Americas Holdings's capital ratios and increased the ratios by approximately 50 basis points. This change did not affect MUFG Union Bank's ratios as the U.S. Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("OCC") had previously adopted this guidance.

As required under U.S. Basel III rules, the 2.5% capital conservation buffer is being implemented on a phased-in basis in equal increments of 0.625% per year over a four-year period that commenced on January 1, 2016. MUFG Americas Holdings and MUFG Union Bank would satisfy the minimum capital requirements including the capital conservation buffer on a fully phased-in basis if those requirements were effective as of December 31, 2019.

The figures on the table below are calculated according to U.S. Basel III as of December 31, 2018 and 2019. MUFG Americas Holdings's actual capital amounts and ratios are presented as follows:

	Actual		Minimum capital ratios required ⁽¹⁾	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
(in millions, except percentages)				
MUAH:				
At December 31, 2018:				
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets)	\$14,904	14.60%	\$10,081	9.875%
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)	14,256	13.96	8,039	7.875
Tier 1 capital (to quarterly average assets) ⁽²⁾	14,256	8.77	6,502	4.000
Common Equity Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)	14,256	13.96	6,508	6.375
At December 31, 2019:				
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets)	\$15,769	14.73%	\$11,237	10.500%
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)	15,086	14.10	9,097	8.500
Tier 1 capital (to quarterly average assets) ⁽²⁾	15,086	8.88	6,792	4.000
Common Equity Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)	15,086	14.10	7,492	7.000

Notes:

(1) The minimum capital requirement includes a capital conservation buffer of 1.875% at December 31, 2018 and 2.5% at December 31, 2019.

(2) Excludes certain deductions.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

The figures on the table below are calculated according to U.S. Basel III as of December 31, 2018 and 2019. MUFG Union Bank's actual capital amounts and ratios are presented as follows:

	Actual		Minimum capital ratios required ⁽¹⁾		Ratios OCC requires to be "well capitalized"	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
(in millions, except percentages)						
BK(US):						
At December 31, 2018:						
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets)	\$13,905	15.09%	\$ 9,102	9.875%	\$9,217	10.00%
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)	13,316	14.45	7,258	7.875	7,374	8.00
Tier 1 capital (to quarterly average assets) ⁽²⁾ . .	13,316	10.61	5,018	4.000	6,273	5.00
Common Equity Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)	13,316	14.45	5,876	6.375	5,991	6.50
At December 31, 2019:						
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets)	\$14,746	15.11%	\$10,244	10.500%	\$9,756	10.00%
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)	14,115	14.47	8,293	8.500	7,805	8.00
Tier 1 capital (to quarterly average assets) ⁽²⁾ . .	14,115	10.65	5,304	4.000	6,629	5.00
Common Equity Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)	14,115	14.47	6,829	7.000	6,342	6.50

Notes:

(1) Beginning January 1, 2018 and 2019, the minimum capital requirement includes a capital conservation buffer of 1.875% and 2.5%, respectively.

(2) Excludes certain deductions.

Management believes, as of December 31, 2019, that MUFG Americas Holdings and MUFG Union Bank met all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject.

As of December 31, 2018 and 2019, the notification from the OCC categorized MUFG Union Bank as "well capitalized" under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as "well capitalized," MUFG Union Bank must maintain a minimum total risk-based capital ratio of 10% as of December 31, 2018 and 2019, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 8% as of December 31, 2018 and 2019, a Tier 1 capital to quarterly average assets of 5% as of December 31, 2018 and 2019, and Common Equity Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 6.5% as of December 31, 2018 and 2019, as set forth in the table. There are no conditions or events since that notification that management believes have changed MUFG Union Bank's category.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

22. EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE APPLICABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS OF MUFG

Reconciliations of net income and weighted average number of common shares outstanding used for the computation of basic EPS to the adjusted amounts for the computation of diluted EPS for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020 are as follows:

	2018	2019	2020
		(in millions)	
Income (Numerator):			
Net income attributable to Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group	¥ 1,228,160	¥ 718,645	¥ 305,955
Effect of dilutive instruments:			
Stock acquisition rights and restricted stock units—Morgan Stanley	(3,826)	(3,745)	(2,861)
Earnings applicable to common shareholders of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group and assumed conversions	¥ 1,224,334	¥ 714,900	¥ 303,094
	2018	2019	2020
		(thousands of shares)	
Shares (Denominator):			
Weighted average common shares outstanding	13,291,842	13,058,698	12,912,790
Effect of dilutive instruments:			
Stock acquisition rights and the common shares of MUFG under the Board Incentive Plan ⁽¹⁾	1,650	484	166
Weighted average common shares for diluted computation	13,293,492	13,059,182	12,912,956
	2018	2019	2020
		(in yen)	
Earnings per common share applicable to common shareholders of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group:			
Basic earnings per common share:			
Earnings applicable to common shareholders of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group	¥ 92.40	¥ 55.03	¥ 23.69
Diluted earnings per common share:			
Earnings applicable to common shareholders of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group ⁽¹⁾	¥ 92.10	¥ 54.74	¥ 23.47

Note:

(1) For the fiscal years ended March 31, 2019 and 2020, the performance-based plan under the Board Incentive Plan could potentially dilute earnings per common share but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per common share due to their antidilutive effects.

23. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The MUFG Group uses various derivative financial instruments both for trading purposes and for purposes other than trading (primarily risk management purposes) in the normal course of business to meet the financial needs of its customers, as a source of revenue and to manage its exposures to a variety of risks.

Market risk is the possibility that future changes in market indices make the financial instruments less valuable. The MUFG Group is a party to derivative financial instruments, including swaps, forwards, options and

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

other types of derivatives, dealing primarily with market risk associated with interest rates, foreign currencies, equity and commodity prices, and credit risk associated with counterparty's nonperformance of transactions.

Credit risk is the possibility that a loss may result from a counterparty's failure to perform according to the terms and conditions of the contract, which may exceed the value of underlying collateral. To reduce credit risk, the MUFG Group may require collateral or guarantees based on a case-by-case assessment of creditworthiness of each customer and evaluation of the instrument. The MUFG Group also uses master netting agreements in order to mitigate overall counterparty credit risk.

Trading Activities

The MUFG Group's trading activities include dealing and customer accommodation activities. As part of its trading activities, the MUFG Group offers a variety of derivative financial instruments for managing interest rate and foreign exchange risk to its domestic and foreign corporate and financial institution customers. The MUFG Group also enters into other types of derivative transactions, including equity and credit-related contracts, for its own account.

Risk Management Activities

As part of the MUFG Group's risk management activities, asset and liability management is viewed as one of the methods for the MUFG Group to manage its interest rate exposures on interest-bearing assets and liabilities. The MUFG Group uses certain derivative financial instruments in order to minimize significant unplanned fluctuations in earnings that are caused by interest rate volatility. For example, an increase or a decrease in interest income and interest expense on hedged variable rate assets and liabilities as a result of interest rate fluctuations are expected to be substantially offset by the variability in earnings by gains and losses on the derivative instruments that are linked to these hedged assets and liabilities.

The MUFG Group enters into interest rate swaps and other contracts primarily to manage the interest rate risk of its loans, investment securities and deposit liabilities. Interest rate contracts, which are generally non-leveraged generic interest rate and basis swaps, options and futures, allow the MUFG Group to effectively manage its interest rate risk position. Option contracts primarily consist of caps, floors, swaptions and options on index futures. Futures contracts used for asset and liability management activities are primarily index futures providing for cash payments based upon the movement of an underlying rate index.

The MUFG Group enters into forward exchange contracts, currency swaps and other contracts in response to currency exposures resulting from on-balance sheet assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies in order to limit the net foreign exchange position by currency to an appropriate level.

Derivatives Designated as Hedges

The MUFG Group adopts hedging strategies and applies hedge accounting to certain derivative transactions entered mainly by MUFG Americas Holdings whose fiscal period ends on December 31.

Cash Flow Hedges

From time to time, MUFG Americas Holdings uses interest rate derivatives to hedge the risk of changes in cash flows attributable to changes in the designated interest rate on LIBOR indexed loans, and to a lesser extent, to hedge interest rate risk on rollover debt.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

MUFG Americas Holdings used interest rate derivatives with an aggregate notional amount of ¥1,457.1 billion at December 31, 2019 to hedge the risk of changes in cash flows attributable to changes in the designated interest rates from variable rate loans. MUFG Americas Holdings used interest rate derivatives with an aggregate notional amount of ¥7.6 billion at December 31, 2019 to hedge the risk of changes in cash flows attributable to changes in the designated interest rate on LIBOR indexed short-term borrowings. At December 31, 2019, the weighted average remaining life of the active cash flow hedges was 3.1 years.

For cash flow hedges, changes in the fair value of the hedging instruments are reported as a component of other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged cash flows are recognized in net interest income. At December 31, 2019, MUFG Americas Holdings expects to reclassify approximately ¥7.3 billion of losses from Accumulated OCI as a reduction to net interest income during the twelve months ending December 31, 2020. This amount could differ from amounts actually realized due to changes in interest rates, hedge terminations and the addition of other hedges subsequent to December 31, 2019.

Fair Value Hedges

MUFG Americas Holdings engaged in an interest rate hedging strategy in which one or more interest rate derivatives were associated with a specified interest bearing liability, in order to convert the liability from a fixed rate to a floating rate instrument. This strategy mitigated the changes in fair value of the hedged liability caused by changes in the designated interest rate, LIBOR.

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018, MUFG Americas Holdings recorded losses on the hedging instruments and gains on the hedged liability, both of which were less than ¥1 billion. For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2019, MUFG Americas Holdings did not have any fair value hedges.

Notional Amounts of Derivative Contracts

The following table summarizes the notional amounts of derivative contracts at March 31, 2019 and 2020:

	Notional amounts ⁽¹⁾	
	2019	2020
	(in trillions)	
Interest rate contracts	¥1,409.6	¥1,553.5
Foreign exchange contracts	230.5	230.2
Equity contracts	6.4	8.1
Commodity contracts	0.2	0.1
Credit derivatives	7.2	8.7
Other	3.0	3.1
Total	¥1,656.9	¥1,803.7

Note:

(1) Includes both written and purchased positions.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Impact of Derivatives on the Consolidated Balance Sheets

The following table summarizes fair value information on derivative instruments that are recorded on the MUFG Group's consolidated balance sheets at March 31, 2019 and 2020:

	Fair value of derivative instruments					
	2019 ⁽¹⁾⁽⁵⁾			2020 ⁽¹⁾⁽⁵⁾		
	Not designated as hedges ⁽²⁾	Designated as hedges ⁽³⁾	Total derivatives ⁽⁴⁾	Not designated as hedges ⁽²⁾	Designated as hedges ⁽³⁾	Total derivatives ⁽⁴⁾
	(in billions)					
Derivative assets:						
Interest rate contracts	¥10,108	¥ 1	¥10,109	¥10,847	¥ —	¥10,847
Foreign exchange contracts	2,795	—	2,795	3,734	—	3,734
Equity contracts	188	—	188	236	—	236
Commodity contracts	27	—	27	26	—	26
Credit derivatives	84	—	84	114	—	114
Other	2	—	2	1	—	1
Total derivative assets	<u>¥13,204</u>	<u>¥ 1</u>	<u>¥13,205</u>	<u>¥14,958</u>	<u>¥ —</u>	<u>¥14,958</u>
Derivative liabilities:						
Interest rate contracts	¥ 9,896	¥ —	¥ 9,896	¥10,205	¥ —	¥10,205
Foreign exchange contracts	2,671	—	2,671	3,604	4	3,608
Equity contracts	183	—	183	277	—	277
Commodity contracts	27	—	27	26	—	26
Credit derivatives	69	—	69	95	—	95
Other ⁽⁶⁾	(136)	—	(136)	(177)	—	(177)
Total derivative liabilities	<u>¥12,710</u>	<u>¥ —</u>	<u>¥12,710</u>	<u>¥14,030</u>	<u>¥ 4</u>	<u>¥14,034</u>

Notes:

- (1) The fair value of derivative instruments is presented on a gross basis even when derivative instruments are subject to master netting agreements. Cash collateral payable and receivable associated with derivative instruments are not added to or netted against the fair value amounts.
- (2) The derivative instruments which are not designated as a hedging instrument are held for trading and risk management purposes, and are presented in Trading account assets/liabilities except for (6).
- (3) The MUFG Group adopts hedging strategies and applies hedge accounting to certain derivative transactions entered into by certain subsidiaries. The derivative instruments which are designated as hedging instruments are presented in Other assets or Other liabilities on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.
- (4) This table does not include contracts with embedded derivatives for which the fair value option has been elected.
- (5) For more information about fair value measurement and assumptions used to measure the fair value of derivatives, see Note 31.
- (6) Other mainly includes bifurcated embedded derivatives carried at fair value, which are presented in Deposits and Long-term debt.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Impact of Derivatives on the Consolidated Statements of Income

The following table provides more detailed information regarding the derivative-related impact on the accompanying consolidated statements of income for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020:

Gains and losses for trading and risk management derivatives (not designated as hedging instruments)

Trading and risk management derivatives gains and losses (Not designated as hedging instruments)									
	2018			2019			2020		
	Foreign exchange gains (losses) —net	Trading account profits (losses) —net	Total	Foreign exchange gains (losses) —net	Trading account profits (losses) —net	Total	Foreign exchange gains (losses) —net	Trading account profits (losses) —net	Total
(in billions)									
Interest rate contracts	¥ —	¥ 51	¥ 51	¥ —	¥ 6	¥ 6	¥ —	¥(173)	¥(173)
Foreign exchange contracts	(163)	—	(163)	(347)	—	(347)	(429)	—	(429)
Equity contracts	—	(260)	(260)	—	80	80	—	30	30
Commodity contracts	—	6	6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Credit derivatives	—	(2)	(2)	—	(40)	(40)	—	15	15
Other	3	(22)	(19)	(7)	(70)	(77)	(5)	(31)	(36)
Total	<u>¥(160)</u>	<u>¥(227)</u>	<u>¥(387)</u>	<u>¥(354)</u>	<u>¥(24)</u>	<u>¥(378)</u>	<u>¥(434)</u>	<u>¥(159)</u>	<u>¥(593)</u>

Credit Derivatives

The MUFG Group enters into credit derivatives to manage its credit risk exposure, to facilitate client transactions, and for proprietary trading purposes, under which they provide the counterparty protection against the risk of default on a set of debt obligations issued by a specified reference entity or entities. Types of such credit derivatives primarily include single name credit default swaps, index and basket credit default swaps. The MUFG Group will have to perform under a credit derivative if a credit event as defined under the contract occurs. Such credit events include bankruptcy, dissolution or insolvency of the referenced entity, default and restructuring of the obligations of the referenced entity. The MUFG Group's counterparties are banks, broker-dealers, insurance and other financial institutions. The contractual or notional amounts of these credit derivatives represent the maximum potential amounts of future payments without consideration of possible recoveries under recourse provisions or from collateral held or pledged.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

The table below summarizes certain information regarding protection sold through credit derivatives as of March 31, 2019 and 2020:

	Protection sold				
	Maximum potential/Notional amount by expiration period				Fair value
	1 year or less	1-5 years	Over 5 years (in millions)	Total	(Asset)/ Liability ⁽¹⁾
At March 31, 2019:					
Single name credit default swaps:					
Investment grade ⁽²⁾	¥378,527	¥1,603,962	¥145,689	¥2,128,178	¥(30,303)
Non-investment grade	112,901	238,330	5,672	356,903	(127)
Not rated	—	5,097	—	5,097	(47)
Total	491,428	1,847,389	151,361	2,490,178	(30,477)
Index and basket credit default swaps held by BK:					
Investment grade ⁽²⁾	—	120,854	—	120,854	(82)
Non-investment grade	—	101,001	—	101,001	(2,010)
Total	—	221,855	—	221,855	(2,092)
Index and basket credit default swaps held by SCHD:					
Investment grade ⁽²⁾	13,000	194,618	8,000	215,618	(3,853)
Non-investment grade	—	—	—	—	—
Not rated	8,863	206,832	3,444	219,139	(3,043)
Total	21,863	401,450	11,444	434,757	(6,896)
Total index and basket credit default swaps sold	21,863	623,305	11,444	656,612	(8,988)
Total credit default swaps sold	513,291	2,470,694	162,805	3,146,790	(39,465)
Other credit derivatives sold ⁽³⁾					
Investment grade	77,693	—	—	77,693	(620)
Total credit derivatives	¥590,984	¥2,470,694	¥162,805	¥3,224,483	¥(40,085)

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

	Protection sold				
	Maximum potential/Notional amount by expiration period				Fair value
	1 year or less	1-5 years	Over 5 years	Total	(Asset)/ Liability ⁽¹⁾
At March 31, 2020:	(in millions)				
Single name credit default swaps:					
Investment grade ⁽²⁾	¥303,870	¥2,125,006	¥494,040	¥2,922,916	¥(63,855)
Non-investment grade	93,671	279,892	49,681	423,244	3,358
Not rated	2,453	6,826	—	9,279	(18)
Total	399,994	2,411,724	543,721	3,355,439	(60,515)
Index and basket credit default swaps held by BK:					
Investment grade ⁽²⁾	—	28,296	—	28,296	(3)
Non-investment grade	—	99,035	—	99,035	(1,195)
Total	—	127,331	—	127,331	(1,198)
Index and basket credit default swaps held by SCHD:					
Investment grade ⁽²⁾	20,000	182,306	14,950	217,256	(1,820)
Non-investment grade	—	7,595	—	7,595	(165)
Not rated	28,296	190,398	5,316	224,010	(5,205)
Total	48,296	380,299	20,266	448,861	(7,190)
Total index and basket credit default swaps sold	48,296	507,630	20,266	576,192	(8,388)
Total credit default swaps sold	448,290	2,919,354	563,987	3,931,631	(68,903)
Other credit derivatives sold					
Investment grade	—	—	—	—	—
Total credit derivatives	¥448,290	¥2,919,354	¥563,987	¥3,931,631	¥(68,903)

Notes:

- (1) Fair value amounts are shown on a gross basis prior to cash collateral or counterparty netting.
- (2) The MUFG Group considers ratings of Baa3/BBB- or higher to meet the definition of investment grade.
- (3) Other credit derivatives primarily consist of total return swaps.

Single name credit default swaps—Single name credit default swap protects the buyer against the loss of principal on a bond or loan in case of a default by the issuer. The protection buyer pays a premium to the MUFG Group and is protected for the period of the credit default swap. As the seller of protection, the MUFG Group in turn will have to perform under a credit default swap if a credit event as defined under the contracts occurs. In order to provide an indication of the current payment/performance risk of the credit default swaps, the external credit ratings, primarily those provided by Moody's and Standard & Poor's ("S&P"), of the underlying reference entity of the credit default swaps are disclosed.

Index and basket credit default swaps—Index and basket credit default swaps are credit default swaps that reference multiple names through underlying baskets or portfolios of single name credit default swaps. Typically, in the event of a default on one of the underlying names, the MUFG Group, as the seller of protection, will have to pay a pro-rata portion of the total notional amount of the credit default index or basket contract. In order to provide an indication of the current payment/performance risk of these credit default swaps, MUFG Bank and Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings rating scale based upon the entity's internal ratings, which generally correspond to ratings defined by primarily Moody's and S&P, of the underlying reference entities comprising the basket or index were calculated and disclosed.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

The MUFG Group may economically hedge its exposure to credit derivatives by entering into offsetting derivative contracts. The carrying value and notional amounts of credit protection sold in which the MUFG Group held purchased protection with identical underlying referenced entities were approximately ¥35 billion and ¥2,687 billion, respectively, at March 31, 2019, and approximately ¥65 billion and ¥3,544 billion, respectively, at March 31, 2020.

Collateral is held by the MUFG Group in relation to these instruments. Collateral requirements are determined at the counterparty level and cover numerous transactions and products as opposed to individual contracts.

Credit Risk, Liquidity Risk and Credit-risk-related Contingent Features

Certain derivative instruments held by the MUFG Group contain provisions that require the MUFG Group's debt to maintain an investment grade credit rating from each of the major credit rating agencies. If the MUFG Group's debt were to fall below investment grade, it would be in violation of these provisions, and the counterparties to the derivative instruments could request payments on early termination or demand immediate and ongoing full overnight collateralization on derivative instruments in net liability positions. The aggregate fair value of all derivative instruments with credit-risk-related contingent features that were in a liability position at March 31, 2019 and 2020 was approximately ¥0.6 trillion and ¥0.6 trillion, respectively, for which the MUFG Group has posted collateral of approximately ¥85 billion and ¥152 billion, respectively, in the normal course of business. The amount of additional collateral and early termination amount which could be requested if the MUFG Group's debt falls below investment grade was ¥84 billion and ¥56 billion, respectively, as of March 31, 2019 and ¥58 billion and ¥80 billion, respectively, as of March 31, 2020.

24. OBLIGATIONS UNDER GUARANTEES AND OTHER OFF-BALANCE SHEET INSTRUMENTS

Obligations under Guarantees

The MUFG Group provides customers with a variety of guarantees and similar arrangements, including standby letters of credit, financial and performance guarantees, credit protection, liquidity facilities, other off-balance sheet credit-related support and similar instruments, in order to meet the customers' financial and business needs. The tables below present the contractual or notional amounts of such guarantees at March 31, 2019 and 2020. The contractual or notional amounts of these instruments represent the maximum potential amounts of future payments without consideration of possible recoveries under recourse provisions or from collateral held or pledged.

For certain types of derivatives, such as written interest rate options and written currency options, the maximum potential future payments are unlimited. Accordingly, it is impracticable to estimate the maximum potential amount of future payments. As such, the notional amounts of the related contracts, other than the maximum potential payments, are included in the table.

The MUFG Group mitigates its credit risk exposure resulting from guarantees by utilizing various techniques, including collateralization in the form of cash, securities, and real estate properties based on management's credit assessment of the guaranteed parties and the related credit profile. In order to manage the credit risk exposure, the MUFG Group also enters into sub-participation contracts with third parties who will fund a portion of the credit facility and bear its share of the loss to be incurred in the event that the customer fails to fulfill its obligations. The following table includes guarantees of ¥468.9 billion and ¥455.8 billion at March 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively, which are syndicated out to third parties. The contractual or notional

mitsubishi ufg financial group, inc. and subsidiaries
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

amounts summarized in the following table do not necessarily bear any direct relationship to the future actual credit exposure, primarily because of risk management techniques of the MUFG Group.

	Maximum potential/ Contractual or Notional amount	Amount by expiration period		
		1 year or less	1-5 years	Over 5 years
At March 31, 2019:		(in billions)		
Standby letters of credit and financial guarantees	¥ 3,901	¥ 2,792	¥ 838	¥ 271
Performance guarantees	3,256	2,332	784	140
Derivative instruments ⁽¹⁾	58,025	29,734	20,921	7,370
Liabilities of trust accounts	11,520	5,884	420	5,216
Other	53	12	13	28
Total	<u>¥76,755</u>	<u>¥40,754</u>	<u>¥22,976</u>	<u>¥13,025</u>
	Maximum potential/ Contractual or Notional amount	Amount by expiration period		
		1 year or less	1-5 years	Over 5 years
At March 31, 2020:		(in billions)		
Standby letters of credit and financial guarantees	¥ 4,098	¥ 3,109	¥ 763	¥ 226
Performance guarantees	3,058	2,160	738	160
Derivative instruments ⁽¹⁾	58,836	36,021	14,543	8,272
Liabilities of trust accounts	13,142	6,752	451	5,939
Other	88	8	73	7
Total	<u>¥79,222</u>	<u>¥48,050</u>	<u>¥16,568</u>	<u>¥14,604</u>

Note:

(1) Credit derivatives sold by the MUFG Group are excluded from this presentation.

Nature of Guarantee Contracts

Standby letters of credit and financial guarantees generally include an obligation of an issuer or a designated third-party to guarantee the performance of the customer to the beneficiary under the terms of contracts such as lending contracts and other similar financial transactions. The MUFG Group is required to make payments to the guaranteed parties in the event that the customers fail to fulfill the obligations under the contracts. The guarantees whose contractual maturities are over 5 years are mainly comprised of guarantees of housing loans.

Performance guarantees are contracts that contingently require the MUFG Group to make payments to the guaranteed party based on another party's failure to perform under an obligating agreement, except financial obligation. For example, performance guarantees include guarantees of completion of construction projects.

Derivative instruments that are deemed to be included within the definition of guarantees as prescribed in the guidance on guarantees include certain written options and credit default swaps. In order for the MUFG Group to determine if those derivative instruments meet the definition of guarantees, as prescribed in the guidance on guarantees, the MUFG Group has to track whether the counterparties are actually exposed to losses that will result from the adverse change in the underlyings. Accordingly, the MUFG Group has disclosed information on all credit default swaps and certain written options for which there is a possibility of meeting the definition of guarantees as prescribed in the guidance on guarantees, regardless of whether the counterparties

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

have assets or liabilities related to the underlyings of the derivatives. However, credit derivatives sold by the MUFG Group at March 31, 2019 and 2020 are excluded from this presentation, as they are disclosed in Note 23.

Liabilities of trust accounts represent the trustee's potential responsibility for temporary payments to creditors of liabilities of trust accounts making use of funds of the MUFG Group, unless there are certain agreements with trust creditors that have provisions limiting the MUFG Group's exposure as a trustee to the trust account assets. A trust may incur external liabilities to obtain certain services during the terms of the trust arrangement. While in principle, any liabilities of a trust are payable by the trust account and its beneficiaries. A trustee's responsibility may be interpreted to encompass temporary payments for the trust account liabilities when the trust account does not maintain sufficient liquidity available for such liabilities unless the agreement with trust creditors limits the trustee's exposure to the trust account assets. At March 31, 2019 and 2020, there were liabilities of ¥11,520 billion and ¥13,142 billion, respectively, in the segregated records of trust accounts including the amounts related to liabilities with provisions limiting trustee responsibility. Liabilities of trust accounts principally includes obligations to return collateral under security lending transactions. The MUFG Group has experienced no significant losses on such responsibilities and its exposure to the risk associated with the temporary payments is judged to be remote because trust account liabilities are generally covered by the corresponding trust account assets. The MUFG Group continuously monitors the liabilities of trust accounts and assesses the trust account's ability to perform its obligations to prevent any unfavorable outcomes; the MUFG Group claims its recourse for its temporary payments against the trust account assets and the beneficiaries.

Carrying Amount

At March 31, 2019 and 2020, the carrying amounts of the liabilities related to guarantees and similar instruments set forth above were ¥1,051,297 million and ¥1,130,522 million, respectively, which are included in Other liabilities and Trading account liabilities. The guarantees and similar instruments comprising the largest components of the total were options sold in the amount of ¥1,005,951 million and ¥1,090,921 million as of March 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively. Credit derivatives sold by the MUFG Group at March 31, 2019 and 2020 are excluded from this presentation, as they are disclosed in Note 23. In addition, Other liabilities include an allowance for off-balance sheet instruments of ¥96,946 million and ¥30,911 million at March 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively, related to these transactions.

Performance Risk

The MUFG Group monitors performance risk of its guarantees using the same credit rating system utilized for estimating probabilities of default with its loan portfolio. The MUFG Group's credit rating system is consistent with both the method of evaluating credit risk under Basel III and those of third-party credit rating agencies. On certain underlying referenced credits or entities, ratings are not available. Such referenced credits are included in the "Not rated" category in the following tables.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Presented in the tables below is the maximum potential amount of future payments classified based upon internal credit ratings as of March 31, 2019 and 2020. The determination of the maximum potential future payments is based on the notional amount of the guarantees without consideration of possible recoveries under recourse provisions or from collateral held or pledged. Such amounts do not represent the anticipated losses, if any, on these guarantees.

	Maximum potential/ Contractual or Notional amount	Amount by borrower grade			
		Normal	Close Watch ⁽¹⁾	Likely to become Bankrupt or Legally/ Virtually Bankrupt ⁽²⁾	Not rated
At March 31, 2019:			(in billions)		
Standby letters of credit and financial guarantees	¥3,901	¥3,779	¥ 98	¥21	¥ 3
Performance guarantees	3,256	3,070	79	78	29
Total	<u>¥7,157</u>	<u>¥6,849</u>	<u>¥177</u>	<u>¥99</u>	<u>¥32</u>

	Maximum potential/ Contractual or Notional amount	Amount by borrower grade			
		Normal	Close Watch ⁽¹⁾	Likely to become Bankrupt or Legally/ Virtually Bankrupt ⁽²⁾	Not rated
At March 31, 2020:			(in billions)		
Standby letters of credit and financial guarantees	¥4,098	¥3,984	¥ 93	¥17	¥ 4
Performance guarantees	3,058	2,904	96	36	22
Total	<u>¥7,156</u>	<u>¥6,888</u>	<u>¥189</u>	<u>¥53</u>	<u>¥26</u>

Notes:

- (1) Borrowers classified as Close Watch represent those that require close monitoring as the borrower has begun to exhibit elements of potential concern with respect to its business performance and financial condition, the borrower has begun to exhibit elements of serious concern with respect to its business performance and financial condition, including business problems requiring long-term solutions, or the borrower's loans are TDRs or loans contractually past due 90 days or more for special reasons.
- (2) Borrowers classified as Likely to become Bankrupt or Legally/Virtually Bankrupt represent those that have a higher probability of default than those categorized as Close Watch due to serious debt repayment problems with poor progress in achieving restructuring plans, the borrower being considered virtually bankrupt with no prospects for an improvement in business operations, or the borrower being legally bankrupt with no prospects for continued business operations because of non-payment, suspension of business, voluntary liquidation or filing for legal liquidation.

The guarantees the MUFG Group does not classify based upon internal credit ratings are as follows.

The MUFG Group records all derivative contracts at fair value. Aggregate market risk limits have been established, and market risk measures are routinely monitored against these limits. The MUFG Group also manages its exposure to these derivative contracts through a variety of risk mitigation strategies, including, but not limited to, offsetting economic hedge positions. The MUFG Group expects the risk of loss to be remote and believes that the notional amounts of the derivative contracts generally exceed its exposure.

Liabilities of trust accounts represent the trustee's potential responsibility for temporary payments to creditors of liabilities of trust accounts using funds of the MUFG Group. The MUFG Group has experienced no significant losses on such responsibilities and its exposure to the risk associated with the temporary payments is judged to be remote because trust account liabilities are generally covered by the corresponding trust account assets.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Other Off-balance Sheet Instruments

In addition to obligations under guarantees and similar arrangements set forth above, the MUFG Group issues other off-balance sheet instruments to meet the financial needs of its customers and for purposes other than trading. Such off-balance sheet instruments consist of lending-related commitments, including commitments to extend credit and commercial letters of credit that the MUFG Group provides to meet the financing needs of its customers. Once the MUFG Group issues these off-balance sheet instruments, the MUFG Group is required to extend credit to or make certain payments to the customers or beneficiaries specified pursuant to the underlying contracts unless otherwise provided in the contracts. Since many of these commitments expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. At March 31, 2020, approximately 67% of these commitments will expire within one year, 30% from one year to five years and 3% after five years. The table below presents the contractual amounts with regard to such instruments at March 31, 2019 and 2020:

	2019	2020
	(in billions)	
Commitments to extend credit	¥77,273	¥76,398
Commercial letters of credit	1,057	757
Commitments to make investments	240	247
Other	5	5

Commitments to extend credit, which generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses, are binding agreements to lend to customers. Commitments are different from guarantees in that the commitments are generally revocable or have provisions that enable the MUFG Group to avoid payments in the event of violations of any conditions of the contracts and certain deterioration of the potential borrowers' financial condition.

Commercial letters of credit, generally used for trade transactions, are typically secured by the underlying goods. The MUFG Group continually monitors the type and amount of collateral and other securities, and requires counterparties to provide additional collateral or guarantors as necessary.

Commitments to make investments are legally binding contracts to make additional contributions to corporate recovery or private equity investment funds in accordance with limited partnership agreements. Some of these funds, in which the MUFG Group has significant variable interests, are described in Note 25.

25. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

In the normal course of business, the MUFG Group has financial interests and other contractual obligations in various entities which may be deemed to be VIEs such as asset-backed conduits, various investment funds, special purpose entities created for structured financing, repackaged instruments, entities created for the securitization of the MUFG Group's assets and trust arrangements.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

The following tables present the assets and liabilities of consolidated VIEs recorded on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets at March 31, 2019 and 2020:

Consolidated VIEs	Consolidated assets						
	Total	Cash and due from banks	Interest-earning deposits in other banks	Trading account assets	Investment securities	Loans	All other assets
At March 31, 2019:				(in millions)			
Asset-backed conduits	¥ 6,698,146	¥ 92,310	¥ 26,101	¥ 3,005	¥1,424,444	¥ 5,124,462	¥ 27,824
Investment funds	680,922	—	14,113	477,239	18,118	—	171,452
Special purpose entities created for structured financing	203,458	—	2,214	—	—	127,243	74,001
Repackaged instruments . . .	279,327	506	—	53,346	137,509	86,753	1,213
Securitization of the MUFG Group's assets	10,208,496	—	—	—	—	10,183,624	24,872
Trust arrangements	7,888,210	—	8,953	202	311,412	7,565,862	1,781
Other	34,303	362	1,635	—	42	9,699	22,565
Total consolidated assets before elimination	25,992,862	93,178	53,016	533,792	1,891,525	23,097,643	323,708
The amounts eliminated in consolidation	(7,772,776)	(93,171)	(29,361)	(5,102)	(63,331)	(7,552,315)	(29,496)
Total consolidated assets	¥18,220,086	¥ 7	¥ 23,655	¥528,690	¥1,828,194	¥15,545,328	¥294,212

	Consolidated liabilities				
	Total	Deposits	Other short-term borrowings	Long-term debt	All other liabilities
			(in millions)		
Asset-backed conduits	¥ 6,691,362	¥ —	¥ 4,678,588	¥ 1,552,572	¥ 460,202
Investment funds	6,852	—	—	5,158	1,694
Special purpose entities created for structured financing	114,469	—	609	111,523	2,337
Repackaged instruments	277,179	—	48,014	174,215	54,950
Securitization of the MUFG Group's assets	10,167,632	—	—	10,162,231	5,401
Trust arrangements	7,881,332	7,616,575	—	—	264,757
Other	32,584	—	8,611	1,474	22,499
Total consolidated liabilities before elimination	25,171,410	7,616,575	4,735,822	12,007,173	811,840
The amounts eliminated in consolidation	(14,676,389)	(50,396)	(2,996,041)	(11,517,019)	(112,933)
The amount of liabilities with recourse to the general credit of the MUFG Group	(9,922,307)	(7,566,179)	(1,719,246)	(121)	(636,761)
Liabilities of consolidated VIEs for which creditors or beneficial interest holders do not have recourse to the general credit of the MUFG Group	¥ 572,714	¥ —	¥ 20,535	¥ 490,033	¥ 62,146

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Consolidated VIEs	Consolidated assets						
	Total	Cash and due from banks	Interest-earning deposits in other banks	Trading account assets	Investment securities	Loans	All other assets
At March 31, 2020:				(in millions)			
Asset-backed conduits	¥ 6,263,082	¥ 102,781	¥ 31,625	¥ 12,989	¥1,195,283	¥ 4,913,939	¥ 6,465
Investment funds	493,757	—	16,482	314,074	34,121	—	129,080
Special purpose entities created for structured financing	204,047	—	2,658	—	—	119,348	82,041
Repackaged instruments . .	249,529	1,330	—	42,052	118,776	86,859	512
Securitization of the MUFG Group's assets	10,956,261	—	—	—	—	10,933,580	22,681
Trust arrangements	7,527,539	—	654	336,546	745,846	6,441,864	2,629
Other	31,295	350	2,055	—	—	6,885	22,005
Total consolidated assets before elimination	25,725,510	104,461	53,474	705,661	2,094,026	22,502,475	265,413
The amounts eliminated in consolidation	(6,877,849)	(103,615)	(23,427)	(10,592)	(289,567)	(6,429,880)	(20,768)
Total consolidated assets	<u>¥18,847,661</u>	<u>¥ 846</u>	<u>¥ 30,047</u>	<u>¥695,069</u>	<u>¥1,804,459</u>	<u>¥16,072,595</u>	<u>¥244,645</u>
Consolidated liabilities							
	Total	Deposits	Other short-term borrowings	Long-term debt	All other liabilities		
			(in millions)				
Asset-backed conduits	¥ 6,253,318	¥ —	¥ 4,327,685	¥ 1,478,921	¥ 446,712		
Investment funds	15,817	—	—	5,546	10,271		
Special purpose entities created for structured financing	114,357	—	—	112,474	1,883		
Repackaged instruments	251,056	—	65,965	163,833	21,258		
Securitization of the MUFG Group's assets	10,924,830	—	16,008	10,077,839	830,983		
Trust arrangements	7,522,190	6,743,261	—	—	778,929		
Other	27,302	—	5,989	1,321	19,992		
Total consolidated liabilities before elimination	25,108,870	6,743,261	4,415,647	11,839,934	2,110,028		
The amounts eliminated in consolidation	(15,045,398)	(703)	(2,526,893)	(11,374,581)	(1,143,221)		
The amount of liabilities with recourse to the general credit of the MUFG Group	(9,465,320)	(6,742,558)	(1,857,923)	(1)	(864,838)		
Liabilities of consolidated VIEs for which creditors or beneficial interest holders do not have recourse to the general credit of the MUFG Group	<u>¥ 598,152</u>	<u>¥ —</u>	<u>¥ 30,831</u>	<u>¥ 465,352</u>	<u>¥ 101,969</u>		

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

In general, the creditors or beneficial interest holders of consolidated VIEs have recourse not only to the assets of those VIEs of which they are creditors or beneficial interest holders, but also to other assets of the MUFG Group, since the MUFG Group is also contractually required to provide credit enhancement or program-wide liquidity to these VIEs.

The following tables present the total assets of non-consolidated VIEs, the maximum exposure to loss resulting from the MUFG Group's involvement with non-consolidated VIEs and the assets and liabilities which relate to the MUFG's variable interests in non-consolidated VIEs at March 31, 2019 and 2020:

Non-consolidated VIEs			On-balance sheet assets					On-balance sheet liabilities	
At March 31, 2019:	Total assets	Maximum exposure	Total	Trading account assets	Investment securities	Loans	All other assets	Total	All other liabilities
(in millions)									
Asset-backed conduits	¥ 29,621,609	¥ 6,221,274	¥ 4,982,357	¥ 659	¥1,704,553	¥3,277,145	¥ —	¥ —	—
Investment funds	67,750,419	1,952,676	1,753,823	187,166	1,253,705	304,310	8,642	206	206
Special purpose entities created for structured financing	42,676,571	3,972,450	3,015,593	252,597	48,895	2,709,008	5,093	9,827	9,827
Repackaged instruments	12,885,367	3,477,545	3,383,161	690,305	2,169,798	478,252	44,806	6,087	6,087
Other	60,074,743	3,482,153	2,454,807	123,595	65,451	2,145,665	120,096	57,567	57,567
Total	¥213,008,709	¥19,106,098	¥15,589,741	¥1,254,322	¥5,242,402	¥8,914,380	¥178,637	¥ 73,687	¥ 73,687

Non-consolidated VIEs			On-balance sheet assets					On-balance sheet liabilities	
At March 31, 2020:	Total assets	Maximum exposure	Total	Trading account assets	Investment securities	Loans	All other assets	Total	All other liabilities
(in millions)									
Asset-backed conduits	¥ 27,700,099	¥ 5,836,895	¥ 4,797,551	¥ 190	¥1,737,979	¥3,059,382	¥ —	¥ —	—
Investment funds	55,644,434	1,918,403	1,712,037	437,858	525,022	724,253	24,904	356,679	356,679
Special purpose entities created for structured financing	37,026,192	3,601,834	2,764,156	392,588	35,774	2,317,128	18,666	6,058	6,058
Repackaged instruments	8,215,327	3,030,263	2,931,617	394,478	2,123,058	361,184	52,897	—	—
Other	54,962,702	2,940,349	2,314,142	191,646	—	1,995,985	126,511	41,693	41,693
Total	¥183,548,754	¥17,327,744	¥14,519,503	¥1,416,760	¥4,421,833	¥8,457,932	¥222,978	¥404,430	¥404,430

Maximum exposure to loss on each type of entity is determined based on the carrying amount of any on-balance sheet assets and any off-balance sheet liabilities held, net of any recourse liabilities. Therefore, the maximum exposure to loss represents the maximum loss the MUFG Group could possibly incur at each balance sheet date and does not reflect the likelihood of such a loss being incurred. The difference between the amount of

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

on-balance sheet assets and the maximum exposure to loss primarily comprises the remaining undrawn commitments.

Asset-Backed Conduits

This category primarily comprises the following:

Multi-Seller Conduits (MUFG-sponsored Asset-Backed Commercial Paper (“ABCP”) Conduits and Other ABCP Conduits)

The MUFG Group administers several conduits under asset-backed financing programs under which the conduits purchase financial assets, primarily trade accounts receivable, from the MUFG Group’s customers by issuing short-term financing instruments, primarily commercial paper, to third-party investors. Under the asset-backed financing programs, the MUFG Group acts as an agent for the conduits, which enter into agreements with the MUFG Group’s customers where the customers transfer financial assets to the conduits in exchange for monetary consideration. The MUFG Group also underwrites commercial paper for the conduits that is secured by the assets held by them and provides program-wide liquidity and credit enhancement facilities to the conduits. The MUFG Group receives fees related to the services it provides to the conduits and the program-wide liquidity and credit enhancement. The MUFG Group considers itself to be the primary beneficiary of the multi-seller conduits because, as an agent and sponsor, the MUFG Group has the power to direct activities of the conduits that most significantly impact the conduits’ economic performance and also has the obligation to absorb losses of the conduits that could potentially be significant to the conduits through the program-wide liquidity and credit enhancement. Consequently, the MUFG Group consolidates the conduits.

In addition to the entities described above, the MUFG Group participates as a provider of financing to several conduits that are administered by third parties. Most of these conduits are established under a multi-seller asset-backed financing program and the MUFG Group provides financing along with other financial institutions. With respect to these conduits, the MUFG Group is not considered as the primary beneficiary because the MUFG Group’s participation in the conduits is only to provide financing along with other third-party financial institutions and it does not have the power to direct the activities of the conduits. Consequently, the MUFG Group does not consolidate the conduits.

Asset-Backed Conduits (MUFG-sponsored Asset-Backed Loan (“ABL”) Programs and Other Programs)

The MUFG Group administers several conduits under asset-backed financing programs where the MUFG Group provides financing to fund the conduits’ purchases of financial assets, comprising primarily trade accounts receivable, from its customers. The MUFG Group acts as an agent and sponsor for the conduits, which enter into agreements with the MUFG Group’s customers where the customers transfer assets to the conduits in exchange for monetary consideration. In most cases, the MUFG Group is the sole provider of financing that is secured by the assets held by the conduits. The MUFG Group considers itself to be the primary beneficiary of the conduits because, as an agent and sponsor for the conduits, the MUFG Group has the power to direct activities of the conduits, such as selection of the assets to be purchased and condition for purchases, and debt collection from the original obligors, that most significantly impact the conduits’ economic performance, and also has the obligation to absorb losses of the conduits that could potentially be significant to the conduits through financing it provides. Consequently, the MUFG Group consolidates the conduits.

In addition, the MUFG Group is involved with entities, which take in most cases the form of a trust, where originators of financial assets, which primarily comprise lease receivables, entrust the assets with trust banks and

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

receive beneficial certificates of trusts in exchange. The originators then transfer the beneficiary certificates to the MUFG Group in exchange for cash. The originators of the financial assets entrusted continue to be involved in the assets as servicers. Because the originators are deemed to have the power to direct activities of the entities that most significantly impact the entities' economic performance through their role as a servicer, the MUFG Group is not considered as the primary beneficiary of these entities. Consequently, the MUFG Group does not consolidate these entities.

The MUFG Group also participates as a provider of financing to the ABL programs that are managed by third parties. The MUFG Group is not considered as the primary beneficiary of the entities used in these programs as the MUFG Group's participation in the entities is only to provide financing along with other third parties and it does not have the power to direct the activities of the entities. Consequently, the MUFG Group does not consolidate the entities used in these programs.

Investment Funds

This category primarily comprises the following:

Corporate Recovery Funds

These entities are established by fund managers, which are unrelated to the MUFG Group, for the purpose of investing in debt or equity instruments issued by distressed companies. After investment, the fund managers work closely with the management of the entities and attempt to enhance corporate value by various means including corporate restructuring and reorganization. Their exit strategies include, among others, sales to others and initial public offerings.

Typically, these entities take the form of a limited partnership which is entirely funded by general and limited partner interests. These partnerships are considered as VIEs unless the limited partners hold substantive kick-out rights or participating rights.

The MUFG Group mostly serves as a limited partner in corporate recovery funds that are considered as VIEs, and does not have the power to direct the activities of these funds that most significantly impact the economic performance of these funds. Therefore, the MUFG Group does not consider itself to be the primary beneficiary of these funds and does not consolidate them.

Private Equity Funds

The MUFG Group is involved in venture capital funds that are established by either the MUFG Group's entities or fund managers unrelated to the MUFG Group. These entities have specific investment objectives in connection with their acquisition of equity interests, such as providing financing and other support to start-up businesses, medium and small entities in a particular geographical area, and to companies with certain technology or companies in a high-growth industry.

These entities typically take the form of a limited partnership and usually are entirely funded by general and limited partner interests. These partnerships are considered as VIEs unless the limited partners hold substantive kick-out rights or participating rights.

The MUFG Group participates in these partnerships as a general partner or limited partner. The MUFG Group consolidates these funds, which are considered as VIEs, if the MUFG Group has the power to direct the

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

activities of these funds that most significantly impact the economic performance of these funds, and also has the obligation to absorb losses of these funds that could potentially be significant to these funds or the right to receive benefits from these funds that could potentially be significant to these funds.

Investment Trusts

The MUFG Group invests in investment trusts that are professionally managed collective investment schemes which pool money from many investors and invest in, among others, equity and debt securities. Most of these funds take the form of a trust where there is a separation in investment decisions, which is assumed by an investment manager who has no investment in a trust, and ownership through beneficiary interests issued by a trust are owned by investors. Therefore, these investment trusts are considered as VIEs. The MUFG Group consolidates these funds if the MUFG Group has the power to direct the activities of these funds that most significantly impact the economic performance of these funds, and also has the obligation to absorb losses of these funds that could potentially be significant to these funds or the right to receive benefits from these funds that could potentially be significant to these funds.

Buy-out Financing Vehicles

The MUFG Group provides financing to buy-out vehicles. The buy-out vehicles are established by equity investments from, among others, private equity funds or the management of target companies for the purpose of purchasing the equity shares of target companies. Along with other financial institutions, the MUFG Group provides financing to the buy-out vehicles in the form of loans. While the buy-out vehicles' equity is normally substantive in its amount and the rights and obligations associated with it, in some cases, the vehicles have equity that is insufficient to absorb expected variability primarily because the amount provided by equity investors is nominal in nature. These vehicles engage in non-investment activities, and are considered as VIEs. In most cases, the MUFG Group's participation in these vehicles is only to provide financing to the vehicles, and the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the vehicles is held by the management of target companies. As a result, the MUFG Group is not considered as the primary beneficiary of these vehicles and does not consolidate them.

Other Investment Funds

The MUFG Group's investments in VIEs through MUFG Americas Holdings primarily consist of equity investments in low-income housing credit ("LIHC") structures, designed to generate a return primarily through the realization of federal tax credits. MUFG Americas Holdings considers itself as the primary beneficiary of certain types of LIHC investments.

LIHC Unguaranteed Syndicated Investment Funds

MUFG Americas Holdings creates the investment funds, serves as the managing investor member, and sells limited investor member interests to third parties. MUFG Americas Holdings receives benefits through income from the structuring of these funds, servicing fees for managing the funds and, as an investor member, tax benefits and tax credits to reduce the MUFG Americas Holdings tax liability. MUFG Americas Holdings considers itself to be the primary beneficiary and consolidates them upon adoption of the current guidance because, as a sponsor and managing member of the funds, it has the power to direct activities that most significantly impact the funds' economic performance and also has the obligation to absorb losses of the funds that could potentially be significant to the funds.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

LIHC Guaranteed Syndicated Investment Funds

MUFG Americas Holdings also forms limited liability companies, which in turn invest in LIHC operating partnerships, to create LIHC guaranteed syndicated investment funds. Interests in these funds are sold to third parties who pay a premium for a guaranteed return. MUFG Americas Holdings earns structuring fees from the sale of these funds and asset management fees. MUFG Americas Holdings serves as the funds' sponsor and non-member asset manager, and also guarantees a minimum rate of return throughout the investment term, therefore, it directs the activities that most significantly impact the funds' economic performance and also has an obligation to absorb losses pertaining to its minimum rate of return guarantee to investors. Therefore, the MUFG Group is considered as the primary beneficiary of these funds and consolidates them.

Special Purpose Entities Created for Structured Financing

This category primarily comprises the following:

Leasing Transaction Vehicles

These entities are established to raise funds to purchase or build equipment and machinery including, among others, commercial vessels, passenger and cargo aircraft, and production equipment for the purpose of leasing them to lessees who use the equipment and machinery as part of their business operations. These entities typically take the form of a limited partnership or a special purpose company where they fund their purchases of equipment and machinery via senior and subordinate financing. When entities take the form of a limited partnership, these entities are considered as VIEs unless limited partners hold substantive kick-out rights or participating rights. The entities considered as VIEs are typically funded only by senior financing or there is a guarantee provided to the senior financing by parties unrelated to those providing the senior financing. In most cases, the MUFG Group participates in the senior financing and does not participate in the subordinate financing or provide guarantees. Generally, because the MUFG Group's participation in these entities is only to provide financing, it does not have the power to direct the activities of the entities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the entities. Therefore, the MUFG Group does not consider itself to be the primary beneficiary of these entities and does not consolidate them, except for limited circumstances where the MUFG Group is directly involved with the structuring of the transaction and has the power to direct the activities of the entities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the entities.

Project Financing Vehicles

These entities are established to raise funds in connection with, among others, production of natural resources, construction and development of urban infrastructure (including power plants and grids, highways and ports), and the development of real estate properties or complexes. These projects typically involve special purpose companies which issue senior and subordinate financing to raise funds in connection with the various projects. The subordinate financing is usually provided by parties that will ultimately make use of the assets constructed or developed. By contrast, the senior financing is typically provided by financial institutions, including the MUFG Group. Because the MUFG Group's participation in these entities is only to provide financing, it does not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of these entities. Therefore, the MUFG Group is not considered as the primary beneficiary of these entities and does not consolidate them.

Sale-and-Leaseback Vehicles

The MUFG Group is involved with vehicles that acquire assets, primarily real estate, from the MUFG Group's customers and other unrelated parties where the sellers of the assets continue to use the assets through

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

leaseback agreements. These vehicles typically take the form of a limited partnership, and are considered as VIEs unless the limited partners hold substantive kick-out rights or participating rights. The subordinated financing of these vehicles considered as VIEs is usually provided by the sellers of the assets, with the MUFG Group providing senior financing for the vehicles. Because the MUFG Group's participation in these vehicles is only to provide senior financing, it does not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of these vehicles. Therefore, the MUFG Group is not considered as the primary beneficiary and does not consolidate them.

Securitization of Client Real Estate Properties

These entities are established for the purpose of securitizing real estate properties held by the MUFG Group's customers. In most cases, these entities take the form of a limited partnership or a special purpose company. When entities take the form of a limited partnership, these entities are considered as VIEs unless the limited partners hold substantive kick-out rights or participating rights. The entities considered as VIEs are typically funded by senior and subordinated financing where the original owners of the real estate properties provide the subordinated financing, primarily in the form of partnership interests or subordinated notes, and financial institutions, including the MUFG Group, provide senior financing in the form of senior loans. Because the MUFG Group's participation in these vehicles is only to provide a portion of senior financing, it does not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of these entities. Therefore, the MUFG Group is not considered as the primary beneficiary and does not consolidate these entities.

Repackaged Instruments

This category primarily comprises the following:

Investments in Financially-Engineered Products

The MUFG Group is involved in special purpose entities that have been established to issue financial products through the engineering and repackaging of existing financial instruments such as collateralized debt obligations ("CDOs"). These entities are considered as VIEs because the holders of the equity investment at risk do not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact their economic performance. These entities are generally arranged and managed by parties that are not related to the MUFG Group. The MUFG Group's involvement with the entities arranged and managed by third parties is for investment purposes. In these cases, the MUFG Group participates as one of many other investors and the MUFG Group typically holds investments in senior tranches or tranches with high credit ratings. Therefore, the MUFG Group does not have the power to direct activities of the entities that most significantly impact the entities' economic performance, and thus is not considered as the primary beneficiary of these entities and does not consolidate these entities.

In certain instances, special purpose entities have been established and are managed by the MUFG Group. The MUFG Group's involvement includes establishing and arranging the transaction and underwriting securities issued by the entities to general investors. For these entities, the MUFG Group has the power to direct activities that most significantly impact the economic performance and it has the obligation to absorb losses or receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the entities. As such, the MUFG Group considers itself as the primary beneficiary of these entities and consolidates them.

Investments in Securitized Financial Instruments

The MUFG Group holds investments in special purpose entities that issue securitized financial products. The assets held by the entities include credit card receivables and residential mortgage loans. These entities are

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

established and managed by parties that are unrelated to the MUFG Group and the MUFG Group's involvement with these entities is for its own investment purposes. In all cases, the MUFG Group participates as one of many other investors and the MUFG Group does not have the power to direct activities of the entities that most significantly impact the entities' economic performance. Therefore, the MUFG Group is not considered as the primary beneficiary of these entities and does not consolidate them.

Securitization of the MUFG Group's Assets

This category primarily comprises the following:

Securitization for issuing interests or financing

The MUFG Group establishes entities to securitize its own financial assets that include, among others, corporate and retail loans and lease receivables. The entities used for securitization, which typically take the form of a special purpose company or a trust, are established by the MUFG Group and, in most cases, issue senior and subordinate interests or financing. After securitization, the MUFG Group typically continues to service securitized assets as a servicer. The MUFG Group may also retain subordinate interests or financing or other interests. The MUFG Group is considered as the primary beneficiary and consolidates the entities used for securitization since it has the obligation to absorb losses through subordinate interests, and also has the power for determining and implementing policies as servicer that give it the ability to manage the entities' assets that become delinquent or are in default in order to improve the economic performance of the entities.

Eligible beneficiary interests in housing loan trusts

The MUFG Group establishes trusts, which acquire the MUFG Group's housing loans and in turn issue beneficiary interests to the MUFG Group, to pledge these beneficiary interests as collateral for borrowings from the Bank of Japan, as a result of the decision by the Bank of Japan on June 30, 2016 to accept these beneficial interests as collateral in the same way as it does for Japanese national government bonds. The MUFG Group is considered as the primary beneficiary and consolidates the trusts since it has the obligation to absorb losses through beneficiary interests, and also has the power for determining and implementing policies as servicer that give it the ability to manage housing loans owned by the trusts that become delinquent or are in default in order to improve the economic performance of the trusts.

Trust Arrangements

The MUFG Group offers, primarily through Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking, a variety of trust products and services including securities investment trusts, pension trusts and trusts used as securitization vehicles. In a typical trust arrangement, however, the MUFG Group manages and administers assets on behalf of the customers in an agency, fiduciary and trust capacity and does not assume risks associated with the entrusted assets. The trusts are generally considered as VIEs because the trust beneficiaries, who provide all of the equity at risk, usually do not have power to direct the activities that most significantly impact its economic performance in the arrangements. The MUFG Group, however, is not considered as the primary beneficiary, mainly, except for the case mentioned below, because it merely receives fees for compensation for its services on terms that are customary for these activities and the fees are insignificant relative to the total amount of the trusts' economic performance and variability. Therefore, the MUFG Group does not consolidate these entities.

With respect to the jointly operated designated money in trusts, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking pools money from investors and determines how best to invest it. In addition, certain investors, such as money reserve

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

funds and investment funds, place excess funds in the jointly operated designated money trusts. Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking typically invests in high-quality financial assets, including government bonds, corporate bonds and corporate loans including loans to Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking and receives fees as compensation for services. In this role as a sponsor of these trusts' Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking provides guarantees under which it is required to compensate a loss on the stated principal of the trust beneficial interests. Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking is considered as the primary beneficiary of these trusts' because it is exposed to a potentially significant amount of losses and also has the power to direct activities of these trusts' that most significantly impact their economic performance. Upon consolidation of the trusts, the certificates issued to the investors are accounted for as deposit liabilities as the products are structured and marketed to customers similar to Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking's term deposit products.

Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking considers the likelihood of incurring losses on the stated principal guarantee to be highly remote. In the trusts' operational history that extends over decades, the stated principal guarantee has never been called upon. The variability in fair value of the net assets of the trusts has been primarily affected by the fluctuations in interest rates, and the majority of such variability has been absorbed by investors or trust beneficiaries.

Other

This category primarily comprises the following:

Financing Vehicles of the MUFG Group's Customers

The MUFG Group is involved with several entities that are established by the MUFG Group's customers. These entities borrow funds from financial institutions and extend loans to their group entities. These entities effectively work as fund-raising vehicles for their respective group entities and enable the groups to achieve efficient financing by integrating their financing activities into a single entity. In all cases, the MUFG Group is not considered as the primary beneficiary because the MUFG Group's participation in these entities is only to provide financing, and the customers effectively hold the power to direct activities of these entities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the entities. Consequently, the MUFG Group does not consolidate these entities.

Funding Vehicles

The MUFG Group has established several wholly-owned off-shore vehicles which issue securities, typically preferred stock that is fully guaranteed by the MUFG Group, to investors unrelated to the MUFG Group to fund purchases of debt instruments issued by the MUFG Group. These entities are considered as VIEs because the MUFG Group's investment in the vehicles' equity is not considered at risk and substantive as the entire amount raised by the vehicles was used to purchase debt instruments issued by the MUFG Group. Because the MUFG Group does not have variable interests in these vehicles, the MUFG Group does not consolidate these entities.

Troubled Borrowers

During the normal course of business, the borrowers from the MUFG Group may experience financial difficulties and sometimes enter into certain transactions that require the MUFG Group to assess whether they would be considered as VIEs due to their difficult financial position. While in most cases such borrowers are not considered as VIEs when the transactions take place, in limited circumstances they are considered as VIEs due to insufficient equity investment at risk. In all cases, the MUFG Group is not considered as the primary beneficiary

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

because the power to direct activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the troubled borrowers resides with the management of the troubled borrowers, and the MUFG Group, as a lender, does not have power over or assume any role in management. Therefore, the MUFG Group does not consolidate these troubled borrowers.

26. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

Repayment of Excess Interest

The MUFG Group maintains an allowance for repayment of excess interest based on an analysis of past experience of reimbursement of excess interest, borrowers' profile, recent trend of borrowers' claims for reimbursement, and management future forecasts. Management believes that the provision for repayment of excess interest is adequate and the allowance is at the appropriate amount to absorb probable losses, so that the impact of future claims for reimbursement of excess interest will not have a material adverse effect on the MUFG Group's financial position and results of operations. The allowance for repayment of excess interest established by MUFG's consumer finance subsidiaries, which was included in Other liabilities, was ¥24,983 million and ¥29,438 million as of March 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively. Provision (reversal) related to the allowance is included in Other non-interest expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of income. For the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020, there was a negative impact of nil, ¥15,632 million and ¥7,800 million, respectively, on Equity in earnings of equity method investees—net in the accompanying consolidated statements of income.

Litigation

In the ordinary course of business, the MUFG Group is subject to various litigation and regulatory matters. In accordance with applicable accounting guidance, the MUFG Group establishes an accrued liability for loss contingencies arising from litigation and regulatory matters when they are determined to be probable in their occurrence and the probable loss amount can be reasonably estimated. Based upon current knowledge and consultation with counsel, management believes the eventual outcome of such litigation and regulatory matters, where losses are probable and the probable loss amounts can be reasonably estimated, would not have a material adverse effect on the MUFG Group's financial position, results of operations or cash flows. Additionally, management believes the amount of loss that is reasonably possible, but not probable, from various litigation and regulatory matters is not material to the MUFG Group's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)
27. FEES AND COMMISSIONS INCOME

Disaggregation of Contract Revenue

Details of fees and commissions income for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020 were as follows:

	2018	2019	2020
	¥	¥	¥
Fees and commissions on deposits	53,483	52,624	53,684
Fees and commissions on remittances and transfers	169,300	168,756	169,407
Fees and commissions on foreign trading business	78,239	73,176	66,025
Fees and commissions on credit card business	212,515	225,877	238,112
Fees and commissions on security-related services	258,728	233,448	221,494
Fees and commissions on administration and management services	159,481	147,597	184,559
Trust fees	112,399	115,002	119,919
Guarantee fees ⁽¹⁾	44,160	44,962	46,322
Insurance commissions	49,223	46,918	44,415
Fees and commissions on real estate business	40,573	45,160	49,764
Other fees and commissions ⁽²⁾	284,691	285,058	308,351
Total	¥1,462,792	¥1,438,578	¥1,502,052

Notes:

- (1) Guarantee fees are not within the scope of the guidance on revenue from contracts with customers.
(2) Other fees and commissions include non-refundable financing related fees that are not within the scope of the guidance on revenue from contracts with customers.

The following is an explanation of the relationship with revenue information disclosed for each reportable segment.

These revenues from contracts with customers are related to various reportable segments disclosed in Note 29. The business segment information is derived from the internal management reporting system used by management to measure the performance of the MUFG Group's business segments. In addition, the business segment information is primarily based on the financial information prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in Japan as adjusted in accordance with internal management accounting rules and practices. Further, the format and information as disclosed in Note 29 are not consistent with the accompanying consolidated financial statements prepared on the basis of U.S. GAAP. For example, management does not use information on segments' gross revenue to allocate resources and assess performance.

The majority of fees and commissions on deposits are from the business activities relevant to Retail & Commercial Banking Business Group ("R&C"), with Global Commercial Banking Business Group ("GCB") providing a smaller impact.

The business activities relevant to fees and commissions on remittances and transfers are attributable to R&C, Japanese Corporate Investment Banking Business Group ("JCIB"), Global Corporate Investment Banking Business Group ("GCB"), and GCB with no significant concentration in any particular segments.

The business activities relevant to fees and commissions on foreign trading business are attributable to R&C, JCIB, GCB, and GCB with no significant concentration in any particular segments.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

The business activities relevant to fees and commissions on credit card business are substantially attributable to R&C.

The majority of fees and commissions on security-related services are from the business activities relevant to R&C, with JCIB and GCIB providing a smaller impact.

The business activities relevant to fees and commissions on administration and management services for investment funds are substantially attributable to Asset Management & Investor Service Business Group ("AM/IS").

The business activities relevant to trust fees are attributable to R&C, JCIB, and AM/IS with no significant concentration in any particular segments.

The majority of insurance commissions are from the business activities relevant to R&C, with GCB providing a smaller impact.

The business activities relevant to fees and commissions on real estate business are attributable to R&C and JCIB with no significant concentration in any particular segments.

Contract Balances

Contract balances are recognized in the consolidated balance sheets in accordance with the definition of receivables and contract liabilities specified in the guidance on revenue from contracts with customers. Receivables include receivables for which the services are completed, and accrued income which represents the amount of consideration unpaid for the performance obligations that have been fulfilled pursuant to certain contracts under which the services are continuously provided. Contract liabilities include unearned revenue which represents the amount of consideration received for the performance obligations that have not been fulfilled pursuant to certain contracts under which the services are continuously provided.

As of March 31, 2019 and 2020, receivables from contracts with customers of ¥185 billion and ¥192 billion, respectively, were included primarily in Other assets. As of March 31, 2019 and 2020, contract liabilities of ¥8 billion and ¥25 billion, respectively, were included in Other liabilities.

Among the balance of contract liabilities as of March 31, 2020, ¥12 billion is a remaining performance obligation related to an insurance agency service which a certain subsidiary of the MUFG Group entered into as a single performance obligation to be satisfied as the service is rendered over the next 20 years.

28. TRADING ACCOUNT PROFITS AND LOSSES

The MUFG Group performs trading activities through market-making, sales and arbitrage, while maintaining risk levels within appropriate limits in accordance with its risk management policy.

The MUFG Group has trading account securities and trading derivative assets and liabilities for this purpose. In addition, the trading account securities include foreign currency-denominated debt securities such as foreign government or official institution bonds, corporate bonds and mortgage-backed securities, which are mainly comprised of securities measured at fair value under the fair value option.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Net trading gains (losses) for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020 were comprised of the following:

	2018	2019	2020
		(in millions)	
Interest rate and other derivative contracts	¥(226,788)	¥ (24,031)	¥(159,045)
Trading account securities, excluding derivatives	153,674	192,931	924,418
Trading account profits (losses)—net	(73,114)	168,900	765,373
Foreign exchange derivative contracts ⁽¹⁾	(159,986)	(354,401)	(434,052)
Net trading gains (losses)	<u>¥(233,100)</u>	<u>¥(185,501)</u>	<u>¥ 331,321</u>

Note:

- (1) Losses on foreign exchange derivative contracts are included in Foreign exchange losses—net in the accompanying consolidated statements of income. Foreign exchange losses—net in the accompanying consolidated statements of income are also comprised of foreign exchange gains other than derivative contracts and foreign exchange gains (losses) related to the fair value option.

For further information on the methodologies and assumptions used to estimate fair value, see Note 31, which also shows fair values of trading account securities by major category. Note 23 discloses further information regarding the derivative-related impact on Trading account profits (losses)—net by major category.

29. BUSINESS SEGMENTS

The reportable segments of the MUFG Group are subject to the periodical review by the Executive Committee, which represents the MUFG Group's chief operating decision maker, to determine the allocation of management resources and assess performance. The MUFG Group has established its business units according to the characteristics of customers and the nature of the underlying business. Each business unit engages in business activities based on comprehensive strategies developed for and aimed at respective targeted customers and businesses. The business segment information is primarily based on the financial information prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in Japan as adjusted in accordance with internal management accounting rules and practices. Accordingly, the format and information are not consistent with the accompanying consolidated financial statements prepared on the basis of U.S. GAAP. A reconciliation is provided for the total amounts of segments' operating profit with income before income tax expense under U.S. GAAP.

See Note 30 for financial information relating to the MUFG Group's operations by geographic area. The geographic financial information is consistent with the basis of the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Effective April 1, 2018, the MUFG Group reorganized its business groups in an effort to further integrate the expertise and capabilities of its consolidated subsidiaries to respond to the needs of customers more effectively and efficiently, as part of its current medium-term business plan. To make and execute unified group-wide strategies based on customer characteristics and the nature of business, the MUFG Group integrated the operations of its consolidated subsidiaries into six business segments.—Retail & Commercial Banking, Japanese Corporate & Investment Banking, Global Corporate & Investment Banking, Global Commercial Banking, Asset Management & Investor Services, and Global Markets.

The following is a brief explanation of the MUFG Group's business segments:

Retail & Commercial Banking Business Group—Covers the domestic retail and commercial banking businesses of MUFG Bank, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking, Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings, Mitsubishi

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

UFJ NICOS and other group companies of MUFG. This business group offers retail and small and medium-sized enterprise customers in Japan an extensive array of commercial banking, trust banking and securities products and services.

Japanese Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group—Covers the large Japanese corporate businesses of MUFG Bank, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking and Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings, including the transaction banking, investment banking, trust banking and securities businesses. This business group offers large Japanese corporations advanced financial solutions designed to respond to their diversified and globalized needs and to contribute to their business and financial strategies through the global network of the MUFG group companies.

Global Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group—Covers the global corporate, investment and transaction banking businesses of MUFG Bank and Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings. Through a global network of offices and branches, this business group provides non-Japanese large corporate and financial institution customers with a comprehensive set of solutions that meet their increasingly diverse and sophisticated financing needs.

Global Commercial Banking Business Group—Covers the retail and commercial banking businesses of MUFG Union Bank and Krungsri. From the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020, this business group also covers Bank Danamon. This business group offers a comprehensive array of financial products and services such as loans, deposits, fund transfers, investments and asset management services for local retail, small and medium-sized enterprise, and corporate customers across the Asia-Pacific region.

Asset Management & Investor Services Business Group—Covers the asset management and asset administration businesses of Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking and MUFG Bank. By integrating the trust banking expertise of Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking and the global strengths of MUFG Bank, the business group offers a full range of asset management and administration services for corporations and pension funds, including pension fund management and administration, advice on pension structures, and payments to beneficiaries, and also offer investment trusts for retail customers.

Global Markets Business Group—Covers the customer business and the treasury operations of MUFG Bank, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking and Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings. The customer business includes sales and trading in fixed income instruments, currencies and equities as well as other investment products, and origination and distribution of financial products. The treasury operations include asset and liability management as well as global investments for the MUFG Group.

Other—Consists mainly of the corporate centers of MUFG, MUFG Bank, Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking and Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities. The elimination of duplicated amounts of net revenues among business segments was also reflected in Other.

Management does not use information on segments' total assets to allocate resources and assess performance. Accordingly, business segment information on total assets is not presented.

The MUFG Group made modifications to the MUFG Group's internal management accounting rules and practices to clarify the responsibility for profits of each business segment, effective April 1, 2019. Major rule changes are (i) reallocation of overseas Japanese corporates business in MUFG Americas Holdings previously included in the Global Commercial Banking Business Group into the Japanese Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group due to the refinement of definition, (ii) reallocation of operating expenses among Business Groups based on cost drivers due to the refinement of definition, and (iii) allocation of adjustments related to the

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

derivative counterparty risk previously included in Other to the Customer Business Groups and the Global Markets Business Group that held the derivative assets. These modifications had the following impact for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018 and 2019:

- increasing the operating profits of the Global Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group by ¥1.8 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018, the Retail & Commercial Banking Business Group by ¥1.9 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019, the Global Markets Business Group and Other by ¥6.3 billion and ¥29.7 billion, respectively for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018, and ¥5.1 billion and ¥30.6 billion, respectively for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019; and
- reducing the operating profits of the Retail & Commercial Banking Business Group by ¥0.5 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018, the Global Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group by ¥10.4 billion for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019, the Japanese Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group and the Global Commercial Banking Business Group by ¥18.6 billion and ¥18.7 billion, respectively for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2018, and ¥13.8 billion and ¥13.4 billion, respectively for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019.

Prior period business segment information has been restated to enable comparison between the relevant amounts for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020.

	Customer Business					Total	Global Markets Business Group	Other	Total
	Retail & Commercial Banking Business Group	Japanese Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group	Global Corporate & Investment Banking Business Group	Global Commercial Banking Business Group	Asset Management & Investor Services Business Group				
	(in billions)								
Fiscal year ended March 31, 2018:									
Net revenue:	¥1,582.5	¥523.2	¥364.3	¥652.8	¥190.4	¥3,313.2	¥574.5	¥ 30.4	¥3,918.1
BK and TB ⁽¹⁾ :	781.6	433.0	236.9	(3.3)	83.8	1,532.0	369.3	128.6	2,029.9
Net interest income:	463.4	148.9	92.1	(3.3)	—	701.1	235.1	183.5	1,119.7
Net fees:	246.4	225.1	146.8	—	83.8	702.1	(12.0)	(22.9)	667.2
Other:	71.8	59.0	(2.0)	—	—	128.8	146.2	(32.0)	243.0
Other than BK and TB:	800.9	90.2	127.4	656.1	106.6	1,781.2	205.2	(98.2)	1,888.2
Operating expenses:	1,226.3	314.8	226.7	468.8	119.4	2,356.0	228.7	132.8	2,717.5
Operating profit (loss):	¥ 356.2	¥208.4	¥137.6	¥184.0	¥ 71.0	¥ 957.2	¥345.8	¥(102.4)	¥1,200.6
Fiscal year ended March 31, 2019:									
Net revenue:	¥1,522.0	¥545.2	¥383.8	¥690.5	¥203.0	¥3,344.5	¥479.8	¥ (11.9)	¥3,812.4
BK and TB ⁽¹⁾ :	737.1	415.0	254.0	(1.3)	93.2	1,498.0	303.9	59.1	1,861.0
Net interest income:	457.2	150.2	110.9	(1.3)	—	717.0	227.8	200.4	1,145.2
Net fees:	243.8	210.3	142.4	—	93.2	689.7	(13.9)	(54.9)	620.9
Other:	36.1	54.5	0.7	—	—	91.3	90.0	(86.4)	94.9
Other than BK and TB:	784.9	130.2	129.8	691.8	109.8	1,846.5	175.9	(71.0)	1,951.4
Operating expenses:	1,221.3	309.3	241.5	483.5	124.6	2,380.2	223.5	136.4	2,740.1
Operating profit (loss):	¥ 300.7	¥235.9	¥142.3	¥207.0	¥ 78.4	¥ 964.3	¥256.3	¥(148.3)	¥1,072.3
Fiscal year ended March 31, 2020:									
Net revenue:	¥1,501.6	¥551.1	¥376.8	¥804.6	¥243.0	¥3,477.1	¥575.2	¥ 15.7	¥4,068.0
BK and TB ⁽¹⁾ :	721.1	420.7	270.5	0.1	94.6	1,507.0	358.4	12.7	1,878.1
Net interest income:	439.0	164.2	115.2	0.9	2.5	721.8	123.1	85.4	930.3
Net fees:	248.0	205.0	137.6	—	92.2	682.8	(12.6)	(64.8)	605.4
Other:	34.1	51.5	17.7	(0.8)	(0.1)	102.4	247.9	(7.9)	342.4
Other than BK and TB:	780.5	130.4	106.3	804.5	148.4	1,970.1	216.8	3.0	2,189.9
Operating expenses:	1,203.0	315.8	247.8	571.8	171.7	2,510.1	232.2	150.7	2,893.0
Operating profit (loss):	¥ 298.6	¥235.3	¥129.0	¥232.8	¥ 71.3	¥ 967.0	¥343.0	¥(135.0)	¥1,175.0

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Note:

(1) "BK and TB" is a sum of MUFG Bank on a stand-alone basis and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking on a stand-alone basis.

Reconciliation

As set forth above, the measurement basis and the income and expense items of the internal management reporting system are different from the accompanying consolidated statements of income. Therefore, it is impracticable to present reconciliations of all of the business segments' information, other than operating profit, to corresponding items in the accompanying consolidated statements of income.

A reconciliation of operating profit under the internal management reporting system for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020 above to income before income tax expense shown in the accompanying consolidated statements of income is as follows:

	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>
		(in billions)	
Operating profit:	¥1,201	¥1,072	¥1,175
Reversal of (provision for) credit losses	241	(34)	(322)
Trading account profits (losses)—net	(287)	182	920
Equity investment securities gains (losses)—net	215	(305)	(618)
Debt investment securities gains (losses)—net	71	(3)	(403)
Foreign exchange gains (losses)—net	7	47	(145)
Equity in earnings of equity method investees—net	228	210	283
Impairment of goodwill	—	—	(384)
Impairment of intangible assets	(22)	(118)	(4)
Reversal of (provision for) off-balance sheet credit instruments	96	(38)	62
Other—net	(88)	(142)	(131)
Income before income tax expense	<u>¥1,662</u>	<u>¥ 871</u>	<u>¥ 433</u>

30. FOREIGN ACTIVITIES

Foreign operations include the business conducted by overseas offices, as well as international business conducted from domestic offices, principally several international banking-related divisions of MUFG Bank's and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking's head office in Tokyo, and involve various transactions with debtors and customers residing outside Japan. Close integration of the MUFG Group's foreign and domestic activities makes precise estimates of the amounts of assets, liabilities, income and expenses attributable to foreign operations difficult and necessarily subjective. Assets, income and expenses attributable to foreign operations are allocated to geographical areas based on the domicile of the debtors and customers.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Generally, interest rates with respect to funds borrowed and loaned between domestic and foreign operations are based on prevailing money market rates appropriate for the transactions. In general, the MUFG Group has allocated all direct expenses and a proportionate share of general and administrative expenses to income derived from foreign loans and other transactions by the MUFG Group's foreign operations. The following table sets forth estimated total assets at March 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020, and estimated total revenue, total expense, income (loss) before income tax expense (benefit) and net income (loss) attributable to Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group for the respective fiscal years then ended:

	Domestic	Foreign				Total
	Japan	United States of America	Europe	Asia/Oceania excluding Japan	Other areas ⁽¹⁾	
	(in millions)					
Fiscal year ended March 31, 2018:						
Total revenue ⁽²⁾	¥ 2,127,278	¥ 1,337,529	¥ 506,211	¥ 779,983	¥ 443,106	¥ 5,194,107
Total expense ⁽³⁾	1,687,344	843,885	173,665	651,125	176,269	3,532,288
Income before income tax expense	439,934	493,644	332,546	128,858	266,837	1,661,819
Net income attributable to Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group	140,091	447,887	322,581	92,016	225,585	1,228,160
Total assets at end of fiscal year	196,121,542	44,831,664	22,342,574	27,163,121	10,111,411	300,570,312
Fiscal year ended March 31, 2019:						
Total revenue ⁽²⁾	¥ 1,886,469	¥ 1,637,569	¥ 222,267	¥ 1,157,946	¥ 504,372	¥ 5,408,623
Total expense ⁽³⁾	2,204,147	1,012,978	173,934	892,729	253,993	4,537,781
Income (loss) before income tax expense (benefit)	(317,678)	624,591	48,333	265,217	250,379	870,842
Net income (loss) attributable to Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group	(345,148)	573,698	50,877	214,582	224,636	718,645
Total assets at end of fiscal year	194,070,495	49,987,389	21,535,278	27,992,986	11,642,751	305,228,899
Fiscal year ended March 31, 2020:						
Total revenue ⁽²⁾	¥ 1,596,794	¥ 2,223,984	¥ 89,412	¥ 1,326,426	¥ 566,222	¥ 5,802,838
Total expense ⁽³⁾	2,565,895	1,051,164	218,623	1,174,200	359,736	5,369,618
Income (loss) before income tax expense (benefit)	(969,101)	1,172,820	(129,211)	152,226	206,486	433,220
Net income (loss) attributable to Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group	(1,001,477)	1,113,913	(140,372)	142,568	191,323	305,955
Total assets at end of fiscal year	207,532,337	60,587,867	19,099,410	30,845,864	13,687,805	331,753,283

Notes:

(1) Other areas primarily include Canada, Latin America, the Caribbean and the Middle East.

(2) Total revenue is comprised of Interest income and Non-interest income.

(3) Total expense is comprised of Interest expense, Provision for (reversal of) credit losses and Non-interest expense.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

The following is an analysis of certain asset and liability accounts related to foreign activities at March 31, 2019 and 2020:

	2019	2020
	(in millions)	
Cash and due from banks	¥ 828,839	¥ 971,870
Interest-earning deposits in other banks	8,453,191	12,662,558
Total	¥ 9,282,030	¥13,634,428
Trading account assets	¥26,991,984	¥31,374,451
Investment securities	¥ 8,779,340	¥ 9,040,512
Loans—net of unearned income, unamortized premiums and deferred loan fees	¥51,678,674	¥53,079,542
Deposits	¥49,044,234	¥49,291,478
Funds borrowed:		
Call money, funds purchased	¥ 67,246	¥ 276,040
Payables under repurchase agreements	9,459,547	13,476,026
Payables under securities lending transactions	47,886	176,998
Other short-term borrowings	5,107,832	4,733,138
Long-term debt	2,697,463	2,733,242
Total	¥17,379,974	¥21,395,444
Trading account liabilities	¥ 3,897,844	¥ 6,575,570

31. FAIR VALUE

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The guidance on fair value measurements also specifies a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad levels. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs, for example, the reporting entity's own data. Based on the observability of the inputs used in the valuation techniques, the following three-level hierarchy is specified by the guidance:

- Level 1—Unadjusted quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets.
- Level 2—Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices for substantially the full term of the instruments, such as quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; other inputs that are observable; or market-corroborated inputs.
- Level 3—Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the instruments.

A financial instrument's categorization within the fair value hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The MUFG Group has an established and documented process for determining fair values in accordance with the guidance. When available, quoted prices are used to determine fair value. If quoted prices are not

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

available, fair value is based upon valuation techniques that use observable or unobservable inputs. The fair values of liabilities are determined by discounting future cash flows at a rate which incorporates the MUFG Group's own creditworthiness. In addition, valuation adjustments may be made to ensure the financial instruments are recorded at fair value. These adjustments include, but are not limited to, amounts that reflect counterparty credit quality, funding cost, liquidity risk and model risk.

The following section describes the valuation techniques used by the MUFG Group to measure fair values of certain financial instruments. The discussion includes the general classification of such financial instruments in accordance with the fair value hierarchy, a brief explanation of the valuation techniques, the significant inputs to those valuation techniques, and any additional significant assumptions.

Trading Account Assets and Liabilities—Trading Account Securities

When quoted prices are available in an active market, the MUFG Group uses quoted prices to measure the fair values of securities and such securities are classified in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. Examples of Level 1 securities include certain Japanese and foreign government bonds, and marketable equity securities.

When quoted prices are available but the securities are not traded in active markets, such securities are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. These securities include certain Japanese government agency bonds, Japanese prefectural and municipal bonds, foreign government and official institution bonds, corporate bonds, residential mortgage-backed securities and equity securities.

As for quoted prices provided by third-party vendors, independent price verification is performed by the MUFG group to determine the quality and reliability of the data for fair value measurement purposes. As part of its independent price verification procedures, the MUFG group obtains a sufficient understanding of the vendors' pricing sources and valuation processes. Further, the MUFG group performs internal price verification procedures to ensure that the quoted prices provided from the third-party vendors are reasonable. Such verification procedures include comparison of pricing sources and analysis of variances beyond certain thresholds.

When quoted prices are not available, the MUFG Group estimates fair values by using an internal model, quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics or non-binding prices obtained from independent third parties. Such securities include certain commercial paper, corporate bonds, asset-backed securities and residential mortgage-backed securities. For commercial paper, the MUFG Group estimates fair value using discounted cash flows. The cash flows are estimated in accordance with the terms of contracts and discounted using a discount rate based on the yield curve estimated from market interest rates appropriate to the securities. Commercial paper is generally classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. For corporate bonds, the MUFG Group estimates fair value using discounted cash flows. The cash flows are estimated in accordance with the terms of contracts and discounted using discount rates applicable to the maturity of the bonds, which are adjusted to reflect credit risk of issuers. Credit risk of issuers is reflected in the future cash flows being discounted by the interest rate applicable to the maturity of the bonds. Corporate bonds are classified in either Level 2 or Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, depending primarily on the significance of the adjustments to the unobservable input of credit worthiness. For residential mortgage-backed securities, the MUFG Group estimates fair value using non-binding prices obtained from independent third parties. Residential mortgage-backed securities are classified as level 2 unless otherwise significant unobservable input is used for the valuation.

When there is less liquidity for securities or significant inputs used in the fair value measurements are unobservable, such securities are classified in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. Examples of such Level 3

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

securities include CLOs backed by general corporate loans, which are classified in asset-backed securities. The fair value of CLOs is measured by weighing the estimated fair value amounts from the internal model and the non-binding quotes from the independent broker-dealers. The weight of the quotes from independent broker-dealers is determined based on the result of inquiries with the broker-dealers to understand their basis of fair value calculation with consideration given to transaction volume. Key inputs to the internal model include projected cash flows through an analysis of underlying loans, probability of default which incorporates market indices such as LCDX (which is an index of loan credit default swaps), prepayment rates and discount rates reflecting liquidity premiums based on historical market data.

Trading Account Assets and Liabilities—Derivatives

Exchange-traded derivatives valued using quoted prices are classified in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. Examples of Level 1 derivatives include stock futures index and interest rate futures. However, the majority of the derivative contracts entered into by the MUFG Group are traded over-the-counter and valued using valuation techniques as there are no quoted prices for such derivatives. The valuation techniques and inputs vary depending on the types and contractual terms of the derivatives. The principal valuation techniques used to value derivatives include discounted cash flows, the Black-Scholes model and the Hull-White model. The key inputs include interest rate yield curve, foreign currency exchange rate, volatility, credit quality of the counterparty or the MUFG Group and spot price of the underlying. These models are commonly accepted in the financial industry and key inputs to the models are generally readily observable in an active market. Derivatives valued using such valuation techniques and inputs are generally classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. Examples of such Level 2 derivatives include plain-vanilla interest rate swaps, foreign currency forward contracts and currency option contracts.

Derivatives that are valued using valuation techniques with significant unobservable inputs are classified in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. Examples of Level 3 derivatives include long-term interest rate or currency swaps and certain credit derivatives, where significant inputs such as volatility and correlation of such inputs are unobservable.

Investment Securities

Investment securities include Available-for-sale debt and equity securities, whose fair values are measured using the same valuation techniques as the trading account securities described above. Investment securities also include investments in nonmarketable equity securities which are subject to specialized industry accounting principles. The valuation of such nonmarketable equity securities involves significant management judgment due to the absence of quoted prices, lack of liquidity and the long term nature of these investments. Further, there may be restriction on transfers of nonmarketable equity securities. The MUFG Group values such securities initially at transaction price and subsequently adjusts such valuations, considering evidence such as current sales transactions of similar securities, initial public offerings, recent equity issuances and change in financial condition of the investee company. Nonmarketable equity securities are included in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Other Assets

Other assets measured at fair value mainly consist of securities received as collateral that may be sold or repledged under securities lending transactions, money in trust for segregating cash deposited by customers on security transactions and derivatives designated as hedging instruments. The securities received as collateral under lending transactions mainly consist of certain Japanese and foreign government bonds which are valued using the valuation techniques previously described in the section entitled “*Trading Accounts Assets and Liabilities—Trading Account Securities*” above.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Money in trust for segregating cash deposited by customers on security transactions mainly consists of certain Japanese government bonds which are valued using the valuation techniques described in the “*Trading Account Assets and Liabilities—Trading Account Securities*” above and is included in Level 1 or Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy depending on the component assets.

The fair values of derivatives designated as hedging instruments are measured using the valuation techniques described in the “*Trading Account Assets and Liabilities—Derivatives*” above.

Obligations to Return Securities Received as Collateral

Obligations to return securities received as collateral under securities lending transactions included in Other liabilities are measured at the fair values of the securities received as collateral. The securities received as collateral consist primarily of certain Japanese and foreign government bonds, whose fair values are measured using the valuation techniques described in the “*Trading Account Assets and Liabilities—Trading Account Securities*” above.

Other Short-term Borrowings and Long-term Debt

Certain short-term borrowings and long-term debt are measured at fair value due to the election of the fair value option. The fair value of these instruments are measured principally based on the discounted cash flows. Where the inputs into the valuation techniques are mainly based on observable inputs, these instruments are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. Where significant inputs are unobservable, they are classified in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Market Valuation Adjustments

Counterparty credit risk adjustments are made to certain financial assets such as over-the-counter derivatives to factor in counterparty credit exposure. As not all counterparties have the same credit risk, it is necessary in calculating credit risk adjustments, to take into account probability of a default event occurring for each counterparty, which is primarily derived from observed or estimated spreads on credit default swaps. In addition, the counterparty credit risk adjustment takes into account the effect of credit risk mitigation such as pledged collateral and the legal right of offset with the counterparty.

Funding valuation adjustment (“FVA”) represents the adjustment to reflect the impact of uncollateralized funding. The FVA is calculated using the MUFG’s market funding spread and the funding exposure of any uncollateralized component of the over-the-counter derivative instrument. The MUFG Group’s FVA framework incorporates key inputs, such as the expected future funding requirements arising from the MUFG Group’s positions with each counterparty and collateral arrangements, and the estimated market funding cost in the principal market, which considers the MUFG Group’s credit risk.

Liquidity adjustments are applied mainly to the instruments classified in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy when recent observable prices of such instruments are not available or such instruments are traded in inactive or less active markets. The liquidity adjustments are based on the facts and circumstances of the markets including the availability of external quotes and the time since the latest available quote.

Model valuation adjustments such as unobservable parameter valuation adjustments may be provided when the fair values of instruments are determined based on internally developed valuation techniques. Examples of such adjustments include adjustments to the model price of certain derivatives where parameters such as correlation are unobservable. Unobservable parameter valuation adjustments are applied to mitigate the uncertainty inherent in the resulting valuation estimate.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Investments in Certain Entities That Calculate Net Asset Value per Share

The MUFG Group has interests in investment funds mainly private equity funds, and real estate funds that are measured at fair value on a recurring or nonrecurring basis.

Private equity funds have specific investment objectives in connection with their acquisition of equity interests, such as providing financing and other support to start-up businesses, medium and small entities in a particular geographical area, and to companies with certain technology or companies in a high-growth industry. Generally, these investments cannot be redeemed with the funds, and the return of invested capital and its gains are derived from distributions received upon the liquidation of the underlying assets of the fund, the timing of which is uncertain.

Real estate funds invest globally and primarily in real estate companies, debt recapitalizations and direct property. These investments are generally not redeemable with the funds. Distributions from each fund will be received as the underlying investments of the funds are liquidated, the timing of which is uncertain.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The following tables present the financial instruments carried at fair value by level within the fair value hierarchy as of March 31, 2019 and 2020:

At March 31, 2019	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Fair Value
	(in millions)			
Assets				
Trading account assets:				
Trading securities ⁽¹⁾	¥12,821,328	¥13,725,079	¥ 785,326	¥27,331,733
Debt securities				
Japanese national government and Japanese government agency bonds	2,117,841	512,134	—	2,629,975
Japanese prefectural and municipal bonds	—	117,799	—	117,799
Foreign government and official institution bonds	9,264,028	454,365	792	9,719,185
Corporate bonds	—	3,203,585	31,384	3,234,969
Residential mortgage-backed securities	—	7,038,353	35,181	7,073,534
Asset-backed securities	—	253,274	627,678	880,952
Other debt securities	—	747	35,148	35,895
Commercial paper	—	1,473,693	—	1,473,693
Equity securities ⁽²⁾	1,439,459	671,129	55,143	2,165,731
Trading derivative assets	63,582	13,047,590	93,313	13,204,485
Interest rate contracts	23,430	10,067,719	17,307	10,108,456
Foreign exchange contracts	1,115	2,771,115	22,861	2,795,091
Equity contracts	39,037	139,666	9,000	187,703
Commodity contracts	—	3,727	25,684	29,411
Credit derivatives	—	65,363	18,461	83,824
Investment securities:				
Available-for-sale debt securities	22,550,086	10,684,983	283,434	33,518,503
Japanese national government and Japanese government agency bonds	20,635,872	3,441,824	—	24,077,696
Japanese prefectural and municipal bonds	—	2,226,566	—	2,226,566
Foreign government and official institution bonds	1,914,214	707,959	19,246	2,641,419
Corporate bonds	—	1,126,535	4,196	1,130,731
Residential mortgage-backed securities	—	1,615,336	15	1,615,351
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	—	128,917	2,038	130,955
Asset-backed securities	—	1,371,467	131,455	1,502,922
Other debt securities	—	66,379	126,484	192,863
Equity securities	5,982,629	375,914	27,820	6,386,363
Marketable equity securities	5,982,629	375,914	—	6,358,543
Nonmarketable equity securities ⁽³⁾	—	—	27,820	27,820
Other ⁽⁴⁾	807,193	42,184	32,378	881,755
Total	¥42,224,818	¥37,875,750	¥ 1,222,271	¥81,322,839

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

At March 31, 2019	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Fair Value
	(in millions)			
Liabilities				
Trading account liabilities:				
Trading securities sold, not yet purchased	¥ 157,114	¥ 5,796	¥ —	¥ 162,910
Trading derivative liabilities	88,329	12,701,110	57,143	12,846,582
Interest rate contracts	35,179	9,839,618	21,496	9,896,293
Foreign exchange contracts	2,633	2,663,347	4,670	2,670,650
Equity contracts	50,517	126,737	6,138	183,392
Commodity contracts	—	2,916	24,735	27,651
Credit derivatives	—	68,492	104	68,596
Obligation to return securities received as collateral ⁽⁵⁾	2,912,355	174,671	—	3,087,026
Other ⁽⁶⁾	—	426,368	65,648	492,016
Total	¥ 3,157,798	¥13,307,945	¥ 122,791	¥16,588,534
At March 31, 2020	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Fair Value
	(in millions)			
Assets				
Trading account assets:				
Trading securities ⁽¹⁾	¥14,891,635	¥17,061,985	¥ 525,946	¥32,479,566
Debt securities				
Japanese national government and Japanese government agency bonds	3,805,039	350,128	—	4,155,167
Japanese prefectural and municipal bonds	—	93,479	—	93,479
Foreign government and official institution bonds	9,356,427	417,766	1,052	9,775,245
Corporate bonds	11	2,537,559	144	2,537,714
Residential mortgage-backed securities	—	9,037,539	—	9,037,539
Asset-backed securities	—	590,556	416,259	1,006,815
Other debt securities	—	7,787	6,651	14,438
Commercial paper	—	2,959,580	—	2,959,580
Equity securities ⁽²⁾	1,730,158	1,067,591	101,840	2,899,589
Trading derivative assets	216,834	14,680,632	60,108	14,957,574
Interest rate contracts	74,488	10,758,790	13,329	10,846,607
Foreign exchange contracts	1,550	3,723,087	9,046	3,733,683
Equity contracts	140,796	87,285	7,491	235,572
Commodity contracts	—	10	27,492	27,502
Credit derivatives	—	111,460	2,750	114,210
Investment securities:				
Available-for-sale debt securities	22,126,881	11,798,392	274,930	34,200,203
Japanese national government and Japanese government agency bonds	19,897,187	3,565,692	—	23,462,879
Japanese prefectural and municipal bonds	—	2,952,820	—	2,952,820
Foreign government and official institution bonds	2,229,694	792,027	15,767	3,037,488
Corporate bonds	—	1,262,718	10,108	1,272,826
Residential mortgage-backed securities	—	1,459,378	15	1,459,393
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	—	380,278	1,977	382,255
Asset-backed securities	—	1,328,601	140,875	1,469,476
Other debt securities	—	56,878	106,188	163,066
Equity securities	4,471,499	296,819	39,963	4,808,281
Marketable equity securities	4,471,499	296,819	—	4,768,318
Nonmarketable equity securities ⁽³⁾	—	—	39,963	39,963
Other ⁽⁴⁾	1,054,186	45,913	36,701	1,136,800
Total	¥42,761,035	¥43,883,741	¥ 937,648	¥87,582,424

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

At March 31, 2020	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Fair Value
	(in millions)			
Liabilities				
Trading account liabilities:				
Trading securities sold, not yet purchased	¥ 555,664	¥ 3,718	¥ —	¥ 559,382
Trading derivative liabilities	300,215	13,851,205	56,631	14,208,051
Interest rate contracts	153,098	10,038,198	14,079	10,205,375
Foreign exchange contracts	3,360	3,596,589	4,041	3,603,990
Equity contracts	143,757	121,229	11,713	276,699
Commodity contracts	—	14	26,775	26,789
Credit derivatives	—	95,175	23	95,198
Obligation to return securities received as collateral ⁽⁵⁾	4,663,068	143,103	—	4,806,171
Other ⁽⁶⁾	—	514,003	6,606	520,609
Total	¥ 5,518,947	¥14,512,029	¥ 63,237	¥20,094,213

Notes:

- (1) Includes securities measured under the fair value option.
- (2) Excludes certain investments valued at net asset value of private equity funds whose fair values were ¥40,400 million and ¥66,918 million at March 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively. The amounts of unfunded commitments related to these private equity funds were ¥94,483 million and ¥102,743 million at March 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively.
- (3) Excludes certain investments valued at net asset value of real estate funds and private equity and other funds whose fair values at March 31, 2019 were ¥17,583 million and ¥9,921 million, respectively, and those at March 31, 2020 were ¥23,233 million and ¥18,862 million, respectively. The amounts of unfunded commitments related to these real estate funds and private equity and other funds at March 31, 2019 were ¥2,054 million and nil, respectively, and those at March 31, 2020 were ¥1,494 million and nil, respectively.
- (4) Mainly comprises securities received as collateral that may be sold or repledged under securities lending transactions, money in trust for segregating cash deposited by customers on security transactions and derivative assets designated as hedging instruments.
- (5) Included in Other liabilities.
- (6) Includes other short-term borrowings, long-term debt, bifurcated embedded derivatives carried at fair value and derivative liabilities designated as hedging instruments.

mitsubishi ufj financial group, inc. and subsidiaries
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Changes in Level 3 Recurring Fair Value Measurements

The following tables present a reconciliation of the assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) during the fiscal years ended March 31, 2019 and 2020. The determination to classify a financial instrument within Level 3 is based upon the significance of the unobservable inputs to overall fair value measurement. However, Level 3 financial instruments typically include, in addition to the unobservable or Level 3 input, observable inputs (inputs that are actively quoted and can be validated to external sources). Accordingly, the gains and losses in the tables below include changes in fair value due in part to observable inputs used in the valuation techniques.

	Total gains (losses) for the period										Change in unrealized gains (losses) included in earnings for assets and liabilities still held at March 31, 2019
	March 31, 2018	Included in earnings	Included in other comprehensive income	Purchases	Issues	Sales	Settlements	Transfers into Level 3	Transfers out of Level 3	March 31, 2019	March 31, 2019
	(in millions)										
Assets											
Trading account assets:											
Trading securities ⁽¹⁾	¥ 827,493	¥ 23,848 ⁽²⁾	¥ (1,341)	¥454,048	¥ —	¥(316,384)	¥(213,944)	¥ 29,445	¥ (17,839)	¥ 785,326	¥ 18,299 ⁽²⁾
Debt securities											
Foreign government and official institution bonds	1,047	863	—	117,619	—	(118,377)	(317)	—	(43)	792	5
Corporate bonds	23,092	611	—	2,040	—	(1,798)	(4,188)	29,423 ⁽³⁾	(17,796) ⁽³⁾	31,384	286
Residential mortgage-backed securities											
Asset-backed securities	41,141	(282)	—	—	—	—	(5,678)	—	—	35,181	(289)
Other debt securities	684,637	18,692	(1,341)	315,865	—	(195,851)	(194,324)	—	—	627,678	15,528
Equity securities	33,450	1,698	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	35,148	1,698
Trading derivatives—net	44,126	2,266	—	18,524	—	(358)	(9,437)	22	—	55,143	1,071
Interest rate contracts—net	12,119	(5,871) ⁽²⁾	(744)	787	(682)	—	37	20,192	10,332	36,170	(25,241) ⁽²⁾
Foreign exchange contracts—net	14,596	12,397	57	—	(119)	—	(25,601)	(7,013)	1,494	(4,189)	11,507
Equity contracts—net	6,736	(10,997)	(419)	210	—	—	(322)	27,230 ⁽³⁾	(4,247)	18,191	(10,451)
Commodity contracts—net	(10,685)	18,756	(374)	13	(228)	—	(17,680)	(25)	13,085	2,862	(752)
Credit derivatives—net	683	32	6	564	(335)	—	(1)	—	—	949	795
Investment securities:	789	(26,059)	(14)	—	—	—	43,641	—	—	18,357	(26,340)
Available-for-sale debt securities	350,660	6,415 ⁽³⁾	(9,791)	273,201	—	—	(338,180)	1,456	(327)	283,434	(498) ⁽³⁾
Foreign government and official institution bonds	20,192	—	(876)	645	—	—	(715)	—	—	19,246	—
Corporate bonds	6,037	(345)	3	3,450	—	—	(6,078)	1,456 ⁽³⁾	(327) ⁽³⁾	4,196	(498)
Residential mortgage-backed securities											
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	15	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	15	—
Asset-backed securities	2,430	—	(135)	—	—	—	(257)	—	—	2,038	—
Other debt securities	161,172	6,760	(5,016)	263,587	—	—	(295,048)	—	—	131,455	—
Equity securities	160,814	—	(3,767)	5,519	—	—	(36,082)	—	—	126,484	—
Nonmarketable equity securities	28,359	(2,298) ⁽³⁾	—	3,795	—	(1,418)	—	—	(618)	27,820	(3,060) ⁽³⁾
Other	28,359	(2,298)	—	3,795	—	(1,418)	—	—	(618)	27,820	(3,060)
	8,660	(1,022) ⁽⁷⁾	(20)	24,961	—	(206)	—	5	—	32,378	(1,191) ⁽⁷⁾
Total	¥1,227,291	¥ 21,072	¥(11,896)	¥756,792	¥ (682)	¥(318,008)	¥(552,087)	¥ 51,098	¥ (8,452)	¥1,165,128	¥(11,691)
Liabilities											
Other	¥ (25,528)	¥(19,629) ⁽⁴⁾	¥ 6,670	¥ —	¥16,759	¥ —	¥ (18,499)	¥ 44,727 ⁽⁴⁾	¥ 35,230 ⁽⁴⁾	¥ 65,648	¥(10,778) ⁽⁴⁾
Total	¥ (25,528)	¥(19,629)	¥ 6,670	¥ —	¥16,759	¥ —	¥ (18,499)	¥ 44,727	¥ 35,230	¥ 65,648	¥(10,778)

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

	Total gains (losses) for the period								Change in unrealized gains (losses) included in earnings for assets and liabilities still held at March 31, 2020		
	March 31, 2019	Included in earnings	Included in other comprehensive income	Purchases	Issues	Sales	Settlements	Transfers into Level 3	Transfers out of Level 3	March 31, 2020	March 31, 2020
	(in millions)										
Assets											
Trading account assets:											
Trading securities ⁽¹⁾	¥ 785,326	¥(22,419) ⁽²⁾	¥ —	¥227,656	¥ —	¥(214,183)	¥(225,980)	¥ 52,140	¥ (76,594)	¥ 525,946	¥(18,915) ⁽²⁾
Debt securities											
Foreign government and official institution bonds	792	589	—	93,957	—	(92,748)	(18)	—	(1,520)	1,052	(12)
Corporate bonds	31,384	(279)	—	631	—	(3,937)	(4,721)	24,882 ⁽⁵⁾	(47,816) ⁽⁵⁾	144	(13)
Residential mortgage-backed securities	35,181	(695)	—	—	—	(23,837)	(10,649)	—	—	—	—
Asset-backed securities	627,678	(23,494)	—	113,239	—	(93,655)	(207,509)	—	—	416,259	(18,815)
Other debt securities	35,148	(1,239)	—	—	—	—	—	—	(27,258) ⁽⁶⁾	6,651	(387)
Equity securities	55,143	2,699	—	19,829	—	(6)	(3,083)	27,258 ⁽⁶⁾	—	101,840	312
Trading derivatives—net	36,170	4,503 ⁽²⁾	178	714	(1,449)	—	(33,463)	40,857	(44,033)	3,477	(16,742) ⁽²⁾
Interest rate contracts—net	(4,189)	21,290	(24)	—	—	—	(12,025)	2,254	(8,056)	(750)	8,046
Foreign exchange contracts—net	18,191	(21,429)	89	3	—	—	4,858	35,444 ⁽⁵⁾	(32,151) ⁽⁵⁾	5,005	(21,483)
Equity contracts—net	2,862	5,188	110	96	(777)	—	(11,305)	(41)	(355)	(4,222)	(2,846)
Commodity contracts—net	949	(174)	(1)	615	(672)	—	—	—	—	717	(167)
Credit derivatives—net	18,357	(372)	4	—	—	—	(14,991)	3,200	(3,471)	2,727	(292)
Investment securities:											
Available-for-sale debt securities	283,434	(2,402) ⁽³⁾	804	281,041	—	(24)	(300,023)	15,201	(3,101)	274,930	(934) ⁽³⁾
Foreign government and official institution bonds	19,246	—	1,625	828	—	—	(5,932)	—	—	15,767	—
Corporate bonds	4,196	(458)	342	663	—	(1)	(6,734)	15,201 ⁽⁵⁾	(3,101) ⁽⁵⁾	10,108	(934)
Residential mortgage-backed securities	15	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	15	—
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	2,038	—	125	—	—	(23)	(163)	—	—	1,977	—
Asset-backed securities	131,455	(1,944)	(1,085)	274,110	—	—	(261,661)	—	—	140,875	—
Other debt securities	126,484	—	(203)	5,440	—	—	(25,533)	—	—	106,188	—
Equity securities	27,820	2,585 ⁽³⁾	—	12,718	—	(2,527)	(35)	—	(598)	39,963	863 ⁽³⁾
Nonmarketable equity securities	27,820	2,585	—	12,718	—	(2,527)	(35)	—	(598)	39,963	863
Other	32,378	(10,105) ⁽⁷⁾	(375)	44,324	—	(29,334)	(187)	—	—	36,701	(10,136) ⁽⁷⁾
Total	¥1,165,128	¥(27,838)	¥ 607	¥566,453	¥(1,449)	¥(246,068)	¥(559,688)	¥108,198	¥(124,326)	¥ 881,017	¥(45,864)
Liabilities											
Other	¥ 65,648	¥ (5,388) ⁽⁴⁾	¥ (414)	¥ —	¥ 2,258	¥ —	¥ (21,133)	¥ 333 ⁽⁶⁾	¥ (46,302) ⁽⁶⁾	¥ 6,606	¥ 9,648 ⁽⁶⁾
Total	¥ 65,648	¥ (5,388)	¥ (414)	¥ —	¥ 2,258	¥ —	¥ (21,133)	¥ 333	¥ (46,302)	¥ 6,606	¥ 9,648

Notes:

- (1) Includes Trading securities measured under the fair value option.
- (2) Included in Trading account profits (losses)—net and in Foreign exchange losses—net.
- (3) Included in Investment securities gains (losses)—net.
- (4) Included in Trading account profits (losses)—net.
- (5) Transfers into (out of) Level 3 for Corporate bonds were caused by the decrease (increase) in liquidity or the availability of the quoted prices provided by third-party vendors. Transfers into (out of) Level 3 for Foreign exchange contracts—net were mainly caused by the valuation using certain unobservable input.
- (6) Transfers into (out of) Level 3 for bifurcated embedded derivatives in Other were mainly caused by the decrease (increase) in the observability of the key inputs to the valuation models and a corresponding increase (decrease) in the significance of the unobservable inputs.
- (7) Included in Fees and commissions income and Other non-interest income.
- (8) Transfers relate to the reclassification of certain securities.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Quantitative Information about Level 3 Fair Value Measurements

The following tables present information on the valuation techniques, significant unobservable inputs and their ranges for each major category of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and classified in Level 3:

<u>At March 31, 2019</u>	<u>Fair value⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>Valuation technique</u>	<u>Significant unobservable inputs</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>Weighted average⁽²⁾</u>
	<u>(in millions)</u>				
Assets					
Trading securities and					
Investment securities:					
Foreign government and					
official institution					
bonds	¥ 19,246	Return on equity method	Probability of default	0.0%~0.4%	0.3%
			Recovery rate	60.0%~70.0%	66.7%
			Market-required return on capital	10.0%	10.0%
Residential mortgage-					
backed securities,					
Commercial mortgage-					
backed securities and					
Asset-backed					
securities	109,213	Discounted cash flow	Probability of default	1.2%~5.3%	5.0%
			Recovery rate	60.0%~76.0%	67.2%
			Loan price	90.5%~100.3%	95.4%
	587,577	Internal model ⁽⁴⁾	Asset correlations	10.0%	10.0%
			Discount factor	1.0%~1.2%	1.2%
			Prepayment rate	22.7%	22.7%
			Probability of default	0.0%~90.1%	— ⁽³⁾
			Recovery rate	64.3%	64.3%
Other debt securities	35,148	Discounted cash flow	Liquidity premium	1.0%~2.4%	1.3%
	112,822	Return on equity method	Probability of default	0.0%~25.0%	0.3%
			Recovery rate	40.0%~90.0%	78.0%
			Market-required return on capital	8.0%~10.0%	9.5%
<u>At March 31, 2019</u>	<u>Fair value⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>Valuation technique</u>	<u>Significant unobservable inputs</u>	<u>Range</u>	
	<u>(in millions)</u>				
Trading derivatives—net:					
Interest rate contracts—net	(4,189)	Option model	Probability of default	0.0%~12.0%	
			Correlation between interest rates	29.2%~51.3%	
			Correlation between interest rate and		
			foreign exchange rate	22.8%~60.0%	
			Recovery rate	41.0%~48.0%	
			Volatility	11.0%~71.3%	
Foreign exchange					
contracts—net	18,198	Option model	Probability of default	0.0%~12.0%	
			Correlation between interest rates	35.0%~70.0%	
			Correlation between interest rate and		
			foreign exchange rate	14.8%~60.0%	
			Recovery rate	41.0%~48.0%	
			Correlation between underlying assets	65.0%	
			Volatility	9.7%~18.2%	
Equity contracts—net	(2,727)	Option model	Correlation between foreign exchange		
			rate and equity	7.0%~64.1%	
			Correlation between equities	21.6%~80.3%	
			Correlation between underlying assets	51.7%~82.0%	
			Volatility	21.0%~30.0%	
	5,878	Discounted cash flow	Term of litigation	1.0 year	

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

At March 31, 2020	Fair value ⁽¹⁾ (in millions)	Valuation technique	Significant unobservable inputs	Range	Weighted average ⁽²⁾
Assets					
Trading securities and					
Investment securities:					
Foreign government and					
official institution					
bonds	¥ 15,767	Return on equity method	Probability of default	0.2%~1.3%	0.4%
			Recovery rate	55.0%~90.0%	69.9%
			Market-required return on capital	8.0%~10.0%	9.9%
Residential mortgage-					
backed securities,					
Commercial mortgage-					
backed securities and					
Asset-backed					
securities	92,025	Discounted cash flow	Probability of default	1.2%~5.3%	5.0%
			Recovery rate	60.0%~76.0%	68.2%
	389,170	Internal model ⁽⁴⁾	Asset correlations	1.0%	1.0%
			Discount factor	1.1%~1.4%	1.4%
			Prepayment rate	21.0%	21.0%
			Probability of default	0.0%~99.0%	— ⁽³⁾
			Recovery rate	57.8%	57.8%
Other debt securities	6,651	Discounted cash flow	Liquidity premium	2.4%	2.4%
	86,734	Return on equity method	Probability of default	0.0%~25.0%	0.3%
			Recovery rate	60.0%~90.0%	79.8%
			Market-required return on capital	8.0%~10.0%	9.4%
Equity securities	27,144	Discounted cash flow	Liquidity premium	1.0%	1.0%
At March 31, 2020					
	Fair value ⁽¹⁾	Valuation technique	Significant unobservable inputs	Range	
	(in millions)				
Trading derivatives—net:					
Interest rate contracts—net	(728)	Option model	Correlation between interest rates	32.9%~62.5%	
			Correlation between interest rate and		
			foreign exchange rate	16.7%~60.0%	
			Volatility	0.0%~100.0%	
Foreign exchange					
contracts—net	5,005	Option model	Correlation between interest rates	30.0%~70.0%	
			Correlation between interest rate and		
			foreign exchange rate	15.8%~60.0%	
			Correlation between underlying assets	60.0%	
			Volatility	7.5%~17.0%	
Equity contracts—net	(10,038)	Option model	Correlation between foreign exchange		
			rate and equity	(58.4)%~56.9%	
			Correlation between equities	19.5%~81.0%	
			Volatility	24.2%~32.0%	
	6,166	Discounted cash flow	Term of litigation	0.1 year~1.1 years	

Notes:

- (1) The fair value as of March 31, 2019 and 2020 excludes the fair value of investments valued using vendor prices.
- (2) Weighted averages are calculated by weighing each input by the relative fair value of the respective financial instruments.
- (3) See "Probability of default" in "Sensitivity to and range of unobservable inputs."
- (4) For further detail of Internal model, refer to the last paragraph of "Trading Account Assets and Liabilities—Trading Account Securities."

Sensitivity to and range of unobservable inputs

Probability of default—Probability of default is an estimate of the likelihood that the default event will occur and the MUFG Group will be unable to collect the contractual amounts. A significant increase (decrease)

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

in the default rate would result in a significant decrease (increase) in a fair value through a decrease (increase) in the estimated cash flows. Probability of default used in internal model of Residential mortgage-backed securities, Commercial mortgage-backed securities and Asset-backed securities represents that of underlying assets, whereas probability of default used in other valuation techniques represents the counterparty default risks, determined through the MUFG Group's credit rating system.

The wide range of probability of default used in the internal model of Residential mortgage-backed securities, Commercial mortgage-backed securities and Asset-backed securities is mainly caused by Asset-backed securities. Asset-backed securities have a large number of underlying loans, mainly corporate loans, in several industries. The MUFG Group primarily makes investments in the senior tranches of such securities, with no investments in the equity portion. Thus, the MUFG Group's investments have higher priority of payments than mezzanine and equity and even if some of underlying loans become default, the MUFG Group may still be able to receive the full contractual payments.

Discount factor and Liquidity premium—Discount factor and liquidity premium are adjustments to discount rates to reflect uncertainty of cash flows and liquidity of the instruments. When recent prices of similar instruments are unobservable in inactive or less active markets, discount rates are adjusted based on the facts and circumstances of the markets including the availability of quotes and the time since the latest available quotes. A significant increase (decrease) in discount rate would result in a significant decrease (increase) in a fair value.

Recovery rate and Prepayment rate—Recovery rate is the proportion of the total outstanding balance of a bond or loan that is expected to be collected in a liquidation scenario. For many debt securities (such as asset-backed securities), there is no directly observable market input for recovery, but indications of recovery levels are available from third-party pricing services. The assumed recovery of a security may differ from its actual recovery that will be observable in the future. Prepayment rate represents the proportion of principal that is expected to be paid prematurely in each period on a security or pool of securities. Prepayment rates change the future cash flows for the investor and thereby change the fair value of the security. Recovery rate and prepayment rate would affect estimation of future cash flows to a certain extent and changes in these inputs could result in a significant increase or decrease in fair value.

Volatility—Volatility is a measure of the speed and severity of market price changes and is a key factor in pricing. Typically, instruments can become more expensive if volatility increases. A significant increase (decrease) in volatility would cause a significant increase (decrease) in the value of an option resulting in the significant increase (decrease) in fair value.

The level of volatility generally depends on the tenor of the underlying instrument and the strike price or level defined in the contract. Volatilities for certain combinations of tenor and strike price are not observable. The volatility inputs used to estimate fair value of interest rate contracts are distributed throughout the range.

Correlation—Correlation is a measure of the relationship between the movements of two variables (i.e. how the change in one variable influences a change in the other variables). A variety of correlation-related assumptions are required for a wide range of instruments including foreign government and official institution bonds, asset-backed securities, corporate bonds, derivatives and certain other instruments. In most cases, correlations used are not observable in the market and must be estimated using historical information. Changes in correlation inputs can have a major impact, favorable or unfavorable, on the value of an instrument, depending on its nature. In addition, the wide range of correlation inputs are primarily due to the complex and unique nature of these instruments. There are many different types of correlation inputs, including cross-asset correlation (such as correlation between interest rate and equity), and same-asset correlation (such as correlation between interest rates). Correlation levels are highly dependent on market conditions and could have a relatively wide range of levels within or across asset classes.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

For interest rate contracts and foreign exchange contracts, the diversity in the portfolio held by the MUFG Group is reflected in wide ranges of correlation, as the fair values of transactions with a variety of currencies and tenors are determined using several foreign exchange and interest rate curves. For equity derivative contracts, the wide range of correlation between interest rate and equity is primarily due to the large number of correlation pairs with different maturities of contracts. For credit derivative contracts, the wide range of correlation between underlying assets is primarily due to factors such as reference assets with different maturities, capital structure subordinations, and credit quality.

Term of litigation—Term of litigation is the estimated period until the resolution of a certain litigation matter that relates to an issuer's restricted shares ("Covered Litigation") that the MUFG Group purchased, which is referenced in certain swap transactions.

These swaps are valued using a discounted cash flow methodology and are dependent upon the final resolution of the Covered Litigation. The settlement timing of the Covered Litigation is not observable in the market, therefore the estimated term is classified as a level 3 input.

The restricted shares which the MUFG Group purchased will be convertible to listed shares of the issuer at the end of the Covered Litigation. The restricted shares will be diluted dependent upon the settlement amount of the Covered Litigation and the dilution of the restricted shares is accomplished through an adjustment to the conversion rate of the restricted shares. In order to hedge the reduction of the conversion rate, the MUFG Group entered into certain swaps with the seller which references the conversion rate. The value generated by these trades is subject to the ultimate term of the issuer's litigation, subject to a minimum term referenced within the trade contracts.

Market-required return on capital—Market-required return on capital is the return on capital expected by the secondary market. A significant increase (decrease) in the market-required return on capital would result in a significant decrease (increase) in a fair value of a financial asset.

Loan Price—Loan price refers to independent valuations which are supported by a number of third-party quotes. A significant increase (decrease) in the loan price would result in a significant increase (decrease) in a fair value of a financial asset.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

Certain assets and liabilities may be measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in periods subsequent to their initial recognition. These assets are subject to fair value adjustments that result from the application of the lower of cost or fair value accounting, write-downs of individual assets or the measurement alternative for nonmarketable equity securities. The following table presents the carrying value of assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis by level within the fair value hierarchy as of March 31, 2019 and 2020:

	2019				2020			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total carrying value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total carrying value
	(in millions)							
Assets								
Investment securities ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	¥ —	¥ 80,779	¥ 4,208	¥ 84,987	¥ —	¥ 15,473	¥ 2,703	¥ 18,176
Loans	2,656	9,104	250,678	262,438	2,548	9,199	260,282	272,029
Loans held for sale	—	—	77,506	77,506	—	—	73,573	73,573
Collateral dependent loans	2,656	9,104	173,172	184,932	2,548	9,199	186,709	198,456
Premises and equipment	—	—	35,352	35,352	—	—	37,109	37,109
Intangible assets	—	—	19,635	19,635	—	—	453	453
Goodwill	—	—	—	—	—	—	283,032	283,032
Other assets	136,528	49,756	9,774	196,058	73,015	—	18,609	91,624
Investments in equity method investees ⁽¹⁾	136,528	49,756	—	186,284	73,015	—	5,911	78,926
Other	—	—	9,774	9,774	—	—	12,698	12,698
Total	¥139,184	¥139,639	¥319,647	¥598,470	¥75,563	¥24,672	¥602,188	¥702,423

Notes:

- (1) Excludes certain investments valued at net asset value of ¥8,866 million and ¥12,935 million at March 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively. The unfunded commitments related to these investments are ¥12,242 million and ¥17,216 million at March 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively. These investments are in private equity funds.
- (2) Includes certain nonmarketable equity securities that are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, including impairment and observable price change for nonmarketable equity securities measured under the measurement alternative.

The following table presents losses (gains) recorded as a result of changes in the fair value of assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2019 and 2020:

	2019	2020
	(in millions)	
Investment securities	¥ (50,785)	¥ (2,171)
Loans	30,153	47,359
Loans held for sale	4,762	4,688
Collateral dependent loans	25,391	42,671
Premises and equipment	31,345	16,517
Intangible assets	118,108	3,732
Goodwill	—	383,810
Other assets	59,557	46,237
Investments in equity method investees	51,645	21,672
Other	7,912	24,565
Total	¥188,378	¥495,484

mitsubishi
UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Investment securities for the year ended March 31, 2019 and 2020 primarily include nonmarketable equity securities measured under the measurement alternative. See Note 3 for the details of the measurement alternative.

Loans include loans held for sale and collateral dependent loans. Loans held for sale are recorded at the lower of cost or estimated fair value. The fair value of the loans held for sale is based on secondary market prices, recent transactions or discounted cash flows. These loans are principally classified in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, and when quoted prices are available but not traded actively, such loans held for sale are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. Collateral dependent loans are measured at fair value of the underlying collateral. Collateral is comprised mainly of real estate and exchange-traded equity securities. The MUFG Group maintains an established process for internally determining the fair value of real estate, using the following valuation techniques and assumptions. Collateral dependent loans that are measured based on underlying real estate collateral are classified in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

- *Replacement cost approach.* The replacement cost approach is primarily used for buildings and the land they are built on. This approach calculates the fair value of the collateral using the replacement cost of the property as of the valuation date. Replacement cost tables and useful life tables used for this approach are developed by subsidiaries of MUFG.
- *Sales comparison approach.* The sales comparison approach is mainly used for land. The fair value of the collateral located in Japan is based on Japanese government official land prices and standard land prices, considering the results of comparison analysis between the official roadside value which is used for tax purposes and the related government official land and standard land prices.
- *Income approach.* The income approach is, as a general rule, applied to all rental properties based on the highest and best use concept. This approach calculates the fair value of the collateral using expected future cash flows. In this approach, the expected annual net operating income is discounted using the related capitalization yield. The significant assumptions within the income approach are the expected annual net operating income and capitalization yield. The expected annual net operating income is estimated based on rental income of the property. The capitalization yield is determined based on the location and use of the property by subsidiaries of MUFG. The capitalization yield may be adjusted to reflect the trends in locations, occupancy rates and rent level and other factors.

Premises and equipment consist of those assets which were written down to fair value. The fair values are determined based on prices obtained from an appraiser or discounted cash flows. These impaired premises and equipment are classified as Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Intangible assets consist of those assets which were written down to fair value. The fair values are determined based on discounted cash flows. These impaired intangible assets are classified as Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Other assets mainly consist of investments in equity method investees which were written down to fair value due to impairment. When investments in equity method investees are marketable equity securities, the fair values are determined based on quoted prices. Impaired investments in equity method investees which are marketable equity securities are classified in either Level 1 or Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. When investments in equity method investees are nonmarketable equity securities, the fair values are determined using the same methodologies as those for impaired nonmarketable equity securities described above. Impaired investments in equity method investees which are nonmarketable equity securities are classified in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Fair Value Option

The MUFG Group also elected the fair value option for certain financial instruments held by Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings' foreign subsidiaries because those financial instruments are managed on a fair value basis, and these exposures are considered to be trading-related positions. These financial assets are included in Other assets. These financial liabilities are mainly included in Other short-term borrowings and Long-term debt. Unrealized gains and losses on such financial instruments are recognized in the accompanying consolidated statements of income.

	(in millions)					
	Total	Foreign exchange gains (losses)	Trading account profits (losses)	Total	Foreign exchange gains (losses)	Trading account profits (losses)
2018						
2019						
2020						

[illegible]

(1) Excludes Danamon's equity securities. See Note 2 for reference.

(2) Change in value attributable to the instrument-specific credit risk related to those financial liabilities are not material.

The following table presents the differences between the aggregate fair value and the aggregate remaining contractual principal balance outstanding as of March 31, 2019 and 2020 for long-term debt instruments for which the fair value option has been elected:

[illegible]

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Interest income and expense related to the assets and liabilities for which the fair value option is elected are measured based on the contractual rates and dividend income related to these assets are recognized when the shareholder right to receive the dividend is established. These interest income and expense and dividend income are reported in the accompanying consolidated statements of income as either interest income or expense, depending on the nature of the related asset or liability.

Estimated Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The following is a summary of carrying amounts and estimated fair values by level within the fair value hierarchy of financial instruments which are not carried at fair value on a recurring basis in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets as of March 31, 2019 and 2020:

At March 31, 2019	Carrying amount	Estimated fair value			
		Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
			(in billions)		
Financial assets:					
Cash and due from banks	¥ 33,924	¥ 33,924	¥33,924	¥ —	¥ —
Interest-earning deposits in other banks	40,647	40,647	—	40,647	—
Call loans and funds sold	1,110	1,110	—	1,110	—
Receivables under resale agreements	10,975	10,975	—	10,975	—
Receivables under securities borrowing transactions	2,759	2,759	—	2,759	—
Investment securities	4,442	4,453	1,197	1,135	2,121
Loans, net of allowance for credit losses ⁽¹⁾	116,213	117,064	3	247	116,814
Other financial assets ⁽²⁾	7,455	7,455	—	7,455	—
Financial liabilities:					
Deposits					
Non-interest-bearing	¥ 30,443	¥ 30,443	¥ —	¥ 30,443	¥ —
Interest-bearing	168,846	168,899	—	168,899	—
Total deposits	199,289	199,342	—	199,342	—
Call money and funds purchased	2,450	2,450	—	2,450	—
Payables under repurchase agreements	25,225	25,225	—	25,225	—
Payables under securities lending transactions	913	913	—	913	—
Due to trust account and other short-term borrowings	9,177	9,177	—	9,177	—
Long-term debt	27,790	27,968	—	27,968	—
Other financial liabilities	6,781	6,781	—	6,781	—

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

At March 31, 2020	Carrying amount	Estimated fair value			
		Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
			(in billions)		
Financial assets:					
Cash and due from banks	¥ 33,283	¥ 33,283	¥33,283	¥ —	¥ —
Interest-earning deposits in other banks	45,267	45,267	—	45,267	—
Call loans and funds sold	1,169	1,169	—	1,169	—
Receivables under resale agreements	23,996	23,996	—	23,996	—
Receivables under securities borrowing transactions	3,444	3,444	—	3,444	—
Investment securities	4,166	4,178	1,134	1,042	2,002
Loans, net of allowance for credit losses ⁽¹⁾	117,373	118,497	3	259	118,235
Other financial assets ⁽²⁾	8,494	8,494	—	8,494	—
Financial liabilities:					
Deposits					
Non-interest-bearing	¥ 33,382	¥ 33,382	¥ —	¥ 33,382	¥ —
Interest-bearing	170,574	170,631	—	170,631	—
Total deposits	203,956	204,013	—	204,013	—
Call money and funds purchased	3,669	3,669	—	3,669	—
Payables under repurchase agreements	31,850	31,850	—	31,850	—
Payables under securities lending transactions	1,017	1,017	—	1,017	—
Due to trust account and other short-term borrowings	19,057	19,057	—	19,057	—
Long-term debt	27,772	27,941	—	27,941	—
Other financial liabilities	7,139	7,139	—	7,139	—

Notes:

- (1) Includes loans held for sale and collateral dependent loans measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. Refer to "Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis" for the details of the level classification.
- (2) Excludes investments in equity method investees of ¥2,487 billion and ¥2,421 billion at March 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively.

The fair values of certain off-balance sheet financial instruments held for purposes other than trading, including commitments to extend credit and commercial letters of credit, are estimated using the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the credit quality. The aggregate fair value of such instruments at March 31, 2019 and 2020 was not material.

32. PARENT COMPANY ONLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Distributions of retained earnings of MUFG Bank and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking are restricted in order to meet the minimum capital adequacy requirements under the Banking Law. Additionally, retained earnings of these banking subsidiaries are restricted, except for approximately ¥6,077 billion and ¥5,247 billion, in accordance with the statutory reserve requirements under the Companies Act at March 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively. See Notes 19 and 21 for further information.

The Banking Law and related regulations restrict the ability of these banking subsidiaries to extend loans or credit to the parent company. Such loans or credits to the parent company are generally limited to 15% of the banking subsidiary's consolidated total capital, as determined by the capital adequacy guidelines.

At March 31, 2019 and 2020, approximately ¥5,160 billion and ¥5,696 billion, respectively, of net assets of consolidated subsidiaries may be restricted as to payment of cash dividends and loans to the parent company.

mitsubishi uFJ Financial Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

The following table presents the parent company only financial information of MUFG:

Condensed Balance Sheets

	As of March 31,	
	2019	2020
	(in millions)	
Assets:		
Cash and interest-earning deposits with banking subsidiaries	¥ 203,713	¥ 185,668
Investments in subsidiaries and affiliated companies	16,668,232	16,116,568
Banking subsidiaries	12,754,653	12,361,190
Non-banking subsidiaries and affiliated companies	3,913,579	3,755,378
Loans to subsidiaries	7,199,052	8,746,231
Banking subsidiaries	6,864,309	8,222,278
Non-banking subsidiaries	334,743	523,953
Other assets	223,725	241,441
Total assets	<u>¥24,294,722</u>	<u>¥25,289,908</u>
Liabilities and Shareholders' equity:		
Short-term borrowings from banking subsidiaries	¥ 1,425,682	¥ 1,255,991
Long-term debt from non-banking subsidiaries and affiliated companies	277,138	30,686
Long-term debt	7,187,469	8,758,646
Other liabilities	204,885	228,098
Total liabilities	<u>9,095,174</u>	<u>10,273,421</u>
Total shareholders' equity	<u>15,199,548</u>	<u>15,016,487</u>
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	<u>¥24,294,722</u>	<u>¥25,289,908</u>

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Condensed Statements of Income

	Fiscal years ended March 31,		
	2018	2019	2020
	(in millions)		
Income:			
Dividends from subsidiaries and affiliated companies	¥ 576,332	¥306,879	¥ 376,376
Banking subsidiaries	487,491	207,161	277,472
Non-banking subsidiaries and affiliated companies	88,841	99,718	98,904
Management fees from subsidiaries	26,073	28,305	33,543
Interest income from subsidiaries	80,670	127,117	174,500
Foreign exchange gains (losses)—net	24,726	(20,598)	4,834
Trading account losses—net	(26,749)	(8,078)	(37,272)
Gains on sales of investment in subsidiaries and affiliated companies	—	252,253	67,406
Other income	1,508	3,199	14,968
Total income	<u>682,560</u>	<u>689,077</u>	<u>634,355</u>
Expense:			
Operating expenses	26,016	28,168	30,431
Interest expense to subsidiaries and affiliated companies	31,426	34,594	26,244
Interest expense	65,068	108,756	155,774
Other expense	1,791	1,657	15,024
Total expense	<u>124,301</u>	<u>173,175</u>	<u>227,473</u>
Equity in undistributed net income (loss) of subsidiaries and affiliated companies—net	672,421	194,390	(108,069)
Income before income tax expense (benefit)	1,230,680	710,292	298,813
Income tax expense (benefit)	2,520	(8,353)	(7,142)
Net income	<u>¥1,228,160</u>	<u>¥718,645</u>	<u>¥ 305,955</u>

mitsubishi ufi financial group, inc. and subsidiaries
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Condensed Statements of Cash Flows

	Fiscal years ended March 31,		
	2018	2019	2020
	(in millions)		
Operating activities:			
Net income	¥ 1,228,160	¥ 718,645	¥ 305,955
Adjustments and other	(799,571)	(351,775)	(1,704)
Net cash provided by operating activities	428,589	366,870	304,251
Investing activities:			
Proceeds from redemption of other investment securities	—	—	240,000
Proceeds from sales of investment in subsidiaries and affiliated companies	—	455,605	135,581
Purchase of equity investment in subsidiaries and an affiliated company	(53,000)	(18,346)	—
Net increase in loans to subsidiaries	(1,682,576)	(2,112,711)	(1,547,178)
Other—net	(4,361)	(9,009)	(12,572)
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,739,937)	(1,684,461)	(1,184,169)
Financing activities:			
Net decrease in short-term borrowings from subsidiaries	(41,402)	(194,300)	(164,272)
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	1,872,986	2,043,677	1,844,488
Repayment of long-term debt	(112,184)	(314)	(214,994)
Repayment of long-term debt to affiliated companies	(1,090)	(500)	(241,000)
Proceeds from sales of treasury stock	1	3	2
Payments for acquisition of treasury stock	(200,038)	(150,277)	(50,022)
Dividends paid	(241,067)	(276,279)	(304,538)
Other—net	(9,677)	(15,490)	(7,791)
Net cash provided by financing activities	1,267,529	1,406,520	861,873
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(43,819)	88,929	(18,045)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of fiscal year	158,603	114,784	203,713
Cash and cash equivalents at end of fiscal year	¥ 114,784	¥ 203,713	¥ 185,668

33. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Approval of Dividends

On June 29, 2020, the shareholders approved the payment of cash dividends of ¥12.5 per share of Common stock, totaling ¥160,919 million, that were payable on June 30, 2020, to the shareholders of record on March 31, 2020.

* * * * *

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit	Description
1(a)	Articles of Incorporation of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc., as amended on July 6, 2018 (English translation)*
1(b)	Board of Directors Regulations of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc., as amended on June 25, 2015 (English translation)*
1(c)	Corporation Meetings Regulations of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc., as amended on July 1, 2018 (English translation)*
1(d)	Share Handling Regulations of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc., as amended on June 25, 2015 (English Translation)**
1(e)	Charter of the Audit Committee of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc., as amended on July 1, 2018 (English translation)*
1(f)	Charter of the Compensation Committee of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc., as amended on July 1, 2018 (English translation)*
1(g)	Charter of the Nominating and Governance Committee of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc., as amended on July 1, 2018 (English translation)*
1(h)	Charter of the Risk Committee of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc., as amended on July 1, 2018 (English translation)*
2(a)	Form of American Depositary Receipt*
2(b)	Form of Deposit Agreement, amended and restated as of December 22, 2004, among Mitsubishi Tokyo Financial Group, Inc. (subsequently renamed Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc.), The Bank of New York Mellon and the holders from time to time of American Depositary Receipts issued thereunder*
2(c)	Description of Securities
8	Subsidiaries of the Company—see “Item 4.C. Information on the Company—Organizational Structure.”
11	MUFG Group Code of Conduct, Compliance Rules, Compliance Manual, and Rules of Employment of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc. applicable to its principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer and persons performing similar functions (English translation of relevant sections)
12	Certifications required by Rule 13a-14(a) (17 CFR 240.13a-14(a)) or Rule 15d-14(a) (17 CFR 240.15d-14(a))
13	Certifications required by Rule 13a-14(b) (17 CFR 240.13a-14(b)) or Rule 15d-14(b) (17 CFR 240.15d-14(b)) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code (18 U.S.C. 1350)
15(a)	Consent of independent registered public accounting firm (Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu LLC)
15(b)	Consent of independent registered public accounting firm (Deloitte & Touche LLP)
99(a)	Capitalization and Indebtedness of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc. as of March 31, 2020***
99(b)	Unaudited Reverse Reconciliation of Selected Financial Information of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc. as of and for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020****
99(c)	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data of Morgan Stanley*****
101.INS	Inline XBRL Instance Document—the instance document does not appear in the Interactive Data File because its XBRL tags are embedded within the Inline XBRL document
101.SCH	Inline XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document

Exhibit	Description
101.CAL	Inline XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	Inline XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	Inline XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	Inline XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document
104	The cover page for the Company's Annual Report on Form 20-F for the year ended March 31, 2020, has been formatted in Inline XBRL

Notes:

- * Incorporated by reference to our annual report on Form 20-F (File No. 000-54189) filed on July 12, 2018.
- ** Incorporated by reference to our registration statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-230590) filed on March 29, 2019.
- *** Deemed to be incorporated by reference into the registration statement on Form F-3 (No. 333-229697) of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc. and to be a part thereof.
- **** Deemed to be incorporated as Annex A to the registration statement on Form F-3 (No. 333-229697) of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc. and to be a part thereof.
- ***** Incorporated by reference to Morgan Stanley's annual report on Form 10-K (File No. 001-11758) filed on February 27, 2020.

Signature

The registrant hereby certifies that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form 20-F and that it has duly caused and authorized the undersigned to sign this Annual Report on its behalf.

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.

By: /s/ HIRONORI KAMEZAWA

Name: **Hironori Kamezawa**

Title: **President & Group Chief Executive Officer**

Date: July 10, 2020

DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES

Common Stock

We summarize below the material provisions of our Articles of Incorporation, our share handling regulations and the Companies Act as they relate to a type of joint stock company known as *kabushiki kaisha*, within which we fall. Because it is a summary, this discussion should be read together with our Articles of Incorporation and share handling regulations, each attached as an exhibit to our annual report on Form 20-F.

General

A joint stock company is a legal entity incorporated under the Companies Act. The investment and rights of the shareholders of a joint stock company are represented by shares of stock in the company and shareholders' liability is limited to the amount of the subscription for the shares. Our authorized common share capital is comprised of 33,000,000,000 shares of common stock with no par value.

We may issue shares from our authorized but unissued share capital following a resolution to that effect by our board of directors. An increase in our authorized share capital is only possible by amendment of our Articles of Incorporation, which generally requires shareholders' special approval.

In order to assert shareholder rights against us, a shareholder must have its name and address registered on our register of shareholders in accordance with the Companies Act and our share handling regulations. The registered holder of deposited shares underlying the ADSs is the depositary for the ADSs, or its nominee. Accordingly, holders of ADSs will not be able to assert shareholder rights other than as provided in the agreement among us, the depositary and the holders of the ADSs.

Under the Act on Book-Entry Transfer of Company Bonds, Shares, etc., the shares of all Japanese companies listed on any Japanese stock exchange, including our shares, are traded without share certificates through entry in the books maintained under a central clearing system.

Dividends

Dividends are distributed in proportion to the number of shares owned by each shareholder on the record date for the dividend. Dividends for each financial period may be distributed following shareholders' approval at a general meeting of shareholders.

Payment of dividends on common stock is subject to the preferential dividend rights of holders of preferred stock.

Under the Banking Law and our Articles of Incorporation, our financial accounts are closed on March 31 of each year, and dividends, if any, are paid to shareholders of record as of March 31 following shareholders' approval at a general meeting of shareholders. In addition to year-end dividends, our board of directors may by resolution declare an interim cash dividend to shareholders of record as of September 30 of each year. Under the Companies Act, distribution of dividends will take the form of distribution of surplus (as defined below). We will be permitted to make distributions of surplus to our shareholders any number of times per fiscal year pursuant to resolutions of our general meetings of shareholders, subject to certain limitations described below. Distributions of surplus are in principle required to be authorized by a resolution of a general meeting of shareholders. Distributions of surplus would, however, be permitted to be made pursuant to a resolution of our board of directors if:

- (a) our Articles of Incorporation so provide (our Articles of Incorporation currently contain no such provisions);

- (b) the normal term of office of our directors is one year; and
- (c) certain conditions concerning our non-consolidated annual financial statements and certain documents for the latest fiscal year as required by an ordinance of the Ministry of Justice are satisfied.

In an exception to the above rule, even if the requirements described in (a) through (c) are not met, we are permitted to make distributions of surplus in cash to our shareholders by resolutions of the board of directors once per fiscal year as mentioned above concerning interim cash dividend.

Under the Companies Act, distributions of surplus may be made in cash or in kind in proportion to the number of shares of common stock held by each shareholder. A resolution of a general meeting of shareholders or our board of directors authorizing a distribution of surplus must specify the kind and aggregate book value of the assets to be distributed, the manner of allocation of such assets to shareholders, and the effective date of the distribution. If a distribution of surplus is to be made in kind, we may, pursuant to a resolution of a general meeting of shareholders or (as the case may be) our board of directors, grant to our shareholders the right to require us to make such distribution in cash instead of in kind. If no such right is granted to shareholders, the relevant distribution of surplus must be approved by a special resolution of a general meeting of shareholders. See “Common Stock—Voting Rights” below.

Under the Companies Act, we may make distributions of surplus to the extent that the aggregate book value of the assets to be distributed to shareholders does not exceed the distributable amount (as defined below) as of the effective date of such distributions of surplus. The amount of surplus (the “surplus”) at any given time shall be the amount of our assets and the book value of our treasury stock after subtracting the amounts of items (1) through (5) below as they appear on our non-consolidated balance sheet as of the end of our last fiscal year, and after reflecting the changes in our surplus after the end of our last fiscal year, by adding the amounts of items (6), (7) and (8) below and/or subtracting the amounts of items (9), (10) and (11) below:

- (1) our liabilities;
- (2) our stated capital;
- (3) our additional paid-in capital;
- (4) our accumulated legal reserve;
- (5) other amounts as are set out in an ordinance of the Ministry of Justice;
- (6) (if we transferred our treasury stock after the end of the last fiscal year) the transfer price of our treasury stock after subtracting the book value thereof;
- (7) (if we decreased our stated capital after the end of the last fiscal year) the amount of decrease in our stated capital (excluding the amount transferred to additional paid-in capital or legal reserve);
- (8) (if we decreased our additional paid-in capital or legal reserve after the end of the last fiscal year) the amount of decrease in our additional paid-in capital or legal reserve (excluding the amount transferred to stated capital);
- (9) (if we cancelled our treasury stock after the end of the last fiscal year) the book value of the cancelled treasury stock;
- (10) (if we distributed surplus to shareholders after the end of the last fiscal year) the amount of the assets distributed to shareholders by way of such distribution of surplus; and
- (11) other amounts as are set out in an ordinance of the Ministry of Justice.

A distributable amount (the “distributable amount”) at any given time shall be the aggregate amount of (a) the surplus, (b) the amount of profit as recorded for the period after the end of our last fiscal year until the date of an extraordinary settlement of account (if any) as is set out in an ordinance of the Ministry of Justice and

(c) the transfer price of our treasury stock in the same period, after subtracting the amounts of the following items:

- (1) the book value of our treasury stock;
- (2) (if we transferred our treasury stock after the end of the last fiscal year) the transfer price of our treasury stock;
- (3) the losses recorded for the period after the end of our last fiscal year until the date of an extraordinary settlement of account (if any) as set out in an ordinance of the Ministry of Justice; and
- (4) other amounts as set out in an ordinance of the Ministry of Justice.

In Japan, the “ex-dividend” date and the record date for any dividends precede the date of determination of the amount of the dividend to be paid. The market price of shares generally becomes ex-dividend on the second business day prior to the record date. Under our Articles of Incorporation, we are not obligated to pay any dividends which are left unclaimed for a period of five years after the date on which they first became payable.

Capital and Reserves

Under the Companies Act, we may reduce our additional paid-in capital or legal reserve (without limitation as to the amount of such reduction) generally by resolution of a general meeting of shareholders and, if so resolved in the same resolution, may account for the whole or any part of the amount of such reduction as stated capital. We may also reduce our stated capital generally by special resolution of a general meeting of shareholders and, if so resolved in the same resolution, such reduction may account for the whole or any part of the amount of such reduction as additional paid-in capital or legal reserve. Conversely, we may reduce our surplus and increase either (i) stated capital or (ii) additional paid-in capital and/or legal reserve by the same amount, in either case by resolution of a general meeting of shareholders.

Stock Splits

Stock splits of our outstanding stock may be effected at any time by resolution of the board of directors. When a stock split is to be effected, we may increase the authorized share capital to cover the number of shares to be increased by the stock split by amending our Articles of Incorporation by resolution of the board of directors without approval by special resolution of the general meeting of shareholders, unless more than one class of stock is issued and outstanding. We must give public notice of the stock split, specifying a record date at least two weeks prior to the record date.

Unit Share (tan-gen kabu) System

We have adopted a unit share system, where 100 shares of either common or preferred stock shall each constitute a unit.

Under the unit share system, each unit is entitled to one voting right. A holder of less than one unit has no voting right. Our Articles of Incorporation provide that the holders of shares constituting less than a full unit will not have shareholder rights except for those specified in the Companies Act or an ordinance of the Ministry of Justice, including rights (i) to receive dividends, (ii) to receive cash or other assets in case of consolidation or split of shares, stock-for-stock exchange or stock-for-stock transfer, corporate split or merger or (iii) to be allotted rights to subscribe for free for new shares and stock acquisition rights when such rights are granted to shareholders. Shareholders may require us to purchase shares constituting less than a unit at the current market price. In addition, holders of shares constituting less than a unit may require us to sell them such number of shares, which, when combined with the number of shares already held by such holder, shall constitute a whole unit of share; provided that we will be obliged to comply with such request only when we own a sufficient number of shares to accommodate the desired sale and purchase. The board of directors may reduce the number

of shares constituting a unit or cease to use the unit share system by amendments to the Articles of Incorporation without shareholders' approval even though amendments to the Articles of Incorporation generally require a special resolution of the general meeting of shareholders.

General Meeting of Shareholders

The ordinary general meeting of our shareholders is usually held in June of each year in Tokyo. In addition, we may hold an extraordinary general meeting of shareholders whenever necessary by giving at least two weeks' advance notice to shareholders who are entitled to vote at the relevant general meeting of shareholders. The record date for ordinary general meetings of our shareholders is March 31.

Any shareholder holding at least 300 voting rights or 1% of the total number of voting rights for six consecutive months or longer may propose a matter to be considered at a general meeting of shareholders by submitting a written request to a director at least eight weeks prior to the date of the meeting. Currently, there are no limits to the number of matters that can be proposed by an eligible shareholder. However, the number of matters to be proposed by an eligible shareholder will be limited to 10 by an amendment to the Companies Act which is expected to take effect in 2021. The number of minimum voting rights, minimum percentage and time period necessary for exercising the minority shareholder rights described above may be decreased or shortened if our Articles of Incorporation so provide. Our Articles of Incorporation currently contain no such provisions.

Voting Rights

A holder of shares of our common stock is generally entitled to one voting right for each unit of common stock held. The following shares of common stock are not entitled to voting rights even when such shares constitute a whole unit, and such shares of common stock are not considered when determining whether a quorum exists for a shareholders' meeting:

- treasury stock;
- shares held by a company in which we and/or our subsidiaries own 25% or more of the total voting rights; and
- shares issued after the record date as a result of conversion of convertible stock, exercise of stock acquisition rights, and fractional shareholders becoming a shareholder of a whole unit share.

On the other hand, holders of certain class of preferred stock shall be entitled to a voting right for each unit of preferred stock held under certain conditions provided for by relevant laws or regulations and our Articles of Incorporation, for example, when a proposal to pay the full amount of preferential dividends on any class of preferred stock in compliance with the terms of such preferred stock is not included in the agenda of the relevant shareholders meeting. See "Preferred Stock" below.

Under our Articles of Incorporation, except as otherwise provided by law or by other provisions of our Articles of Incorporation, a resolution can be adopted at a shareholders' meeting by the holders of a majority of the voting rights represented at the meeting. The Companies Act and our Articles of Incorporation require a quorum of not less than one-third of the total number of voting rights for election of our directors.

The Companies Act and our Articles of Incorporation provide that a quorum of not less than one-third of outstanding voting rights, excluding those owned by our subsidiaries and affiliates of which we own, directly or indirectly, 25 percent or more, must be present at a shareholders' meeting to approve specified corporate actions, such as:

- the amendment of our Articles of Incorporation, except in some limited cases;
- the repurchase of our own stock from a specific shareholder other than our subsidiary;

- the consolidation of shares;
- the offering to persons other than shareholders of stock at a specially favorable price, or of stock acquisition rights or bonds or notes with stock acquisition rights with specially favorable conditions;
- the exemption from liability of a director or corporate auditor, with certain exceptions;
- a reduction in stated capital with certain exceptions in which a shareholders' resolution is not required;
- a distribution of in-kind dividends which meets certain requirements;
- the transfer of the whole or an important part of our business, except in some limited circumstances;
- the acquisition of the whole business of another company, except in some limited circumstances;
- a dissolution, merger or consolidation, except for certain types of mergers;
- a stock-for-stock exchange (*kabushiki-kokan*) or stock-for-stock transfer (*kabushiki-iten*), except in some limited circumstances; and
- a corporate split, except in some limited circumstances.

A special resolution representing at least two-thirds of the voting rights represented at the meeting is required to approve these actions.

Our Articles of Incorporation do not include any provision that grants shareholders cumulative voting rights at elections of directors.

Subscription Rights

Holders of our shares have no preemptive rights under our Articles of Incorporation. Under the Companies Act, however, our board of directors may determine that shareholders be given subscription rights in connection with a particular issue of new shares. In this case, these subscription rights must be given on uniform terms to all shareholders, and if a specified record date is set, it must be announced in a public notice at least two weeks prior to the record date. A notification to each individual shareholder must also be given at least two weeks prior to the subscription date.

Under the Companies Act, rights to subscribe for new shares may not be transferred; however, we may allot stock acquisition rights to shareholders without consideration, and such rights will be transferable.

Stock Acquisition Rights

We may issue stock acquisition rights (*shinkabu yoyakuken*), which in the United States are often in the form of warrants, or bonds with stock acquisition rights that cannot be detached (*shinkabu yoyakuken-tsuki shasai*), which in the United States are often in the form of convertible bonds or bonds with non-detachable warrants. Except where the issuance would be on "specially favorable" conditions, the issuance of stock acquisition rights or bonds with stock acquisition rights may be authorized by a resolution of our board of directors. Upon exercise of the stock acquisition rights, the holder of such rights may acquire shares by paying the applicable exercise price or, if so determined by a resolution of our board of directors, by making a substitute payment, such as having the convertible bonds redeemed for no cash in lieu of the exercise price.

Liquidation Rights

Upon our liquidation, the assets remaining after payment of all debts, liquidation expenses, taxes and preferred distributions to holders of shares of our preferred stock will be distributed among the holders of shares of our common stock in proportion to the number of shares they own.

Transfer Agent

Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking is the transfer agent for our common stock. The office of Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking for this purpose is located at 4-5, Marunouchi 1-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8212, Japan. Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking maintains our register of shareholders.

Reports to Shareholders

We furnish to our shareholders notices, in Japanese, of shareholders' meetings, annual business reports, including our financial statements, and notices of resolutions adopted at our shareholders' meetings.

Record Dates

As stated above, March 31 is the record date for the payment of annual dividends (if any), the determination of shareholders entitled to vote at ordinary general meetings of our shareholders, and the determination of class shareholders entitled to vote at meetings of our class shareholders if any matter to be resolved at an ordinary general meeting of our shareholders requires a resolution by our class shareholders in addition to a resolution by our shareholders. September 30 is the record date for the payment of interim dividends, if any. In addition, by a resolution of our board of directors and after giving at least two weeks' prior public notice, we may at any time set a record date in order to determine the shareholders who are entitled to the rights pertaining to our shares.

Repurchase of Our Shares

We may repurchase our own shares:

- through the Tokyo Stock Exchange or other stock exchanges on which our shares are listed, if authorized by a resolution of a general meeting of shareholders or our board of directors;
- by way of a tender offer, if authorized by a resolution of a general meeting of shareholders or our board of directors;
- from a specific party, if authorized by a special resolution of a general meeting of shareholders and we give notice thereof to shareholders prior to such general meeting, in general;
- from all shareholders of a specific class of shares offering to sell their shares, if authorized by a resolution of a general meeting of shareholders or our board of directors and we give a public notice or notice thereof to all of the shareholders (if we repurchase any class of preferred stock, notices to all shareholders of the relevant class of preferred stock); or
- from our subsidiaries, if authorized by a resolution of the board of directors.

When the repurchase is made by us from a specific party, as authorized by a special resolution of a general meeting of shareholders, any shareholder may make a demand to a director, five days or more prior to the relevant shareholders' meeting, that we also repurchase the shares held by that shareholder. However, no such right will be available if the shares have a market price, and if the purchase price does not exceed the then market price calculated in a manner set forth in an ordinance of the Ministry of Justice.

Repurchase of our own shares described above must satisfy various specified requirements. In general, the same restrictions on the distributable amount as described in the seventh paragraph under “—Common Stock—Dividends.” are applicable to the repurchase of our own shares, so the total amount of the repurchase price may not exceed the distributable amount.

We may hold our own shares so repurchased without restrictions. In addition, we may cancel or dispose of our repurchased shares by a resolution of our board of directors.

American Depositary Shares

The Bank of New York Mellon will issue ADRs. Each ADR will represent ownership interests in ADSs. Each ADS represents one share of our common stock. Each ADS is held by MUFG Bank, acting as custodian, at its principal office in Tokyo, on behalf of The Bank of New York Mellon, acting as depositary. Each ADS will also represent securities, cash or other property deposited with The Bank of New York Mellon but not distributed to ADS holders. The Bank of New York Mellon's corporate trust office is located at 240 Greenwich Street, New York, New York 10286 and its principal executive office is located at 225 Liberty Street, New York, New York 10286.

You may hold ADSs either directly or indirectly through your broker or other financial institution. If you hold ADSs directly, you are an ADS holder. This description assumes you hold your ADSs directly. If you hold the ADSs indirectly, you must rely on the procedures of your broker or other financial institution to assert the rights of ADS holders described herein. You should consult with your broker or financial institution to find out what those procedures are.

The Bank of New York Mellon will actually be the registered holder of the common stock, so you will have to rely on it to exercise your rights as a shareholder. Our obligations and the obligations of The Bank of New York Mellon are set out in a deposit agreement among us, The Bank of New York Mellon and you, as an ADS holder. The deposit agreement and the ADSs are governed by New York law.

The following is a summary of the material terms of the deposit agreement. Because it is a summary, it does not contain all the information that may be important to you. For more complete information, you should read the entire deposit agreement and the form of ADR, each attached as an exhibit to our annual report on Form 20-F.

Share Dividends and Other Distributions

The Bank of New York Mellon has agreed to pay to you the cash dividends or other distributions it or the custodian receives on shares of common stock or other deposited securities, after deducting its fees and expenses. You will receive these distributions in proportion to the number of shares your ADSs represent.

Cash. The Bank of New York Mellon will convert any cash dividend or other cash distribution we pay on our common stock into U.S. dollars, if it can do so on a reasonable basis and can transfer the U.S. dollars to the United States. If that is not possible or if any approval from the Japanese government is needed and cannot be obtained, the deposit agreement allows The Bank of New York Mellon to distribute the Japanese yen only to those ADS holders to whom it is possible to do so. The Bank of New York Mellon will hold the Japanese yen it cannot convert for the account of the ADS holders who have not been paid. It will not invest the Japanese yen and it will not be liable for any interest.

Before making a distribution, any withholding taxes that must be paid under Japanese law will be deducted. The Bank of New York Mellon will distribute only whole U.S. dollars and cents and will round fractional cents to the nearest whole cent. If the relevant exchange rates fluctuate during a time when The Bank of New York Mellon cannot convert the Japanese currency, you may lose some or all of the value of the distribution.

Shares. The Bank of New York Mellon may distribute new ADSs representing any shares we may distribute as a dividend or free distribution, if we furnish The Bank of New York Mellon promptly with satisfactory evidence that it is legal to do so. The Bank of New York Mellon will only distribute whole ADSs. It will sell shares which would require it to issue a fractional ADS and distribute the net proceeds in the same way as it distributes cash dividends. If The Bank of New York Mellon does not distribute additional ADSs, each ADS will also represent the new shares.

Rights to receive additional shares. If we offer holders of our common stock any rights to subscribe for additional shares of common stock or any other rights, The Bank of New York Mellon may, after consultation

with us, make those rights available to you. We must first instruct The Bank of New York Mellon to do so and furnish it with satisfactory evidence that it is legal to do so. If we do not furnish this evidence and/or do not give these instructions, and The Bank of New York Mellon decides that it is practical to sell the rights, The Bank of New York Mellon will sell the rights and distribute the proceeds in the same way as it distributes cash dividends. The Bank of New York Mellon may allow rights that are not distributed or sold to lapse. In that case, you will receive no value for them.

If The Bank of New York Mellon makes rights available to you, upon instruction from you it will exercise the rights and purchase the shares on your behalf. The Bank of New York Mellon will then deposit the shares and issue ADSs to you. It will only exercise the rights if you pay it the exercise price and any other charges the rights require you to pay.

U.S. securities laws may restrict the sale, deposit, cancellation and transfer of the ADSs issued after the exercise of the rights. For example, you may not be able to trade the ADSs freely in the United States. In this case, The Bank of New York Mellon may issue the ADSs under a separate restricted deposit agreement which will contain the same provisions as the deposit agreement, except for changes needed to put the restrictions in place. The Bank of New York Mellon will not offer you rights unless those rights and the securities to which the rights relate are either exempt from registration or have been registered under the U.S. Securities Act with respect to a distribution to you. We will have no obligation to register under the Securities Act those rights or the securities to which they relate.

Other distributions. The Bank of New York Mellon will send to you anything else we distribute on deposited securities by any means it thinks is legal, fair and practical. If it cannot make the distribution in that way, The Bank of New York Mellon has a choice. It may decide to sell what we distributed and distribute the net proceeds in the same way as it does with cash. Or, it may decide to hold what we distributed, in which case ADSs will also represent the newly distributed property.

The Bank of New York Mellon is not responsible if it decides that it is unlawful or impractical to make a distribution available to any ADS holders. We have no obligation to register ADSs, shares, rights or other securities under the Securities Act. We also have no obligation to take any other action to permit the distribution of ADSs, shares, rights or anything else to ADS holders. This means that you may not receive the distributions we make on our shares or any value for them if it is illegal or impractical for us or The Bank of New York Mellon to make them available to you.

Deposit, Withdrawal and Cancellation

The Bank of New York Mellon will issue ADSs if you or your broker deposits shares or evidence of rights to receive shares with the custodian. Upon payment of its fees and expenses and of any taxes or charges, such as stamp taxes or stock transfer taxes or fees, The Bank of New York Mellon will register the appropriate number of ADSs in the names you request and will deliver the ADSs at its corporate trust office to the persons you request.

In certain circumstances, subject to the provisions of the deposit agreement, The Bank of New York Mellon may issue ADSs before the deposit of the underlying shares. This is called a pre-release of ADSs. A pre-release is closed out as soon as the underlying shares are delivered to the depositary. The depositary may receive ADSs instead of the shares to close out a pre-release. The depositary may pre-release ADSs only under the following conditions:

- Before or at the time of the pre-release, the person to whom the pre-release is made must represent to the depositary in writing that it or its customer, as the case may be, owns the shares to be deposited;
- The pre-release must be fully collateralized with cash or collateral that the depositary considers appropriate; and
- The depositary must be able to close out the pre-release on not more than five business days' notice.

The pre-release will be subject to whatever indemnities and credit regulations that the depositary considers appropriate. In addition, the depositary will limit the number of ADSs that may be outstanding at any time as a result of a pre-release.

You may turn in your ADSs at the Corporate Trust Office of The Bank of New York Mellon's office. Upon payment of its fees and expenses and of any taxes or charges, such as stamp taxes or stock transfer taxes or fees, The Bank of New York Mellon will deliver (1) the underlying shares to an account designated by you and (2) any other deposited securities underlying the ADS at the office of the custodian. Or, at your request, risk and expense, The Bank of New York Mellon will deliver the deposited securities at its Corporate Trust Office.

The ADSs may only be presented for cancellation and release of the underlying shares of common stock or other deposited securities in multiples of 100 ADSs. Holders of ADRs evidencing less than 100 ADSs are not entitled to delivery of any underlying shares or other deposited securities unless ADRs, together with other ADRs presented by the same holder at the same time, represent in the aggregate at least 100 ADSs. If any ADSs are surrendered but not cancelled pursuant to the preceding sentence, The Bank of New York Mellon will execute and deliver an ADR or ADRs evidencing the balance of ADSs not so cancelled to the person or persons surrendering the same.

Voting Rights

If you are an ADS holder on a record date fixed by The Bank of New York Mellon, you may instruct The Bank of New York Mellon to vote the shares underlying your ADSs at a meeting of our shareholders in accordance with the procedures set forth in the deposit agreement.

The Bank of New York Mellon will notify you of the upcoming meeting and arrange to deliver our voting materials to you. The notice shall contain (a) such information as is contained in such notice of meeting, (b) a statement that as of the close of business on a specified record date you will be entitled, subject to any applicable provision of Japanese law and our Articles of Incorporation, to instruct The Bank of New York Mellon as to the exercise of the voting rights, if any, pertaining to the amount of shares or other deposited securities represented by your ADSs, and (c) a brief statement as to the manner in which such instructions may be given, including an express indication that instructions may be given to The Bank of New York Mellon to give a discretionary proxy to a person designated by us. Upon your written request, received on or before the date established by The Bank of New York Mellon for such purpose, The Bank of New York Mellon shall endeavor in so far as practicable to vote or cause to be voted the amount of shares or other deposited securities represented by your ADSs in accordance with the instructions set forth in your request. So long as Japanese law provides that votes may only be cast with respect to one or more whole shares or other deposited securities, The Bank of New York Mellon will aggregate voting instructions to the extent such instructions are the same and vote such whole shares or other deposited securities in accordance with your instructions. If, after aggregation of all instructions to vote received by The Bank of New York Mellon, any portion of the aggregated instructions constitutes instructions with respect to less than a whole share or other deposited securities, The Bank of New York Mellon will not vote or cause to be voted the shares or other deposited securities to which such portion of the instructions apply. The Bank of New York Mellon will not vote or attempt to exercise the right to vote that attaches to the shares or other deposited securities, other than in accordance with the instructions of the ADS holders. If no instructions are received by The Bank of New York Mellon from you with respect to any of the deposited securities represented by your ADSs on or before the date established by The Bank of New York Mellon for such purpose, The Bank of New York Mellon shall deem you to have instructed The Bank of New York Mellon to give a discretionary proxy to a person designated by us with respect to such deposited securities and The Bank of New York Mellon shall give a discretionary proxy to a person designated by us to vote such deposited securities, provided that no such instruction shall be given with respect to any matter as to which we inform The Bank of New York Mellon (and we have agreed to provide such information as promptly as practicable in writing) that (1) we do not wish such proxy given, (2) substantial opposition exists or (3) such matter materially and adversely affects the rights of holders of shares.

We cannot assure you that you will receive the voting materials in time to ensure that you can instruct The Bank of New York Mellon to vote your shares. In addition, The Bank of New York Mellon is not responsible for failing to carry out voting instructions or for the manner of carrying out voting instructions as long as it has acted in good faith. This means that you may not be able to exercise your right to vote and there may be nothing you can do if your shares are not voted as you requested.

Payment of Taxes

You will be responsible for any taxes or other governmental charges payable on your ADSs or on the deposited securities underlying your ADSs. The Bank of New York Mellon may refuse to transfer your ADSs or allow you to withdraw the deposited securities underlying your ADSs until those taxes or other charges are paid. It may apply payments owed to you or sell deposited securities underlying your ADSs to pay any taxes owed and you will remain liable for any deficiency. If it sells deposited securities, it will, if appropriate, reduce the number of ADSs to reflect the sale and pay to you any property remaining after it has paid the taxes.

Reclassifications, Recapitalizations and Mergers

If we:

- reclassify, split up or consolidate any of our shares or the deposited securities;
- recapitalize, reorganize, merge, liquidate, consolidate or sell all or substantially all of our assets or take any similar action; or
- distribute securities on the shares that are not distributed to you, then,
 - (1) the cash, shares or other securities received by The Bank of New York Mellon will become deposited securities and each ADS will automatically represent its equal share of the new deposited securities unless additional ADSs are issued; and
 - (2) The Bank of New York Mellon may, and will if we request, issue new ADSs or ask you to surrender your outstanding ADSs in exchange for new ADSs, identifying the new deposited securities.

Amendment and Termination

We may agree with The Bank of New York Mellon to amend the deposit agreement and the ADSs without your consent for any reason. If the amendment adds or increases fees or charges, except for taxes and other governmental charges, registration fees, cable, telex or facsimile transmission costs, delivery costs or other such expenses, or prejudices an important right of ADS holders, it will only become effective three months after The Bank of New York Mellon notifies you of the amendment. At the time an amendment becomes effective, you are considered, by continuing to hold your ADS, to agree to the amendment and to be bound by the ADSs and the deposit agreement as amended. However, no amendment will impair your right to receive the deposited securities in exchange for your ADSs.

The Bank of New York Mellon will terminate the deposit agreement if we ask it to do so, in which case it must notify you at least 30 days before termination. The Bank of New York Mellon may also terminate the deposit agreement if The Bank of New York Mellon has told us that it would like to resign and we have not appointed a new depositary bank within 60 days.

If any ADSs remain outstanding after termination, The Bank of New York Mellon will stop registering the transfers of ADSs, will stop distributing dividends to ADS holders and will not give any further notices or do anything else under the deposit agreement other than:

- (1) collect dividends and distributions on the deposited securities;
- (2) sell rights and other property offered to holders of deposited securities; and

- (3) deliver shares and other deposited securities in exchange for ADSs surrendered to The Bank of New York Mellon.

At any time after one year following termination, The Bank of New York Mellon may sell any remaining deposited securities. After that, The Bank of New York Mellon will hold the money it received on the sale, as well as any other cash it is holding under the deposit agreement for the pro rata benefit of the ADS holders that have not surrendered their ADSs. It will not invest the money and has no liability for interest. The Bank of New York Mellon's only obligations will be to account for the money and other cash and with respect to indemnification and to retain depositary documents. After termination, our only obligations will be with respect to indemnification and to pay certain amounts to The Bank of New York Mellon.

Limitations on Obligations and Liability to ADS Holders

The deposit agreement expressly limits our obligations and the obligations of The Bank of New York Mellon. It also limits our liability and the liability of The Bank of New York Mellon. We and The Bank of New York Mellon:

- are only obligated to take the actions specifically set forth in the deposit agreement without negligence or bad faith;
- are not liable if either is prevented or delayed by law, any provision of our Articles of Incorporation or circumstances beyond our control from performing our obligations under the deposit agreement;
- are not liable if either exercises or fails to exercise discretion permitted under the deposit agreement;
- have no obligation to become involved in a lawsuit or other proceeding related to the ADSs or the deposit agreement on your behalf or on behalf of any other party unless indemnified to their satisfaction; and
- may rely upon any advice of or information from legal counsel, accountants, any person depositing shares, any ADS holder or any other person believed in good faith to be competent to give them that advice or information.

In the deposit agreement, we and The Bank of New York Mellon agree to indemnify each other for liabilities arising out of acts performed or omitted by the other party in accordance with the deposit agreement.

Requirements for Depositary Actions

Before The Bank of New York Mellon will issue or register transfer of an ADS, make a distribution on an ADS, or permit withdrawal of shares, it may require:

- payment of stock transfer or other taxes or other governmental charges and transfer or registration fees charged by third parties for the transfer of any shares or other deposited securities;
- production of satisfactory proof of the identity and genuineness of any signature or other information it deems necessary; and
- compliance with regulations it may establish, from time to time, consistent with the deposit agreement, including presentation of transfer documents.

The Bank of New York Mellon may refuse to deliver, transfer, or register transfers of ADSs generally when its transfer books are closed, when our transfer books are closed or at any time if it or we think it advisable to do so.

You have the right to cancel your ADSs and withdraw the underlying shares at any time except:

- when temporary delays arise because: (1) The Bank of New York Mellon has closed its transfer books or we have closed our transfer books; (2) the transfer of shares is blocked to permit voting at a shareholders' meeting; or (3) we are paying a dividend on the shares;

- when you or other ADS holders seeking to withdraw shares owe money to pay fees, taxes and similar charges; or
- when it is necessary to prohibit withdrawals in order to comply with any laws or governmental regulations that apply to ADSs or to the withdrawal of shares or other deposited securities.

This right of withdrawal may not be limited by any other provision of the deposit agreement.

Reports and Other Communications

The Bank of New York Mellon will make available for your inspection at its corporate trust office any reports and communications, including any proxy soliciting material, that it receives from us, if those reports and communications are both (a) received by The Bank of New York Mellon as the holder of the deposited securities and (b) made generally available by us to the holders of the deposited securities. If we ask it to, The Bank of New York Mellon will also send you copies of those reports it receives from us.

Inspection of Transfer Books

The Bank of New York Mellon will keep books for the registration and transfer of ADSs, which will be open for your inspection at all reasonable times. You will only have the right to inspect those books if the inspection is for the purpose of communicating with other owners of ADSs in connection with our business or a matter related to the deposit agreement or the ADSs.

Preferred Stock

The following is a summary of information concerning the shares of our preferred stock, including brief summaries of the relevant provisions of our Articles of Incorporation, the share handling regulations and the Companies Act as currently in effect. The detailed rights of our preferred stock are set out in our Articles of Incorporation and the resolutions of our board of directors relating to the issuance of the relevant stock.

General

We are authorized under our Articles of Incorporation to issue four classes of preferred stock totaling 800,000,000 shares of preferred stock, including 400,000,000 shares of each of the second to fourth series of class 5 preferred stock (provided the aggregate number of shares authorized to be issued with respect to the three series of class 5 preferred stock does not exceed 400,000,000 shares), 200,000,000 shares of each of the first to fourth series of class 6 preferred stock (provided the aggregate number of shares authorized to be issued with respect to the four series of class 6 preferred stock does not exceed 200,000,000 shares), and 200,000,000 shares of each of the first to fourth series of class 7 preferred stock (provided the aggregate number of shares authorized to be issued with respect to the four series of class 7 preferred stock does not exceed 200,000,000 shares).

Our preferred stock has equal preference over our shares of common stock with respect to dividend entitlements and distribution of assets upon our liquidation. However, holders of shares of our preferred stock are not entitled to vote at general meetings of shareholders, subject to the exceptions provided under our Articles of Incorporation.

We may acquire shares of second to fourth series of class 5 and first to fourth series of class 6 preferred stock at our discretion pursuant to the terms and conditions provided by our Articles of Incorporation and the resolution of our board of directors. The provisions for acquisition of shares of second to fourth series of class 5 and first to fourth series of class 6 preferred stock will be determined by the board of directors at the time of issuance of such preferred stock. When issued, any holder of shares of first to fourth series of class 6 preferred stock or first to fourth series of class 7 preferred stock may request acquisition of shares of such preferred stock

in exchange for shares of our common stock during the period determined by resolution of the board of directors adopted at the time of issuance of such shares of preferred stock. Any shares of first to fourth series of class 6 preferred stock or first to fourth series of class 7 preferred stock for which no request for acquisition in exchange for shares of our common stock is made during such period will be mandatorily acquired on the day immediately following the last day of such period (the "Mandatory Acquisition Date") in the number obtained by dividing an amount equivalent to the subscription price per each relevant share of preferred stock by the average daily closing price of our common stock as reported by the Tokyo Stock Exchange for the 30 trading days commencing on the 45th trading day prior to the Mandatory Acquisition Date.

Additionally, in order to enable the relevant preferred stock to meet the criteria for Additional Tier 1 capital under Basel III requirements as adopted by the Financial Services Agency of Japan, the terms of the second to fourth series of class 5 as well as all the series of class 6 and class 7 preferred stock have mandatory acquisition provisions. When newly issuing these preferred stock, the board of directors will determine events that will require us to acquire the relevant preferred stock pursuant to the capital adequacy requirements applicable to us. Upon the occurrence of such events, we will acquire all the relevant preferred stock on an acquisition date, which is a date determined by the board of directors either at the time of the issuance or after the occurrence of such event. We shall acquire the relevant preferred stock in exchange for common stock or for no consideration as determined by the board of directors at the time of the issuance, considering certain factors including the market conditions. The formula to be used in exchanging the preferred stock for common stock will also be determined by the board of directors at the time of the issuance.

Preferred Dividends

In priority to the payment of dividends to holders of our common stock, the amount of preferred dividends payable each fiscal year for each class of our preferred stock is set forth below:

- second to fourth series of class 5 preferred stock: to be set by resolution of our board of directors at the time of issuance, up to a maximum of ¥250.00 per share;
- first to fourth series of class 6 preferred stock: to be set by resolution of our board of directors at the time of issuance, up to a maximum of ¥125.00 per share; and
- first to fourth series of class 7 preferred stock: to be set by resolution of our board of directors at the time of issuance, up to a maximum of ¥125.00 per share.

In the event that our board of directors decides to pay an interim dividend to holders of record of our common stock as of September 30 of any year, we will, in priority to the payment of that interim dividend, pay a preferred interim dividend in the amount specified in our Articles of Incorporation to holders of record of our preferred stock as of September 30 of the same year. The amount of any preferred interim dividend will be deducted from the preferred dividend payable on the relevant class of our preferred stock for the same fiscal year.

No preferred dividend will be paid on any of our preferred stock converted into our common stock for the period from the date following the record date for the preferred dividend or preferred interim dividend last preceding the relevant conversion date to the relevant conversion date, but the common stock issued upon conversion will be entitled to receive any dividend payable to holders of record of common stock upon the next succeeding record date for common stock dividends.

No payment of dividends on our preferred stock or any other shares can be made unless we have a sufficient distributable amount and a resolution to distribute such distributable amount is obtained at the relevant ordinary general meeting of shareholders, in the case of annual preferred dividends, or at the board of directors, in the case of preferred interim dividends.

Dividends on our preferred stock are non-cumulative. If the full amount of any dividend is not declared on our preferred stock in respect of any fiscal year, holders of our preferred stock do not have any right to receive

dividends in respect of the deficiency in any subsequent fiscal year, and we will have no obligation to pay the deficiency or to pay any interest regardless of whether or not dividends are paid in respect of any subsequent fiscal year. The holders of our preferred stock are not entitled to any further dividends or other participation in or distribution of our profits.

Liquidation Rights

In the event of our voluntary or involuntary liquidation, record holders of our preferred stock are entitled, equally in rank as among themselves, to receive before any distribution out of our residual assets is made to holders of our common stock, a distribution out of our residual assets of:

- ¥2,500 per share of second to fourth series of class 5 preferred stock;
- ¥2,500 per share of first to fourth series of class 6 preferred stock; and
- ¥2,500 per share of first to fourth series of class 7 preferred stock.

The holders of our preferred stock are not entitled to any further dividends or other participation in or distribution of our residual assets upon our liquidation.

Voting Rights

No holder of our preferred stock has the right to receive notice of, or to vote at, a general meeting of shareholders, except as otherwise specifically provided under our Articles of Incorporation or other applicable law. Under our Articles of Incorporation, holders of our preferred stock will be entitled to receive notice of, and have one voting right per unit of preferred stock at, our general meetings of shareholders:


- from the commencement of our ordinary general meeting of shareholders if an agenda for approval to declare a preferred dividend is not submitted to such meeting; or
- from the close of any ordinary general meeting of shareholders if a proposed resolution to declare a preferred dividend is not approved at such meeting.

In each case, holders of our preferred stock will be entitled to receive notice of and vote at the relevant general meetings of shareholders unless and until such time as a resolution of an ordinary general meeting of shareholders declaring a preferred dividend is passed.



Message from the Group CEO

Be the company with enduring trust



Allow me to pose this question to all of you, my MUFG colleagues: What do you consider the most important asset for financial institutions?

My answer? "Trust" – of our customers and society. Our company is able to do business because of the indispensable trust of our customers and society – the trust that our predecessors earned and bestowed on us over our long history.

Trust is especially relevant right now. Hardly a day passes that we do not hear of new digital technologies and the deep disruptions they bring to industry and society. These disruptions can be unsettling to financial customers in particular, and trust is indispensable if they are to use our services with confidence.

Our mandate is clear at MUFG: "Be the company with enduring trust" under all circumstances.

This is the reason for our Code of Conduct. It lays stepping stones to define the path of responsible decision-making through uncertain times. It also informs our day-to-day behavior and helps guide us to do the right thing at all times. In other words, our trust is founded on the "right actions" you and I take every day.

There are times when we struggle to make a decision. In such cases, we should refer to the Code of Conduct as our guide. One good way to gauge if we are doing the right thing is to ask ourselves: Can we explain our choices with honor to our family and friends?

If you notice anything that might contravene our standards of conduct – even slightly – report it immediately to your supervisor or internal whistleblowing system. Do not hesitate. Reporting these matters is the right thing to do, and is everyone's sacred responsibility.

MUFG Group entities are fully committed to cultivating an atmosphere of speaking freely without fear. Your courage is appreciated by the firm, which is responsible for responding appropriately. No repercussions will ever be taken against a colleague who makes a sincere, honest report in order to protect what's right.

Put simply, the Code of Conduct is not just for reading. It is for action – literally. Being the company with the enduring trust of our customers and society depends on each of us – all of us – doing the right thing in our day-to-day work. Always.

April 2020

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "H. Kamezawa".

Hironori Kamezawa

Member of the Board of Directors, President & Group CEO

Contents

Message from the Group CEO.....	01
---------------------------------	----

Introduction

1 Corporate Vision and Code of Conduct.....	03
2 Corporate Vision.....	04
3 About the Code of Conduct	06
4 Failure to Abide by the Code of Conduct.....	07
5 Roles and Responsibilities of Managers	08

Code of Conduct

Structure of the Code of Conduct.....	09
---------------------------------------	----



Chapter 1.

Customer Focus	10
-----------------------------	----

1-1 Honesty and Integrity.....	11
1-2 Ensuring Quality	12
1-3 Exceeding Customer Expectations	13



Chapter 2.

Responsibility as a Corporate Citizen	14
--	----

2-1 Adherence to Laws and Rules.....	15
2-2 Prevention of Financial Crime	16
2-3 Contributing to Society	17



Chapter 3.


Attitudes and Behaviors in the Workplace	18
---	----

3-1 Challenge Ourselves to Grow.....	19
3-2 Collaborative and Professional Working Environment.....	20
3-3 Protecting MUFG's Assets and Property	21
3-4 Reporting Problem Situations and Seeking Advice.....	22

1 Corporate Vision and Code of Conduct

The diagram below illustrates the relationship between our Corporate Vision and Code of Conduct. Our Corporate Vision serves as a set of values that illustrate our basic policy in conducting our business activities, as well as a guideline for all activities of the group.

Our Code of Conduct serves as the standard for all group employees to make decisions and act on a daily basis, and is essential to realizing our Corporate Vision.



"Culture"

Culture refers to the patterns of thinking and behavior shared by all staff. This includes both explicit written documents, such as our Corporate Vision and Code of Conduct, and norms that are shared implicitly among all staff.

Chapter 3

Chapter 2

Chapter 1

Code of Conduct

Introduction

2 Corporate Vision

The corporate vision serves as the basic policy in conducting our business activities, and provides guidelines for all group activities.

The corporate vision also is the foundation for management decisions, including formulating management strategies and management plans, and serves as the core value for all staff.

Our Mission

Our Mission



To be a foundation of strength, committed to meeting the needs of our customers, serving society, and fostering shared and sustainable growth for a better world.

Our Vision

Our Vision



—Be the world's most trusted financial group—

1. Work together to exceed the expectations of our customers

Strive to understand and respond to the diverse needs of our customers.

Maintain and expect the highest levels of professionalism and expertise, supported by our consolidated strength.

2. Provide reliable and constant support to our customers

Give the highest priority to protecting the interests of our customers.

Promote healthy, sustainable economic growth.

Maintain a robust organization that is effective, professional, and responsive.

3. Expand and strengthen our global presence

Leverage our strengths and capabilities to attract a loyal global customer base.

Adapt rapidly to changes in the global economy and their impact on the needs of our customers.



Our Values

Our Values



1. Integrity and Responsibility

Strive to be fair, transparent, and honest. Always act responsibly in the best interests of customers and society, building long-term stakeholder relationships and giving back to our communities.

2. Professionalism and Teamwork

Respect the diversity of our fellow workers and foster a strong spirit of teamwork. Expect the highest levels of professionalism.

3. Challenge Ourselves to Grow

Adopt a global perspective to anticipate trends and opportunities for growth. Create and sustain a responsive and dynamic workplace where everyone can focus on providing outstanding customer service and embrace new challenges.

Chapter 3

Chapter 2

Chapter 1

Code of Conduct

Introduction

3 About the Code of Conduct

(1) The Code of Conduct is designed to provide guidance in times of doubt, or when we find it difficult to know if we are making the right choice. (In some cases, the Code of Conduct may be supplemented by additional entity or location specific guidelines, which provide further specific guidance.)

(2) In situations where you feel uncertain, you should consider the following:

- ☒ Is the conduct in line with the Code of Conduct, and internal and external laws, rules, and regulations?
- ☒ Am I deceiving myself to rationalize my behavior?
- ☒ Is there any possibility that others might regard the conduct as inappropriate?
- ☒ Would I do the same thing to my family or those that are close to me?

(3) All staff must undergo training on the Code of Conduct once a year, as a general rule. In addition, each member of staff must make an attestation, in a form determined by the relevant Group entity, that he or she will abide by the MUFG Code of Conduct.



Phrases to Watch Out For

Be careful if you catch yourself or others using language such as:

- "Just this once..."
- "Everyone else is doing it"
- "Don't argue"
- "It's urgent so we need to make an exception"
- "It wouldn't be mature to rock the boat"

⇒ Do not fall into the trap of failing to think critically. Take the time to think carefully, and do the right thing.

4 Failure to Abide by the Code of Conduct

- (1) All staff must abide by the Code of Conduct, as well as applicable laws and regulations, and rules, protocols, and procedures, and other guidelines determined by Group MUFG entities. Any conduct that fails to meet these standards may result in disciplinary measures, up to and including termination of employment, in accordance with the employment regulations and other rules established separately by individual MUFG companies. Staff may be held personally responsible for conduct that damages the reputation and trust of an MUFG company or damages the company's interests.
- (2) Staff may also be held responsible for the action or inaction of others if they fail to take action despite knowing about inappropriate behavior or conduct on the part of others that is likely to have a serious negative impact on the trust or interests of any Group entity, or if they ignore any such conduct despite being in a position of responsibility requiring them to know about it.
- (3) In addition, staff conduct may be reported to the relevant authorities, which could result in a fine, loss of the qualification to work in the financial industry, and/or even imprisonment.



What happens if I cannot exceed customer expectations or achieve growth and new challenges? Does that mean I will be subject to disciplinary measures for failing to abide by the Code of Conduct?

The code of conduct contains a mixture of different guidelines. Items like "Acting with honesty and integrity," and "Prevention of financial crime" must be followed at all times. Others, including "Exceeding customer expectations" and "growth and challenges" are more like targets that you should aim toward. But no member of staff will be subject to disciplinary measures merely for failing to meet these targets.



Conduct Risk

In addition to violation of existing rules (such as regulations), behavior that i.) is contrary to social norms, ii.) contravenes business customs or market practices, or iii.) does not consider things from the customers' perspective, could be detrimental to the interests of stakeholders, such as customers and shareholders, and as a result, have a negative impact on our corporate value, profit, and reputation. This risk is called "conduct risk."

5 Roles and Responsibilities of Managers

Managers have several additional roles and responsibilities. ("Manager" here refers primarily to Managing Directors, Directors, and general, chief and senior managers with responsibility for leading a team.)

- ① Lead by example and be proactive about acting ethically in accordance with the MUFG Corporate Vision and the Code of Conduct.
- ② Work to ensure that staff under your supervision understand the content of the MUFG Corporate Vision and the Code of Conduct in a manner appropriate to the respective organization.
- ③ Foster an environment where all staff feel comfortable raising concerns when they are struggling to reach a work-related decision or facing a problem.
- ④ Strictly avoid any conduct that could be construed as an abuse of your position.
- ⑤ Take appropriate, timely action when a problem has arisen, either addressing the issue directly or escalating appropriately.



The Importance of Listening

As a supervisor, how do you respond when one of your team comes to you with a problem? Do you give them your attention, or simply ask what they want without even looking up from your desk?

When someone comes to you for advice, it is important to take the time to listen carefully and with an open mind to what they have to say.

Particularly in the case of a problem that might touch on the Code of Conduct, it is vital to take appropriate measures promptly before the problem gets out of hand. Remember: the better you are as a listener, the more effective you will be as a manager.

Structure of the Code of Conduct

The Code of Conduct is organized into three chapters.



Chapter 1 deals with the attitude we should adopt with our customers.

Acting with honesty and integrity and pursuing the best interests of our customers is a core component of our business practices.

1-1 Honesty and Integrity

1-2 Ensuring Quality

1-3 Exceeding Customer Expectations



Chapter 2 presents a set of standards designed to help us fulfill our responsibilities as a good corporate citizen.

MUFG's reputation depends upon the trust and confidence of our customers and other stakeholders, including local communities, and we are responsible to society on a global level.

2-1 Adherence to Laws and Rules

2-2 Prevention of Financial Crime

2-3 Contributing to Society



Chapter 3 describes the actions and mindset that will create a stimulating and supportive working environment as MUFG continues to grow.

Our success depends on building and maintaining a dynamic workplace where all staff can reach their full potential in ways that support our customers and make a valuable contribution to society as a whole.

3-1 Challenge Ourselves to Grow

3-2 Collaborative and Professional Working Environment

3-3 Protecting MUFG's Assets and Property

3-4 Reporting Problem Situations and Seeking Advice

(Note : Explanations in each chapter are described in **1** ~ **4** or ●)

Chapter 3

Chapter 2

Chapter 1

Code of Conduct

Introduction



Chapter 1.

Customer Focus

Our customers are at the center of everything we do, and should always be the focus of our thoughts. Our aim should be to win the trust and confidence of our customers at all times.

MUFG exists today because of the trust and confidence that customers have placed in us over many years.

Our role is to increase and strengthen this bedrock of trust and confidence.

Our activities are not driven by the prospect of short-term gains.

Instead, we look to build ongoing relationships with our customers to support their long-term growth.



Our customers are at the center of everything we do. We carry out fair and transparent corporate activities with honesty and integrity. We treat customer assets with care and respect and strive always to ensure that our actions do not unjustly damage our customers' interests.

1 Acting with Honesty and Integrity

- We place our customers at the center of everything we do. Our thoughts are always of how best to help our customers, and we work fairly and honestly to support our customers' long-term sustained growth.

2 Safeguarding Customer Assets (Including Information)

- Our customers entrust us with important assets, such as cash and securities as well as information. The loss, leakage, or misuse of our customers' information can not only cause serious damage to customer interests, but can also seriously undermine the trust and confidence in MUFG.
- We treat our customers' financial assets with the utmost care, and ensure that they are properly safeguarded at all times.
- We also protect the confidentiality and security of customer information

3 Protecting Customer Interests Properly

- We act with honesty and integrity to ensure that our conduct does not unjustly damage customer interests.
- When conducting business with customers, we are sensitive to the possibility of conflicts of interest that may exist between customers or between a customer and a Group entity. We act appropriately at all times, in line with the guidelines on managing conflicts of interest.



About Performance Objectives

Have you ever felt a conflict of interest between achieving your targets and maintaining the customer's trust? As a company, we have a responsibility to achieve our objectives. But these must be achieved by doing the right thing and earning trust and reputation from our customers. If you ever feel any conflict between achieving an objective and maintaining the customer's trust, always prioritize the customer's trust above everything else. Remind yourself that achieving objectives is only meaningful if it is achieved by following the correct procedures.

1-2 Ensuring Quality

To build lasting relationships of trust and confidence with our customers, we listen carefully to what our customers are telling us, and maintain thoroughgoing quality control of all our products and services, from planning and development to provision and subsequent revisions, with a view to further enhancing quality.

1 Best Possible Products and Services for Customers

- In order to earn trust from customers and build lasting positive business relationships with them, it is important to maintain thorough quality control at all stages in the development and delivery of our products and services.
- Quality control means developing and providing the best possible products and services for customers, and ensuring accuracy and safety in all our business dealings.
- To this end, it is important to always keep in mind the following principles:
 - ① Clearly define the customer and their needs when planning and developing products.
 - ② Ensure that the risks associated with our products and services are understandable and acceptable to the customer.
 - ③ Ensure that products and services proposed and provided to customers match their purposes, needs, knowledge, experience, financial capabilities, and other relevant conditions.
 - ④ Give clear and accurate explanations that allow customers to understand the nature and risks of our products and services.
 - ⑤ Be fair-minded, courteous, and sincere in all dealings with our customers.

2 Unending Work to Improve Quality

- We regularly confirm that our products and services are meeting the needs of our customers, and work constantly to review and improve the quality of all our products and services.



Ensuring Quality

Financial products and services are not visible or physical. However, quality control is important, just like with any product, from the viewpoint of suitability for customers' needs, ease of understanding, and ease of use. Specifically, effective management is based on the life cycle from product planning and development to sales and after-sales follow-up. If problems arise, they will appear in the voice of the customer or in the observation of someone else in the company. Be sensitive enough to notice them.

We aim to meet the diverse needs of our customers worldwide, and to provide services exceeding their expectations through the highest standards of professionalism, by leveraging our global network and the consolidated strengths of the entire Group.

1**Improving Expertise**

- To provide our customers with the best possible products and services, everyone of us works to improve our professional knowledge and expertise.

2**Leveraging the Consolidated Strengths of MUFG**

- For our customers, MUFG is a single company. And we will continue to provide services that exceed customer expectations by bringing our strengths and capabilities together and acting as an integrated group.

3**Using Our Global Network**

- We use MUFG's global network to provide outstanding products and services that are truly world class.

**What is the Risk-ownership of the 1st line of defense?**

The first-line-of-defense refers to the Business Unit of business execution, such as sales and marketing. There are risks associated with sales activities, but some people within the first line of defense may have a false sense that risk management should be entrusted to the Risk Management Office, which is the second-line-of-defense, and that they should concentrate on earning. The primary responsibility for managing risk associated with sales activities rests with the first-line-of-defense, which is responsible for the consequences of risk events. Risk-ownership means being prepared for the consequences of sales-related risks. Staff in the first-line should be aware of risk ownership, identify risks in their day-to-day operations, and strive to control them as needed.



Chapter 2.

Responsibility as a Corporate Citizen

As we develop our business globally, we comply with all the domestic and international laws and rules that may apply. We do all we can to maintain stability and confidence in the global financial system, and contribute to the sound and healthy growth of society.

Aware of the responsibility each of us has as a member of MUFG, we carry out fair and transparent corporate activities with honesty and integrity, in a manner that supports and strengthens the trust and confidence MUFG has earned from society over many years.



In addition to adhering strictly to all domestic and international laws and rules, we strive to do the right thing based on our strict code of ethics.

Violations of laws or rules damage the vital social infrastructure of the financial system and lead to a loss of trust in MUFG. We strictly abide by all laws and rules relating to our business, including the following areas:

1 Prohibition of Insider Trading

- Insider trading is illegal in many countries and is strictly regulated, regardless of the amount of money involved.
- We manage material information rigorously and have no involvement in activities that use material nonpublic information to gain illegal profits.

2 Ban on Unfair Trading Practices

- As a participant in a fair, transparent, and free competitive market, we have no involvement with unfair trading practices (including cartels, abuse of dominant position, and market manipulation).
- We abide strictly with all the laws and rules in place to protect fair trading, including firewall regulations and the arm's length rule.

3 Accurate Recording and Appropriate Disclosure

- Records of our business activities, including financial information, are accurately recorded and properly maintained and managed.
- To ensure that MUFG is properly understood and evaluated in the wider society, it is incumbent on us to ensure that company information is disclosed in a timely and appropriate manner, including financial reports. Concealment or nondisclosure of information damages our trust and reputation. We are not involved in any inaccurate or inappropriate disclosure of information, or in any attempt to conceal information.



Lessons Learned

Reports on corporate scandals appear in the media almost on a daily basis. You must never think that these scandals are something that doesn't concern us. What has happened at other companies could happen here too. Scandals have affected MUFG companies as well. We must analyze the reasons for these failures, discuss ways of ensuring they do not happen again, and then implement these measures thoroughly. Being humble enough to learn from our own mistakes and those of others is a key part of what it takes to build a good, strong company.

We have zero tolerance for financial crime or any attempt to circumvent the rules and procedures aimed at preventing financial crime. We take all reasonable steps possible to prevent our products and services being used by individuals or entities involved in illegal or improper activities such as money laundering and terrorist financing.

1**Preventing Financial Crimes and Misuse of Financial Services**

- We remain alert to the possibility that the financial services provided by MUFG on a global basis may be misused to facilitate financial crimes as per below, and do everything in our power to prevent illicit activities involving our products and services.
 - Money laundering / Terrorist Financing
 - Provision of financial services to the government and individuals subject to economic sanctions
 - Participation in or promotion of bribery and corruption
 - Facilitation of tax evasion

2**No Relationships with Criminal Elements**

- All relationships with any criminal elements are strictly forbidden. And we work closely with the police, legal counsel, and other relevant external organizations to protect the safety of all our staff.

We respect the history, cultures, and customs of different countries and regions around the world, and work to contribute to the development of local and global communities and the protection of the environment throughout our corporate activities and the social volunteer efforts of our staff.

1

Giving Back to Communities

- As a good corporate citizen, MUFG is proactively engaged with local communities and contributes to their development.
- As members of regional communities and the global community, we volunteer actively to take part in activities that make a meaningful contribution to society.

2

Commitment to the Environment

- We work to minimize the environmental impact of our corporate activities, as well as striving to develop and supply products and services that contribute to environmental conservation and protection, thus contributing to making a sustainable society a reality.



Sustainable Growth

Have you ever heard of "ESG" or "SDGs"?

ESG stands for "Environment, Social and Governance"; three essential elements for the long-term growth of a company. SDGs ("Sustainable Development Goals") are the blueprint for cooperative interactions between national governments and private companies to tackle social issues, such as clean energy and economic growth, to achieve a better global future. Our customers and investors now have a strong tendency to view companies from such perspectives.

With the development of the 'information society', including the introduction of social networking services, our society has become a place where good and bad conduct can be quickly and easily revealed to the public. Increasingly, a company that engages in proper conduct as a corporate citizen can win more trust from customers and receive positive evaluations from investors. However, the reverse is also true. With consideration of the public nature of financial services and our position in the industry, we must assume that social expectations towards MUFG are very high and it is therefore essential that each member of staff and executive engages in proper conduct with an understanding of those expectations.



We strive to respond and adapt promptly to the diversifying and evolving needs of our customers and the rapidly changing environment in which we work. The working environment at KUFUG fosters mutual respect, enables individuals to make the most of their abilities as professionals, and maximizes the power of teamwork across regions and different areas of business, encouraging all staff to embrace new challenges.

We work always to protect and maintain the tangible and intangible assets and property that MUFG has accumulated



3-1

Challenge Ourselves to Grow

We strive to enhance our knowledge, expertise, and potential and maximize the power of teamwork. We believe that the changing business environment represents opportunity and are always ready to embrace new challenges in new fields.

1

Personal Growth

- As the needs and businesses of our customers continue to evolve, it is essential that we too continue to grow professionally so as to provide the best possible products and services for customers.
- We endeavor to improve our individual skills, abilities, and potential, through our work and by taking advantage of training and educational opportunities both inside and outside of MUFG. We proactively support the efforts of all MUFG staff toward personal growth.

2

Teamwork

- We share information, skills, and expertise within MUFG and continue to be committed to maximizing the power of teamwork in pursuit of our business.

3

Openness to New Challenges

- Monitoring developments in society and changes in the business environment, we embrace new challenges in the belief that change represents opportunity. We positively support and evaluate employees who actively take on challenges.



There is no growth without challenges, and no success without growth

Learning and experience are important aspects of how we grow as people. But sometimes challenges are necessary too. Particularly when major changes are happening, accepting a challenge without fear of failure can lead to major growth. This applies not only to individuals but to entire organizations.

3-2

Collaborative and Professional Working Environment

We respect the human rights and diversity of all MUFG staff. We do not engage in or tolerate any form of discrimination or harassment or any other behavior that infringes these beliefs.

1

Respect for Human Rights and Diversity

- As a global group, our workforce is highly diverse in terms of race, skin color, national origin, birth place, belief, religion, gender, sexual orientation, gender identity, age, and physical or mental disability.
- Diversity is one of MUFG's strengths. We do not tolerate discrimination or infringement of the rights of any individual, and respect a diversity of values.

2

Open Communication

- We strive to create an open and vibrant workplace in which staff deal with one another with sincerity and honesty, regardless of position, helping and respecting each other.

3

Prohibition of Harassment

- Harassment undermines the dignity of the recipient and seriously damages the smooth running of the organization. We do not commit or tolerate any sexual harassment, power harassment, or other threatening or hostile behavior, including harassment from external sources.
- We do not tolerate any harassment or bullying within the company.



Respect each other

Harassment, such as sexual harassment and power harassment, is an act that seriously harms people and will not be tolerated by MUFG, regardless of whether it is regulated by law or not.

In order for all executives and employees to respect each other, it is important to think about diversity and inclusiveness.

3-3

Protecting MUFG's Assets and Property

We protect the tangible and intangible assets and property of MUFG and individual Group entities, and do not tolerate any behavior that might damage these assets.

- We support the proper protection and management of corporate assets, and do not tolerate any personal misuse of these assets.

Chapter 3

Chapter 2

Chapter 1

Code of Conduct

Introduction

3-4

Reporting Problem Situations and Seeking Advice

If you become aware of conduct that contravenes the law, company regulations, or the provisions of this Code of Conduct, or any other problem situations, you should promptly report the matter and seek advice from a supervisor or issue a report via MUFG's whistleblowing systems.

- If you suspect there is any problem within the company, you should promptly report the matter to an appropriate person, for example a supervisor or senior manager, or you should use the various whistleblowing arrangements in place across the Group or other means available locally.
- Whether the whistleblowing arrangements are used or not, the person who receives the report or information about any problem will treat it in the strictest confidence and MUFG is committed to ensuring that reporting staff do not suffer retaliation or other negative consequences.

The MUFG Group Compliance Helpline

Nishimura & Asahi (Attention: MUFG Group Compliance Helpline)

(Address) Otemon Tower, 1-1-2 Otemachi, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8124, Japan

(E-mail) mufgwhistleblow@jurists.co.jp

(Web Address) <http://www.jurists.co.jp/en/>

*Please note that the MUFG Group Compliance Helpline may not be available in some countries or regions due, for example, to data protection law. See your local whistleblowing Policy for details of the escalation routes available to you.

MUFG Audit Committee

(Address) 2-7-1, Marunouchi, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8388, Japan

(E-mail) mufg-group-helpline_kansaiinkai_PF@mufg.jp

*MUFG Audit Committee contacts you through an external law office.

Contact information for each region

Asia

Please use the MUFG Group Compliance Helpline above, or your local helpline as set out in the local Whistleblowing Policy.

Americas

www.MUFGAmericasIntegrityLine.com

EMEA

For MUFG Bank branches, subsidiaries and representative offices see the EMEA homepage on FIDES and follow the Whistleblowing link:

<https://intranet.sps.emea.es.local/emea/Pages/default.aspx>

For MUS(EMEA), MUS(EU) and their branches see the EMEA homepage on CONNECT and follow the Whistleblowing Hotline link:

<http://home.intranet.mfi.local/Pages/default.aspx>

*For MUTB and its subsidiaries, please report in accordance with your companies' whistleblowing system




Speak Up

If you have concerns or questions about conduct that you think might contravene the law, company regulations, or this Code of Conduct, be sure to report the matter promptly to the appropriate person or seek advice from a supervisor or person in charge of compliance issues, or use the whistleblowing system established within each MUFG company. Never worry that you might be making a fuss about something minor. Trust your sense that something is wrong.

There will never be any repercussions for reporting a matter through the whistleblowing system. Management will be responsible for carrying out full and proper investigation into the matter you have brought to our attention.

The MUFG Group Compliance Helpline has received accreditation from the Japanese Consumer Affairs Agency that it meets the review criteria for certification of the whistleblowing system. We have received public approval that our whistleblowing system appropriately protects reporting personnel.



Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc.
Compliance Division, Corporate Planning Division
April 2020 establishment

Excerpts from MUFG's Compliance Rules

(English Translation)

(Objective)

Article 1.

These rules prescribe basic matters relating to compliance with laws and regulations.

(Revision and abolition)

Article 2.

These rules may be revised or abolished by decision of the Executive Committee; provided, however, that any material revision or abolishment of rules relating to the duties and responsibilities of the Board of Directors or Member of the Board of Directors shall be made pursuant to a resolution of the Board of Directors.

(Definitions)

Article 4.

- (1) In these rules, "laws and regulations" mean laws and government ordinances to be strictly observed by MUFG personnel when carrying out business operations, as well as MUFG's Articles of Incorporation, Code of Ethics, and other rules and regulations established according to the laws and government ordinances above.
- (2) In these rules, "compliance" means understanding the purpose and contents of laws and regulations properly, and behaving in an appropriate manner so as not to violate applicable laws and regulations.
- (3) In these rules, "affiliates" is a general term for MUFG's consolidated subsidiaries and affiliated companies accounted for by the equity-method.
- (4) In these rules, "MUFG Group" means MUFG and its affiliates.

(Fundamental Policy)

Article 5.

The MUFG Ethical Framework and Code of Conduct are the foundations of compliance at MUFG.

(Responsibilities of Members of the Board of Directors, Corporate Executives (Shikko Yaku), Executive officers (Shikko Yakuin) and Board of Directors)

Article 6.

- (1) In accordance with the "Ethical Framework and Code of Conduct", MUFG Members of the Board of Directors, corporate executives (*shikko yaku*) and executive officers (*shikko yakuin*) must carry out their responsibilities with the recognition that compliance is one of the most important objectives of management.
- (2) The board of directors must establish systems necessary for compliance and seek to achieve and maintain compliance.

(Responsibility of MUFG Managing Directors)

Article 7.

Managing Directors must implement compliance within their division.

(Responsibility of MUFG Employees)

Article 8.

- (1) MUFG employees must ensure compliance while performing their duties, and act in accordance with the “Ethical Framework and Code of Conduct”.
- (2) MUFG employees must strive to acquire adequate knowledge of the laws and regulations which are necessary to their business operations.

(Directors in charge of the Global Compliance Division and the Global Financial Crimes Division)

Article 10.

- (1) The Directors in charge of the Global Compliance Division and the Global Financial Crimes Division must report matters concerning compliance to the Board of Directors or Executive Committee as necessary.
- (2) When there is a risk of an unavoidable conflict of interest with a different division that the director in charge of the Global Compliance Division is also in charge of, to insure the independence of the Global Compliance Division, the managing director of the Global Compliance Division shall report to the President and CEO. The President and CEO will report to the Board of Directors or Executive Committee as necessary. Appropriate action shall also be taken to avoid conflicts of interest in cases other than those mentioned above.

(Office in Charge of Compliance)

Article 11.

- (1) The Global Compliance Division is in charge of overseeing the overall compliance framework.

* * *

- (4) When the Global Compliance Division receives reports of problems or possible problems relating to compliance, or when it discovers such problems itself, it must take necessary actions.

Article 11. ii

The Global Financial Crimes Division is in charge of overseeing the Group’s measures and management systems concerning global financial crimes, including money laundering prevention, economic sanctions measures, and bribery and corruption prevention.

(Compliance Officers Responsible)

Article 12.

The head of each business group is the compliance officer responsible for that business group. The compliance officer responsible oversees their business group and is responsible for any compliance related planning and supervision within their jurisdiction.

(Group Chief Compliance Officer)

Article 13.

- (1) A Group Chief Compliance Officer (CCO) (primarily the responsibility of the Global Compliance Division and the Global Financial Crimes Division) will be appointed based on Article 19 Paragraph 2 of the Organizational Regulations. When there is no appointed Group CCO, the director overseeing the Global Compliance Division will act as CCO.

- (2) The Group CCO (or in cases where there is no Group CCO, the CCO) shall oversee the coordination of division compliance officers (defined in Article 14), the chief compliance officer of each company in the MUFG Group, and any persons filling both those roles, as well as provide necessary guidance, advice and instruction based on the management agreement.
- (3) The Group CCO (or in cases where there is no Group CCO, the CCO) can request reports on compliance matters from the specified compliance officers responsible (defined in Article 12).

* * *

(Division Compliance Officers)

Article 14.

- (1) A chief manager in each division will serve as division compliance officer. Each managing director may appoint a person equivalent to a chief manager as division compliance officer. In such cases, the managing director should report to the Global Compliance Division in the Corporate Center, the compliance officer responsible for each business group (defined in Article 12), or the Global Compliance Division.
- (2) The division compliance officer is responsible for the strengthening of compliance in each division and for planning and supervising compliance related issues regarding business matters under their jurisdiction. Furthermore, the compliance officer will carry out duties including the management and compliance checking of documents, gathering information concerning the establishment and revision of laws relating to the duties of each division, working to improve general compliance conditions, and will play a central role in implementing compliance measures in each division.

(Responsibilities of Managing Directors)

Article 15.

When the managing director receives reports of problems or possible problems relating to compliance from the division compliance officer, or when they discover such problems themselves, they must consult with the managing director of the Global Compliance Division as well as provide orders and instructions to the division compliance officer. Furthermore, in each business group, they must report to the compliance officer responsible.

(Compliance Reporting System)

Article 16.

- (1) When a MUFG employee discovers problems or possible problems relating to compliance, they must report directly to their senior managers and the division compliance officer as stipulated in Article 14.
- (2) A person receiving such report must treat the report with appropriate care in working towards a resolution. Furthermore, the information relating to any reporting person must be treated with appropriate caution.
- (3) When the compliance officers receive reports of or otherwise detect violations of laws and regulations, or possible violations, they must report directly to the Global Compliance Division or the Global Financial Crimes Division and the managing director of their division. In cases where the managing director is involved in inappropriate conduct or behavior (including cases where such involvement is suspected or where a determination as to such involvement is difficult to make), such reports must be made to the Global Compliance Division or the Global Financial Crimes Division.
- (4) When a MUFG employee does not wish to report to their senior managers and the division compliance officer due to said officer being complicit in a violation of laws and regulations or the possibility thereof, or when no response or remediation is made despite an employee having made a report, the employee can report directly to the Global Compliance Division. In each business group, reports can be made to necessary parties other than those mentioned above, based on the instructions of the compliance officer responsible (defined in Article 12).

- (5) When a report of a problem or possible problem relating to compliance are made, it shall be prohibited to take any action to seek or identify the person who made the report or take any adverse employment action against such person for making the report.

Excerpts from MUFG's Compliance Manual

(English Translation)

I. Legal issues regarding Management

(3) Board Director and Corporate Executive

(4) Transactions involving a conflict of interest

When a Board Member or a Corporate Executive engages in a transaction involving a conflict of interest, the Board Member or the Corporate Executive must receive the approval of the Board of Directors.

III. Specific issues

5. Conflicts of interest

When a conflict of interest arises in connection with an operation involving any of the MUFG Group companies, Directors or employees, on one hand, and a customer or other third-party, the Director or employee, the MUFG Group company to which such Director or employee belongs, or any other MUFG Group company, on the other, the MUFG Group company, Director or employee must perform the operation in a proper manner.

Excerpts from MUFG's Rules of Employment

(English Translation)

(Disciplinary Action)

Article 40.

The company will take disciplinary action when employees take the following prohibited actions:

- (17) If an employee violated the rules of employment or any other applicable internal rules.

CERTIFICATION

I, Hironori Kamezawa, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 20-F of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the company as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The company's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the company and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the company, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the company's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by the annual report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the company's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The company's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the company's auditors and the audit committee of the company's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the company's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the company's internal control over financial reporting.

July 10, 2020

/s/ Hironori Kamezawa

Name: Hironori Kamezawa

Title: President & Group Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION

I, Tetsuya Yonehana, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 20-F of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the company as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The company's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the company and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the company, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the company's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by the annual report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the company's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The company's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the company's auditors and the audit committee of the company's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the company's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the company's internal control over financial reporting.

July 10, 2020

/s/ Tetsuya Yonehana

Name: Tetsuya Yonehana

Title: Group Chief Financial Officer

MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.

**CERTIFICATION REQUIRED BY
RULE 13a-14(b) OR RULE 15d-14(b)
AND 18 U.S.C. Section 1350**

In connection with the Annual Report of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 20-F for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 as filed with the US Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Hironori Kamezawa, President & Group Chief Executive Officer of the Company, hereby certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 that:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: July 10, 2020

/s/ Hironori Kamezawa

Name: Hironori Kamezawa

Title: President & Group Chief Executive Officer

· MITSUBISHI UFJ FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.

**CERTIFICATION REQUIRED BY
RULE 13a-14(b) OR RULE 15d-14(b)
AND 18 U.S.C. Section 1350**

In connection with the Annual Report of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 20-F for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 as filed with the US Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Tetsuya Yonehana, Group Chief Financial Officer of the Company, hereby certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 that:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: July 10, 2020

/s/ Tetsuya Yonehana

Name: Tetsuya Yonehana

Title: Group Chief Financial Officer

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We consent to the incorporation by reference in Registration Statement No. 333-230590 on Form S-8 and Registration Statement No. 333-229697 on Form F-3 of our reports dated July 10, 2020, relating to the financial statements of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc. ("MUFG") and the effectiveness of the MUFG's internal control over financial reporting appearing in the Annual Report on Form 20-F of MUFG for the year ended March 31, 2020.

/s/Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu LLC

Tokyo, Japan
July 10, 2020

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We consent to the incorporation by reference in Registration Statement No. 333-230590 on Form S-8 and in Registration Statement No. 333-229697 on Form F-3 of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc. of our reports dated February 27, 2020, relating to the consolidated financial statements of Morgan Stanley, and the effectiveness of Morgan Stanley's internal control over financial reporting, appearing in the Annual Report on Form 10-K of Morgan Stanley for the year ended December 31, 2019.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

New York, New York
July 10, 2020

Exhibit 99(a)

CAPITALIZATION AND INDEBTEDNESS

The following table presents our capitalization and indebtedness at March 31, 2020:

	At March 31, 2020
	(in millions)
Total short-term borrowings ⁽¹⁾	¥55,968,940
Long-term debt:	
Obligations under finance leases	25,186
Unsubordinated debt	22,368,276
Subordinated debt	4,751,567
Obligations under loan securitization transactions	797,592
Debt issuance costs	(15,858)
Total long-term debt	27,926,763
Shareholders' equity:	
Capital stock, with no stated value (common stock authorized: 33,000,000,000 shares; common stock issued: 13,581,995,120 shares)	2,090,270
Capital surplus	5,533,520
Retained earnings:	
Appropriated for legal reserve	239,571
Unappropriated retained earnings	8,079,530
Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of taxes	(420,417)
Treasury stock, at cost: 741,772,308 common shares	(505,987)
Total shareholders' equity	15,016,487
Noncontrolling interests	728,029
Total equity	15,744,516
Total capitalization and indebtedness	¥43,671,279

Note:

- (1) Total short-term borrowings consist of call money and funds purchased, payables under repurchase agreements, payables under securities lending transactions, due to trust account and other short-term borrowings.

UNAUDITED REVERSE RECONCILIATION OF SELECTED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP as described in the notes thereto. The basis of our consolidated financial statements prepared under U.S. GAAP is significantly different from Japanese GAAP in certain respects. Under Japanese banking regulations and Tokyo Stock Exchange rules, we are required to report our annual and quarterly results prepared in accordance with Japanese GAAP. We present below a reverse reconciliation of total equity under U.S. GAAP to net assets under Japanese GAAP as of March 31, 2020 and net income before attribution of noncontrolling interests for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020.

	As of March 31, 2020 (in millions)
Total equity in accordance with U.S. GAAP	¥15,744,516
Differences arising from different accounting for:	
1. Investment securities	62,255
2. Loans	(16,108)
3. Allowance for credit losses	232,472
4. Fixed assets	355,834
5. Pension liability	(13,310)
6. Derivative financial instruments and hedging activities	285,810
7. Compensated absences	44,632
8. Long-term debt	(6,476)
9. Consolidation	166,290
10. Goodwill	196,819
11. Intangible assets	(8,881)
12. Investments in equity method investees	656,793
Other	(644,441)
Deferred income tax effects, when applicable	(200,467)
Net assets in accordance with Japanese GAAP	<u>¥16,855,738</u>
	For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2020 (in millions)
Net income before attribution of noncontrolling interests in accordance with U.S. GAAP	¥ 318,715
Differences arising from different accounting for:	
1. Investment securities	297,842
2. Loans	(1,848)
3. Allowance for credit losses	79,850
4. Fixed assets	(2,242)
5. Pension liability	35,077
6. Derivative financial instruments and hedging activities	(175,201)
7. Compensated absences	2,333
8. Long-term debt	(1,571)
9. Consolidation	113,623
10. Goodwill	(15,827)
11. Intangible assets	499
12. Investments in equity method investees	(37,634)
Other	99,845
Deferred income tax effects, when applicable	(104,917)
Net income before attribution of noncontrolling interests in accordance with Japanese GAAP	<u>¥ 608,544</u>

Explanation of Differences between U.S. GAAP and Japanese GAAP

Major factors which explain the differences shown in the above table are as follows:

1. Investment securities

The cost basis of certain securities is different under U.S. GAAP and Japanese GAAP due primarily to the following:

- On October 1, 2005, Mitsubishi Tokyo Financial Group, Inc. ("MTFG") merged with UFJ Holdings, Inc. ("UFJ Holdings"), with MTFG being the surviving entity, and was renamed "Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc." Under U.S. GAAP, in accordance with the guidance on accounting for business combinations, the assets and liabilities of companies acquired in purchase transactions are recorded at fair value at the date of acquisition. Therefore, the new cost basis of investment securities, including available-for-sale and other investment securities, of UFJ Holdings was established and they were recognized at fair value as of October 1, 2005. Under Japanese GAAP, which was effective as of October 1, 2005, the new cost basis was not established for such investment securities and they were carried over at their historical cost basis.
- Under U.S. GAAP, other-than-temporary impairment is recognized in earnings for a debt security if an entity has intent to sell such a debt security or if it is more likely than not that the entity will be required to sell such a debt security before recovery of its amortized cost basis. If not, the credit component of other-than-temporary impairment on the debt security is recognized in earnings, but the noncredit component is recognized in other comprehensive income. In determining whether a decline in fair value below cost is other-than-temporary, in addition to the ability and positive intent to hold the investments for a period sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value, factors such as the extent of decline in fair value below cost and the length of time that the decline has continued are considered. In addition, marketable equity securities are measured at fair value with unrealized gains or losses reflected in net income. Under Japanese GAAP, significant declines in the fair value of securities below cost are recorded in earnings for both debt security and marketable equity security. In determining a significant decline, the extent of the decline in fair value below cost and credit standing of the issuers are considered.
- Under U.S. GAAP, measurement alternative is elected for nonmarketable equity securities, and these securities are primarily measured at cost minus impairment, if any, plus or minus changes resulting from observable price changes in orderly transactions for the identical or a similar investment of the same issuer. Under Japanese GAAP, these securities are measured at cost minus impairment, but changes resulting from observable price changes are not recognized.
- Under U.S. GAAP, changes in the fair value of foreign securities held by MUFG Bank and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking are recognized in earnings since the fair value option was elected for these foreign securities in accordance with the guidance on accounting for fair value options for financial assets and financial liabilities. Under Japanese GAAP, only the changes attributable to movements in foreign currency exchange rates are recognized in earnings and the other changes in the fair value are recognized in other comprehensive income.

2. Loans

Under U.S. GAAP, loan origination fees, net of certain direct origination costs, are deferred and recognized as income over the contractual life of the loans, while under Japanese GAAP, they are primarily recognized in earnings at the time of origination.

3. Allowance for credit losses

Under U.S. GAAP, the credit loss allowance for impaired loans is calculated primarily based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the loan's observable market

price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent, in accordance with the guidance on accounting by creditors for the impairment of a loan. Under Japanese GAAP, an allowance is provided for certain types of impaired loans based on historical loss experience. This difference between U.S. GAAP and Japanese GAAP generally results in a larger amount of allowance for credit losses under U.S. GAAP.

4. Fixed assets

The differences between Japanese GAAP and U.S. GAAP principally consist of (1) Premises and equipment, and (2) Land revaluation.

(1) Premises and equipment

Under U.S. GAAP, a nonmonetary asset acquired in exchange for another nonmonetary asset is generally recorded at the fair value of the asset surrendered or that of the asset received, and a gain or loss is recognized on the exchange. Under Japanese GAAP, the asset received is recorded at the cost of the asset surrendered in relevant types of exchange transactions, resulting in no gain or loss.

(2) Land revaluation

U.S. GAAP does not allow revaluation of operating assets and requires land to be recorded at cost. Under Japanese GAAP, land used for business operations of domestic subsidiaries was revalued as of March 31, 1998 for Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi, as of March 31, 2002 for The Mitsubishi Trust and Banking Corporation and as of December 31, 2001 for other domestic subsidiaries of MTFG with the corresponding impact recorded directly in equity as well as related deferred tax assets/liabilities, pursuant to the Law concerning Revaluation of Land. Accordingly, land held on the revaluation dates are recorded at different values.

5. Pension liability

Under both U.S. GAAP and Japanese GAAP, the funded status of defined benefit plans is recognized as assets or liabilities in a consolidated balance sheet, and actuarial gains or losses and prior service costs or benefits that have not yet been recognized through earnings as net periodic benefit cost are recognized in other comprehensive income, net of tax, until they are amortized as a component of net periodic benefit cost. Actuarial gains or losses are amortized based on corridor approach under U.S. GAAP, while they are amortized over a specified number of years under Japanese GAAP.

6. Derivative financial instruments and hedging activities

MUFG utilizes derivatives to manage its exposures to fluctuations in market factors such as interest rates and foreign exchange rates arising from mismatches in the risk profiles of assets and liabilities. Under U.S. GAAP, most derivatives used by MUFG are accounted for as trading assets or liabilities because they do not qualify for hedge accounting under the criteria prescribed in the guidance on accounting for derivative instruments and hedging activities. Japanese GAAP permits hedge accounting for certain derivative hedging activities, including portfolio hedges, using less restrictive hedging criteria.

In addition, bifurcation requirements are different between U.S. GAAP and Japanese GAAP. Under U.S. GAAP, if the economic characteristics and risks of the embedded derivatives are deemed “clearly and closely related” to the economic characteristics and risks of the host contracts, the embedded derivatives are not bifurcated from their host contracts. Under Japanese GAAP, the embedded derivatives may be bifurcated from their host contracts if the risk of the embedded derivatives and host contracts are managed separately.

7. Compensated absences

Under U.S. GAAP, in accordance with the guidance on accounting for compensated absences, an employer is required to accrue a liability for employees’ rights to receive compensation for future absences such as unused

vacations and holidays when certain conditions are met (for example, unexpired vacation benefits that employees have earned but have not yet taken). Under Japanese GAAP, employers are not required to recognize liabilities and accordingly, no liabilities are recognized for such short-term employee benefits.

8. Long-term debt

Under U.S. GAAP, in accordance with the guidance on accounting for business combinations, the new cost basis of long-term debt of UFJ Holdings was established and it was recognized at fair value as of October 1, 2005. Under Japanese GAAP, which was effective as of October 1, 2005, the new cost basis was not established and the long-term debt was recorded at its historical cost basis.

9. Consolidation

The scope of consolidation is different under U.S. GAAP and Japanese GAAP primarily because, under U.S. GAAP, the primary beneficiary must consolidate variable interest entities based on variable interests, which resulted in additional consolidation of certain variable interest entities. Japanese GAAP does not have a concept of variable interest entities.

On the other hand, certain variable interest entities including funding vehicles, which are consolidated under Japanese GAAP due to the majority ownership of the voting rights, are not consolidated under U.S. GAAP because MUFG and its consolidated subsidiaries are not their primary beneficiaries.

The breakdown of the impact of the difference on total equity is as follows.

	Consolidation under U.S. GAAP	Deconsolidation under U.S. GAAP (in millions)	Total
Investment securities	¥ 1,282,862	¥ 89,091	¥ 1,371,953
Loans	(2,612,990)	908,789	(1,704,201)
Trading account assets	(1,241,850)	8,818	(1,233,032)
Short-term borrowings	2,093,758	(27,879)	2,065,879
Long-term debt	588,107	(427,195)	160,912
Others	(339,463)	(155,758)	(495,221)
Total	¥ (229,576)	¥ 395,866	¥ 166,290

The breakdown of the impact of the difference on net income before attribution of noncontrolling interests is as follows.

	Consolidation under U.S. GAAP	Deconsolidation under U.S. GAAP (in millions)	Total
Investment securities	¥(41,764)	¥ (6,071)	¥ (47,835)
Loans	(49,754)	176,500	126,746
Trading account assets	87,411	655	88,066
Short-term borrowings	37,058	(150)	36,908
Long-term debt	10,461	13,139	23,600
Others	19,380	(133,242)	(113,862)
Total	¥ 62,792	¥ 50,831	¥ 113,623

10. Goodwill

Under U.S. GAAP, in accordance with the guidance on accounting for business combinations, identifiable assets acquired, liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in an acquired company are recorded at fair

value at the date of acquisition. Goodwill is the excess of the fair value of noncontrolling interest and consideration transferred, over the fair value of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed. Goodwill is not amortized, but is subject to an annual impairment test at the reporting unit level, and also reviewed more frequently if events or changes in circumstance indicate that the goodwill might be impaired. Under Japanese GAAP, goodwill is the difference between the purchase price consideration and the acquirer's share of fair value of the net assets acquired. Goodwill is amortized by straight-line method over the estimated period not exceeding 20 years, and an impairment test is required only if indication of impairment is identified.

In addition, the acquisition of UFJ Holdings has been accounted for by a method similar to pooling-of-interests, and consequently goodwill has not been recognized in accordance with Japanese GAAP, which was effective as of October 1, 2005.

11. Intangible assets

Under U.S. GAAP, in accordance with the guidance on accounting for business combinations, all identifiable intangible assets acquired in purchase transactions are recorded at fair value at the date of acquisition. Intangible assets with definite useful lives are amortized over their estimated useful life and reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstance indicate that their carrying amount may not be recoverable. Intangible assets with indefinite useful lives are tested for impairment at least annually, and also reviewed more frequently if events or changes in circumstance indicate that the assets might be impaired. Under Japanese GAAP, which was effective as of October 1, 2005, intangible assets have not been recognized in connection with the acquisition of UFJ Holdings.

12. Investments in equity method investees

Under U.S. GAAP, a portion of a difference between the cost of an investment and the amount of underlying equity in net assets of an investee is not amortized. A loss in value of an investment that is other than a temporary decline is recognized as an impairment loss. Under Japanese GAAP, goodwill which is included in investments in equity method investees is amortized by straight-line method. If a decline in the market value below the cost is substantial, based on the extent of decline in market value and the credit standing of the issuers, an impairment loss is recognized within the limit of the amount of unamortized goodwill.